



HAL
open science

On a family of cubic graphs containing the ower snarks

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe. On a family of cubic graphs containing the ower snarks. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 2010, 30 (2), pp.289-314. 10.7151/dmgt.1495 . hal-00934272

HAL Id: hal-00934272

<https://hal.science/hal-00934272>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On a family of cubic graphs containing the flower snarks

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe

*L.I.F.O., Faculté des Sciences, B.P. 6759
Université d'Orléans, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, FR*

Abstract

We consider cubic graphs formed with $k \geq 2$ disjoint claws $C_i \sim K_{1,3}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k-1$) such that for every integer i modulo k the three vertices of degree 1 of C_i are joined to the three vertices of degree 1 of C_{i-1} and joined to the three vertices of degree 1 of C_{i+1} . Denote by t_i the vertex of degree 3 of C_i and by T the set $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$. In such a way we construct three distinct graphs, namely $FS(1, k)$, $FS(2, k)$ and $FS(3, k)$. The graph $FS(j, k)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) is the graph where the set of vertices $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} V(C_i) \setminus T$ induce j cycles (note that the graphs $FS(2, 2p+1)$, $p \geq 2$, are the flower snarks defined by Isaacs [8]). We determine the number of perfect matchings of every $FS(j, k)$. A cubic graph G is said to be *2-factor hamiltonian* if every 2-factor of G is a hamiltonian cycle. We characterize the graphs $FS(j, k)$ that are 2-factor hamiltonian (note that $FS(1, 3)$ is the "Triplex Graph" of Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [15]). A *strong matching* M in a graph G is a matching M such that there is no edge of $E(G)$ connecting any two edges of M . A cubic graph having a perfect matching union of two strong matchings is said to be a *Jaeger's graph*. We characterize the graphs $FS(j, k)$ that are Jaeger's graphs.

Key words: cubic graph; perfect matching; strong matching; counting; hamiltonian cycle; 2-factor hamiltonian

1 Introduction

The complete bipartite graph $K_{1,3}$ is called, as usually, a *claw*. Let k be an integer ≥ 2 and let G be a cubic graph on $4k$ vertices formed with k disjoint claws $C_i = \{x_i, y_i, z_i, t_i\}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k-1$) where t_i (the *center* of C_i) is joined to the three independent vertices x_i, y_i and z_i (the *external* vertices of C_i). For every integer i modulo k C_i has three neighbours in C_{i-1} and three neighbours in C_{i+1} . For any integer $k \geq 2$ we shall denote the set of integers modulo k as \mathbf{Z}_k . In the sequel of this paper indices i of claws C_i belong to \mathbf{Z}_k .




Fig. 1. Four consecutive claws

By renaming some external vertices of claws we can suppose, without loss of generality, that $\{x_i x_{i+1}, y_i y_{i+1}, z_i z_{i+1}\}$ are edges for any i distinct from $k-1$. That is to say the subgraph induced on $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ (respectively $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$, $Z = \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}\}$) is a path or a cycle (as induced subgraph of G). Denote by T the set of the internal vertices $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$.

Up to isomorphism, the matching joining the external vertices of C_{k-1} to those of C_0 (also called, for $k \geq 3$, *edges between C_{k-1} and C_0*) determines the graph G . In this way we construct essentially three distinct graphs, namely $FS(1, k)$, $FS(2, k)$ and $FS(3, k)$. The graph $FS(j, k)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) is the graph where the set of vertices $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{C_i \setminus \{t_i\}\}$ induces j cycles. For $k \geq 3$ and any $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the graph $FS(j, k)$ is a simple cubic graph. When k is odd, the $FS(2, k)$ are the graphs known as the flower snarks [8]. We note that $FS(3, 2)$ and $FS(2, 2)$ are multigraphs, and that $FS(1, 2)$ is isomorphic to the cube. For $k = 2$ the notion of "edge between C_{k-1} and C_0 " is ambiguous, so we must define it precisely. For two parallel edges having one end in C_0 and the other in C_1 , for instance two parallel edges having x_0 and x_1 as endvertices, we denote one edge by $x_0 x_1$ and the other by $x_1 x_0$. An edge in $\{x_1 x_0, x_1 y_0, x_1 z_0, y_1 x_0, y_1 y_0, y_1 z_0, z_1 x_0, z_1 y_0, z_1 z_0\}$, if it exists, is an *edge between C_1 and C_0* . We will say that $x_0 x_1$, $y_0 y_1$ and $z_0 z_1$ are *edges between C_0 and C_1* .

By using an ad hoc translation of the indices of claws (and of their vertices) and renaming some external vertices of claws, we see that for any reasoning about a sequence of $h \geq 3$ consecutive claws ($C_i, C_{i+1}, C_{i+2}, \dots, C_{i+h-1}$) there is no loss of generality to suppose that $0 \leq i < i+h-1 \leq k-1$. For a sequence of claws (C_p, \dots, C_r) with $0 \leq p < r \leq k-1$, since 0 is a possible value for subscript p and since $k-1$ is a possible value for subscript r , it will be useful from time to time to denote by x'_{p-1} the neighbour in C_{p-1} of the vertex x_p of C_p (recall that $x'_{p-1} \in \{x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, z_{k-1}\}$ if $p = 0$), and to denote by x'_{r+1} the neighbour in C_{r+1} of the vertex x_r of C_r (recall that $x'_{r+1} \in \{x_0, y_0, z_0\}$ if $r = k-1$). We shall make use of analogous notations for neighbours of y_p , z_p , y_r and z_r .

We shall prove in the following lemma that there are essentially two types of perfect matchings in $FS(j, k)$.

Lemma 1 *Let $G \in \{FS(j, k), j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, k \geq 2\}$ and let M be a perfect matching of G . Then the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ induces a path of length 2 and an isolated vertex in each claw C_i ($i \in \mathbf{Z}_k$) and M fulfils one (and only one) of*

the three following properties :

- i) For every i in \mathbf{Z}_k M contains exactly one edge joining the claw C_i to the claw C_{i+1} ,
- ii) For every even i in \mathbf{Z}_k M contains exactly two edges between C_i and C_{i+1} and none between C_{i-1} and C_i ,
- iii) For every odd i in \mathbf{Z}_k M contains exactly two edges between C_i and C_{i+1} and none between C_{i-1} and C_i .

Moreover, when k is odd M satisfies only item i).

Proof Let M be a perfect matching of $G = FS(j, k)$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since M contains exactly one edge of each claw, it is obvious that $G \setminus M$ induces a path of length 2 and an isolated vertex in each claw C_i .

For each claw C_i of G the vertex t_i must be saturated by an edge of M whose end (distinct from t_i) is in $\{x_i, y_i, z_i\}$. Hence there are exactly two edges of M having one end in C_i and the other in $C_{i-1} \cup C_{i+1}$.

If there are two edges of M between C_i and C_{i+1} then there is no edge of M between C_{i-1} and C_i . If there are two edges of M between C_{i-1} and C_i then there is no edge of M between C_i and C_{i+1} . Hence, we get ii) or iii) and we must have an even number k of claws in G .

Assume now that there is only one edge of M between C_{i-1} and C_i . Then there exists exactly one edge between C_i and C_{i+1} and, extending this trick to each claw of G , we get i) when k is even or odd. \square

Definition 2 We say that a perfect matching M of $FS(j, k)$ is of *type 1* in Case i) of Lemma 1 and of *type 2* in Cases ii) and iii). If necessary, to distinguish Case ii) from Case iii) we shall say *type 2.0* in Case ii) and *type 2.1* in Case iii). We note that the numbers of perfect matchings of type 2.0 and of type 2.1 are equal.

Notation : The length of a path P (respectively a cycle Γ) is denoted by $l(P)$ (respectively $l(\Gamma)$).

2 Counting perfect matchings of $FS(j, k)$

We shall say that a vertex v of a cubic graph G is *inflated* into a triangle when we construct a new cubic graph G' by deleting v and adding three new vertices inducing a triangle and joining each vertex of the neighbourhood $N(v)$ of v to

a single vertex of this new triangle. We say also that G' is obtained from G by a *triangular extension*. The converse operation is the *contraction* or *reduction* of the triangle. The number of perfect matchings of G is denoted by $\mu(G)$.

Lemma 3 *Let G be a bipartite cubic graph and let $\{V_1, V_2\}$ be the bipartition of its vertex set. Assume that each vertex in some subset $W_1 \subseteq V_1$ is inflated into a triangle and let G' be the graph obtained in that way. Then $\mu(G) = \mu(G')$.*

Proof Note that $\{V_1, V_2\}$ is a balanced bipartition and, by König's Theorem, the graph G is a cubic 3-edge colourable graph. So, G' is also a cubic 3-edge colourable graph (hence, G and G' have perfect matchings). Let M be a perfect matching of G' . Each vertex of $V_1 \setminus W_1$ is saturated by an edge whose second end vertex is in V_2 . Let $A \subseteq V_2$ be the set of vertices so saturated in V_2 . Assume that some triangle of G' is such that the three vertices are saturated by three edges having one end in the triangle and the second one in V_2 . Then we need to have at least $|W_1| + 2$ vertices in $V_2 \setminus A$, a contradiction. Hence, M must have exactly one edge in each triangle and the contraction of each triangle in order to get back G transforms M in a perfect matching of G . Conversely, each perfect matching of G leads to a unique perfect matching of G' and we obtain the result. \square

Let us denote by $\mu(j, k)$ the number of perfect matchings of $FS(j, k)$, $\mu_1(j, k)$ its number of perfect matchings of type 1 and $\mu_2(j, k)$ its number of perfect matchings of type 2.

Lemma 4 *We have*

- $\mu(1, 3) = \mu_1(1, 3) = 9$
- $\mu(2, 3) = \mu_1(2, 3) = 8$
- $\mu(3, 3) = \mu_1(3, 3) = 6$
- $\mu(1, 2) = 9, \mu_1(1, 2) = 3$
- $\mu(2, 2) = 10, \mu_1(2, 2) = 4$
- $\mu(3, 2) = 12, \mu_1(3, 2) = 6$

Proof The cycle containing the external vertices of the claws of the graph $FS(1, 3)$ is $x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2, z_0, z_1, z_2, x_0$. Consider a perfect matching M containing the edge t_0x_0 . There are two cases: *i*) $x_1x_2 \in M$ and *ii*) $x_1t_1 \in M$. In Case *i*) we must have $y_0y_1, t_1z_1, t_2z_2, z_0y_2 \in M$. In Case *ii*) there are two sub-cases: *ii*).*a* $x_2y_0 \in M$ and *ii*).*b* $x_2t_2 \in M$. In Case *ii*).*a* we must have $y_1y_2, t_2z_2, z_0z_1 \in M$ and in Case *ii*).*b* we must have $y_0y_1, y_2z_0, z_1z_2 \in M$. Thus, there are exactly 3 distinct perfect matching containing t_0x_0 . By symmetry, there are 3 distinct perfect matchings containing t_0y_0 , and 3 distinct matchings containing t_0z_0 , therefore $\mu(1, 3) = 9$.

It is well known that the Petersen graph has exactly 6 perfect matchings. Since $FS(2, 3)$ is obtained from the Petersen graph by inflating a vertex into a triangle these 6 perfect matchings lead to 6 perfect matchings of $FS(2, 3)$. We have two new perfect matchings when considering the three edges connected to this triangle (we have two ways to include these edges into a perfect matching). Hence $\mu(2, 3) = 8$.

$FS(3, 3)$ is obtained from $K_{3,3}$ by inflating three vertices in the same colour of the bipartition. Since $K_{3,3}$ has six perfect matchings, applying Lemma 3 we get immediately the result for $\mu(3, 3)$.

It is a routine matter to obtain the values for $FS(j, 2)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$). \square

Theorem 5 *The numbers $\mu_1(j, k)$ of perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(j, k)$*

- $\mu_1(1, k) = 2^k - (-1)^k$
- ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are given by:* • $\mu_1(2, k) = 2^k$
- $\mu_1(3, k) = 2^k + 2(-1)^k$

Proof By Lemma 4, when $k = 2$ or $k = 3$ $\mu_1(j, k)$ fulfils our requirement. We want to compute $\mu_1(j, k)$ by induction on k and we assume that the property holds for $FS(j, k - 2)$ with $k \geq 4$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$).

The following trick will be helpful. Let us consider the four consecutive claws C_{k-2} , C_{k-1} , C_0 and C_1 of $FS(j, k)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$). We can delete C_{k-1} and C_0 and join the three external vertices of C_{k-2} to the three external vertices of C_1 by a matching (to choose) in such a way that the resulting graph is a graph $FS(j', k - 2)$, with $j' \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (it must be clear that j and j' may be different). In order to count the number of perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(j, k)$ we need to consider nine numbers, each of them counts the number of perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(j, k)$ using two edges, one being an edge between C_{k-2} and C_{k-1} and the other being an edge between C_1 and C_0 .

Let us define $\nu(e, e')$ as the number of perfect matchings of type 1 containing the two edges e and e' . Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \nu(x_{k-2}x_{k-1}, x_0x_1), & a_2 &= \nu(x_{k-2}x_{k-1}, y_0y_1), & a_3 &= \nu(x_{k-2}x_{k-1}, z_0z_1) \\ a_4 &= \nu(y_{k-2}y_{k-1}, x_0x_1), & a_5 &= \nu(y_{k-2}y_{k-1}, y_0y_1), & a_6 &= \nu(y_{k-2}y_{k-1}, z_0z_1) \\ a_7 &= \nu(z_{k-2}z_{k-1}, x_0x_1), & a_8 &= \nu(z_{k-2}z_{k-1}, y_0y_1), & a_9 &= \nu(z_{k-2}z_{k-1}, z_0z_1) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously we have $\mu_1(j, k) = \sum_{i=1}^9 a_i$.

Let us delete the vertices of C_{k-1} and C_0 and denote by H the resulting induced subgraph.

CLAIM 1 *When $j = 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 + a_6 + a_7 &= 2\mu_1(1, k-2) \\ a_1 + a_5 + a_9 &= \mu_1(3, k-2) \\ a_3 + a_4 + a_8 &= \mu_1(1, k-2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Without loss of generality we can consider that $x_{k-1}y_0$, $y_{k-1}z_0$ and $z_{k-1}x_0$ are edges of $FS(1, k)$.

In order to evaluate $a_2 + a_6 + a_7$ we add the edges $x_{k-2}y_1$, $y_{k-2}z_1$ and $z_{k-2}x_1$ to H . In other words we set $H_1 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}y_1, y_{k-2}z_1, z_{k-2}x_1\})$, we get hence $FS(1, k-2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_1 containing $x_{k-2}y_1$ gives two perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(1, k)$ as well as each perfect matching of type 1 of H_1 containing $y_{k-2}z_1$ and each perfect matching of type 1 containing the edge $z_{k-2}x_1$. It follows that $a_2 + a_6 + a_7 = 2\mu_1(1, k-2)$.

Let us now set $H_2 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}x_1, y_{k-2}y_1, z_{k-2}z_1\})$. The graph H_2 is isomorphic to $FS(3, k-2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_2 containing $x_{k-2}x_1$ gives one perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(1, k)$ as well as each perfect matching of type 1 of H_2 containing $y_{k-2}y_1$ and each perfect matching of type 1 of H_2 containing $z_{k-2}z_1$. Consequently $a_1 + a_5 + a_9 = \mu_1(3, k-2)$.

For computing $a_3 + a_4 + a_8$ we set $H_3 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}z_1, y_{k-2}x_1, z_{k-2}y_1\})$, that is a graph isomorphic to $FS(1, k-2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_3 containing $x_{k-2}z_1$ gives one perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(1, k)$ as well as each perfect matching of type 1 of H_3 containing $y_{k-2}x_1$ and each perfect matching of type 1 of H_3 containing $z_{k-2}y_1$. Thus $a_3 + a_4 + a_8 = \mu_1(1, k-2)$ as claimed. \square

CLAIM 2 *When $j = 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_6 + a_8 &= 2\mu_1(2, k-2) \\ a_2 + a_4 + a_9 &= \mu_1(2, k-2) \\ a_3 + a_5 + a_7 &= \mu_1(2, k-2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Without loss of generality we can consider that $x_{k-1}x_0$, $y_{k-1}z_0$ and $z_{k-1}y_0$ are edges of $FS(2, k)$.

In order to evaluate $a_1 + a_6 + a_8$ we add the edges $x_{k-2}x_1$, $y_{k-2}z_1$ and $z_{k-2}y_1$ to H . In other words we set $H_1 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}x_1, y_{k-2}z_1, z_{k-2}y_1\})$, we get hence $FS(2, k-2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_1 leads to precisely 2 perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(2, k)$, thus $a_1 + a_6 + a_8 = 2\mu_1(2, k-2)$.

We consider now the graph $H_2 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}y_1, y_{k-2}x_1, z_{k-2}z_1\})$ isomorphic to $FS(2, k-2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_2 gives one

perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(2, k)$, consequently $a_2 + a_4 + a_9 = \mu_1(2, k - 2)$.

Let $H_3 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}z_1, y_{k-2}y_1, z_{k-2}x_1\})$, a graph isomorphic to $FS(2, k - 2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_3 leads to precisely one perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(2, k)$, consequently $a_3 + a_5 + a_7 = \mu_1(2, k - 2)$.
□

CLAIM 3 *When $j = 3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_5 + a_9 &= 2\mu_1(3, k - 2) \\ a_2 + a_6 + a_7 &= \mu_1(1, k - 2) \\ a_3 + a_4 + a_8 &= \mu_1(1, k - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Suppose that $x_{k-1}x_0, y_{k-1}y_0, z_{k-1}z_0$ are edges of $FS(3, k)$.

In order to evaluate $a_1 + a_5 + a_9$ we add the edges $x_{k-2}x_1, y_{k-2}y_1$ and $z_{k-2}z_1$ to H . In other words we set $H_1 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}x_1, y_{k-2}y_1, z_{k-2}z_1\})$, we get hence $FS(3, k - 2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_1 can be extended into 2 perfect matchings of type 1 of $FS(3, k)$, thus $a_1 + a_5 + a_9 = 2\mu_1(3, k - 2)$.

Let H_2 be isomorphic to $FS(1, k - 2)$ with the edges $x_{k-2}y_1, y_{k-2}z_1, z_{k-2}x_1$. A perfect matching of type 1 of H_2 can precisely be extended into one perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(3, k)$, then $a_2 + a_6 + a_7 = \mu_1(1, k - 2)$.

Let $H_3 = (V(H), E(H) \cup \{x_{k-2}z_1, y_{k-2}x_1, z_{k-2}y_1\})$, a graph isomorphic to $FS(1, k - 2)$. Each perfect matching of type 1 of H_3 gives one perfect matching of type 1 of $FS(3, k)$, consequently $a_3 + a_4 + a_8 = \mu_1(1, k - 2)$. □

Recall that $\mu_1(j, k) = \sum_{i=1}^9 a_i$. Then it follows from Claims 1, 2 and 3 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(1, k) &= 3\mu_1(1, k - 2) + \mu_1(3, k - 2) \\ \mu_1(2, k) &= 4\mu_1(2, k - 2) \\ \mu_1(3, k) &= 2\mu_1(3, k - 2) + 2\mu_1(1, k - 2) \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(1, k - 2) &= 2^{k-2} - (-1)^k \\ \mu_1(2, k - 2) &= 2^{k-2} \\ \mu_1(3, k - 2) &= 2^{k-2} + 2(-1)^k \end{aligned}$$

Thus ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(1, k) &= 3(2^{k-2} - (-1)^k) + 2^{k-2} + 2(-1)^k = 2^k - (-1)^k \\ \mu_1(2, k) &= 4(2^{k-2}) = 2^k \\ \mu_1(3, k) &= 2(2^{k-2} + 2(-1)^k) + 2(2^{k-2} - (-1)^k) = 2^k + 2(-1)^k\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

Theorem 6 *The number $\mu_2(j, k)$ of perfect matchings of $FS(j, k)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) verifies : $\mu_2(j, k) = 0$ when k is odd and $\mu_2(j, k) = 2 \times 3^{\frac{k}{2}}$ otherwise.*

Proof When k is odd, we have $\mu_2(j, k) = 0$ by Lemma 1.

When k is even, let M be a perfect matching of type 2 of $FS(j, k)$. For every two consecutive claws C_i and C_{i+1} , by Lemma 1, we have either two edges of M joining the external vertices of C_i to those of C_{i+1} or none. We have 3 ways to choose 2 edges between C_i and C_{i+1} , each choice of these two edges can be completed in a unique way in a perfect matching of the subgraph $C_i \cup C_{i+1}$. Hence we get easily that the number of perfect matchings of type 2 in $FS(j, k)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) is $\mu_2(j, k) = 2 \times 3^{\frac{k}{2}}$. □

From Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 we deduce :

Corollary 7 *The numbers $\mu(i, k)$ of perfect matchings of $FS(i, k)$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are given by:*

- $\mu(2, k) = 2^k$

When k is odd

- $\mu(1, k) = 2^k + 1$
- $\mu(3, k) = 2^k - 2$

- $\mu(2, k) = 2 \times 3^{\frac{k}{2}} + 2^k$

When k is even

- $\mu(1, k) = 2 \times 3^{\frac{k}{2}} + 2^k - 1$
- $\mu(3, k) = 2 \times 3^{\frac{k}{2}} + 2^k + 2$

3 Some structural results about perfect matchings of $FS(j, k)$

3.1 Perfect matchings of type 1

Lemma 8 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$. Then the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly one or two cycles and each cycle of $G \setminus M$ has at least one vertex in each claw C_i ($i \in \mathbf{Z}_k$).*

Proof Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 in G . Let us consider the claw C_i for some i in \mathbf{Z}_k . Assume without loss of generality that the edge of M contained in C_i is $t_i x_i$. The cycle of $G \setminus M$ visiting x_i comes from C_{i-1} , crosses C_i by using the vertex x_i and goes to C_{i+1} . By Lemma 1, the path $y_i t_i z_i$ is contained in a cycle of $G \setminus M$. The two edges incident to y_i and z_i joining C_i to C_{i-1} (as well as those joining C_i to C_{i+1}) are not contained both in M (since M has type 1). Thus, the cycle of $G \setminus M$ containing $y_i t_i z_i$ comes from C_{i-1} , crosses C_i and goes to C_{i+1} . Thus, we have at most two cycles in $G \setminus M$, as claimed, and we can note that each claw must be visited by these cycles. \square

Definition 9 Let us suppose that M is a perfect matching of type 1 in $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 . A claw C_i intersected by three vertices of Γ_1 (respectively Γ_2) is said to be Γ_1 -major (respectively Γ_2 -major).

Lemma 10 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles. Then, the lengths of these two cycles have the same parity as k , and those lengths are distinct when k is odd.*

Proof Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be the two cycles of $G \setminus M$. By Lemma 8, for each i in \mathbf{Z}_k these two cycles must cross the claw C_i . Let k_1 be the number of Γ_1 -major claws and let k_2 be the number of Γ_2 -major claws. We have $k_1 + k_2 = k$, $l(\Gamma_1) = 3k_1 + k_2$ and $l(\Gamma_2) = 3k_2 + k_1$. When k is odd, we must have either k_1 odd and k_2 even, or k_1 even and k_2 odd. Then Γ_1 and Γ_2 have distinct odd lengths. When k is even, we must have either k_1 and k_2 even, or k_2 and k_1 odd. Then Γ_1 and Γ_2 have even lengths. \square

Lemma 11 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Suppose that there are two consecutive Γ_1 -major claws C_j and C_{j+1} with $j \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{k-1\}$. Then there is a perfect matching M' of type 1 such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M'$ has exactly two cycles Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 having the following properties:*

- a) for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major,
b) C_j and C_{j+1} are Γ'_2 -major,
c) $l(\Gamma'_1) = l(\Gamma_1) - 4$ and $l(\Gamma'_2) = l(\Gamma_2) + 4$.

Proof Consider the claws C_j and C_{j+1} . Since C_j is a Γ_1 -major claw suppose without loss of generality that $t_j z_j$ belongs to M and that Γ_1 contains the path $x'_{j-1} x_j t_j y_j y_{j+1}$ where x'_{j-1} denotes the neighbour of x_j in C_{j-1} (then $x_j x_{j+1}$ belongs to M). Since C_{j+1} is Γ_1 -major and Γ_2 goes through C_j and C_{j+1} , the cycle Γ_1 must contain the path $y_{j+1} t_{j+1} x_{j+1} x'_{j+2}$ where x'_{j+2} denotes the neighbour of x_{j+1} in C_{j+2} (then M contains $t_{j+1} z_{j+1}$ and $y_{j+1} y'_{j+2}$). Denote by P_1 the path $x'_{j-1} x_j t_j y_j y_{j+1} t_{j+1} x_{j+1} x'_{j+2}$. Note that Γ_2 contains the path $P_2 = z'_{j-1} z_j z_{j+1} z'_{j+1}$ where z'_{j-1} and z'_{j+1} are defined similarly. See to the left part of Figure 2.

Let us perform the following local transformation: delete $x_j x_{j+1}$, $t_j z_j$ and $t_{j+1} z_{j+1}$ from M and add $z_j z_{j+1}$, $t_j x_j$ and $t_{j+1} x_{j+1}$. Let M' be the resulting perfect matching. Then the subpath P_1 of Γ_1 is replaced by $P'_1 = x'_{j-1} x_j x_{j+1} x'_{j+2}$ and the subpath P_2 of Γ_2 is replaced by $P'_2 = z'_{j-1} z_j t_j y_j y_{j+1} t_{j+1} z_{j+1} z'_{j+2}$ (see Figure 2). We obtain a new 2-factor containing two new cycles Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 . Note that C_j and C_{j+1} are Γ'_2 -major claws and for i in $\mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major (respectively Γ'_1 -major) if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major (respectively Γ_1 -major). The length of Γ_1 (now Γ'_1) decreases of 4 units while the length of Γ_2 (now Γ'_2) increases of 4 units. \square




Fig. 2. Local transformation of type 1

The operation depicted in Lemma 11 above will be called a *local transformation of type 1*.

Lemma 12 Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Suppose that there are three consecutive claws C_j , C_{j+1} and C_{j+2} with j in $\mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{k-1, k-2\}$ such that C_j and C_{j+2} are Γ_1 -major and C_{j+1} is Γ_2 -major. Then there is a perfect matching M' of type 1 such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M'$ has exactly two cycles Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 having the following properties:

- a) for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1, j+2\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major,

- b) C_j and C_{j+2} are Γ'_2 -major and C_{j+1} is Γ'_1 -major,
c) $l(\Gamma'_1) = l(\Gamma_1) - 2$ and $l(\Gamma'_2) = l(\Gamma_2) + 2$.

Proof Since C_j is Γ_1 -major, as in the proof of Lemma 11 suppose that Γ_1 contains the path $x'_{j-1}x_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}$ (that is edges t_jz_j and x_jx_{j+1} belong to M). Since C_{j+1} is Γ_2 -major the cycle Γ_1 contains the edge $y_{j+1}y_{j+2}$. Then we see that Γ_1 contains the path $Q_1 = x'_{j-1}x_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}y_{j+2}t_{j+2}z_{j+2}z'_{j+3}$ and that Γ_2 contains the path $Q_2 = z'_{j-1}z_jz_{j+1}t_{j+1}x_{j+1}x_{j+2}x'_{j+3}$. Note that $y_{j+1}t_{j+1}$, $z_{j+1}z_{j+2}$ and $t_{j+2}x_{j+2}$ belong to M .

Let us perform the following local transformation: delete t_jz_j , x_jx_{j+1} , $z_{j+1}z_{j+2}$ and $x_{j+2}t_{j+2}$ from M and add x_jt_j , z_jz_{j+1} , $x_{j+1}x_{j+2}$ and $z_{j+2}t_{j+2}$ to M . Let M' be the resulting perfect matching. Then the subpath Q_1 of Γ_1 is replaced by $Q'_1 = x'_{j-1}x_jx_{j+1}t_{j+1}z_{j+1}z_{j+2}z'_{j+3}$ and the subpath Q_2 of Γ_2 is replaced by $Q'_2 = z'_{j-1}z_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}y_{j+2}t_{j+2}x_{j+2}x'_{j+3}$ (see Figure 3). We obtain a new 2-factor containing two new cycles named Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 . Note that C_j and C_{j+2} are now Γ'_2 -major claws and C_{j+1} is Γ'_1 -major. The length of Γ_1 decreases of 2 units while the length of Γ_2 increases of 2 units. It is clear that for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1, j+2\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major (respectively Γ'_1 -major) if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major (respectively Γ_1 -major). \square




Fig. 3. Local transformation of type 2

The operation depicted in Lemma 12 above will be called a *local transformation of type 2*.

Lemma 13 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Suppose that there are three consecutive claws C_j , C_{j+1} and C_{j+2} with j in $\mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{k-1, k-2\}$ such that C_{j+1} and C_{j+2} are Γ_2 -major and C_j is Γ_1 -major. Then there is a perfect matching M' of type 1 such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M'$ has exactly two cycles Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 having the following properties:*

- a) for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1, j+2\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major,
b) C_j and C_{j+1} are Γ'_2 -major and C_{j+2} is Γ'_1 -major,
c) $l(\Gamma'_1) = l(\Gamma_1)$ and $l(\Gamma'_2) = l(\Gamma_2)$.

Proof Since C_j is Γ_1 -major, as in the proof of Lemma 11 suppose that Γ_1 contains the path $x'_{j-1}x_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}$ (that is edges t_jz_j and x_jx_{j+1} belong to M). Since C_{j+1} and C_{j+2} are Γ_2 -major, the unique vertex of C_{j+1} (respectively C_{j+2}) contained in Γ_1 is y_{j+1} (respectively y_{j+2}). Note that the perfect matching M contains the edges t_jz_j , x_jx_{j+1} , $t_{j+1}y_{j+1}$, $z_{j+1}z_{j+2}$ and $t_{j+2}y_{j+2}$. Then the path $R_1 = x'_{j-1}x_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}y_{j+2}y'_{j+3}$ is a subpath of Γ_1 and the path $R_2 = z'_{j-1}z_jz_{j+1}t_{j+1}x_{j+1}x_{j+2}t_{j+2}z_{j+2}z'_{j+3}$ is a subpath of Γ_2 . See to the left part of Figure 4.

Let us perform the following local transformation: delete t_jz_j , x_jx_{j+1} , $t_{j+1}y_{j+1}$, $z_{j+1}z_{j+2}$ and $t_{j+2}y_{j+2}$ from M and add x_jt_j , z_jz_{j+1} , $t_{j+1}x_{j+1}$, $y_{j+1}y_{j+2}$ and $t_{j+2}z_{j+2}$. Let M' be the resulting perfect matching. Then the subpath R_1 of Γ_1 is replaced by $R'_1 = x'_{j-1}x_jx_{j+1}x_{j+2}t_{j+2}y_{j+2}y'_{j+3}$ and the subpath R_2 of Γ_2 is replaced by $R'_2 = z'_{j-1}z_jt_jy_jy_{j+1}t_{j+1}z_{j+1}z_{j+2}z'_{j+3}$. We obtain a new 2-factor containing two new cycles named Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 such that $l(\Gamma'_1) = l(\Gamma_1)$ and $l(\Gamma'_2) = l(\Gamma_2)$ (see Figure 4). It is clear that for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_k \setminus \{j, j+1, j+2\}$ C_i is Γ'_2 -major (respectively Γ'_1 -major) if and only if C_i is Γ_2 -major (respectively Γ_1 -major). Note that C_j and C_{j+1} are Γ'_2 -major and C_{j+2} is Γ'_1 -major. \square




Fig. 4. Local transformation of type 3

The operation depicted in Lemma 13 above will be called a *local transformation of type 3*.

Lemma 14 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 such that $l(\Gamma_1) \leq l(\Gamma_2)$ and $l(\Gamma_2)$ is as great as possible. Then there exists at most one Γ_1 -major claw.*

Proof Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exist at least two Γ_1 -major claws. Since $l(\Gamma_2)$ is maximum, by Lemma 11 these claws are not consecutive. Then consider two Γ_1 -major claws C_i and C_{i+h+1} (with $h \geq 1$) such that the h consecutive claws $(C_{i+1}, \dots, C_{i+h})$ are Γ_2 -major. Since $l(\Gamma_2)$ is maximum, by Lemma 12 the number h is at least 2. Then by applying $r = \lfloor \frac{h}{2} \rfloor$ consecutive local transformations of type 3 (Lemma 13) we obtain a perfect matching $M^{(r)}$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M^{(r)}$ has exactly two cycles $\Gamma_1^{(r)}$ and

$\Gamma_2^{(r)}$ with $l(\Gamma_1^{(r)}) = l(\Gamma_1)$ and $l(\Gamma_2^{(r)}) = l(\Gamma_2)$ and such that $C_{i+2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$ and C_{i+h+1} are $\Gamma_1^{(r)}$ -major. Since $l(\Gamma_2^{(r)})$ is maximum, we can conclude by Lemma 11 and by Lemma 12 that h is neither even nor odd, a contradiction. \square

3.2 Perfect matchings of type 2

We give here a structural result about perfect matchings of type 2 in $G = FS(j, k)$.

Lemma 15 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 2 of $G = FS(j, k)$ (with $k \geq 4$). Then the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ has exactly one cycle of even length $l \geq k$ and a set of p cycles of length 6 where $l + 6p = 4k$ (with $0 \leq p \leq \frac{k}{2}$).*

Proof Let M be a perfect matching of type 2 in G . By Lemma 1 the number k of claws is even. Let i in \mathbf{Z}_k such that there are two edges of M between C_{i-1} and C_i . There are no edges of M between C_i and C_{i+1} and two edges of M between C_{i+1} and C_{i+2} . We may consider that $0 \leq i < k - 1$.

For $j \in \{i, i + 2, i + 4, \dots\}$ we denote by e_j the unique edge of $G \setminus M$ having one end vertex in C_{j-1} and the other in C_j . Let us denote by A the set $\{e_i, e_{i+2}, e_{i+4}, \dots\}$. We note that $|A| = \frac{k}{2}$.

Assume without loss of generality that the two edges of M between C_{i-1} and C_i have end vertices in C_i which are x_i and y_i (then z_i is the end vertex of e_i in C_i). Two cases may now occur.

Case 1: *The end vertices in C_{i+1} of the two edges of M between C_{i+1} and C_{i+2} are x_{i+1} and y_{i+1} (then z_{i+1} is the end vertex of e_{i+2} in C_{i+1}).*

In that case the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ contains the cycle of length 6 $x_i x_{i+1} t_{i+1} y_{i+1} y_i t_i$ while the edge $z_i z_{i+1}$ of $G \setminus M$ connects e_i and e_{i+2} .

Case 2: *The end vertices in C_{i+1} of the two edges of M between C_{i+1} and C_{i+2} are y_{i+1} and z_{i+1} (respectively x_{i+1} and z_{i+1}). Then x_{i+1} (respectively y_{i+1}) is the end vertex of e_{i+2} in C_{i+1} .*

In that case the edges e_i and e_{i+2} are connected in $G \setminus M$ by the path $z_i z_{i+1} t_{i+1} y_{i+1} y_i t_i x_i x_{i+1}$ (respectively $z_i z_{i+1} t_{i+1} x_{i+1} x_i t_i y_i y_{i+1}$).

The same reasoning can be done for $\{e_{i+2}, e_{i+4}\}$, $\{e_{i+4}, e_{i+6}\}$, and so on. Then, we see that the set A is contained in a unique cycle Γ of $G \setminus M$ which crosses each claw. Thus, the length l of Γ is at least k . More precisely, each e_j in A contributes for 1 in l , in Case 1 the edge $z_i z_{i+1}$ contributes for 1 in l and in Case 2 the path $z_i z_{i+1} t_{i+1} y_{i+1} y_i t_i x_i x_{i+1}$ contributes for 7 in l . Let us suppose that Case 1 appears p times ($0 \leq p \leq \frac{k}{2}$), that is to say $G \setminus M$ contains p cycles of length 6. Since Case 2 appears $\frac{k}{2} - p$ times, the length of Γ is

$$l = \frac{k}{2} + p + 7\left(\frac{k}{2} - p\right) = 4k - 6p. \quad \square$$

Remark 16 If k is even then by Lemmas 8, 10 and 15 $FS(j, k)$ has an even 2-factor. That is to say $FS(j, k)$ is a cubic 3-edge colourable graph .

4 Perfect matchings and hamiltonian cycles of $F(j, k)$

4.1 Perfect matchings of type 1 and hamiltonicity

Theorem 17 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 1 of $G = FS(j, k)$. Then the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is a hamiltonian cycle except for k odd and $j = 2$, and for k even and $j = 1$ or 3.*

Proof Suppose that there exists a perfect matching M of type 1 of G such that $G \setminus M$ is not a hamiltonian cycle. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 10 the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is made of exactly two cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 whose lengths have the same parity as k . Without loss of generality we suppose that $l(\Gamma_1) \leq l(\Gamma_2)$. Assume moreover that among the perfect matchings of type 1 of G such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is composed of two cycles, M has been chosen in such a way that the length of the longest cycle Γ_2 is as great as possible. By Lemma 14 there exists at most one Γ_1 -major claw.

Case 1: There exists one Γ_1 -major claw.

Without loss of generality, suppose that C_0 is intersected by Γ_1 into $\{y_0, t_0, x_0\}$ and that $y'_{k-1}y_0$ belongs to Γ_1 . Since for every $i \neq 0$ the claw C_i is Γ_2 -major, Γ_1 contains the vertices $y_0, t_0, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}$ which means that $x'_{k-1} = y_0$.

- If $k = 2r + 1$ with $r \geq 1$ then Γ_2 contains the path

$$z_0 z_1 t_1 y_1 y_2 t_2 z_2 \dots z_{2r-1} t_{2r-1} y_{2r-1} y_{2r} t_{2r} z_{2r}.$$

Thus, $y_0 x_{k-1}, x_0 y_{k-1}, z_0 z_{k-1}$ are edges of G . This means that $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{C_i \setminus \{t_i\}\}$ induces two cycles, that is to say $j = 2$ and $G = FS(2, k)$.

- If $k = 2r + 2$ with $r \geq 1$ then Γ_2 contains the path

$$z_0 z_1 t_1 y_1 y_2 t_2 z_2 \dots z_{2r-1} t_{2r-1} y_{2r-1} y_{2r} t_{2r} z_{2r} z_{2r+1} t_{2r+1} y_{2r+1}.$$

Thus, $x_0 z_{k-1}, y_0 x_{k-1}$ and $z_0 y_{k-1}$ are edges. This means that $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{C_i \setminus \{t_i\}\}$ induces one cycle, that is to say $j = 1$ and $G = FS(1, k)$.

Case 2: There is no Γ_1 -major claw.

Suppose that x_0 belongs to Γ_1 . Then, Γ_1 contains x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} .

- If $k = 2r + 1$ with $r \geq 1$ then Γ_2 contains the path

$$y_0 t_0 z_0 z_1 t_1 y_1 y_2 \dots z_{2r-1} t_{2r-1} y_{2r-1} y_{2r} t_{2r} z_{2r}.$$

Thus, $x_0 x_{k-1}$, $y_0 z_{k-1}$ and $z_0 y_{k-1}$ are edges of G and the set $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{C_i \setminus \{t_i\}\}$ induces two cycles, that is to say $j = 2$ and $G = FS(2, k)$.

- If $k = 2r + 2$ with $r \geq 1$ then Γ_2 contains the path

$$y_0 t_0 z_0 z_1 t_1 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{2r} t_{2r} z_{2r} z_{2r+1} t_{2r+1} y_{2r+1}.$$

Thus, $x_0 x_{k-1}$, $y_0 y_{k-1}$ and $z_0 z_{k-1}$ are edges. This means that $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{C_i \setminus \{t_i\}\}$ induces three cycles, that is to say $j = 3$ and $G = FS(3, k)$.

□

Definition 18 A cubic graph G is said to be *2-factor hamiltonian* [6] if every 2-factor of G is a hamiltonian cycle (or equivalently, if for every perfect matching M of G the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is a hamiltonian cycle).

By Theorem 17 for any odd $k \geq 3$ and $j \in \{1, 3\}$ or for any even k and $j = 2$, and for every perfect matching M of type 1 in $FS(j, k)$ the 2-factor $FS(j, k) \setminus M$ is a hamiltonian cycle. By Lemma 15 $FS(2, k)$ ($k \geq 4$) may have a perfect matching M of type 2 such that the 2-factor $FS(2, k) \setminus M$ is not a hamiltonian cycle (it may contains cycles of length 6).

Then we have the following.

Corollary 19 *A graph $G = FS(j, k)$ is 2-factor hamiltonian if and only if k is odd and $j = 1$ or 3.*

We note that $FS(1, 3)$ is the "Triplex Graph" of Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [15]. We shall examine other known results about 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs in Section 5.

Corollary 20 *The chromatic index of a graph $G = FS(j, k)$ is 4 if and only if $j = 2$ and k is odd.*

Proof When $j = 2$ and k is odd, any 2-factor must have at least two cycles, by Theorem 17. Then Lemma 10 implies that any 2-factor is composed of two odd cycles. Hence G has chromatic index 4.

When $j = 1$ or 3 and k is odd by Theorem 17 $FS(j, k)$ is hamiltonian. If k is even then by Lemmas 8, 10 and 15 $FS(j, k)$ has an even 2-factor. \square

4.2 Perfect matchings of type 2 and hamiltonicity

At this point of the discourse one may ask what happens for perfect matchings of type 2 in $FS(j, k)$ (k even). Can we characterize and count perfect matchings of type 2, complementary 2-factor of which is a hamiltonian cycle? An affirmative answer shall be given.

Let us consider a perfect matching M of type 2 in $FS(j, 2p)$ with $p \geq 2$. Suppose that there are no edges of M between C_{2i-1} and C_{2i} (for any $i \geq 1$), that is to say M is a matching of type 2.0 (see Definition 2). Consider two consecutive claws C_{2i} and C_{2i+1} ($0 \leq i \leq p-1$). There are three cases:

Case (x): $\{y_{2i}y_{2i+1}, z_{2i}z_{2i+1}\} \subset M$ (then, $M \cap (C_{2i} \cup C_{2i+1}) = \{x_{2i}t_{2i}, x_{2i+1}t_{2i+1}\}$).

Case (y): $\{x_{2i}x_{2i+1}, z_{2i}z_{2i+1}\} \subset M$ (then, $M \cap (C_{2i} \cup C_{2i+1}) = \{y_{2i}t_{2i}, y_{2i+1}t_{2i+1}\}$).

Case (z): $\{x_{2i}x_{2i+1}, y_{2i}y_{2i+1}\} \subset M$ (then, $M \cap (C_{2i} \cup C_{2i+1}) = \{z_{2i}t_{2i}, z_{2i+1}t_{2i+1}\}$).

The subgraph induced on $C_{2i} \cup C_{2i+1}$ is called a *block*. In Case (x) (respectively Case (y), Case (z)) a block is called a *block of type X* (respectively *block of type Y*, *block of type Z*). Then $FS(j, 2p)$ with a perfect matching M of type 2.0 can be seen as a sequence of p blocks properly connected. In other words, a perfect matching M of type 2 in $FS(j, 2p)$ is entirely described by a word of length p on the alphabet of three letters $\{X, Y, Z\}$. The block $C_0 \cup C_1$ is called *initial block* and the block $C_{2p-1} \cup C_{2p}$ is called *terminal block*. These extremal blocks are not considered here as consecutive blocks.

By Lemma 15, $FS(j, 2p) \setminus M$ has no 6-cycles if and only if $FS(j, 2p) \setminus M$ is a unique even cycle. It is an easy matter to prove that two consecutive blocks do not induce a 6-cycle if and only if they are not of the same type. Then the possible configurations for two consecutive blocks are XY , XZ , YX , YZ , ZX and ZY . To eliminate a possible 6-cycle in $C_0 \cup C_{2p-1}$ we have to determine for every $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the forbidden extremal configurations. An extremal configuration shall be denoted by a word on two letters in $\{X, Y, Z\}$ such that the left letter denotes the type of the initial block $C_0 \cup C_1$ and the right letter denotes the type of the terminal block $C_{2p-1} \cup C_{2p}$. We suppose that the extremal blocks are connected for $j = 1$ by the edges $x_{2p-1}z_0$, $y_{2p-1}x_0$ and $z_{2p-1}y_0$, for $j = 2$ by the edges $x_{2p-1}x_0$, $y_{2p-1}z_0$ and $z_{2p-1}y_0$ and for $j = 3$ by the edges $x_{2p-1}x_0$, $y_{2p-1}y_0$ and $z_{2p-1}z_0$. Then, it is easy to verify that we have the following result.

Lemma 21 *Let M be a perfect matching of type 2.0 of $G = FS(j, 2p)$ (with $p \geq 2$) such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is a hamiltonian cycle. Then the forbidden extremal configurations are*

XY, YZ and ZX for $FS(1, 2p)$,

XX, YZ and ZY for $FS(2, 2p)$,

and XX, YY and ZZ for $FS(3, 2p)$.

Thus, any perfect matching M of type 2.0 of $FS(j, 2p)$ such that the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is a hamiltonian cycle is totally characterized by a word of length p on the alphabet $\{X, Y, Z\}$ having no two identical consecutive letters and such that the sub-word [initial letter][terminal letter] is not a forbidden configuration. Then, we are in position to obtain the number of such perfect matchings in $FS(j, 2p)$. Let us denote by $\mu'_{2.0}(j, 2p)$ (respectively $\mu'_{2.1}(j, 2p)$, $\mu'_2(j, 2p)$) the number of perfect matchings of type 2.0 (respectively type 2.1, type 2) complementary to a hamiltonian cycle in $FS(j, 2p)$. Clearly $\mu'_2(j, 2p) = \mu'_{2.0}(j, 2p) + \mu'_{2.1}(j, 2p)$ and $\mu'_{2.0}(j, 2p) = \mu'_{2.1}(j, 2p)$.

Theorem 22 *The numbers $\mu'_2(j, 2p)$ of perfect matchings of type 2 complementary to hamiltonian cycles in $FS(j, 2p)$ ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are given by:*

$$\mu'_2(1, 2p) = 2^{p+1} + (-1)^{p+1}2,$$

$$\mu'_2(2, 2p) = 2^{p+1} ,$$

and $\mu'_2(3, 2p) = 2^{p+1} + (-1)^p4$.

Proof Consider, as previously, perfect matchings of type 2.0. Let α and β be two letters in $\{X, Y, Z\}$ (not necessarily distinct). Let $A_{\alpha\beta}^p$ be the set of words of length p on $\{X, Y, Z\}$ having no two consecutive identical letters, beginning by α and ending by a letter distinct from β . Denote the number of words in $A_{\alpha\beta}^p$ by $a_{\alpha\beta}^p$. Let $B_{\alpha\beta}^p$ be the set of words of length p on $\{X, Y, Z\}$ having no two consecutive identical letters, beginning by α and ending by β . Denote by $b_{\alpha\beta}^p$ the number of words in $B_{\alpha\beta}^p$.

Clearly, the number of words of length p having no two consecutive identical letters and beginning by α is 2^{p-1} . Then $a_{\alpha\beta}^p + b_{\alpha\beta}^p = 2^{p-1}$. The deletion of the last β of a word in $B_{\alpha\beta}^p$ gives a word in $A_{\alpha\beta}^{p-1}$ and the addition of β to the right of a word in $A_{\alpha\beta}^{p-1}$ gives a word in $B_{\alpha\beta}^p$.

Thus $b_{\alpha\beta}^p = a_{\alpha\beta}^{p-1}$ and for every $p \geq 3$ $a_{\alpha\beta}^p = 2^{p-1} - a_{\alpha\beta}^{p-1}$. We note that $a_{\alpha\beta}^2 = 2$ if $\alpha = \beta$, and $a_{\alpha\beta}^2 = 1$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $\alpha = \beta$ we have to solve the recurrent sequence : $u_2 = 2$ and $u_p = 2^{p-1} - u_{p-1}$ for $p \geq 3$. If $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have to solve

the recurrent sequence : $v_2 = 1$ and $v_p = 2^{p-1} - v_{p-1}$ for $p \geq 3$. Then we obtain $u_p = \frac{2}{3}(2^{p-1} + (-1)^p)$ and $v_p = \frac{1}{3}(2^p + (-1)^{p+1})$ for $p \geq 2$.

By Lemma 21

$$\mu'_{2,0}(1, 2p) = a_{XY}^p + a_{YZ}^p + a_{ZX}^p = 3v_p = 2^p + (-1)^{p+1},$$

$$\mu'_{2,0}(2, 2p) = a_{XX}^p + a_{YZ}^p + a_{ZY}^p = u_p + 2v_p = 2^p,$$

and $\mu'_{2,0}(3, 2p) = a_{XX}^p + a_{YY}^p + a_{ZZ}^p = 3u_p = 2^p + (-1)^p 2.$

Since $\mu'_2(j, 2p) = \mu'_{2,0}(j, 2p) + \mu'_{2,1}(j, 2p)$ and $\mu'_{2,0}(j, 2p) = \mu'_{2,1}(j, 2p)$ we obtain the announced results. \square

Remark 23 We see that $\mu'_2(j, 2p) \simeq 2^{p+1}$ and this is to compare with the number $\mu_2(j, 2p) = 2 \times 3^p$ of perfect matchings of type 2 in $FS(j, 2p)$ (see backward in Section 2).

4.3 Strong matchings and Jaeger's graphs

For a given graph $G = (V, E)$ a *strong matching* (or *induced matching*) is a matching S such that no two edges of S are joined by an edge of G . That is, S is the set of edges of the subgraph of G induced by the set $V(S)$. We consider cubic graphs having a perfect matching which is the union of two strong matchings that we call *Jaeger's graph* (in his thesis [9] Jaeger called these cubic graphs *equitable*). We call *Jaeger's matching* a perfect matching M of a cubic graph G which is the union of two strong matchings M_B and M_R . Set $B = V(M_B)$ (the blue vertices) and $R = V(M_R)$ (the red vertices). An edge of G is said *mixed* if its end vertices have distinct colours. Since the set of mixed edges is $E(G) \setminus M$, the 2-factor $G \setminus M$ is even and $|B| = |M|$. Thus, every Jaeger's graph G is a cubic 3-edge colourable graph and for any Jaeger's matching $M = M_B \cup M_R$, $|M_B| = |M_R|$. See, for instance, [3] and [4] for some properties of these graphs.

In this subsection we determine the values of j and k for which a graph $FS(j, k)$ is a Jaeger's graph.

Lemma 24 *If $G = FS(j, k)$ is a Jaeger's graph (with $k \geq 3$) and $M = M_B \cup M_R$ is a Jaeger's matching of G then M is a perfect matching of type 1.*

Proof Suppose that M is of type 2 and suppose without loss of generality that there are two edges of M between C_0 and C_1 , for instance x_0x_1 and y_0y_1 . Then $C_0 \cap M = \{t_0z_0\}$ and $C_1 \cap M = \{t_1z_1\}$. Suppose that x_0x_1 and

y_0y_1 belong to M_B . Since M_B is a strong matching, t_0z_0 and t_1z_1 belong to $M \setminus M_B = M_R$. This is impossible because M_R is also a strong matching. By symmetry there are no two edges of M_R between C_0 and C_1 . Then there is one edge of M_B between C_0 and C_1 , x_0x_1 for instance, and one edge of M_R between C_0 and C_1 , y_0y_1 for instance. Since M_B and M_R are strong matchings, there is no edge of M in $C_0 \cup C_1$, a contradiction. Thus, M is a perfect matching of type 1. \square

Lemma 25 *If $G = FS(j, k)$ is a Jaeger's graph (with $k \geq 3$) then either ($j = 1$ and $k \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$) or ($j = 3$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$).*

Proof Let $M = M_B \cup M_R$ be a Jaeger's matching of G . By Lemma 24 M is a perfect matching of type 1. Suppose without loss of generality that $M_B \cap E(C_0) = \{x_0t_0\}$. Since M_B is a strong matching there is no edge of M_B between C_0 and C_1 . Suppose, without loss of generality, that the edge in M_R joining C_0 to C_1 is y_0y_1 . Consider the claws C_0 , C_1 and C_2 . Since M_B and M_R are strong matchings, we can see that the choices of $x_0t_0 \in M_B$ and $y_0y_1 \in M_R$ fixes the positions of the other edges of M_B and M_R . More precisely, $\{t_1z_1, y_2t_2\} \subset M_B$ and $\{x_1x_2, z_2z'_3\} \subset M_R$. This unique configuration is depicted in Figure 5.




Fig. 5. Strong matchings M_B (bold edges) and M_R (dashed edges)

If $k \geq 4$ then we see that $z_2z_3 \in M_R$, $x_3t_3 \in M_B$, and $y_3y'_4 \in M_R$. So, the local situation in C_3 is similar to that in C_0 , and we can see that there is a unique Jaeger's matching $M = M_B \cup M_R$ such that $x_0t_0 \in M_B$ and $y_0y_1 \in M_R$ in the graph $FS(j, k)$. We have to verify the coherence of the connections between the claws C_{k-1} and C_0 . We note that $M_B = M \cap (\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} E(C_i))$ and M_R is a strong matching included in the 2-factor induced by $\cup_{i=0}^{i=k-1} \{V(C_i) \setminus \{t_i\}\}$.

Case 1: $k = 3p$ with $p \geq 1$.

We have $x_0t_0 \in M_B$, $y_{k-1}t_{k-1} \in M_B$, $x_{k-2}x_{k-1} \in M_R$ and $z'_{k-1}z_0 = z_{k-1}z'_0 \in M_R$ (that is, $z_{k-1}z_0 \in M_R$). Thus, $z_{k-1}z_0$, $y_{k-1}y_0$ and $x_{k-1}x_0$ are edges of $FS(j, 3p)$ and we must have $j = 3$.

Case 2: $k = 3p + 1$ with $p \geq 1$.

We have $x_0t_0 \in M_B$, $x_{k-1}t_{k-1} \in M_B$ (that is, $x_{k-1}x_0 \notin E(G)$), $z_{k-2}z_{k-1} \in M_R$ and $z'_{k-1}z_0 = y_{k-1}y'_0 \in M_R$ (that is, $y_{k-1}z_0 \in M_R$). Thus, $y_{k-1}z_0$, $x_{k-1}y_0$ and $z_{k-1}x_0$ are edges of $FS(j, 3p+1)$ and we must have $j = 1$.

Case 3: $k = 3p + 2$ with $p \geq 1$.

We have $x_0t_0 \in M_B$, $z_{k-1}t_{k-1} \in M_B$, $y_{k-2}y_{k-1} \in M_R$ and $z'_{k-1}z_0 = x_{k-1}x'_0 \in M_R$ (that is $x_{k-1}z_0 \in M_R$). Thus, $x_{k-1}z_0$, $y_{k-1}x_0$ and $z_{k-1}y_0$ are edges of $FS(j, 3p+2)$ and we must have $j = 1$. \square

Remark 26 It follows from Lemma 25 that for every $k \geq 3$ the graph $FS(2, k)$ is not a Jaeger's graph. This is obvious when k is odd, since the flower snarks have chromatic index 4.

Then, we obtain the following.

Theorem 27 For $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $k \geq 2$, the graph $G = FS(j, k)$ is a Jaeger's graph if and only if

either $k \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ and $j = 1$,

or $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $j = 3$.

Moreover, $FS(1, 2)$ has 3 Jaeger's matchings and for $k \geq 3$ a Jaeger's graph $G = FS(j, k)$ has exactly 6 Jaeger's matchings.

Proof For $k = 2$ we remark that $FS(1, 2)$ (that is the cube) has exactly three distinct Jaeger's matchings M_1 , M_2 and M_3 . Following our notations: $M_1 = \{x_0t_0, t_1z_1\} \cup \{y_0y_1, z_0x_1\}$, $M_2 = \{z_0t_0, t_1y_1\} \cup \{y_0z_1, x_0x_1\}$ and $M_3 = \{y_0t_0, t_1x_1\} \cup \{z_0z_1, x_0y_1\}$.

For $k \geq 3$, by Lemma 25, condition

$$(*) \quad (j = 1 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}) \text{ or } (j = 3 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{3})$$

is a necessary condition for $FS(j, k)$ to be a Jaeger's graph.

Consider the function $\Phi_{X,Y} : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ such that for every i in \mathbf{Z}_k , $\Phi_{X,Y}(t_i) = t_i$, $\Phi_{X,Y}(z_i) = z_i$, $\Phi_{X,Y}(x_i) = y_i$ and $\Phi_{X,Y}(y_i) = x_i$. Define similarly $\Phi_{X,Z}$ and $\Phi_{Y,Z}$. For $j = 1$ or 3 these functions are automorphisms of $FS(j, k)$. Thus, the process described in the proof of Lemma 25 is a constructive process of all Jaeger's matchings in a graph $FS(j, k)$ (with $k \geq 3$) verifying condition (*).

We remark that for any choice of an edge e of C_0 to be in M_B there are two distinct possible choices for an edge f between C_0 and C_1 to be in M_R ,

and such a pair $\{e, f\}$ corresponds exactly to one Jaeger's matching. Then, a Jaeger's graph $FS(j, k)$ (with $k \geq 3$) has exactly 6 Jaeger's matchings. \square

Remark 28 The *Berge-Fulkerson Conjecture* states that if G is a bridgeless cubic graph, then there exist six perfect matchings M_1, \dots, M_6 of G (not necessarily distinct) with the property that every edge of G is contained in exactly two of M_1, \dots, M_6 (this conjecture is attributed to Berge in [16] but appears in [5]). Using each colour of a cubic 3-edge colourable graph twice, we see that such a graph fulfils the Berge-Fulkerson Conjecture. Very few is known about this conjecture excepted that it holds for the Petersen graph and for cubic 3-edge colourable graphs. So, Berge-Fulkerson Conjecture holds for Jaeger's graphs, but generally we do not know if we can find six distinct perfect matchings. We remark that if $FS(j, k)$, with $k \geq 3$, is a Jaeger's graph then its six Jaeger's matchings are such that every edge is contained in exactly two of them.

5 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs

Recall that a simple graph of maximum degree $d > 1$ with edge chromatic number equal to d is said to be a *Class 1 graph*. For any d -regular simple graph (with $d > 1$) of even order and of Class 1, for any minimum edge-colouring of such a graph, the set of edges having a given colour is a perfect matching (or 1-factor). Such a regular graph is also called a *1-factorable graph*. A Class 1 d -regular graph of even order is *strongly hamiltonian* or *perfectly 1-factorable* (or is a *Hamilton graph* in the Kotzig's terminology [10]) if it has an edge colouring such that the union of any two colours is a hamiltonian cycle. Such an edge colouring is said to be a *Hamilton decomposition* in the Kotzig's terminology. In [11] by using two operations ρ and π (described also in [10]) and starting from the θ -graph (two vertices joined by three parallel edges) he obtains all strongly hamiltonian cubic graphs, but these operations do not always preserve planarity. In his paper [10] he describes a method for constructing planar strongly hamiltonian cubic graphs and he deals with the relation between strongly hamiltonian cubic graphs and 4-regular graphs which can be decomposed into two hamiltonian cycles. See also [12] and a recent work on strongly hamiltonian cubic graphs [2] in which the authors give a new construction of strongly hamiltonian graphs.

A Class 1 regular graph such that every edge colouring is a Hamilton decomposition is called a *pure Hamilton graph* by Kotzig [10]. Note that K_4 is a pure Hamilton graph and every cubic graph obtained from K_4 by a sequence of triangular extensions is also a pure Hamilton cubic graph. In the paper [10] of Kotzig, a consequence of his Theorem 9 (p.77) concerning pure Hamilton

graphs is that the family of pure Hamilton graphs that he exhibits is precisely the family obtained from K_4 by triangular extensions. Are there others pure Hamilton cubic graphs ? The answer is "yes".

We remark that 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs defined above (see Definition 18) are pure Hamilton graphs (in the Kotzig's sense) but the converse is false because K_4 is 2-factor hamiltonian and the pure Hamilton cubic graph on 6 vertices obtained from K_4 by a triangular extension (denoted by PR_3) is not 2-factor hamiltonian. Observe that the operation of triangular extension preserves the property "pure Hamilton", but does not preserve the property "2-factor hamiltonian". The Heawood graph H_0 (on 14 vertices) is pure Hamiltonian, more precisely it is 2-factor hamiltonian (see [7] Proposition 1.1 and Remark 2.7). Then, the graphs obtained from the Heawood graph H_0 by triangular extensions are also pure Hamilton graphs.

A *minimally 1-factorable* graph G is defined by Labbate and Funk [7] as a Class 1 regular graph of even order such that every perfect matching of G is contained in exactly one 1-factorization of G . In their article they study bipartite minimally 1-factorable graphs and prove that such a graph G has necessarily a degree $d \leq 3$. If G is a minimally 1-factorable cubic graph then the complementary 2-factor of any perfect matching has a unique decomposition into two perfect matchings, therefore this 2-factor is a hamiltonian cycle of G , that is G is 2-factor hamiltonian. Conversely it is easy to see that any 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graph is minimally 1-factorable. The complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$ and the Heawood graph H_0 are examples of 2-factor hamiltonian bipartite graph given by Labbate and Funk. Starting from H_0 , from $K_{1,3}$ and from three copies of any tree of maximum degree 3 and using three operations called *amalgamations* the authors exhibit an infinite family of bipartite 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs, namely the *poly - HB - R - R²* graphs (see [7] for more details). Except H_0 , these graphs are exactly cyclically 3-edge connected. Others structural results about 2-factor hamiltonian bipartite cubic graph are obtained in [13], [14]. These results have been completed and a simple method to generate 2-factor hamiltonian bipartite cubic graphs was given in [6].

Proposition 29 (Lemma 3.3, [6]) *Let G be a 2-factor hamiltonian bipartite cubic graph. Then G is 3-connected and $|V(G)| \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Let G_1 and G_2 be disjoint cubic graphs, $x \in v(G_1)$, $y \in v(G_2)$. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 (respectively y_1, y_2, y_3) be the neighbours of x in G_1 (respectively, of y in G_2). The cubic graph G such that $V(G) = (V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) \cup (V(G_2) \setminus \{y\})$ and $E(G) = (E(G_1) \setminus \{x_1x, x_2x, x_3x\}) \cup (E(G_2) \setminus \{y_1y, y_2y, y_3y\}) \cup \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2, x_3y_3\}$ is said to be a *star product* and G is denoted by $(G_1, x) * (G_2, y)$. Since $\{x_1y_1, x_2y_2, x_3y_3\}$ is a cyclic edge-cut of G , a star product of two 3-connected cubic graphs has cyclic edge-connectivity 3.

Proposition 30 (Proposition 3.1, [6]) *If a bipartite cubic graph G can be represented as a star product $G = (G_1, x) * (G_2, y)$, then G is 2-factor hamiltonian if and only if G_1 and G_2 are 2-factor hamiltonian.*

Then, taking iterated star products of $K_{3,3}$ and the Heawood graph H_0 an infinite family of 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs is obtained. These graphs (excepted $K_{3,3}$ and H_0) are exactly cyclically 3-edge connected. In [6] the authors conjecture that the process is complete.

Conjecture 31 (Funk, Jackson, Labbate, Sheehan (2003)[6]) *Let G be a bipartite 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graph. Then G can be obtained from $K_{3,3}$ and the Heawood graph H_0 by repeated star products.*

The authors specify that a smallest counterexample to Conjecture 31 is a cyclically 4-edge connected cubic graph of girth at least 6, and that to show this result it would suffice to prove that H_0 is the only 2-factor hamiltonian cyclically 4-edge connected bipartite cubic graph of girth at least 6. Note that some results have been generalized in [1].

To conclude, we may ask what happens for non bipartite 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs. Recall that K_4 and $FS(1, 3)$ (the "Triplex Graph" of Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [15]) are 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs. By Corollary 19 the graphs $FS(j, k)$ with k odd and $j = 1$ or 3 introduced in this paper form a new infinite family of non bipartite 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs. We remark that they are cyclically 6-edge connected. Can we generate others families of non bipartite 2-factor hamiltonian cubic graphs ? Since PR_3 (the cubic graph on 6 vertices obtained from K_4 by a triangular extension) is not 2-factor hamiltonian and $PR_3 = K_4 * K_4$, the star product operation is surely not a possible tool.

References

- [1] M. Abreu, A.A. Diwan, B. Jackson, D. Labbate, and J. Sheehan. Pseudo 2-factor isomorphic regular bipartite graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 98:432–442, 2008.
- [2] S. Bonvicini and G. Mazzuocolo. On perfectly one-factorable cubic graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Math.*, 24:47–51, 2006.
- [3] J.-L. Fouquet, H. Thuillier, J.-M. Vanherpe, and A.P. Wojda. On linear arboricity of cubic graphs. *LIFO Univ. d'Orléans - Research Report*, 2007-13:1–28, 2007.
- [4] J.-L. Fouquet, H. Thuillier, J.-M. Vanherpe, and A.P. Wojda. On isomorphic linear partition in cubic graphs. *Discrete Math.*, to appear, 2008.

- [5] D.R. Fulkerson. Blocking and anti-blocking pairs of polyhedra. *Math. Programming*, 1:168–194, 1971.
- [6] M. Funk, B. Jackson, D. Labbate, and J. Sheehan. 2-factor hamiltonian graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 87:138–144, 2003.
- [7] M. Funk and D. Labbate. On minimally one-factorable r -regular bipartite graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 216:121–137, 2000.
- [8] R. Isaacs. Infinite families of non-trivial trivalent graphs which are not Tait colorable. *Am. Math. Monthly*, 82:221–239, 1975.
- [9] F. Jaeger. Etude de quelques invariants et problèmes d’existence en théorie de graphes. Thèse d’état, IMAG, Grenoble, 1976.
- [10] A. Kotzig. Balanced colourings and the four colour conjecture. In *Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963*. Publ. House Czechoslovak Acad. Sci., Prague, 63-82, 1964.
- [11] A. Kotzig. Construction for hamiltonian graphs of degree three (in russian). *Čas. pěst. mat.*, 87:148–168, 1962.
- [12] A. Kotzig and J. Labelle. Quelques problèmes ouverts concernant les graphes fortement hamiltoniens. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, 3:95-106, 1979.
- [13] D. Labbate. On 3-cut reductions of minimally 1-factorable cubic bigraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 231:303–310, 2001.
- [14] D. Labbate. Characterizing minimally 1-factorable r -regular bipartite graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 248:109–123, 2002.
- [15] N. Robertson and P. Seymour. Excluded minor in cubic graphs. (*announced*), see also www.math.gatech.edu/~thomas/OLDFTP/cubic/graphs.
- [16] P. Seymour. On multi-colourings of cubic graphs, and conjectures of Fulkerson and Tutte. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*(3), 38:423–460, 1979.