

Comment on "Sap flow measurements by a single thermal dissipation probe: exploring the transient regime" – Ann. For. Sci. 66 (2009) by Mahjoub et al. and "Sap flow measurement by a single thermal dissipation probe in transient regime: implementation of the method and test under field conditions" – Ann. For. Sci. 1-9 (2012) by Masmoudi et al.

Maurits Vandegehuchte, Steppe

# ▶ To cite this version:

Maurits Vandegehuchte, Steppe. Comment on "Sap flow measurements by a single thermal dissipation probe: exploring the transient regime" – Ann. For. Sci. 66 (2009) by Mahjoub et al. and "Sap flow measurement by a single thermal dissipation probe in transient regime: implementation of the method and test under field conditions" – Ann. For. Sci. 1-9 (2012) by Masmoudi et al.. Annals of Forest Science, 2012, 69 (7), pp.769-771. 10.1007/s13595-012-0212-5. hal-00930861

# HAL Id: hal-00930861 https://hal.science/hal-00930861

Submitted on 11 May 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Comment on "Sap flow measurements by a single thermal dissipation probe: exploring the transient regime" — Ann. For. Sci. 66 (2009) by Mahjoub et al. and "Sap flow measurement by a single thermal dissipation probe in transient regime: implementation of the method and test under field conditions" — Ann. For. Sci. 1-9 (2012) by Masmoudi et al.

Maurits W. Vandegehuchte · Kathy Steppe

Received: 29 February 2012 / Accepted: 11 April 2012 / Published online: 3 May 2012 © INRA / Springer-Verlag France 2012

### **1** Introduction

Given its high popularity, further research to improve the practical and theoretical issues concerning the thermal dissipation probe (TDP) of Granier (1985; 1987) should be encouraged. Recently, large improvements have been made by taking into account natural temperature gradients and assessing new calibration factors (Do and Rocheteau 2002a; Do and Rocheteau 2002b; Isarangkool Na Ayutthaya et al. 2009; Reyes-Acosta et al. 2012; Steppe et al. 2010). Besides, Do et al. (2011) suggested to further optimize the TDP design by applying only a single needle with a cyclic heating scheme. However, these improvements still require zero flow conditions to assess the influence of heat conduction in the measured tree and the corresponding temperature difference it induces. This is generally considered a

Handling Editor: Erwin Dreyer

**Contribution of the co-authors** Prof. dr. ir. Kathy Steppe is head of the Laboratory of Plant Ecology and supervisor of the first author during his Ph.D.

M. W. Vandegehuchte (⊠) • K. Steppe
Laboratory of Plant Ecology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University,
Coupure links 653,
9000 Ghent, Belgium
e-mail: maurits.vandegehuchte@ugent.be

K. Steppe e-mail: kathy.steppe@ugent.be weakness of the TDP as zero flow conditions are difficult to ensure without destructive measurements (Lu et al. 2004; Snyder et al. 2003). Mahjoub et al. (2009) overcame this flaw by relating sap flux density to a defined thermal index using temperature of the probe measured at initial, final, and intermediate times after switching the heater on and off. Although we acknowledge the benefits of excluding zero flow measurements from the method, we would like to point to the species specificity of their method, implying their presented calibration relationships for *Olea europaea* L. are not strictly applicable for other species.

1.1 Species-specific conductive exchange coefficient

Similar as in the original work of Granier (1985), Mahjoub et al. (2009) describe heat transfer  $\varphi$  (watts) from the probe to the wood as:

$$\phi = h(q_{\rm s})(T - T_{\rm w}) \tag{1}$$

with *T* the temperature of the probe (degree kelvin),  $T_w$  (degree kelvin) the average temperature of the wood around the probe (assuming a homogeneous temperature field), and *h* the exchange coefficient (watts per degree kelvin), expressed as a function of sap flux density  $q_s$  (cubic meters per square meter per second):

$$h(q_{\rm s}) = h_0 \left( 1 + \alpha q_{\rm s}^{\ \beta} \right) \tag{2}$$



with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  two empirical coefficients ( $\beta$  assumed equal to 1 (Granier 1985)) and  $h_0$  the exchange coefficient at zero flow, representing the conductive part of the heat transfer. This  $h_0$  will, hence, be dependent on the thermal diffusivity of the sapwood, a parameter known to be variable for different species and even within species as it is dependent on dry wood density and moisture content (Green et al. 2003; Hirose et al. 2005; Skaar 1988). In their derivation, Mahjoub et al. (2009) obtain the following relations for the cooling and warming cycle, respectively:

$$\frac{1}{t_i}\ln\left(\frac{T_0 - T_w}{T(t_i) - T_w}\right) = \frac{1}{C}h_0(1 + \alpha q_s)$$
(3)

$$\frac{1}{t_i} \ln\left(\frac{T_{\rm e} - T_0}{T_{\rm e} - T(t_i)}\right) = \frac{1}{C} h_0 (1 + \alpha q_{\rm s}) \tag{4}$$

with  $T_0$  the temperature of the probe just before switching the current off or on, respectively,  $T_e$  the equilibrium temperature of the probe when the stationary regime is reached after switching on the heater, and *C* the probe heat capacity (joules per kelvin). Setting the left-hand side of Eqs. 3 and 4 equal to  $I(t_i)$ , these equations become:

$$q_{\rm s} = \frac{C}{\alpha h_0} I(t_i) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \tag{5}$$

or

$$q_{\rm s} = aI(t_i) + b \tag{6}$$

with *a* and *b* mentioned as constant coefficients by Mahjoub et al. (2009). However, as coefficient *a* comprises  $h_0$  which is species-specific, also *a* will be species-specific. This was partly avoided in the original method of Granier (1985) as  $h_0$ was evaluated during zero flow conditions. Similarly, Masmoudi et al. (2012) recently adapted the single probe method of Mahjoub et al. (2009) by normalizing Eq. 6 to obtain a normalized index  $K_t$ :

$$K_t(t_i) = \frac{I(t_i) - I_0(t_i)}{I_0(t_i)} = \frac{q_s}{-b}$$
(7)

As correctly stated by Masmoudi et al. (2012), this normalized index rules out species dependency as parameter awhich includes  $h_0$  is now eliminated, even though recently Wullschleger et al. (2011) have shown that even the original method of Granier (1985) was slightly dependent on thermal conductivity. This will, hence, also be the case for the newly proposed method of Masmoudi et al. (2012). Therefore, it is advisable to test this improved method for several species. Moreover, by applying a normalized index, the original objective of preempting zero flow measurements is ignored.

As the calibration procedure of Mahjoub et al. (2009) only considered a single species, *O. europaea* L., the



authors were aware that it would be relevant to check if the calibration relationships they obtained are independent of the medium used. However, from a theoretical point of view, we are convinced that this will not be the case. Hence, to our knowledge, no continuous method based on the method of Granier (1985) exists that is not species-specific and does not require zero flow measurements. Moreover, as recent developments indicate that even with the inclusion of zero flow measurements these Granier (1985) methods remain species-dependent (Wullschleger et al. 2011), it is advisable to perform specific calibrations for each species to derivate the correct empirical coefficients.

#### 2 Conclusions

Further improvement of the TDP method seems necessary to ensure more accurate sap flux density determinations. While Mahjoub et al. (2009) did an admirable effort to exclude zero flow measurements, a current weakness of the method is that they overlooked the influence of the conductive heat coefficient, making their calibration coefficient species-specific and, hence, disregarding the original aim of Granier (1985). The only solution to eliminate species specificity seems to be to normalize the applied index as done by Masmoudi et al. (2012). This, however, necessitates zero flow measurements, ignoring the original objective of the method.

**Acknowledgments** The authors wish to thank the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO) for the Ph.D. grant granted to MV.

**Funding** The first author was granted a Ph.D. grant by the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO).

#### References

- Do F, Rocheteau A (2002a) Influence of natural temperature gradients on measurements of xylem sap flow with thermal dissipation probes. 1. Field observations and possible remedies. Tree Physiol 22:641–648
- Do F, Rocheteau A (2002b) Influence of natural temperature gradients on measurements of xylem sap flow with thermal dissipation probes. 2. Advantages and calibration of a noncontinuous heating system. Tree Physiol 22:649–654
- Do FC, Ayutthaya SIN, Rocheteau A (2011) Transient thermal dissipation method for xylem sap flow measurement: implementation with a single probe. Tree Physiol 31:369–380
- Granier A (1985) Une nouvelle méthode pour la mesure du flux de sève brute dans le tronc des arbres. Ann Sci For 42:193–200
- Granier A (1987) Mesure du flux de sève brute dans le tronc du Douglas par une nouvelle méthode thermique. Ann Sci For 44:1-14
- Green S, Clothier B, Jardine B (2003) Theory and practical application of heat pulse to measure sap flow. Argon J 95:1371–1379, Symposium on the Soil Plant Atmosphere Continuum

- Hirose S, Kume A, Takeuchi S, Utsumi Y, Otsuki K, Ogawa S (2005) Stem water transport of *Lithocarpas edulis*, an evergreen oak with radial-porous wood. Tree Physiol 25:221–228
- Isarangkool Na Ayuthaya S, Do FC, Pannengpetch K, Junjittakarn J, Maeght J-L, Rocheteau A, Cochard H (2009) Transient thermal dissipation method of xylem sap flow measurement: multi-species calibration and field evaluation. Tree Physiol 30:139–148
- Lu P, Urban L, Zhao P (2004) Granier's thermal dissipation probe (TDP) method for measuring sap flow in trees: theory and practice. Acta Bot Sin 46:631–646
- Mahjoub I, Masmoudi MM, Lhomme JP, Ben Mechlia N (2009) Sap flow measurement by a single thermal dissipation probe: exploring the transient regime. Ann For Sci 66:608
- Masmoudi M, Mahjoub I, Lhomme J, Ben Mechlia N (2012) Sap flow measurement by a single thermal dissipation probe in transient regime: implementation of the method and test under field conditions. Ann For Sci. doi:10.1007/s13595-012-0194-3

- Reyes-Acosta JL, Vandegehuchte MW, Steppe K, Lubczynski MW (2012) Novel, cyclic thermal dissipation (CHD) method for the correction of natural temperature gradients in sap flow measurements. Part 2. Laboratory validation. Tree Physiol (in press)
- Skaar C (ed) (1988) Wood-water relations. Springer, New York
- Snyder KA, Richards JH, Donovan LA (2003) Night-time conductance in C-3 and C-4 species: do plants lose water at night? J Exp Bot 54:861–865
- Steppe K, De Pauw DJW, Doody TM, Teskey RO (2010) A comparison of sap flux density using thermal dissipation, heat pulse velocity and heat field deformation methods. Agric For Meteorol 150:1046–1056
- Wullschleger SD, Childs KW, King AW, Hanson PJ (2011) A model of heat transfer in sapwood and implications for sap flux density measurements using thermal dissipation probes. Tree Physiol 31:669–679

