# Stable Recovery with Analysis Decomposable Priors

Jalal M. Fadili
GREYC
CNRS-ENSICAEN-Univ. Caen
Caen. France

Gabriel Peyré and Samuel Vaiter CEREMADE CNRS-Univ. Paris Dauphine Paris, France Charles-Alban Deledalle IMB CNRS-Univ. Bordeaux 1 Bordeaux, France Joseph Salmon LTCI CNRS-Télécom PariTech Paris, France

Abstract—In this paper, we investigate in a unified way the structural properties of solutions to inverse problems regularized by the generic class of semi-norms defined as a decomposable norm composed with a linear operator, the so-called analysis decomposable prior. This encompasses several well-known analysis-type regularizations such as the discrete total variation, analysis group-Lasso or the nuclear norm. Our main results establish sufficient conditions under which uniqueness and stability to a bounded noise of the regularized solution are guaranteed.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**Problem statement** Suppose we observe

$$y = \Phi x_0 + w$$
, where  $||w|| \leqslant \varepsilon$ ,

where  $\Phi$  is a linear operator from  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to  $\mathbb{R}^M$  that may have a non-trivial kernel. We want to robustly recover an approximation of  $x_0$  by solving the optimization problem

$$x^* \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\operatorname{Argmin}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|y - \Phi x\|^2 + \lambda R(x) \ , \ \text{where} \ R(x) := \|L^* x\|_{\mathcal{A}} \ , \quad (1)$$

with  $L: \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathbb{R}^N$  a linear operator, and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a decomposable norm in the sense of [1]. Decomposable regularizers are intended to promote solutions conforming to some notion of simplicity/low complexity that complies with that of  $L^*x_0$ . This motivates the following definition of these norms.

**Definition 1.** A norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is decomposable at  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^P$  if there is a subspace  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^P$  and a vector  $e \in T$  such that

$$\partial\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta) = \left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^P \ : \ \mathcal{P}_T(u) = e \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{P}_{T^\perp}(u)\|_{\mathcal{A}}^* \leqslant 1\right\}$$

and for any  $z \in T^{\perp}$ ,  $\|z\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup_{v \in T^{\perp}, \|v\|_{\mathcal{A}}^* \leq 1} \langle v, z \rangle$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}^*$  is the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_T$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}$ ) is the orthogonal projector on T (resp. on its orthogonal complement  $T^{\perp}$ ).

Popular examples covered by decomposable regularizers are the  $\ell_1$ -norm, the  $\ell_1$ - $\ell_2$  group sparsity norm, and the nuclear norm.

Contributions and relation to prior work In this paper, we give sufficient conditions under which (1) admits a unique minimizer. Then we develop results guaranteeing that a stable approximation of  $x_0$  can be obtained from the noisy measurements y by solving (1), with an  $\ell_2$ -error that comes within a factor of the noise level  $\varepsilon$ . This goes beyond [1] which considered identifiability in the noiseless case, with  $L=\mathrm{Id}$  and  $\Phi$  a Gaussian matrix.  $\ell_2$ -stability is also studied in [4] for  $L=\mathrm{Id}$  under stronger sufficient assumptions than ours. Our results generalize the stability guarantee of [3] established when the decomposable norm is  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  is a frame. A general stability result for sublinear  $\ell_2$  is given in [2]. The stability is however measured in terms of  $\ell_2$ , and  $\ell_2$ -stability can only be obtained if  $\ell_2$  is coercive,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$  is injective.

## II. UNIQUENESS

We first note that traditional coercivity and convexity arguments allow to show that the set of (global) minimizers of (1) is a non-empty compact set if and only if  $\ker(\Phi) \cap \ker(L^*) = \{0\}$ .

We shall now give a sufficient condition under which problem (1) admits exactly one minimizer. The following assumptions will play a pivotal role in our analysis throughout the paper.

**Assumption** (SC<sub>x</sub>) There exist  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $\alpha \in \partial \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{A}}(L^*x)$  such that the following so-called source condition is verified:

$$\Phi^* \eta = L\alpha \in \partial R(x) .$$

**Assumption** (INJ<sub>T</sub>) Let T be the subspace in Definition 1 associated to  $L^*x$ .  $\Phi$  is injective on  $\ker(\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}L^*)$ .

It is immediate to see that since  $\ker(L^*) \subseteq \ker(\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}L^*)$ , (INJ<sub>T</sub>) implies that the set of minimizers is indeed non-empty and compact.

**Theorem 1.** For a minimizer  $x^*$  of (1), let  $T_*$  and  $e_*$  be the subspace and vector in Definition 1 associated to  $L^*x^*$ . Assume that  $(SC_{x^*})$  is verified with  $\|\mathcal{P}_{T_*\perp}(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{A}}^* < 1$ , and that  $(INJ_{T_*})$  holds. Then,  $x^*$  is the unique minimizer of (1).

## III. STABILITY TO NOISE

We are now ready to state our main stability result.

**Theorem 2.** Let T and e be the subspace and vector in Definition I associated to  $L^*x_0$ . Assume that  $(SC_{x_0})$  is verified with  $\|\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{A}}^* < 1$ , and that  $(INJ_T)$  holds. Then, for  $\lambda = c\varepsilon$ 

$$||x^{\star} - x_0|| \leqslant C\varepsilon$$
,

where  $C = C_1 (2 + c \|\eta\|) + C_2 \frac{(1+c\|\eta\|/2)^2}{c(1-\|\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}(\alpha))\|_{\mathcal{A}}^*}$ , and  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 < 0$  are constants independent of  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$ .

In the following corollary, we provide a stronger sufficient stability condition. It will allow to construct good dual vectors  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$  that are computable, which in turn yield explicit constants in the bound. For this, suppose that  $(\mathrm{INJ}_T)$  is verified, and define  $\mathrm{IC}(T,e) = \min_{u \in \ker(L\mathcal{P}_{T^\perp})} \|\Gamma^{[T^\perp]}e + \mathcal{P}_{T^\perp}u\|_{\mathcal{A}}^*$  with  $\Gamma^{[T^\perp]} = (L\mathcal{P}_{T^\perp})^+(\Phi^*\Phi A^{[T^\perp]} - \mathrm{Id})L\mathcal{P}_T$  and  $A^{[T^\perp]} = U(U^*\Phi^*\Phi U)^{-1}U^*$ , and U is a matrix whose columns form a basis of  $\ker(\mathcal{P}_{T^\perp}L^*)$ . Note that  $\mathrm{IC}(T,e)$  can be computed by solving a convex program. It also specializes to the criterion developed in [5] for the case of the  $\ell_1$  analysis prior.

**Corollary 1.** Assume that IC(T,e) < 1. Then, taking  $\eta = \Phi A^{[T^{\perp}]} L \mathcal{P}_T e$ , there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $(SC_{x_0})$  is satisfied. Moreover, the bound of Theorem 2 holds true substituting 1 - IC(T,e) for  $1 - \|\mathcal{P}_{T^{\perp}}(\alpha)\|_A^*$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. J. Candès and B. Recht. Simple bounds for recovering low-complexity models. *Mathematical Programming*, pages 1–13, 2012.
- [2] M. Grasmair. Linear convergence rates for Tikhonov regularization with positively homogeneous functionals. *Inverse Problems*, 27:075014, 2011.
- [3] M. Haltmeier. Stable signal reconstruction via \(\ell^1\)-minimization in redundant, non-tight frames. IEEE Trans. on Sig. Proc., 2012. to appear.
- [4] S. Negahban, P. Ravikumar, M. J. Wainwright, and B. Yu. A unified framework for high-dimensional analysis of m-estimators with decomposable regularizers. *Statistical Science*, 27(4):538–557, December 2012.
- [5] S. Vaiter, G. Peyré, C. Dossal, and M.J. Fadili. Robust sparse analysis regularization. To appear in IEEE Trans. Inf. Theo., 2011.