



HAL
open science

An Itô's type formula for the fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time

Ivan Nourdin, Raghid Zeineddine

► **To cite this version:**

Ivan Nourdin, Raghid Zeineddine. An Itô's type formula for the fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 2014. hal-00913120

HAL Id: hal-00913120

<https://hal.science/hal-00913120>

Submitted on 3 Dec 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An Itô's type formula for the fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time

Ivan Nourdin* and Raghid Zeineddine†

December 3, 2013

Abstract

Let X be a (two-sided) fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ and let Y be a standard Brownian motion independent of X . Fractional Brownian motion in Brownian motion time (of index H), recently studied in [17], is by definition the process $Z = X \circ Y$. It is a continuous, non-Gaussian process with stationary increments, which is selfsimilar of index $H/2$. The main result of the present paper is an Itô's type formula for $f(Z_t)$, when $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $H \in [1/6, 1)$. When $H > 1/6$, the change-of-variable formula we obtain is similar to that of the classical calculus. In the critical case $H = 1/6$, our change-of-variable formula is in law and involves the third derivative of f as well as an extra Brownian motion independent of the pair (X, Y) . We also discuss briefly the case $H < 1/6$.

Keywords: Fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time; change-of-variable formula in law; Malliavin calculus.

1 Introduction

If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 then $f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(s)ds$ for all $t \geq 0$ whereas, if W is a standard Brownian motion and if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 then, by the Itô's formula,

$$f(W_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(W_s)d^-W_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(W_s)ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

In (1.1) the Itô integral, namely

$$\int_0^t X_s d^-Y_s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} X_{k2^{-n}} (Y_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Y_{k2^{-n}}), \quad (1.2)$$

*Institut Elie Cartan, UMR 7502, Nancy Université - CNRS - INRIA; inourdin@gmail.com; IN is supported in part by the (french) ANR grant 'Malliavin, Stein and Stochastic Equations with Irregular Coefficients' [ANR-10-BLAN-0121]

†Institut Elie Cartan, UMR 7502, Nancy Université - CNRS - INRIA; raghid.zeineddine@univ-lorraine.fr

is of forward type. It is well-known that the additional bracket term $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(W_s) ds$ appearing in (1.1) comes from the non-negligibility of the quadratic variation of W in the large limit; more precisely,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} (W_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - W_{k2^{-n}})^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} t \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Introducing a family $\{B^H\}_{H \in (0,1)}$ of fractional Brownian motions parametrized by the Hurst parameter H may help to reinterpret (1.1) in a more dynamical way. Let us elaborate this point of view further. Recall that $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is nothing but the standard Brownian motion, whereas B^1 is the process $B_t^1 = tG$, $t \geq 0$, $G \sim N(0,1)$. The extension of (1.3) to any $H \in (0,1)$ is well-known: one has

$$2^{n(2H-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} (B_{(k+1)2^{-n}}^H - B_{k2^{-n}}^H)^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} t \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Based on (1.4), it is then not difficult to prove the following two facts:

1. If $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 (actually, C^1 is enough), then $\int_0^t f'(B_s^H) d^- B_s^H$ exists as a limit in probability and we have

$$f(B_t^H) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(B_s^H) d^- B_s^H, \quad t \geq 0.$$

2. If $H < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\int_0^t B_s^H d^- B_s^H = -\infty \quad \text{a.s.},$$

meaning that there is no possible change-of-variable formula for $f(x) = x^2$.

Thus, $H = \frac{1}{2}$ appears to be a critical value for the change-of-variable formula involving the forward integral (1.2). This is because it is precisely the value from which the sign of $2H - 1$ changes in (1.4). The chain rule being (1.1) in the critical case $H = \frac{1}{2}$, one has a complete picture for the forward integral (1.2).

To go one step further, one may wonder what kind of change-of-variable formula one would obtain after replacing the definition (1.2) by its symmetric counterpart, namely

$$\int_0^t X_s d^\circ Y_s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{2} (X_{k2^{-n}} + X_{(k+1)2^{-n}}) (Y_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Y_{k2^{-n}}) \quad (1.5)$$

(provided the limit exists in some sense). As it turns out, it is arguably a much more difficult problem, which has been solved only recently. In this context, the crucial quantity is now the cubic variation. And this latter is known to satisfy, for any $H < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$2^{n(3H-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} (B_{(k+1)2^{-n}}^H - B_{k2^{-n}}^H)^3 \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N(0, \sigma_H^2) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

With a lot of efforts, one can prove (see [5, 6] when $H \neq \frac{1}{6}$ and [16] when $H = \frac{1}{6}$) the following three facts, which hold for any smooth enough real function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

1. If $H > \frac{1}{6}$ then $\int_0^t f'(B_s^H) d^\circ B_s^H$ exists as a limit in probability and one has

$$f(B_t^H) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(B_s^H) d^\circ B_s^H, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.7)$$

2. If $H = \frac{1}{6}$ then $\int_0^t f'(B_s^{\frac{1}{6}}) d^\circ B_s^{\frac{1}{6}}$ exists as a stable limit in law and one has, with W a standard Brownian motion independent of $B_s^{\frac{1}{6}}$ and $\kappa_3 \simeq 2.322$,

$$f(B_t^{\frac{1}{6}}) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(B_s^{\frac{1}{6}}) d^\circ B_s^{\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\kappa_3}{12} \int_0^t f'''(B_s^{\frac{1}{6}}) dW_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

3. If $H < \frac{1}{6}$ then

$$\int_0^t (B_s^H)^2 d^\circ B_s^H \text{ does not exist in law.} \quad (1.9)$$

Thus, as we see, the critical value for the symmetric integral is now $H = \frac{1}{6}$; it is exactly the value of H from which the sign of $3H - \frac{1}{2}$ changes in (1.6).

In [1, 2] (see also [3]), Burdzy has introduced the so-called iterated Brownian motion. This process, which can be regarded as the realization of a Brownian motion on a random fractal, is defined as

$$Z_t = X(Y_t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where X is a two-sided Brownian motion and Y is a standard (one-sided) Brownian motion independent of X . Note that Z is self-similar of order $\frac{1}{4}$ and has stationary increments; hence, in some sense, Z is close to the fractional Brownian motion $B^{\frac{1}{4}}$ of index $H = \frac{1}{4}$. As is the case for $B^{\frac{1}{4}}$, Z is neither a Dirichlet process nor a semimartingale or a Markov process in its own filtration. A crucial question is therefore how to define a stochastic calculus with respect to it. This issue has been tackled by Khoshnevisan and Lewis in [10, 11], where the authors develop a Stratonovich-type stochastic calculus with respect to Z , by extensively using techniques based on the properties of some special arrays of

Brownian stopping times, as well as on excursion-theoretic arguments. See also the paper [14] which may be seen as a follow-up of [10]. The formula obtained in [10, 11] reads, unsurprisingly (due to (1.7) and the similarities between Z and $B^{\frac{1}{4}}$) and loosely speaking, as follows:

$$f(Z_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f(Z_s) d^\circ Z_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The change-of-variable formula (1.10) is of the same kind than (1.7). In view of what has been done so far for the fractional Brownian motion B^H , aiming to provide an answer to the following problem is somehow natural: can we also reinterpret (1.10) in a dynamical way, in the spirit of (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9)? To this end, we first need to introduce a family of processes that contains the iterated Brownian motion Z as a particular element. The family consisting in the so-called fractional Brownian motions in Brownian time, studied in [17] by the second-named author, does the job. More specifically, it is the family $\{Z^H\}_{H \in (0,1)}$ defined as follows:

$$Z_t^H = X^H(Y_t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where X^H is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion of index H and Y is a standard (one-sided) Brownian motion independent of X . Roughly speaking, in the present paper we are going to show the following three assertions (see Theorem 2.1 for a precise statement): for any smooth real function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

1. If $H > \frac{1}{6}$ then

$$f(Z_t^H) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(Z_s^H) d^\circ Z_s^H, \quad t \geq 0.$$

2. If $H = \frac{1}{6}$ then, with W a standard Brownian motion independent of the pair $(X^{\frac{1}{6}}, Y)$ and $\kappa_3 \simeq 2.322$,

$$f(Z_t^{\frac{1}{6}}) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(Z_s^{\frac{1}{6}}) d^\circ Z_s^{\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\kappa_3}{12} \int_0^{Y_t} f'''(X_s^{\frac{1}{6}}) dW_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.11)$$

3. If $H < \frac{1}{6}$, then

$$\int_0^t (Z_s^H)^2 d^\circ Z_s^H \text{ does not exist.}$$

The formula (1.11) is related to a recent line of research in which, by means of Malliavin calculus, one aims to exhibit change-of-variable formulas in law with a correction term which is an Itô integral with respect to martingale independent of the underlying Gaussian processes. Papers dealing with this problem and which are prior to our work include

[4, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16]; however, it is worthwhile noting that all these mentioned references only deal with Gaussian processes, not with iterated processes (which are arguably more difficult to handle).

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the framework in which our study takes place and we provide an exact statement of our result, namely Theorem 2.1. Finally, Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 2.1, which is divided into several steps.

2 Framework and exact statement of our results

For simplicity, throughout the paper we remove the superscript H , that is, we write Z (resp. X) instead of Z^H (resp. X^H).

Let Z be a fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time of Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, defined as

$$Z_t = X(Y_t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where X is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion of parameter H and Y is a standard (one-sided) Brownian motion independent of X .

The paths of Z being very irregular (precisely: Hölder continuous of order α if and only if α is strictly less than $H/2$), we will not be able to define a stochastic integral with respect to it as the limit of Riemann sums with respect to a *deterministic* partition of the time axis. However, a winning idea borrowed from Khoshnevisan and Lewis [10, 11] is to approach deterministic partitions by means of random partitions defined in terms of hitting times of the underlying Brownian motion Y . As such, one can bypass the random “time-deformation” forced by (2.12), and perform asymptotic procedures by separating the roles of X and Y in the overall definition of Z .

Following Khoshnevisan and Lewis [10, 11], we start by introducing the so-called intrinsic skeletal structure of Z^H . This structure is defined through a sequence of collections of stopping times (with respect to the natural filtration of Y), noted

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \{T_{k,n} : k \geq 0\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.13)$$

which are in turn expressed in terms of the subsequent hitting times of a dyadic grid cast on the real axis. More precisely, let $\mathcal{D}_n = \{j2^{-n/2} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $n \geq 1$, be the dyadic partition (of \mathbb{R}) of order $n/2$. For every $n \geq 1$, the stopping times $T_{k,n}$, appearing in (2.13), are given by the following recursive definition: $T_{0,n} = 0$, and

$$T_{k,n} = \inf \{s > T_{k-1,n} : Y(s) \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \{Y(T_{k-1,n})\}\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Note that the definition of $T_{k,n}$, and therefore of \mathcal{T}_n , only involves the one-sided Brownian motion Y , and that, for every $n \geq 1$, the discrete stochastic process

$$\mathcal{Y}_n = \{Y(T_{k,n}) : k \geq 0\}$$

defines a simple random walk over \mathcal{D}_n . As shown in [10, Lemma 2.2], as n tends to infinity the collection $\{T_{k,n} : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n t\}$ approximates the common dyadic partition $\{k2^{-n} : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n t\}$ of order n of the time interval $[0, t]$. More precisely,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |T_{\lfloor 2^n s \rfloor, n} - s| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{almost surely and in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (2.14)$$

Based on this fact, one may introduce the counterpart of (1.5) based on \mathcal{T}_n , namely,

$$V_n(f, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{2} (f(Z_{T_{k,n}}) + f(Z_{T_{k+1,n}})) (Z_{T_{k+1,n}} - Z_{T_{k,n}}). \quad (2.15)$$

Let C_b^∞ denote the class of those functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are C^∞ and bounded together with their derivatives. We then have the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $f \in C_b^\infty$ and $t > 0$.*

1. *If $H > \frac{1}{6}$ then*

$$f(Z_t) - f(0) = \int_0^t f'(Z_s) d^\circ Z_s, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\int_0^t f'(Z_s) d^\circ Z_s$ is the limit in probability of $V_n(f', t)$ defined in (2.15) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. *If $H = \frac{1}{6}$ then, with $\kappa_3 \simeq 2.322$,*

$$f(Z_t) - f(0) + \frac{\kappa_3}{12} \int_0^{Y_t} f'''(X_s) dW_s \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \int_0^t f'(Z_s) d^\circ Z_s. \quad (2.17)$$

Here, $\int_0^t f'(Z_s) d^\circ Z_s$ denotes the limit in law of $V_n(f', t)$ defined in (2.15) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (its existence is part of the conclusion), W is a two-sided Brownian motion independent of the pair (X, Y) defining Z , and the integral with respect to W is understood in the Wiener-Itô sense.

3. *If $H < \frac{1}{6}$ then*

$$V_n(x \mapsto x^2, t) \text{ does not converge, even stably in law.} \quad (2.18)$$

This means that there is no way to get a change-of-variable formula for $f(x) = x^3$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

3.1 Elements of Malliavin calculus

In this section, we gather some elements of Malliavin calculus we shall need throughout the proof of Theorem 2.1. The reader already familiar with this topic may skip this section.

We continue to denote by $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ a two-sided fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$. That is, X is a zero mean Gaussian process, defined on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , with the covariance function

$$C_H(t, s) = E(X_t X_s) = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We suppose that \mathcal{A} is the σ -field generated by X . For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we let \mathcal{E}_n be the set of step functions on $[-n, n]$, and $\mathcal{E} := \cup_n \mathcal{E}_n$. Set $\xi_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}$ (resp. $\mathbf{1}_{[t, 0]}$) if $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t < 0$). Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space defined as the closure of \mathcal{E} with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \xi_t, \xi_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = C_H(t, s), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The mapping $\xi_t \mapsto X_t$ can be extended to an isometry between \mathcal{H} and the Gaussian space \mathbb{H}_1 associated with X . We will denote this isometry by $\varphi \mapsto X(\varphi)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all smooth cylindrical random variables, i.e. of the form

$$F = \phi(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_l}),$$

where $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C_b^∞ and $t_1 < \dots < t_l$ are some real numbers. The derivative of F with respect to X is the element of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$D_s F = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_l}) \xi_{t_i}(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular $D_s X_t = \xi_t(s)$. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbb{D}^{k,2}$ the closure of the set of smooth random variables with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{k,2}^2 = E(F^2) + \sum_{j=1}^k E[\|D^j F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}}^2].$$

The Malliavin derivative D satisfies the chain rule. If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C_b^1 and if F_1, \dots, F_n are in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then $\varphi(F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and we have

$$D\varphi(F_1, \dots, F_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(F_1, \dots, F_n) D F_i.$$

We have the following Leibniz formula, whose proof is straightforward by induction on q . Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_b^q$ ($q \geq 1$), and fix $0 \leq u < v$ and $0 \leq s < t$. Then $\varphi(X_t - X_s)\psi(X_v - X_u) \in \mathbb{D}^{q,2}$

and

$$D^q(\varphi(X_t - X_s)\psi(X_v - X_u)) = \sum_{a=0}^{2q} \binom{2q}{a} \varphi^{(a)}(X_t - X_s)\psi^{(2q-a)}(X_v - X_u) \mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}^{\otimes a} \tilde{\otimes} \mathbf{1}_{[u,v]}^{\otimes(2q-a)}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\tilde{\otimes}$ stands for the symmetric tensor product. A similar statement holds for $u < v \leq 0$ and $s < t \leq 0$.

If a random element $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain of the divergence operator, that is, if it satisfies

$$|E\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq c_u \sqrt{E(F^2)} \quad \text{for any } F \in \mathcal{F},$$

then $I(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship

$$E(FI(u)) = E(\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}),$$

for every $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$.

For every $n \geq 1$, let \mathbb{H}_n be the n th Wiener chaos of X , that is, the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_n(B(h)), h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\}$, where H_n is the n th Hermite polynomial. The mapping $I_n(h^{\otimes n}) = H_n(B(h))$ provides a linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and \mathbb{H}_n . For $H = \frac{1}{2}$, I_n coincides with the multiple Wiener-Itô integral of order n . The following duality formula holds

$$E(FI_n(h)) = E(\langle D^n F, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}), \quad (3.20)$$

for any element $h \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and any random variable $F \in \mathbb{D}^{n,2}$.

Let $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a complete orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} . Given $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}$, for every $r = 0, \dots, n \wedge m$, the contraction of f and g of order r is the element of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+m-2r)}$ defined by

$$f \otimes_r g = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{k_r} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r}} \otimes \langle g, e_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{k_r} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r}}.$$

Note that $f \otimes_r g$ is not necessarily symmetric: we denote its symmetrization by $f \tilde{\otimes}_r g \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+m-2r)}$. Finally, we recall the following product formula: if $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}$ then

$$I_n(f)I_m(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{n \wedge m} r! \binom{n}{r} \binom{m}{r} I_{n+m-2r}(f \tilde{\otimes}_r g). \quad (3.21)$$

3.2 Notation and reduction of the problem

Throughout all the proof, we shall use the following notation. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{k2^{-n/2}} &= \mathbf{1}_{[0, k2^{-n/2}]}, & \xi_{k2^{-n/2}}^- &= \mathbf{1}_{[-k2^{-n/2}, 0]}, \\ \delta_{k2^{-n/2}} &= \mathbf{1}_{[(k-1)2^{-n/2}, k2^{-n/2}]}, & \delta_{k2^{-n/2}}^- &= \mathbf{1}_{[-k2^{-n/2}, (-k+1)2^{-n/2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ($\|\cdot\|$, respectively) will always stand for inner product (the norm, respectively) in an appropriate tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes s}$.

In the sequel, we only consider the case $H < \frac{1}{2}$. The proof of (2.16) in the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$ is easier and left to the reader, whereas the proof when $H = \frac{1}{2}$ was already done in [10, 11] by Khoshnevisan and Lewis.

That said, we now divide the proof of Theorem 2.1 in several steps.

3.3 Step 1: A key algebraic lemma

For each integer $n \geq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and real number $t \geq 0$, let $U_{j,n}(t)$ (resp. $D_{j,n}(t)$) denote the number of *upcrossings* (resp. *downcrossings*) of the interval $[j2^{-n/2}, (j+1)2^{-n/2}]$ within the first $\lfloor 2^{nt} \rfloor$ steps of the random walk $\{Y(T_{k,n})\}_{k \geq 1}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{j,n}(t) &= \#\{k = 0, \dots, \lfloor 2^{nt} \rfloor - 1 : \\ &\quad Y(T_{k,n}) = j2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = (j+1)2^{-n/2}\}; \\ D_{j,n}(t) &= \#\{k = 0, \dots, \lfloor 2^{nt} \rfloor - 1 : \\ &\quad Y(T_{k,n}) = (j+1)2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = j2^{-n/2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

While easy, the following lemma taken from [10, Lemma 2.4] is going to be the key when studying the asymptotic behavior of the weighted power variation $V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t)$ of *odd* order $2r-1$, defined as:

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^{nt} \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{2} (f(Z_{T_{k,n}}) + f(Z_{T_{k+1,n}})) (Z_{T_{k+1,n}} - Z_{T_{k,n}})^{2r-1}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Its main feature is to separate X from Y , thus providing a representation of $V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t)$ which is amenable to analysis.

Lemma 3.1 *Fix $f \in C_b^\infty$, $t \geq 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} \left(f(X_{j2^{-n/2}}) + f(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) (X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X_{j2^{-n/2}})^{2r-1} (U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $V_n^{(1)}(f, t) = V_n(f, t)$, see (2.15).

3.4 Step 2: Transforming the weighted power variations of odd order

By [10, Lemma 2.5], one has

$$U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t) = \begin{cases} 1_{\{0 \leq j < j^*(n,t)\}} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j^* = 0 \\ -1_{\{j^*(n,t) \leq j < 0\}} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) < 0 \end{cases},$$

where $j^*(n,t) = 2^{n/2} Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^{n_t} \rfloor, n}}$. As a consequence, $V_n^{(2r-1)}(f,t)$ is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 2^{-nH(r-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{j=0}^{j^*(n,t)-1} \frac{1}{2} (f(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^+) + f(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^+)) (X_{j+1}^{n,+} - X_j^{n,+})^{2r-1} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j^* = 0 \\ 2^{-nH(r-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{j=0}^{|j^*(n,t)|-1} \frac{1}{2} (f(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^-) + f(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^-)) (X_{j+1}^{n,-} - X_j^{n,-})^{2r-1} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) < 0 \end{cases},$$

where $X_t^+ := X_t$ for $t \geq 0$, $X_{-t}^- := X_t$ for $t < 0$, $X^{n,+}(t) := 2^{nH/2} X_{2^{-n/2}t}^+$ for $t \geq 0$ and $X^{n,-}(-t) := 2^{nH/2} X_{2^{-n/2}(-t)}^-$ for $t < 0$.

Let us now introduce the following sequence of processes $W_{\pm,n}^{(2r-1)}$, in which H_p stands for the p th Hermite polynomial:

$$W_{\pm,n}^{(2r-1)}(f,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{2} (f(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^{\pm}) + f(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\pm})) H_{2r-1}(X_{j+1}^{n,\pm} - X_j^{n,\pm}), \quad t \geq 0$$

$$W_n^{(2r-1)}(f,t) = \begin{cases} W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(f,t) & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(f,-t) & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}.$$

We then have, using the decomposition $x^{2r-1} = \sum_{l=1}^r a_{r,l} H_{2l-1}(x)$ (with $a_{r,r} = 1$, which is the only explicit value of $a_{l,r}$ we will need in the sequel),

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(f,t) = 2^{-nH(r-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{l=1}^r a_{r,l} W_n^{(2l-1)}(f, Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^{n_t} \rfloor, n}}). \quad (3.22)$$

3.5 Step 3: Known results for fractional Brownian motion

We recall the following result taken* from [13]. If $m \geq 2$ and $H \in (\frac{1}{4m-2}, \frac{1}{2})$ then, for any $f \in C_b^\infty$ and as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left(X_t, 2^{-n/4} W_{\pm,n}^{(2m-1)}(f,t) \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \left(X_t, \kappa_{2m-1} \int_0^t f(X_s^\pm) dW_s^\pm \right)_{t \geq 0}, \quad (3.23)$$

*More precisely: a careful inspection would show that there is no additional difficulty to prove (3.23) by following the same route than the one used to show [13, Theorem 1, (1.15)]. The only difference is that the definition of $W_{\pm,n}^{(r)}$ is of symmetric type, whereas all the quantities of interest studied in [13] are of forward type.

where $W_t^+ = W_t$ if $t > 0$ and $W_t^- = W_{-t}$ if $t < 0$, with W a two-sided Brownian motion independent of X , and where $\int_0^t f(X_s^\pm) dW_s^\pm$ must be understood in the Wiener-Itô sense.

Note that in the boundary case $m = 2$ and $H = \frac{1}{6}$, (3.23) continues to hold, as was shown in [16, Theorem 3.1].

In the case $m = 1$, it was shown in [13, Theorem 4] (case $H > \frac{1}{6}$) and [16, Theorem 2.13] (case $H = \frac{1}{6}$) that, for any fixed $t > 0$, the sequence $W_{\pm, n}^{(1)}(f, t)$ converges in probability (when $H > \frac{1}{6}$) or only in law (when $H = \frac{1}{6}$) to a non degenerate limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.6 Step 4: Moment bounds for $W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, \cdot)$

Fix an integer $r \geq 1$ as well as a function $f \in C_b^\infty$. We claim the existence of $c > 0$ such that, for all real numbers $s < t$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, s))^2] \leq c \max(|s|^{2H}, |t|^{2H})(|t - s|^{2n/2} + 1). \quad (3.24)$$

In order to prove (3.24), we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *If $s, t, u > 0$ or if $s, t, u < 0$ then*

$$|E(X_u(X_t - X_s))| \leq |t - s|^{2H}. \quad (3.25)$$

Proof. When $s, t, u > 0$ we have

$$E(X_u(X_t - X_s)) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} - s^{2H}) + \frac{1}{2}(|s - u|^{2H} - |t - u|^{2H}).$$

Since $|b^{2H} - a^{2H}| \leq |b - a|^{2H}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we immediately deduce (3.25). The proof when $s, t, u < 0$ is similar. ■

We are now ready to show (3.24). We distinguish two cases according to the signs of $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ (and reducing the problem by symmetry):

(1) if $0 \leq s < t$ (the case $s < t \leq 0$ being similar), then

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, s))^2] = E[(W_{+, n}^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_{+, n}^{(2r-1)}(f, s))^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \left| E[(f(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^+) + f(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^+)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (f(X_{j'2^{-n/2}}^+) + f(X_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^+)) H_{2r-1}(X_{j+1}^{n,+} - X_j^{n,+}) H_{2r-1}(X_{j'+1}^{n,+} - X_{j'}^{n,+})] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \left| E[\Theta_j^n f(X^+) \Theta_{j'}^n f(X^+) I_{2r-1}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)}) I_{2r-1}(\delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)})] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

with obvious notation. Relying to the product formula (3.21), we deduce that this latter quantity is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2} s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2} t \rfloor - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{2r-1} l! \binom{2r-1}{l}^2 \left| \langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^l \\
& \quad \times \left| E \left[\Theta_j^n f(X^+) \Theta_{j'}^n f(X^+) I_{4r-2-2l} \left(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \right) \right] \right| \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{2r-1} l! \binom{2r-1}{l}^2 Q_n^{(+,r,l)}(s, t). \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

By the duality formula (3.20) and the Leibniz rule (3.19), one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_n^{(+,r,l)}(j, j') & := E \left[\Theta_j^n f(X^+) \Theta_{j'}^n f(X^+) I_{4r-2-2l} \left(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \right) \right] \\
= & E \left[\langle D^{4r-2-2l} (\Theta_j^n f(X^+) \Theta_{j'}^n f(X^+)) ; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \rangle \right] \\
= & \sum_{a=0}^{4r-2-2l} \binom{4r-2-2l}{a} E \left[\left\langle (f^{(a)}(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^+) \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a} + f^{(a)}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^+) \xi_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a}) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \tilde{\otimes} (f^{(4r-2-2l-a)}(X_{j'2^{-n/2}}^+) \xi_{j'2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(4r-2-2l-a)} + f^{(4r-2-2l-a)}(X_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^+) \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(4r-2-2l-a)}) ; \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1-l)} \right\rangle \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let now c denote a generic constant that may differ from one line to another and recall that $f \in C_b^\infty$. We then have the following estimates.

- Case $l = 2r - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_n^{(+,r,2r-1)}(s, t) \\
\leq & c 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2} s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2} t \rfloor - 1} \left| \langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^{2r-1} \\
= & c \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2} s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2} t \rfloor - 1} \left| \frac{1}{2} (|j - j' + 1|^{2H} + |j - j' - 1|^{2H} - 2|j - j'|^{2H}) \right|^{2r-1} \\
= & c \sum_{j = \lfloor 2^{n/2} s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2} t \rfloor - 1} \sum_{q = j - \lfloor 2^{n/2} t \rfloor + 1}^{j - \lfloor 2^{n/2} s \rfloor} |\rho(q)|^{2r-1},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\rho(q) := \frac{1}{2}(|q+1|^{2H} + |q-1|^{2H} - 2|q|^{2H})$. By a Fubini argument, it comes

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_n^{(+,r,2r-1)}(s,t) \\
& \leq c \sum_{q=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor - 1} |\rho(q)|^{2r-1} \left((q + \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor) \wedge \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - (q + \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor) \vee \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{q=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor - 1} |\rho(q)|^{2r-1} (\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor) \\
& \leq c \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(q)|^{2r-1} |\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor| = c |\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor| \\
& \leq c (|\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 2^{n/2}t| + 2^{n/2}|t-s| + |\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor - 2^{n/2}s|) \\
& \leq c(1 + 2^{n/2}|t-s|). \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(q)|^{2r-1} < \infty$ since $H < \frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4r-2}$.

- Preparation to the cases where $0 \leq l \leq 2r-2$

In order to handle the terms $Q_n^{(+,r,l)}(s,t)$ whenever $0 \leq l \leq 2r-2$, we will make use of the following decomposition:

$$|d_n^{(+,r,l)}(j,j')| \leq \sum_{u,v=0}^1 \Omega_n^{(u,v,r,l)}(j,j'), \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_n^{(u,v,r,l)}(j,j') &= \sum_{a=0}^{4r-2-2l} \binom{4r-2-2l}{a} |E[f^{(a)}(X_{(j+u)2^{-n/2}}^+) f^{(4r-2-2l-a)}(X_{(j'+v)2^{-n/2}}^+)]| \\
&\quad \times |\langle \xi_{(j+u)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a} \tilde{\otimes} \xi_{(j'+v)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes (4r-2-2l-a)}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes (2r-1-l)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes (2r-1-l)} \rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

- Case $1 \leq l \leq 2r-2$ (only when $r \geq 2$)

Since f belongs to C_b^∞ and since, by (3.25), we have $|\langle \xi_t; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \leq 2^{-nH}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that

$$|d_n^{(+,r,l)}(j,j')| \leq c 2^{-nH(4r-2-2l)}.$$

As a consequence, and relying to the same arguments that have been used previously in the case $l = 2r-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n^{(+,r,l)}(s,t) &\leq c 2^{-nH(4r-2-2l)} 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |\langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle|^l \\
&\leq c 2^{-nH(4r-2-2l)} 2^{nH(2r-1)} 2^{-nHl} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(q)|^l (1 + 2^{n/2}|t-s|) \\
&= c 2^{-nH(2r-1-l)} (1 + 2^{n/2}|t-s|) \leq c (1 + 2^{n/2}|t-s|). \tag{3.29}
\end{aligned}$$

- Case $l = 0$

Relying to the decomposition (3.28), we get

$$Q_n^{(+,r,0)}(s, t) \leq 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \sum_{u, v = 0}^1 \Omega_n^{(u, v, r, 0)}(j, j'). \quad (3.30)$$

We will study only the term corresponding to $\Omega_n^{(0,1,r,0)}(j, j')$ in (3.30), which is representative of the difficulty. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \sum_{a=0}^{4r-2} \binom{4r-2}{a} |E[f^{(a)}(X_{j2^{-n/2}}^+) f^{(4r-2-a)}(X_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^+)]| \\ & \quad \times |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a} \tilde{\otimes} \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(4r-2-a)}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \rangle| \\ & \leq c 2^{nH(2r-1)} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} \sum_{a=0}^{4r-2} |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a} \tilde{\otimes} \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(4r-2-a)}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

We define $E_n^{(a,r)}(j, j') := |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes a} \tilde{\otimes} \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(4r-2-a)}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \tilde{\otimes} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes(2r-1)} \rangle|$. By (3.25), recall that $|\langle \xi_t; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \leq 2^{-nH}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We thus get, with \tilde{c}_a some combinatorial constants,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(a,r)}(j, j') & \leq \tilde{c}_a 2^{-nH(4r-3)} (|\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| + |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \\ & \quad + |\langle \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| + |\langle \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle|). \end{aligned}$$

For instance, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |\langle \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \\ & = 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |(j+1)^{2H} - j^{2H} + |j' - j + 1|^{2H} - |j' - j|^{2H}| \\ & \leq 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{j, j' = \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} ((j+1)^{2H} - j^{2H}) \\ & \quad + 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor \leq j \leq j' \leq \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} ((j' - j + 1)^{2H} - (j' - j)^{2H}) \\ & \quad + 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor \leq j' < j \leq \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} ((j - j')^{2H} - (j - j' - 1)^{2H}) \\ & \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{-nH} (\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor) \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor^{2H} \leq \frac{3t^{2H}}{2} (1 + 2^{n/2}|t - s|). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| &\leq \frac{3t^{2H}}{2} (1 + 2^{n/2}|t - s|); \\ \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |\langle \xi_{j2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| &\leq \frac{3t^{2H}}{2} (1 + 2^{n/2}|t - s|); \\ \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - 1} |\langle \xi_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| &\leq \frac{3t^{2H}}{2} (1 + 2^{n/2}|t - s|). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we deduce

$$Q_n^{(+,r,0)}(s, t) \leq c 2^{-nH(2r-2)} t^{2H} (2^{n/2}|t - s| + 1) \leq c t^{2H} (2^{n/2}|t - s| + 1). \quad (3.31)$$

Combining (3.26), (3.27), (3.29) and (3.31) finally shows our claim (3.24).

(2) if $s < 0 \leq t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, s))^2] &= E[(W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, -s))^2] \\ &\leq 2E[(W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, t))^2] + 2E[(W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, -s))^2]. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) with $s = 0$, one can write

$$E[(W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, t))^2] \leq c t^{2H} (t 2^{n/2} + 1).$$

Similarly

$$E[(W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(f, -s))^2] \leq c (-s)^{2H} ((-s) 2^{n/2} + 1)$$

We deduce that

$$E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(f, s))^2] \leq c \max(t^{2H}, (-s)^{2H}) ((t - s) 2^{n/2} + 1).$$

That is, (3.24) also holds true in this case.

3.7 Step 5: Limits of the weighted power variations of odd order

Fix $f \in C_b^\infty$ and $t \geq 0$. We claim that, if $H \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $r \geq 3$ then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) \xrightarrow{\text{prob}} 0. \quad (3.32)$$

Moreover, if $H \in (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2})$ then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V_n^{(3)}(f, t) \xrightarrow{\text{prob}} 0, \quad (3.33)$$

whereas, if $H = \frac{1}{6}$ then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(X_t, Y_t, V_n^{(3)}(f, t))_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \left(X_t, Y_t, \kappa_3 \int_0^{Y_t} f(X_s) dW_s \right)_{t \geq 0}, \quad (3.34)$$

with $W = (W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ a two-sided Brownian motion independent of the pair (X, Y) .

Indeed, using the decomposition (3.22), the conclusion of Step 4 (to pass from $Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor, n}}$ to Y_t) and the convergence (2.14), we deduce that the limit of $V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t)$ is the same as that of

$$2^{-nH(r-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{l=1}^r a_{r,l} W_n^{(2l-1)}(f, Y_t).$$

Thus, the proofs of (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34) then follow directly from the results recalled in Step 3, as well as the fact that X and Y are independent.

3.8 Step 6: Proving (2.16) and (2.17)

We assume $H \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2})$. We will make use of the following Taylor's type formula. Fix $f \in C_b^\infty$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some constants c_r whose explicit values are immaterial here,

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) - f(a) &= \frac{1}{2}(f'(a) + f'(b))(b - a) - \frac{1}{24}(f'''(a) + f'''(b))(b - a)^3 \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=3}^7 c_r (f^{(2r-1)}(a) + f^{(2r-1)}(b))(b - a)^{2r-1} + O(|b - a|^{14}), \end{aligned}$$

where $|O(|b - a|^{14})| \leq C_f |b - a|^{14}$, C_f being a constant depending only on f . One can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z_{T_{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor, n}}) - f(0) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} (f(Z_{T_{k+1, n}}) - f(Z_{T_{k, n}})) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{2} (f(Z_{T_{k, n}}) + f(Z_{T_{k+1, n}})) (Z_{T_{k+1, n}} - Z_{T_{k, n}}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} V_n^{(3)}(f, t) + \sum_{r=3}^7 2c_r V_n^{(2r-1)}(f, t) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} O((Z_{T_{k+1, n}} - Z_{T_{k, n}})^{14}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

As far as the big O in (3.35) is concerned, we have, with $G \sim N(0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} O((Z_{T_{k+1, n}} - Z_{T_{k, n}})^{14}) \right| \right] \leq C_f \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} E[(Z_{T_{k+1, n}} - Z_{T_{k, n}})^{14}] \\ &= C_f \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} 2^{-7nH} E[G^{14}] \leq C_f E[G^{14}] t 2^{n(1-7H)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{since } H \geq \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

On the other hand, by continuity of $f \circ Z$ and due to (2.14), one has, almost surely and as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f(Z_{T_{\lfloor 2^{n_t} \rfloor, n}}) - f(0) \rightarrow f(Z_t) - f(0). \quad (3.37)$$

Finally, when $H > \frac{1}{6}$ the desired conclusion (2.16) follows from (3.36), (3.37), (3.32) and (3.33) plugged into (3.35). The proof of (2.17) when $H = \frac{1}{6}$ is similar, the only difference being that one has (3.34) instead of (3.33), thus leading to the bracket term $\frac{\kappa_3}{12} \int_0^{Y_t} f'''(X_s) dW_s$ in (2.17).

3.9 Step 7: Proving (2.18)

Using $b^3 - a^3 = \frac{3}{2}(a^2 + b^2)(b - a) - \frac{1}{2}(b - a)^3$, one can write, with $\mathbf{1}$ denoting the function constantly equal to 1,

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(x \mapsto x^2, t) - \frac{1}{3}Z_t^3 &= \frac{1}{6}V_n^{(3)}(\mathbf{1}, t) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^{n_t} \rfloor - 1} (Z_{T_{k+1, n}}^3 - Z_{T_{k, n}}^3) - \frac{1}{3}Z_t^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{6}V_n^{(3)}(\mathbf{1}, t) + \frac{1}{3}(Z_{T_{\lfloor 2^{n_t} \rfloor, n}}^3 - Z_t^3). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, and thank to (2.14), one deduces that if $V_n(x \mapsto x^2, t)$ converges stably in law, then $V_n^{(3)}(\mathbf{1}, t)$ must converge as well. But it is shown in [17, Corollary 1.2] that $2^{-n(1-6H)/4}V_n^{(3)}(\mathbf{1}, t)$ converges in law to a non degenerate limit. This being clearly in contradiction with the convergence of $V_n^{(3)}(\mathbf{1}, t)$, we deduce that (2.18) holds.

References

- [1] K. Burdzy (1993): Some path properties of iterated Brownian motion. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes* (E. Çinlar, K. L. Chung and M. J. Sharpe, eds.) pp. 67-87. Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [2] K. Burdzy (1994): Variation of iterated Brownian motion. In *Workshop and Conference on Measure-Valued Processes, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Interacting Particle Systems* (D. A. Dawson, ed.), pp. 35-53. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [3] K. Burdzy and D. Khoshnevisan (1998): Brownian motion in a Brownian crack. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **8**, pp. 708-748.
- [4] K. Burdzy and J. Swanson (2010): A change of variable formula with Itô correction term. *Ann. Probab.* **38**, no. 5, pp. 1817-1869.
- [5] P. Cheridito and D. Nualart (2005): Stochastic integral of divergence type with respect to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41**, no. 6, pp. 1049-1081.

- [6] M. Gradinaru, I. Nourdin, F. Russo and P. Vallois (2005): m -order integrals and generalized Itô's formula: the case of a fractional Brownian motion with any Hurst index. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41**, no. 4, pp. 781-806.
- [7] D. Harnett and D. Nualart (2013): Central limit theorem for a Stratonovich integral with Malliavin calculus. *Ann. Probab.* **41**, no. 4, pp. 2820-2879.
- [8] D. Harnett and D. Nualart (2012): Weak convergence of the Stratonovich integral with respect to a class of Gaussian processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **122**, pp. 3460-3505.
- [9] D. Harnett and D. Nualart (2013): On Simpson's rule and fractional Brownian motion with $H = 1/10$. Preprint
- [10] D. Khoshnevisan and T. M. Lewis (1999): Stochastic calculus for Brownian motion on a Brownian fracture. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **9**, no. 3, pp. 629-667.
- [11] D. Khoshnevisan and T. M. Lewis (1999): Iterated Brownian motion and its intrinsic skeletal structure. *Progress in Probability* **45**, pp. 201-210, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.
- [12] I. Nourdin (2009): A change of variable formula for the 2D fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index bigger or equal to $1/4$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256**, pp. 2303-2320.
- [13] I. Nourdin, D. Nualart and C. Tudor (2009): Central and non-central limit theorems for weighted power variations of the fractional Brownian motion. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **46**, no. 4, pp. 1055-1079.
- [14] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2008): Weighted power variations of iterated Brownian motion. *Electron. J. Probab.* **13**, no. 43, pp. 1229-1256.
- [15] I. Nourdin and A. Réveillac (2009): Asymptotic behavior of weighted quadratic variations of fractional Brownian motion: the critical case $H = 1/4$. *Ann. Probab.* **37**, pp. 2200-2230.
- [16] I. Nourdin, A. Réveillac and J. Swanson (2010): The weak Stratonovich integral with respect to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $1/6$. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15**, no. 70, pp. 2117-2162.
- [17] R. Zeineddine (2013): Fluctuations of the power variation of fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time. *Bernoulli*, in revision.