

Combining finite and continuous solvers Towards a simpler solver maintenance

Jean-Guillaume Fages, Gilles Chabert, Charles Prud'Homme

To cite this version:

Jean-Guillaume Fages, Gilles Chabert, Charles Prud'Homme. Combining finite and continuous solvers Towards a simpler solver maintenance. The 19th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming, Sep 2013, Uppsala, Sweden. TRICS'13 Workshop: Techniques foR Implementing Constraint programming Systems. hal-00904069

HAL Id: hal-00904069 <https://hal.science/hal-00904069v1>

Submitted on 13 Nov 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Combining finite and continuous solvers Towards a simpler solver maintenance

Jean-Guillaume Fages and Gilles Chabert and Charles Prud'Homme

TASC - Ecole des Mines de Nantes, LINA UMR CNRS 6241, FR-44307 Nantes Cedex 3, France, {Jean-Guillaume.Fages,Gilles.Chabert, Charles.Prudhomme}@mines-nantes.fr

Abstract. Combining efficiency with reliability within CP systems is one of the main concerns of CP developers. This paper presents a simple and efficient way to connect Choco and Ibex, two CP solvers respectively specialised on finite and continuous domains. This enables to take advantage of the most recent advances of the continuous community within Choco while saving development and maintenance resources, hence ensuring a better software quality.

1 Introduction

The Constraint Programming (CP) community is witnessing the emergence of numerous new solvers, most of them coming up with new features. In this competitive context, integrating latest advances and ensuring software quality is challenging. From a more general point of view, spending effort on developing something already well handled by other libraries can be argued to be a waste of resource. Choco [9] and Ibex [2] are two such solvers, respectively specialised on Finite Domains (FD) and Continuous Domains (CD). While they already have some history, they have recently been completely re-engineered to brand new improved versions.

This paper presents a bridge which has been made so that Choco can use Ibex as a global constraint. The interval arithmetics provided by Ibex greatly enhances modeling possibilities of Choco. It enables to express naturally the wide family of *statistical constraints* [6, 7], but also non-linear physics constraints as well as many continuous objective functions. This bridge enables to take advantage of the most recent advances of the CD community within Choco for free. It saves development and maintenance resources, and contributes to the software quality. In this way, Choco and Ibex developers can focus on what they do best, being respectively FD and CD reasonings, and users have access to the whole.

2 Solver overviews

2.1 Choco 3.0

Choco is a java library for constraint satisfaction problems and constraint optimisation problems. This solver already has a long history and has been fully re-engineered this year, to a 3.0 version [4, 9]. It roughly contains ⁶⁰, ⁰⁰⁰ lines of code.

The Choco library contains numerous variables, constraints and search procedures, to provide wide modeling perspectives. Most common variables are integer variables (including binary variables and views [8]) but the distribution also includes set variables, graph variables and real variables. The constraint library provided by Choco contains many global constraints, which gives a very expressive modeling language. The search process can also be greatly improved by various built-in search strategies (such as DomWDeg, ABS, IBS, first-fail, etc.) and some optimisation procedures (LNS, fast restart, etc.). Moreover, Choco natively supports explained constraints. Last, several useful extra features, such as a FlatZinc (the target language of MiniZinc [5]) parser and some viewing tools, are provided as well.

Choco is used by the academy for teaching and research and by the industry to solve real-world problems, such as program verification, data center management, timetabling, scheduling and routing.

2.2 Ibex 2.0

Ibex (Interval-Based EXplorer) is also a library for constraint satisfaction and optimization, but written in C++ and dedicated to continuous domains. This solver has been fully re-engineered to a 2.0 version this year [2]. Ibex consists of roughly ⁴⁰, ⁰⁰⁰ lines of code.

From the perspective of solver cooperation, two features of Ibex are of interest: the modeling language and the *contractors*.

Compared to Choco, the modeling language is much simpler in the sense that constraints are either numerical equations or inequalities. However, the mathematical expression involved in a constraint can be of arbitrary complexity. The expression is obtained by composition of standard mathematical operators such as $+$, \times , $\sqrt{$, sin, etc. (see §3.2). The modeling language also allows vector and matrix operations; it shares some similarities with Matlab on purpose.

A contractor [3] is the equivalent of a propagator in finite domain except that it is considered as a pure function: it takes a Cartesian product of domains as input and returns a subset of it. Ibex contains a variety of built-in contractors for acheiving different level of bound consistency with respect to a set of numerical constraints such as HC4, Shaving, ACID, X-newton, q-intersection, etc.

Finally, Ibex also comes with a default black-box solver and global optimizer for immediate usage. It is mainly used so far in academic labs for teaching and research. Its main application field is global optimization and robotics.

3 Embedding Ibex into a Choco constraint

3.1 Motivation

It is worth noticing that combining FD with CD in a CP solver is not new. Since its early beginning, the Choco solver has supported real variables, hence it has always been able to solve hybrid discrete continuous problems. However, these older versions included their own interval arithmetics implementation. Another example is the Gecode ⁴.0.⁰ solver [1], which has recently added floating variables to its distribution, by following the same approach.

Interestingly, it appeared that most of Choco users and contributors were concerned by FD problems. Thus, for historical reasons, the Choco module over reals has not evolved much within the last years. In the meanwhile, people working on continuous problems have proposed new solvers, such as Ibex, able to handle efficiently continuous non-linear equation systems. As a counterpart, such solvers are not competitive on problems involving finite domains, if ever they can handle them.

If no theoretical pitfall stands in the way of implementing state-of-the-art CD techniques in Choco, this would require significant resources and ensuring its maintenance over time is presumably even more expensive. Moreover, it would require Choco developers to have a high level of expertise on both FD and CD. A symmetric reasoning holds if one would like to implement advanced FD features within Ibex. Thus, instead of reimplementing the wheel, it has been decided to make a bridge between Choco and Ibex. This provides a very good trade-off between solver features and implementation effort.

The choice of using Ibex within Choco, instead of the opposite, is based on practical reasons. First of all, the functional architecture of contractors in Ibex enables to call them from another program very easily. Second, Choco has more variable types, hence using an opposite design would require a heavier interface. In particular, Ibex would have to implement finite domains. Third, Choco offers richer resolution options (black-box search procedures, LNS, explanations...) than Ibex so it is better to give the control of the search to Choco. Last, calling Java from C++ is more cumbersome since a virtual machine has to be loaded prior to function calls.

3.2 A simple but yet expressive interface

The bridge linking Choco and Ibex is organised in a master-slave architecture where Choco integrates Ibex within a global constraint. This constraint, referred to as RealConstraint, has no particular semantics but is used as a shell to encapsulate continuous propagators. Each equation system of the model is associated with one generic propagator, RealPropagator, in Choco and one contractor in Ibex. Continuous expressions can embed integer variables by using views. Choco drives the propagation algorithm: on domain modifications, targeted propagators are scheduled for a future execution. Any call to the propagation algorithm of a RealPropagator is then automatically delegated to

Ibex contractors; the resulting domain modifications, if any, are recovered and transmitted back to Choco. Ibex contractors are called through the Java Native Interface (JNI) which enables a Java program to call functions of a C++ library. Comments apart, this native interface only includes 40 lines of code, whence the easy maintenance. An overview of the Choco-Ibex framework is given in Figure 1.

Fig. 1. Scheme of the Choco-Ibex bridge.

Listing 1.1 provides the filtering algorithm of RealPropagator. First, the propagator copies variable domain bounds in an array $(l. 5 - 10)$. Second, it calls the contract method of the Ibex JNI class (see Listing 1.2), with this array and the contractor identifier as input $(1. 11 - 12)$. This method updates the array of bounds in argument (for a further filtering) and returns an entailment statement. Third, it incorporates these changes into variable domains and, possibly, fails or becomes silent $(1. 13 - 28)$. As any constraint of Choco, a RealConstraint can be reified.

Regarding the management of object creations and $Java/C++$ communication, this architecture does not bring any significant overhead. When the first RealConstraint is created, the Ibex library is loaded once and for all by the system. Each Ibex contractor is created once during the model creation, and its reference is kept in memory. Calling an Ibex contractor from a Choco propagator has no particular overhead but the translation of the Java primitive double array which represents variable bounds to a native double array. This takes a linear time over the number of variables that are involved, which is presumably less or equal to the contractor time complexity.

Listing 1.1. Ibex-based domain reduction of RealPropagator

Listing 1.2. The contract Ibex function

- /** * Call the contractor cont_index associated to a continuous (in)equation system
- $*$ seen as a function of the form $c(x_1,...,x_n)$, where $x_1...x_n$ are n real variables
-
- * * @param cont_index [−] Number of the contractor (in the order of creation) * @param bounds [−] The bounds of domains under the following form:
- * (x1−,x1+,x2−,x2+,...,xn−,xn+), where xi− (resp. xi+) is the
-
- * lower (resp. upper) bound of the domain of x_i.

-
- * @return The status of contraction or fail/entailment test.
* Φ TeAIL: No tuple satisfies c.
* ENTAILED: The bounds of x may have been contracted. All remaining tuples satisfy c.
* CONTRACT: At least one bound of x
-
-

*/

public native int contract(int cont_index, double bounds[]);

The expression of the continuous constraint (equation or inequality) is encoded in a simple String. To simplify the interpretation of this String by Ibex, variables are represented by their indices, surrounded by braces. For instance, the constraint "($\{0\} + \{1\} + \{2\}$)/3= $\{3\}$ " means that the fourth variable is the average of the three first ones.

This framework handles any equation system involving the following operators:

```
+, -, \star, /, =, <, >, <=, >=,
sign, min, max, abs, sqr, sqrt, exp, log, pow,
cos, sin, tan, acos, asin, atan,
cosh, sinh, tanh, acosh, asinh, atanh, atan2
```
This provides wide modeling perspectives. In particular, the family of *statistical* constraints, such as Spread [6] and Deviation [7], can be expressed naturally and extended by using neither monolithic ad hoc algorithms nor reformulations. Of course, in continuous domains, equations and inequalities are ubiquitous.

Besides being both simple and expressive, the use of Strings enables to get very concise models. As a counterpart, it has no safeguard against user mistakes in the declaration of continuous constraints. Hence building a framework which generates those Strings may be a good perspective to make the use of this bridge safer.

4 Practical example: using CD to express balancing

This section introduces the Santa Claus problem as a simple illustration of this framework. Given a set of kids and a set of gifts, the Santa Claus problem consists of giving a gift to each child. The average deviation of gift values must be minimised so that the gift distribution is fair.

The Choco model associated with this problem is given in Listing 1.3. It involves integer assignment decision variables as well as real variables related to the average and the average deviation of gift prices. In particular, the objective variable is real, hence the hybrid nature of the problem. On the one hand, the AllDifferent constraint is typically not implemented in Ibex, as differences have no much meaning over reals. On the other hand, the average and the average deviation constraints are straightforward to formulate as general Ibex arithmetic expressions. Thus, we take the best from each solver. The possibility to have real views of integer variables enables to consider integer variables within continuous systems. Hence, even on purely integer problems, this framework makes available a wide family of constraints, for free.

Listing 1.3. Santa Claus Choco model

// input data $int n_kids = 3;$ int n ^{$-$ gifts = 5;</sub>} int[] gift_price = new int[]{11, 24, 5, 23, 17}; int min_price = 5; int max_price = 24;

// solver Solver solver = new Solver("Santa Claus");

// FD variables // VF is the factory for variables' declaration IntVar[] kid_gift = VF.enumeratedArray("g2k", n_kids, 0, n_gifts, solver); IntVar[] kid_price = VF.boundedArray("p2k", n_kids, min_price, max_price, solver); IntVar total_cost = VF.bounded("total cost", min_price*n_kids, max_price * n_kids, solver);

// CD variable

double precision = 1.e−4; RealVar average = VF.real("average", min_price, max_price, precision, solver); RealVar average_deviation = VF.real("average_deviation", 0, max_price, precision, solver);

// continuous views of FD variables RealVar[] realViews = VF.real(kid_price, precision);

// kids must have different gifts // ICF is the factory for integer constraints' declaration solver.post(ICF.alldifferent(kid_gift, "AC"));

// compute cost

for (int $\mathbf{i} = 0$; $\mathbf{i} < n$ kids; \mathbf{i} ++) { solver.post(ICF.element(kid_price[i], gift_price, kid_gift[i])); }

solver.post(ICF.sum(kid_price, total_cost));

// compute the average and average deviation costs

RealVar[] allRV = ArrayUtils.append(realViews,new RealVar[] {average, average_deviation}); RealConstraint ave_cons = new RealConstraint(solver); ave_cons.addFunction("({0}+{1}+{2})/3={3}", allRV); ave_cons.addFunction("(abs({0}−{3})+abs({1}−{3})+abs({2}−{3}))/3={4}", allRV); solver.post(ave_cons);

// set search strategy (selects smallest domains first) solver.set(IntStrategyFactory.firstFail_InDomainMin(kid_gift));

// find optimal solution (the gift distribution should be fair) solver.findOptimalSolution(ResolutionPolicy.MINIMIZE, average_deviation);

The output stream (Listing 1.4) then provides the following solution:

Listing 1.4. Output

********* Optimal solution Kids #0 has received the gift #4 at a cost of 17 euros Kids #1 has received the gift #3 at a cost of 23 euros Kids #2 has received the gift #1 at a cost of 24 euros Total cost: 64 euros Average: 21.333333333333332 euros per kid Average deviation: 2.8888888888888866

5 Conclusion

We have proposed a bridge between Choco and Ibex so that Choco can use Ibex as a global constraint. We have shown that this framework offers wide modeling possibilities while being simple and generic. This work enables the FD and the CD communities to benefit from the work of each other and focus and their respective field of expertise. It enables to provide a rich and reliable solver while saving development and maintenance resources.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the anonymous referees for their work and interesting comments.

References

- 1. Gecode 4.0.0. http://www.gecode.org/index.html, 2013.
- 2. Gilles Chabert. Ibex 2.0. http://www.emn.fr/z-info/ibex/, 2013.
- 3. Gilles Chabert and Luc Jaulin. Contractor programming. *Artif. Intell.*, 173(11):1079– 1100, 2009.
- 4. Jean-Guillaume Fages, Narendra Jussien, Xavier Lorca, and Charles Prud'homme. Choco3: an open source java constraint programming library. Research report 13/1/INFO, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, 2013. to appear.
- 5. Nicholas Nethercote, Peter J. Stuckey, Ralph Becket, Sebastian Brand, Gregory J. Duck, and Guido Tack. Minizinc: Towards a standard cp modelling language. In *CP*, pages 529–543, 2007.
- 6. Gilles Pesant and Jean-Charles Régin. Spread: A balancing constraint based on statistics. In *CP*, volume 3709 of *LNCS*, pages 460–474. Springer, 2005.
- 7. Pierre Schaus, Yves Deville, Pierre Dupont, and Jean-Charles Régin. The deviation constraint. In *CPAIOR*, volume 4510 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2007.
- 8. Christian Schulte and Guido Tack. Views and iterators for generic constraint implementations. In *CP*, volume 3709 of *LNCS*, pages 817–821. Springer, 2005.
- 9. Choco Team. Choco 3.0. http://www.emn.fr/z-info/choco-solver/, 2013.