



HAL
open science

Asymptotic behaviour of the cross-variation of some integral long memory processes

Ivan Nourdin, Rola Zintout

► **To cite this version:**

Ivan Nourdin, Rola Zintout. Asymptotic behaviour of the cross-variation of some integral long memory processes. 2014. hal-00903338v2

HAL Id: hal-00903338

<https://hal.science/hal-00903338v2>

Preprint submitted on 23 Oct 2014 (v2), last revised 22 Mar 2015 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotic behaviour of the cross-variation of some integral long memory processes

Ivan Nourdin* and Rola Zintout†

Université du Luxembourg and Université de Lorraine

Abstract. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the cross-variation of two-dimensional processes having the form of a Young integral with respect to a fractional Brownian motion of index $H > \frac{1}{2}$. When H is smaller than or equal to $\frac{3}{4}$, we show asymptotic mixed normality. When H is strictly bigger than $\frac{3}{4}$, we obtain a limit that is expressed in terms of the difference of two independent Rosenblatt processes.

1 Introduction

1.1 Foreword and main results

In the near past, there have been many applications of stochastic differential equations (SDE) driven by fractional Brownian motion (fBm) in different areas of mathematical modelling. To name but a few, we mention the use of such equations as a model for meteorological phenomena [1, 11], protein dynamics [6], or noise in electrical networks [7].

Here, we consider more generally a two-dimensional stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, T]} = \{(X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ of the form

$$X_t^{(i)} = x_i + \int_0^t \sigma_s^{i,1} dB_s^{(1)} + \int_0^t \sigma_s^{i,2} dB_s^{(2)}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (1.1)$$

In (1.1), $B = (B^{(1)}, B^{(2)})$ is a two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H > \frac{1}{2}$ defined on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ,

*Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication; UR en Mathématiques; Luxembourg University, 6 rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg; ivan.nourdin@uni.lu

†Institut Elie Cartan, UMR 7502, Nancy Université - CNRS - INRIA; rola.zintout@univ-lorraine.fr

whereas $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and σ is a 2×2 matrix-valued process. The case where X solves a fractional SDE corresponds to $\sigma_t = \sigma(X_t)$, with $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ deterministic. Since we are assuming that $H > \frac{1}{2}$, by imposing appropriate conditions on σ (see Section 2 for the details) we may and will assume throughout the text that $\int_0^t \sigma_s^{i,j} dB_s^{(j)}$ is understood in the Young [15] sense (see again Section 2 for the details).

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behaviour of the cross-variation associated to X on $[0, T]$, which is the sequence of stochastic processes defined as:

$$J_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \Delta X_{k/n}^{(1)} \Delta X_{k/n}^{(2)}, \quad n \geq 1, t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, and the same anywhere else, we use the notation $\Delta X_{k/n}^{(i)}$ to indicate the increment $X_{k/n}^{(i)} - X_{(k-1)/n}^{(i)}$. We shall show the following two theorems. They might be of interest for solving problems arising from statistics, as for instance the problem of testing the hypothesis (H_0) : “ $\sigma^{1,2} = \sigma^{2,1} = 0$ ” in (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. *For any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$n^{2H-1} J_n(t) \xrightarrow{\text{prob}} \int_0^t (\sigma_s^{1,1} \sigma_s^{1,2} + \sigma_s^{2,1} \sigma_s^{2,2}) ds \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $\sigma^{1,2} = \sigma^{2,1} = 0$ and let*

$$a_n := \begin{cases} n^{2H-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < H < \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}} & \text{if } H = \frac{3}{4} \\ n & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} < H < 1 \end{cases}. \quad (1.4)$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$a_n J_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \int_0^{\cdot} \sigma_s^{1,1} \sigma_s^{2,2} dZ_s \quad \text{in the Skorohod space } D[0, T]. \quad (1.5)$$

In (1.5), the definition of Z is according to the value of H . More precisely, Z equals $\frac{C_H}{2}$ times W when $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$, with C_H given by (3.9)-(3.10) and W a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F} ; and $Z = \frac{1}{2}(R^{(1)} - R^{(2)})$ when $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, with $R^{(k)}$ the Rosenblatt process constructed from the fractional Brownian motion

$$\beta^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B^{(1)} + (-1)^{k+1} B^{(2)}), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

see Definition 3.4 for the details.

1.2 Link to the existing literature

Our results are close in spirit to those contained in [4] (which has been a strong source of inspiration to us), where central limit theorems for power variations of integral fractional processes are investigated.

As we will see our analysis of J_n , that requires similar but different efforts compared to [4] (as we are here dealing with a *two-dimensional* fractional Brownian motion on one hand and we also consider¹ the case where $H > \frac{3}{4}$ on the other hand), is actually greatly simplified by the use of a recent, nice result obtained in [3] about the asymptotic behaviour of weighted random sums.

1.3 Plan of the paper

The rest of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains a thorough description of the framework in which our study takes place (in particular, we recall the definition of the Young integral and we provide its main properties). Section 3 gathers several preliminary results that will be essential for proving our main results. Finally, proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are given in Section 4.

2 Our framework

In this section, we describe the framework used throughout the paper and we fix a parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We let C^α denote the set of Hölder continuous functions of index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, that is, the set of those functions $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|f|_\alpha := \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{(t - s)^\alpha} < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Also, we set $\|f\|_\alpha := |f|_\alpha + |f|_\infty$, with $|f|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t)|$.

For a fixed $f \in C^\alpha$, we consider the operator $T_f : \mathcal{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1$ defined as

$$T_f(g)(t) = \int_0^t f(u)g'(u)du, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$ be such that $\alpha + \beta > 1$. Then T_f extends, in a unique way, to an operator $T_f : C^\beta \rightarrow C^\beta$, which further satisfies

$$\|T_f(g)\|_\beta \leq (1 + C_{\alpha,\beta}) (1 + T^\beta) \|f\|_\alpha \|g\|_\beta,$$

with $C_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(\alpha+\beta-1)} < \infty$. See, e.g., [8, Theorem 3.1] for a proof.

¹The authors of [4] did not consider the case where $H > \frac{3}{4}$ since, quoting them, “the problem is more involved because non-central limit theorems are required”.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ be such that $\alpha + \beta > 1$. Let $f \in C^\alpha$ and $g \in C^\beta$. The Young integral $\int_0^\cdot f(u)dg(u)$ is then defined as being $T_f(g)$.

The Young integral satisfies (see, e.g., [8, inequality (3.3)]) that, for any $a, b \in [0, T]$ with $a < b$,

$$\left| \int_a^b (f(u) - f(a))dg(u) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} |f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (b - a)^{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (2.7)$$

As we said in the Introduction, we let $B = (B^{(1)}, B^{(2)})$ be a 2-dimensional fractional Brownian motion defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . We assume further that \mathcal{F} is the σ -field generated by B . We also suppose that the Hurst parameter H of B is the same for the two components and that it is strictly bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\sigma^{i,j} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i, j = 1, 2$, be four given stochastic processes that are measurable with respect to \mathcal{F} . We will assume throughout the text that the following two additional assumptions on α and $\sigma^{i,j}$ take place:

(A) $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{H}{2}, H)$,

(B) For each pair $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}^2$, the random variable $\|\sigma^{i,j}\|_\alpha$ has moments of all orders.

Observe that $\alpha + H > 1$ due to both (A) and $H > \frac{1}{2}$, so that the integrals in (1.1) are well-defined in the Young sense. Also, recall the following variant of the Garcia-Rodemich-Rumsey Lemma [5]: for any $q > 1$, there exists a constant $c_{\alpha, q} > 0$ (depending only on α and q) such that

$$|B^{(i)}|_\alpha^q \leq c_{\alpha, q} \iint_{[0, T]^2} \frac{|B_u^{(i)} - B_v^{(i)}|^q}{|u - v|^{2 + q\alpha}} dudv. \quad (2.8)$$

Using (2.8), one deduces that $|B^{(i)}|_\alpha$ has moments of all orders.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Breuer-Major theorem

The next statement is a direct consequence of the celebrated Breuer-Major [2] theorem (see [8, Section 7.2] for a modern proof). We write ‘fdd’ to indicate the convergence of all the finite-dimensional distributions.

Theorem 3.1 (Breuer-Major). *Let β be a (one-dimensional) fractional Brownian motion of index $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and with W a standard Brownian motion,*

(i) *if $H < \frac{3}{4}$ then*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [(\beta_k - \beta_{k-1})^2 - 1] \right\}_{t \in [0, T]} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (|k+1|^{2H} + |k-1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H})^2 \{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]};$$

(ii) *if $H = \frac{3}{4}$ then*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [(\beta_k - \beta_{k-1})^2 - 1] \right\}_{t \in [0, T]} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \frac{3}{4} \log 2 \{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}.$$

By a scaling argument (to pass from k to k/n) and by using the seminal result of Peccati and Tudor [10] (to allow an extra F), one immediately deduces from Theorem 3.1 the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\beta = (\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)})$ be a two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion of index $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and with W a (one-dimensional) standard Brownian motion independent of β , we have, for any random vector $F = (F_1, \dots, F_d)$ measurable with respect to β ,*

(i) *if $H < \frac{3}{4}$ then*

$$\left\{ F, n^{2H-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [(\beta_{k/n}^{(1)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(1)})^2 - (\beta_{k/n}^{(2)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(2)})^2] \right\}_{t \in [0, T]} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \{F, C_H W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]},$$

where

$$C_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (|k+1|^{2H} + |k-1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H})^2 \quad (3.9)$$

(ii) if $H = \frac{3}{4}$ then

$$\left\{ F, \frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [(\beta_{k/n}^{(1)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(1)})^2 - (\beta_{k/n}^{(2)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(2)})^2] \right\}_{t \in [0, T]} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \{F, C_{3/4} W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]},$$

where

$$C_{3/4} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \log 2. \quad (3.10)$$

3.2 Taqqu's theorem and the Rosenblatt process

Taqqu's theorem [12] describes the fluctuations of the quadratic variation of the fractional Brownian motion when the Hurst index H is strictly bigger than $\frac{3}{4}$, that is, for the range of values which are not covered by the Breuer-Major Theorem 3.1. We state here a version that fits into our framework. With respect to the original statement, it is worthwhile noting that, in Theorem 3.3 (whose proof may be found in [9]), the convergence is in $L^2(\Omega)$ (and not only in law). This latter fact will reveal to be crucial in our proof of Theorem 1.2, as it will allow us to apply the main result of [3] recalled in Section 3.4.

Theorem 3.3 (Taqqu). *Let β be a (one-dimensional) fractional Brownian motion of index $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$. Then, for any $t \in [0, T]$, the sequence*

$$n^{1-2H} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [n^{2H} (\beta_{k/n} - \beta_{(k-1)/n})^2 - 1] \quad (3.11)$$

converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 3.4. *Let the assumption of Theorem 3.3 prevail and denote by R_t the limit of (3.11). The process $R = \{R_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is called the Rosenblatt process constructed from β .*

For the main properties of the Rosenblatt process R , we refer the reader to Taqqu [13] or Tudor [14]. See also [8, Section 7.3]. An immediate corollary of Theorem 3.3 is as follows.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\beta = (\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)})$ be a two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion of index $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$. Then, for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} [(\beta_{k/n}^{(1)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(1)})^2 - (\beta_{k/n}^{(2)} - \beta_{(k-1)/n}^{(2)})^2] \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} R_t^{(1)} - R_t^{(2)}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $R^{(i)}$ is the Rosenblatt process constructed from the fractional Brownian motion $\beta^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$.

3.3 Two simple auxiliary lemmas

To complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we will, among other things, need the following two simple lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Let B and σ be as in Section 2. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, H, T, \sigma) > 0$ such that, for any $i, j = 1, 2$, any $n \geq 1$ and any $k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor\}$,*

$$\left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (\sigma_s^{i,j} - \sigma_{k/n}^{i,j}) dB_s^j \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Cn^{-2\alpha}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{i,j} dB_s^j \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Cn^{-H}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may and will assume that $i = j = 1$. Using (2.7) with $\beta = \alpha$, we have, almost surely,

$$\left| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (\sigma_s^{1,1} - \sigma_{k/n}^{1,1}) dB_s^1 \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\alpha} |\sigma^{1,1}|_{\alpha} |B^1|_{\alpha} n^{-2\alpha}.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (\sigma_s^{1,1} - \sigma_{k/n}^{1,1}) dB_s^1 \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,\alpha}^2 \sqrt{E [\|\sigma^{1,1}\|_{\alpha}^4]} \sqrt{E [B^1]_{\alpha}^4} n^{-4\alpha} = Cn^{-4\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

thus yielding (3.12). On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{i,j} dB_s^j \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{i,j} - \sigma_{k/n}^{i,j} \right) dB_s^j \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \sigma_{k/n}^{i,j} \Delta B_{k/n}^j \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq Cn^{-2\alpha} + Cn^{-2H}, \quad \text{by (3.12) and because of (B)} \\
& \leq Cn^{-H}, \quad \text{using (A),}
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired claim (3.13). \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $g, h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuous functions, let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and let us write $\Delta h_{k/n}$ to denote the increment $h(k/n) - h((k-1)/n)$. If*

$$\forall t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q} : \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(k/n) (\Delta h_{k/n})^2 = t, \quad (3.14)$$

then, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} g(k/n) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(k/n) (\Delta h_{k/n})^2 = \int_0^t g(s) ds.$$

Proof. Since $t \mapsto n^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(k/n) (\Delta h_{k/n})^2$ is non-decreasing, it is straightforward to deduce from (3.14) that, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(k/n) (\Delta h_{k/n})^2 = t.$$

Otherwise stated, the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the compactly supported measure

$$\nu_n(dx) = n^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} (\Delta h_{k/n})^2 \delta_{k/n}(dx),$$

where δ_a stands for the Dirac mass at a , converges pointwise to the cdf of the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$. Since g is continuous, it is then a routine exercise to deduce that our desired claim holds true. \square

3.4 Asymptotic behaviour of weighted random sums, following Corcuera, Nualart and Podolskij [3]

The following result represents a central ingredient in the proof of both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $u = \{u_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a Hölder continuous process with index $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, set*

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} u_{k/n} \Delta B_{k/n}^{(1)} \Delta B_{k/n}^{(2)}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and let a_n be given by (1.4). Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$a_n K_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \int_0^\cdot u_s dZ_s \quad \text{in the Skorohod space } D[0, T]. \quad (3.15)$$

Here, Z is as in the statement of Theorem 1.2.

The proof of our Proposition 3.8 heavily relies on a nice result taken from Corcuera, Nualart and Podolskij [3]. Actually, we will need a slight extension of the result of [3], that we state here for convenience (and also because we do not share the same notation). The only difference between Theorem 3.9 as stated below and its original version appearing in [3] is that Z is allowed not to be a Brownian motion. A careful inspection of the proof given in [3] indeed reveals that the Brownian feature of Z plays actually no role; the only property of Z which is used is that the sum of its Hölder exponent and that of u is strictly bigger than 1, see **(H1)**.

Theorem 3.9 (Corcuera, Nualart, Podolskij). *The underlying probability space is (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let $u = \{u_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a Hölder continuous process with index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and let $\xi = \{\xi_{k,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor nT \rfloor}$ be a family of random variables. Set*

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \xi_{k,n}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Assume the following two hypotheses on the double sequence ξ :

(H1) $\{g_n(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \{Z(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ \mathcal{F} -stably, where Z is Hölder continuous with index β such that $\alpha + \beta > 1$.

(H2) There is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq [nT]$,

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^j \xi_{k,n} \right)^4 \right] \leq C \left(\frac{j-i}{n} \right)^2.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[n\cdot]} u_{\frac{k}{n}} \xi_{k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \int_0^\cdot u_s dZ_s \quad \text{in the Skorohod space } D[0, T],$$

where $\int_0^\cdot u_s dZ_s$ is understood as a Young integral.

Armed with Theorem 3.9, we are now ready to prove Proposition 3.8.

Proof of Proposition 3.8. Set $\xi_{k,n} = a_n \Delta B_{k/n}^{(1)} \Delta B_{k/n}^{(2)}$ and $g_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \xi_{k,n}$, $t \in [0, T]$. We shall check the two assumptions **(H1)** and **(H2)** of Theorem 3.9.

Step 1: Checking **(H1)**. We make use of the rotation trick. More precisely, let $\beta^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B^{(1)} + B^{(2)})$ and $\beta^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B^{(1)} - B^{(2)})$, so that $\xi_{k,n} = \frac{a_n}{2} \left((\Delta \beta_{k/n}^{(1)})^2 - (\Delta \beta_{k/n}^{(2)})^2 \right)$. It is easy to check that $\beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(2)}$ are two independent fractional Brownian motions of index H . As a result, assumption **(H1)** is satisfied thanks to Corollary 3.2 (resp. Corollary 3.5) when $H \leq \frac{3}{4}$ (resp. $H > \frac{3}{4}$).

Step 2: Checking **(H2)**. Since all the $L^p(\Omega)$ -norms are equivalent inside a given Wiener chaos (here: the second Wiener chaos), it suffices to check the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq [nT]$,

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^j \xi_{k,n} \right)^2 \right] \leq C \frac{j-i}{n}. \quad (3.16)$$

Using the independence of $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$, one computes that

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^j \xi_{k,n} \right)^2 \right] = a_n^2 n^{-4H} \sum_{k,k'=i+1}^j \rho(k-k')^2,$$

with $\rho(r) = \frac{1}{2}(|r+1|^{2H} + |r-1|^{2H} - 2|r|^{2H})$. As a result, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq [nT]$,

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^j \xi_{k,n} \right)^2 \right] \leq a_n^2 n^{-4H} (j-i) \sum_{r=-[nT]}^{[nT]} \rho(r)^2.$$

It is straightforward to show that $a_n^2 n^{1-4H} \sum_{r=-[nT]}^{[nT]} \rho(r)^2 = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, (3.16) is satisfied, and so is **(H2)**.

To conclude the proof of Proposition 3.8, it remains to apply Theorem 3.9 with $\xi_{k,n} = a_n \Delta B_{k/n}^{(1)} \Delta B_{k/n}^{(2)}$. \square

4 Proof of our main results

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We divide it into several steps.

Step 1. Recall J_n from (1.2). One can write

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,1} dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,2} dB_s^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{2,1} dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{2,2} dB_s^2 \right) \\ &=: A_n(t) + R_{1,n}(t) + R_{2,n}(t), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{1,1} \Delta B_{k/n}^1 + \sigma_{k/n}^{1,2} \Delta B_{k/n}^2 \right) \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \Delta B_{k/n}^1 + \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} \Delta B_{k/n}^2 \right), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,n}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,1} dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,2} dB_s^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{2,1} - \sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \right) dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{2,2} - \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} \right) dB_s^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2,n}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \Delta B_{k/n}^1 + \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} \Delta B_{k/n}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{1,1} - \sigma_{k/n}^{1,1} \right) dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{1,2} - \sigma_{k/n}^{1,2} \right) dB_s^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Step 2. Let us prove the convergence of $n^{2H-1}R_{i,n}(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, $t \in [0, T]$, in $\overline{L^1(\Omega)}$ towards zero. Using Cauchy-Schwarz and Lemma 3.6, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{1,n}(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,1} dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \sigma_s^{1,2} dB_s^2 \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\times \left\| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{2,1} - \sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \right) dB_s^1 + \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left(\sigma_s^{2,2} - \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} \right) dB_s^2 \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq Cn^{-(H+2\alpha-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to our assumption **(A)**, one deduces that $n^{2H-1}\|R_{1,n}(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, one proves that $n^{2H-1}\|R_{2,n}(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$.

Step 3. Let us now consider A_n . One has

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{1,1} \Delta B_{k/n}^1 + \sigma_{k/n}^{1,2} \Delta B_{k/n}^2 \right) \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \Delta B_{k/n}^1 + \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} \Delta B_{k/n}^2 \right) \\ &=: A_{1,n}(t) + A_{2,n}(t) + S_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_{i,n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sigma_{k/n}^{1,i} \sigma_{k/n}^{2,i} (\Delta B_{k/n}^i)^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (4.20)$$

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left(\sigma_{k/n}^{1,1} \sigma_{k/n}^{2,2} + \sigma_{k/n}^{1,2} \sigma_{k/n}^{2,1} \right) \Delta B_{k/n}^1 \Delta B_{k/n}^2. \quad (4.21)$$

Using Proposition 3.8 and whatever the value of H compared to $\frac{3}{4}$, one immediately checks that $n^{2H-1}S_n(t)$ converges in law to zero, thus in probability. On the other hand, fix $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and recall the well-known fact that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(k/n) (\Delta B_{k/n}^i)^2 = t \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

We then deduce that, with probability 1, assumption (3.14) holds true with $h = B^i$ and $\gamma = 2H - 1$. Lemma 3.7 applies and yields that

$$n^{2H-1}A_{i,n}(t) \rightarrow \int_0^t \sigma_s^{1,i} \sigma_s^{2,i} ds \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Step 4. Plugging together the conclusions of Steps 1 to 3 completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall from the previous section that $J_n = A_{1,n} + A_{2,n} + S_n + R_{1,n} + R_{2,n}$, with $A_{i,n}$, S_n , $R_{1,n}$ and $R_{2,n}$ given by (4.20), (4.21), (4.18) and (4.19) respectively. Using the estimates of Step 2 in the previous section, we easily obtain that, under **(A)**, $a_n R_{i,n}(t)$ tends to zero in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, the quantities $A_{1,n}$ and $A_{2,n}$ given by (4.20) equal zero when $\sigma^{1,2} = \sigma^{2,1} = 0$. As a result, the asymptotic behavior of $a_n J_n$ is the same as that of $a_n S_n$, and the desired conclusion follows directly from Proposition 3.8. \square

Acknowledgments. We thank David Nualart and Mark Podolskij for helpful discussions about reference [3].

References

- [1] F.E. Benth (2003): On arbitrage-free pricing of weather derivatives based on fractional brownian motion. *Appl. Math. Finance* **10**, 303-324.
- [2] P. Breuer and P. Major (1983): Central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. *J. Mult. Anal.* **13**, 425-441.
- [3] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart and M. Podolskij (2014): Asymptotics of weighted random sums. *Communications in Applied and Industrial Mathematics*, to appear.
- [4] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart and J.H.C. Woerner (2006): Power variation of some integral long memory process. *Bernoulli* **12**(4), 713-735.
- [5] A.M. Garcia, E. Rodemich and H. Rumsey (1978): A real variable lemma and the continuity of paths of some Gaussian processes. *Indiana Math. J.* **20**, 565-578.
- [6] S.C. Kou and X. Sunney Xie (2004): Generalized langevin equation with fractional gaussian noise: Subdiffusion within a single protein molecule. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**.
- [7] D. Meintrup, G. Denk and S. Scheffler (2001): Transient noise simulation: modeling and simulation of $1/f$ noise. In K. et al. Antreich, editor, *Modeling, simulation and optimization of integrated circuits*, Int. Ser. Numer. Math. **146**, 251-267. Birkhauser.

- [8] I. Nourdin (2012): *Selected aspects of fractional Brownian motion*. Bocconi & Springer Series 4. Springer, Milan; Bocconi University Press, Milan. x+122 pp
- [9] I. Nourdin, D. Nualart and C. Tudor (2009): Central and Non-Central Limit Theorems for Weighted Power Variations of Fractional Brownian Motion. *Ann. I.H.P.* **46**, 1055-1079.
- [10] G. Peccati and C.A. Tudor (2005): Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII*. LNM 1857. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 247-262.
- [11] J. Syroka, D. Brody and M. Zervos (2002): Dynamical pricing of weather derivatives. *Quantitative Finance* **2**, 189- 198.
- [12] M. S. Taqqu (1975): Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **31**, 287-302.
- [13] M. S. Taqqu (2011): The Rosenblatt process. In *Selected Works of Murray Rosenblatt*, edited by R.A. Davis, K.-S. Lii and D.N. Politis. Selected Works in Probability and Statistics, 29-45.
- [14] C. A. Tudor (2008). Analysis of the Rosenblatt process. *ESAIM Probab. Statist.* **12**, 230-257.
- [15] L.C. Young (1936): An inequality of the Hölder type connected with Stieltjes integration. *Acta Math.* **67**, 251-282.