

Extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) 1, 2 are required for luteinizing hormone (LH)-induced steroidogenesis in primary Leydig cells and control steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) expression

Nadine Martinat, Pascale Crépieux, Eric Reiter, Florian Guillou

▶ To cite this version:

Nadine Martinat, Pascale Crépieux, Eric Reiter, Florian Guillou. Extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) 1, 2 are required for luteinizing hormone (LH)-induced steroidogenesis in primary Leydig cells and control steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) expression. Reproduction Nutrition Development, 2005, 45 (1), pp.101-108. 10.1051/rnd:2005007. hal-00900547

HAL Id: hal-00900547 https://hal.science/hal-00900547

Submitted on 11 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Original article

Extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) 1, 2 are required for luteinizing hormone (LH)-induced steroidogenesis in primary Leydig cells and control steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) expression

Nadine MARTINAT*, Pascale CRÉPIEUX, Eric REITER, Florian GUILLOU

Laboratoire de Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique/Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique/Université de Tours/Haras Nationaux, UMR 6175, Centre de Recherches de Tours, 37380 Nouzilly, France

(Received 2 July 2004; accepted 30 November 2004)

Abstract – The luteinizing hormone (LH) plays a critical role in steroidogenesis, by stimulating cAMPdependent protein kinase A (PKA) and phospholipase A_2 activity, and by mobilizing calcium and chloride ions. In contrast, whether the ERK 1, 2 mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases are involved in LH-induced steroidogenesis is less obvious. Here, we sought to clarify this point in rat primary Leydig cells, naturally bearing the LH receptor (LH-R) in male, and in the mouse tumoral Leydig cell line (MLTC 1). Pre-incubation of both cell types with the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK) inhibitors U0126 and PD98059 reduced LH-induced steroidogenesis, and tonically enhanced the expression of the StAR protein. Furthermore, ERK1, 2 were inducibly phosphorylated following LH exposure of MLTC 1 cells. Altogether, our results indicate that in primary as well as in tumoral Leydig cells, inhibiting MEK dampened LH-induced steroidogenesis but enhanced basal as well as LH-induced StAR expression, suggesting that ERK1,2 could be involved in these responses.

LH / rat Leydig cells / steroidogenesis / MAP kinases / StAR

1. INTRODUCTION

Steroid hormones have proven to be crucial for fertility throughout the animal kingdom. In Mammals, steroidogenesis is primarily controlled by luteinizing hormone (LH), which targets testosterone production in Leydig cells of the testis, and progesterone in granulosa cells of the ovary. The LH-R is a seven-pass transmembrane receptor which mainly couples to G α s, increasing the canonical second messenger cAMP levels [1], thereby activating PKA which ultimately targets CREB family-dependent transcriptional events. Steroid biosynthesis requires the rapid uptake of intra-cellular stores of cholesterol to the outer mitochondrial membrane and delivery of cholesterol to the inner mitochondrial membrane, where it is cleaved by cholesterol side-chain cleavage cytochrome P450. Importantly, the cholesterol transport is a rate-limiting step in steroidogenesis which depends on de novo synthesis of the StAR protein [2]. Recently,

^{*} Corresponding author: martinat@tours.inra.fr

transcription of StAR has been shown to be regulated by CREM, a CREB-related transcription factor, in the MA10 Leydig cell line [3].

Besides, following the coupling of LH-R to Gai or Gaq, other alternative LH-mediated signaling pathways potentially regulating steroidogenesis have been described in rat Leydig cells, including calcium [4, 5] and chloride ion mobilization[6], as well as activation of phospholipase A_2 [7]. In L cells, stably expressing the LH-R, phosphoinositide mobilization has also been evoked [8]. In contrast, the implication of ERK1,2 MAP kinases to transduce LH-induced steroidogenesis has led to more conflicting views. For instance, in MA10 cells, cAMP-induced steroid synthesis depends upon phosphorylation of ERK1,2 MAP kinases, and on a subsequent raise in the StAR gene transcription [9]. Likewise, in primary granulosa cells, progesterone production necessitates ERK1,2 activation [10]. In contrast, in a granulosa cell line overexpressing the LH-R, both StAR transcription and steroidogenesis are hampered by ERK MAP kinases [10, 11]. As mentioned above, these data originate from studies of Leydig or granulosa immortalized cell lines.

To gain a better insight into the control of LH-mediated steroidogenesis in the LH natural target cells, we investigated the dependency of steroidogenesis on the ERK1,2 MAP kinases in MLTC 1 cells, a Leydig tumoral cell line, and in freshly isolated mature rat Leydig cells.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Pharmacological reagents

Porcine LH (pLH CY 1354; 2.0 × NIH LH S1) was purified by Dr Yves Combarnous. Collagenase, leupeptin, pepstatin A, aprotinin and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Park-Davis (PD) 98059 and U0126 were purchased from New England Biolabs Inc.

2.2. Cells

Leydig cells were isolated from the testes of mature 52-day-old Wistar rats as described [12]. Briefly, the testes were dispersed by collagenase digestion (0.25 mg·mL⁻¹) in L15 medium (Serva), the tubular tissue was settled in a glass cylinder, the supernatant was recovered, centrifuged for $5 \min at 80 g$, and the cell pellet was placed onto a Percoll discontinuous gradient (17, 42, 70%). After centrifugation at 80 g for 30 min, Leydig cells were recovered at the 42-70% interface and washed twice in L15 medium prior to use. The purity of Leydig cells reached 85%, as determined by histochemical staining of 3β -hydroxy-steroid deshydrogenase $(3\beta$ -HSD) [13]. Macrophages were the other cell type identified in the preparation, as shown by immunochemistry with an anti-ED2 antibody (Serotec). Cell viability reached 90%, as determined by Trypan blue exclusion.

MLTC 1 cells [14], generously provided by Dr E.M. McNamara (Université de Liège, Belgium), were grown in RPMI 1640 with L-Glutamine and 25 mM Hepes, supplemented with 0.1% gentamycin, 10 U·mL⁻¹ penicillin, 10 μ g·mL⁻¹ streptomycin and with 10% fetal calf serum, at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

2.3. Biological responses and pharmacological treatments

Freshly isolated Leydig cells (8×10^5 cells per aliquot in L15 medium) were stimulated for 3 h at 34 °C under continuous shaking. Then the cells were centrifuged at 80 g for 10 min, and secreted testosterone was quantified in the media by a radioimmunoassay (RIA), as previously described [12]. MLTC 1 cells were seeded at 1.5×10^5 cells per well in 24-well plates. After overnight plating, the cells were serum-starved for one hour before stimulation for 3 h at 37 °C, then the media were harvested, boiled for 10 min, centrifuged and the supernatants were assayed for progesterone content [15, 16].

The concentrations of PD98059 ($100 \,\mu M$) and U0126 (10 μ M) were similar to those commonly used with transformed cell lines. Controls incubated with similar doses of DMSO, the MEK inhibitor solvent, were performed. Pharmacological treatments were generally more detrimental to Leydig cell viability when compared to LH-R-overexpressing cell lines (our unpublished observations). Therefore, our experimental procedures were standardized in Leydig cells and similar doses were assayed in MLTC 1 cells. In addition, intracellular cAMP production was quantified in any experimental condition, to ensure primary Leydig cell viability and responsiveness to LH stimulation. For example, in the control experiments, the basal cAMP level was $18.7 \pm$ 1.2 nM and LH-induced cAMP level was 41.75 ± 1.2 nM. Likewise, in the presence of UO126, the basal cAMP level was 18.25 \pm 2.5 nM and LH-induced cAMP level was 44.75 ± 0.01 nM.

2.4. Immunoblot analysis and antibodies

After hormone stimulation, the cells were disrupted on ice for 30 min in a lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris (pH 7.8), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMSF, 4mM sodium orthovanadate, 5 μ g·mL⁻¹ leupeptin, $5 \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$ pepstatin A, $5 \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$ aprotinin and 1% v/v Triton X100. After centrifugation at 10 000 g, cell supernatants were recovered and protein content was estimated by a Bradford reaction measured at 595 nm. A hundred micrograms of total cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE (10% acrylamide 37.5:1, Biorad), electrophoretically transferred to PVDF membrane (NEN Life Science Products) for 2 h at 100 V, and probed with the respective antibodies. The rabbit anti-StAR antibody, generously provided by Dr Hales [17], was diluted 1:5000, and the anti-phospho-p44^{ERK1}/p42^{ERK2} monoclonal antibody (New England Biolabs Inc.) was diluted 1:2000. Horseradish peroxydase-coupled anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (Sanofi/Pasteur) diluted 1:5000 were used to detect antigen-antibody interactions by enhanced chemiluminescence (NEN Life Science Products). The ImageScan Software (Amersham-Pharmacia) was used for scanning and quantification. The blotting membranes were stained with Coomassie blue, scanned and used to normalize the immunodetections.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Each experiment was reproduced more than 3 times, with each sample in quadruplicate for steroid quantifications. To compare the significance of the results obtained from drug-treated and drug-untreated samples, statistical analysis was performed with the Fisher *F* test.

3. RESULTS

3.1. ERK1,2 MAP kinases are involved in steroidogenesis

In Leydig cells, pre-treatment with PD98059 (Fig. 1A) strongly impeded LHinduced testosterone biosynthesis (up to 80%), and also the basal response (50%), suggesting the involvement of MEK in driving the steroidogenic response. To minimize the risk of studying non-specific effects, another widely used MEK inhibitor, U0126, was assayed. This set of experiments led to similar results, i.e. 70% inhibition of the LH-stimulated response, and 40% inhibition of the basal response (Fig. 1B). MEK are dual-specificity Thr- and Tyr kinases which specifically activate ERK1,2 MAP kinases. Hence, we sought to investigate by immunoblotting whether ERK1,2 were dually phosphorylated in basal and LH-induced conditions. Surprisingly, in response to LH stimulation, we failed to visualize any modulation of ERK1,2 phosphorylation level, whatever the dose of LH (Fig. 1C), or the hormone stimulation time (Fig. 1D). However, ERK1,2 were basally phosphorylated, which may further prevent LH-induced phosphorylation, and this basal phosphorylation was completely abrogated by U0126.

In MLTC 1 cells, pre-treatment with U0126 caused a decrease in the basal (insert) as





Figure 1. MAPK-dependent steroidogenesis in Leydig cells. Leydig cells (8×10^5 cells) were preincubated for 30 min with (A) 100 mM PD98059 (black squares) or (B) with 10 μ M U0126 (black dots), or with 0.5% DMSO (open dots), prior to stimulation with increasing doses of LH for 3 h. Then, testosterone synthesis was quantified. The results are expressed as mean values of quadruplicates \pm S.D. The statistical analysis was performed with the Fisher F test, with *** for P < 0.001. In the inhibitor-treated series, the error bars are too tiny to be visible. (C) Immunodetection of ERK1,2 MAP kinase phosphorylation level, with or without U0126 as indicated, following 2 h of LH stimulation. (D) Kinetics of ERK1,2 MAP kinase phosphorylation level, following LH stimulation (100 ng-mL⁻¹). Beneath is shown a Coomassie blue staining of the membranes. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

well as in the LH-induced progesterone response (Fig. 2A). The same results were obtained with PD98059 (data not shown). As opposed to Leydig cells, in MLTC 1 cells, phosphorylation of ERK1,2 was enhanced by increasing amounts of LH (Fig. 2B). Consistently, pre-treatment with U0126 com-

pletely abolished ERK1,2 basal and LH-induced phosphorylation.

Albeit modulation of ERK1,2 MAP kinase phosphorylation upon LH stimulation cannot be detected in Leydig cells, it appears that their inhibition has more pronounced outcomes on steroidogenesis than in MLTC 1 cells.



Figure 2. MAPK-dependent steroidogenesis in MLTC 1 cells. (A) MLTC 1 cells $(1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ were pre-incubated with 10 μ M U0126 (black dots) or not (open dots) for 30 min, prior to stimulation with increasing doses of LH for 3 h, and progesterone synthesis was quantified. The inserted graph is a magnification of the basal levels, in both conditions. The results are expressed as above, with ** for *P* < 0.01. (B) Immunodetection of ERK1,2 MAP kinase phosphorylation level after one hour of LH stimulation. Beneath is shown a Coomassie blue staining of the membranes. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

3.2. LH regulates StAR protein expression differently in Leydig cells and in MLTC 1 cells

We next investigated whether the LHdependent signaling events that modulate steroidogenesis could converge on expression of the StAR protein as an intermediate. The 30 kDa mature form of StAR was upregulated by LH stimulation in Leydig cells (Fig. 3A), as previously reported [18]. However and quite strikingly, pre-treatment of cells with U0126 enhanced the basal StAR expression level so much, that the inducibility upon LH stimulation was greatly reduced.

In MLTC 1 cells, stimulation with LH (Fig. 3B, lane 2) for 3 h increased the expression of the StAR protein in the range of 2-fold for both the 37 kDa precursor and the 30 kDa protein [19]. Pre-treatment with U0126 led to a 1.5-fold increase in the StAR basal level (lanes 1 and 3), hence reducing StAR inducibility (lanes 1 and 2 vs. 3 and 4). Therefore, these results suggest that in Leydig cells, ERK1,2 would dampen LH-induced



Figure 3. StAR expression level in Leydig cells (A) and in MLTC 1 cells (B) following stimulation with 10 ng·mL⁻¹ LH (+), for 2 (A) or 3 h (B), as visualized by immunoblotting. Cells were pre-incubated with U0126 as above. Beneath is shown a Coomassie blue staining of the membranes. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

StAR inducibility. In MLTC 1 cells, StAR inducibility would depend on ERK1,2 to a minor extent. And importantly, inhibiting ERK1,2 MAP kinases elevates the StAR steady-state level in primary Leydig cells as well as in MLTC 1 cells.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study reports the involvement of ERK1,2 MAP kinases in LH-controlled testosterone biosynthesis in primary cultures of adult rat Leydig cells, and highlights their unexpected impact on StAR expression. In these cells, we show that LH stimulates testosterone production via ERK1,2 activation, as demonstrated by pre-treatment with the U0126 and PD98059 MEK-1 inhibitors. Furthermore, albeit both phosphorylated ERK1,2 (as presented herein) and up-regulation of StAR [18] are required for LH-induced testosterone production, we found that ERK1,2 inhibition did not lead to StAR down-regulation. This suggests that MAP kinase-mediated steroidogenesis stimulation might not primarily impact on StAR. Instead, ERK1,2 appear to inhibit basal StAR expression, which is similar to data obtained from immortalized granulosa cells over-expressing the LH-R [11]: but these results contrast with our data, in that the inhibition of ERK1,2 activation also enhanced progesterone production, hence directly linking ERK1,2, StAR and progesterone biosynthesis. This discrepancy may be explained by the time-course of LH stimulation and by the duration of the pharmacological inhibitor treatments. In our study, incubation times with LH and with pharmacological inhibitors did not overpass 3.5 h, in contrast to 24 to 48 h in the immortalized granulosa cells [11]. This leads us to the hypothesis that ERK1,2 MAP kinases might serve to level off StAR to restrain the steadystate testosterone biosynthesis in Leydig cells. Interestingly, a hitherto uncharacterized steroid-dependent feedback inhibition of StAR expression has recently been shown [20, 21]. Whether this step could be accomplished by ERK1,2 is addressed by our work. An important outcome of this finding is that StAR up-regulation, although necessary, may not be sufficient to trigger steroidogenesis.

Our claim that in Leydig cells testosterone production is activated by LH through a MAP kinase-dependent pathway is based on the use of two structurally distinct MEK inhibitors, namely U0126 and PD98059. MEK inhibitors can also serve as MEK-5 inhibitors [22]; nevertheless, despite extensive attempts, ERK5, although expressed in Leydig cells, was never found phosphorylated in our hands (our unpublished observations). Furthermore, in Leydig cells, we were not able to directly visualize an increase in ERK1,2 phosphorylation upon LH exposure, and the following reasons can be inferred : first, phosphorylated ERK1,2 may be differentially dispatched between the cytoplasm, where steroidogenesis occurs, and the nucleus where ERK1,2 exert their transcription-devoted functions. Such a compartmentalization would not be detected when assaying whole-cell extracts, as we did herein. Second, a very weak and undetectable LH-induced ERK phosphorylation may be sufficient to sustain steroidogenesis. Third, only 6×10^3 LH-R are expressed at the Leydig cell surface [23], which may not be sufficient to induce a detectable ERK activation. In contrast, in MLTC 1 cells, a higher receptor number, i.e. 3×10^4 sites per cell [14], could amplify all the signaling mechanisms. We favor the latter hypothesis because, according to previous studies, ERK1,2 phosphorylation level increases in parallel to the LH-R number [24, 25].

In proliferating Leydig cell precursors, hCG (human choriogonadotropin), although functionally different from LH [26, 27], binds to LH-R and induces ERK phosphorylation [28]. These cells are still devoid of steroidogenic function [29] and ERK1,2 phosphorylation could reflect their level of proliferation. Here, we have shown that ERK1.2 could also play a role in Leydig cell differentiated function, since they exert a stimulatory role in LH-controlled steroidogenesis, and could behave as brakes to regulate StAR expression. By this means, ERK1.2 MAP kinases could contribute to fine-tuning the primary differentiated function of Leydig cells.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

While this work was being reviewed, Martinelle et al. published that ERK enhanced the stimulatory effect of hCG on the de novo synthesis of StAR, in plated Leydig cells from immature rats.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr Dale Buchanan Hales (University of Illinois, USA) for providing us with the anti-StAR antibody and Dr Michael McNamara (University of Liège, Belgium) for the gift of the MLTC 1 cell line. In our laboratory, we are indebted to Dr Yves Combarnous for the generous gift of purified porcine LH, and to the rat breeders Claude Cahier, Michel Vigneau and Jean-Claude Braguer for their constant help. This work was funded by the Institut National pour la Recherche Agronomique, by the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, and by the Cancer and Solidarity Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Catt KJ, Harwood JP, Clayton RN, Davies TF, Chan V, Katikineni M, Nozu K, Dufau ML. Regulation of peptide hormone receptors and gonadal steroidogenesis. Recent Prog Horm Res 1980, 36: 557–662.
- [2] Stocco DM, Clark BJ. Regulation of the acute production of steroids in steroidogenic cells. Endocr Rev 1996, 17: 221–244.
- [3] Manna PR, Dyson MT, Eubank DW, Clark BJ, Lalli E, Sassone-Corsi P, Zeleznick AJ, Stocco DM. Regulation of steroidogenesis and the steroidogenic acute regulatory protein by a member of the cAMP response-element binding protein family. Mol Endocrinol 2002, 16: 184–199.
- [4] Sullivan MH, Cooke BA. The role of Ca²⁺ in steroidogenesis in Leydig cells. Stimulation of intracellular free Ca²⁺ by lutropin (LH), luliberin (LHRH) agonist and cyclic AMP. Biochem J 1986, 236: 45–51.
- [5] Lee PS, Buchan AM, Hsueh AJ, Yuen BH, Leung PC. Intracellular calcium mobilization in response to the activation of human wildtype and chimeric gonadotropin receptors. Endocrinology 2002, 143: 1732–1740.
- [6] Choi MS, Cooke BA. Evidence for two independent pathways in the stimulation of steroidogenesis by luteinizing hormone involving chloride channels and cyclic AMP. FEBS Lett 1990, 261: 402–404.
- [7] Cooke BA, Dirami G, Chaudry L, Choi MS, Abayasekara DR, Phipp L. Release of arachidonic acid and the effects of corticosteroids on

steroidogenesis in rat testis Leydig cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991, 40: 465–471.

- [8] Herrlich A, Kuhn B, Grosse R, Schmid A, Schultz G, Gudermann T. Involvement of Gs and Gi proteins in dual coupling of the luteinizing hormone receptor to adenylyl cyclase and phospholipase C. J Biol Chem 1996, 271: 16764–16772.
- [9] Gyles SL, Burns CJ, Whitehouse BJ, Sugden D, Marsh PJ, Persaud SJ, Jones PM. ERKs regulate cyclic AMP-induced steroid synthesis through transcription of the steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) gene. J Biol Chem 2001, 276: 34888–34895.
- [10] Dewi DA, Abayasekara DR, Wheeler-Jones CP. Requirement for ERK1/2 activation in the regulation of progesterone production in human granulosa-lutein cells is stimulus specific. Endocrinology 2002, 143: 877–888.
- [11] Seger R, Hanoch T, Rosenberg R, Dantes A, Merz WE, Strauss JF, Amsterdam A. The ERK signaling cascade inhibits gonadotropinstimulated steroidogenesis. J Biol Chem 2001, 276: 13957–13964.
- [12] Guillou F, Martinat N, Combarnous Y. Rapid in vitro desensitisation of the testosterone response in rat Leydig cells by sub-active concentrations of porcine luteinizing hormone. FEBS Lett 1985,1 84: 6–9.
- [13] Sharpe RM, Cooper I. Stimulatory effect of LHRH and its agonists on Leydig cell steroidogenesis in vitro. Mol Cell Endocrinol 1982, 26: 141–150.
- [14] Rebois RV. Establishment of gonadotropinresponsive murine leydig tumor cell line. J Cell Biol 1982, 94: 70–76.
- [15] Saumande J, Tamboura D, Chupin D. Changes in milk and plasma concentrations of progesterone in cows after treatment to induce superovulation and their relationships with number of ovulations and of embryos collected. Theriogenology 1985, 23: 719–731.
- [16] Chopineau M, Martinat N, Troispoux C, Marichatou H, Combarnous Y, Stewart F, Guillou F. Expression of horse and donkey LH in COS-7 cells: evidence for low FSH activity in donkey LH compared with horse LH. J Endocrinol 1997, 152: 371–377.
- [17] Hales K, Diemer T, Ginde S, Shankar BK, Roberts M, Bosmann HB, Hales DB. Diametric effects of bacterial entoxin lipopolysaccharide on adrenal and Leydig cell steroidogenic acute regulatory protein. Endocrinology 2000, 141: 4000–4012.
- [18] Luo L, Chen H, Stocco DM, Zirkin BR. Leydig cell protein synthesis and steroidogenesis in response to acute stimulation by luteinizing

hormone in rats. Biol Reprod 1998, 59: 263–270.

- [19] Stocco DM, Sodeman TC. The 30-kDa mitochondrial proteins induced by hormone stimulation in MA-10 mouse Leydig tumor cells are processed from larger precursors. J Biol Chem 1991, 266: 19731–19738.
- [20] Houk CP, Pearson EJ, Martinelle N, Donahoe PK, Teixeira J. Feedback inhibition of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein expression in vitro and in vivo by androgens. Endocrinology 2004, 145: 1269–1275.
- [21] Laurich VM, Trbovich AM, O'Neill FH, Houk CP, Sluss PM, Payne AH, Donahoe PK, Teixeira J. Mullerian inhibiting substance blocks the protein kinase A-induced expression of cytochrome p450 17alpha-hydroxylase/C(17-20) lyase mRNA in a mouse Leydig cell line independent of cAMP responsive element binding protein phosphorylation. Endocrinology 2002, 143: 3351–3360.
- [22] Davies PS, Reddy H, Caivano M, Cohen P. Specificity and mechanism of action of some commonly used protein kinase inhibitors. Biochem J 2000, 351: 95–105.
- [23] Mendelson C, Dufau M, Catt K. Gonadotropin binding and stimulation of cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate and testosterone production in isolated Leydig cells. J Biol Chem 1975, 250: 8818–8823.
- [24] Ascoli M, Fanelli F, Segaloff DL. The lutropin/choriogonadotropin receptor, a 2002 perspective. Endocr Rev 2002, 23: 141–174.
- [25] Hirakawa T, Galet C, Ascoli M. MA-10 cells transfected with the human lutropin/choriogonadotropin receptor (hLHR): a novel experimental paradigm to study the functional properties of the hLHR. Endocrinology 2002, 143: 1026–1035.
- [26] Combarnous Y, Guillou F, Martinat N. Functional states of the luteinizing hormone/choriogonadotropin-receptor complex in rat Leydig cells. J Biol Chem 1986, 261: 6868–6871.
- [27] Salesse R, Dacheux F, Genty N, Garnier J. Dual internalization pathway for lutropin and choriogonadotropin in porcine Leydig cells in primary culture. Biol Cell 1989, 66: 297–306.
- [28] Hirakawa T, Ascoli M. The lutropin/choriogonadotropin receptor (LHR)-induced phosphorylation of the extracellular signal regulated kinases (ERKs) in Leydig cells is mediated by a protein kinase A-dependent activation of Ras. Mol Endocrinol 2003,17: 2189–2200.
- [29] Hardy MP, Kelce WR, Klinefelter GR, Ewing LL. Differentiation of Leydig cell precursors in vitro: a role for androgen. Endocrinology 1990, 127: 488–490.