



HAL
open science

Water activity and glass transition in dairy ingredients

Pierre Schuck, Eric Blanchard, Anne Dolivet, Serge Méjean, Evelyne Onillon,
Romain Jeantet

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre Schuck, Eric Blanchard, Anne Dolivet, Serge Méjean, Evelyne Onillon, et al.. Water activity and glass transition in dairy ingredients. *Le Lait*, 2005, 85 (4-5), pp.295-304. <hal-00895604>

HAL Id: hal-00895604

<https://hal.science/hal-00895604v1>

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Water activity and glass transition in dairy ingredients

Pierre SCHUCK^{a*}, Eric BLANCHARD^b, Anne DOLIVET^a,
Serge MÉJEAN^a, Evelyne ONILLON^b, Romain JEANTET^a

^a UMR 1253 Science et Technologie du Lait et de l'Œuf, Inra-Agrocampus Rennes, 65 rue de St-Brieuc, 35042 Rennes cedex, France

^b Laiterie de Montaigu, ZI du Planty, 85807 Montaigu, France

Published online 21 June 2005

Abstract – The glass transition temperatures (T_g) of various dairy powders were determined by differential scanning calorimetry among the sorptions isotherm, and were also calculated using the extended Couchman–Karasz equation, taking into account the dry values of T_g and ΔC_p (heat capacity changes during glass transition) and the contents in each amorphous component category. The results obtained showed a statistically significant correspondence between measured and calculated T_g inflection values: the model gives an approximate inflection value of T_g corresponding to the measured one ± 14 °C. This lack of fit can be explained both by the accuracy of measurement of T_g and by not considering minor constituents of dairy powders (minerals and oligosaccharides) in calculation. From the values of T_g and ΔC_p measured and/or calculated in this study, it is possible to anticipate the behavior of a dairy powder under given temperature (T) and water activity (a_w) conditions during drying and storage with regard to stickiness and caking. We propose the calculation of a stickiness and caking sensitivity index according to the values of $[T - T_g]$ and ΔC_p , whose value is in agreement with the expected behavior of various dairy powders during drying and storage.

water activity / glass transition / dairy powder / stickiness / caking

Résumé – Activité de l'eau et transition vitreuse de divers ingrédients laitiers. Les températures de transition vitreuse (T_g) de différents ingrédients laitiers ont été déterminées par calorimétrie différentielle sur l'ensemble de l'isotherme de sorption, et calculées par l'équation de Couchman-Karasz étendue à plus de trois constituants. Cette équation prend en compte les valeurs sèches de T_g et ΔC_p (variation de chaleur spécifique mesurée lors de la transition vitreuse) et la fraction massique de chaque catégorie de constituant amorphe. Les résultats obtenus montrent une corrélation statistiquement significative entre les valeurs de T_g mesurées et calculées : le modèle utilisé permet ainsi d'obtenir une estimation de la valeur de T_g correspondant à la valeur mesurée ± 14 °C. L'écart d'ajustement peut s'expliquer par les erreurs de mesure et par la non-prise en compte des constituants mineurs dans le calcul (minéraux, oligosaccharides). À partir des valeurs de T_g et ΔC_p mesurées et/ou calculées, il est possible d'anticiper le comportement d'une poudre laitière dans des conditions données de température (T) et d'activité d'eau (a_w) au cours du séchage et du stockage, vis à vis du collage et du mottage. Nous proposons le calcul d'un indice de sensibilité au collage et au mottage à partir des valeurs de $[T - T_g]$ et ΔC_p , dont la valeur est cohérente avec le comportement connu de différentes poudres laitières au cours du séchage et du stockage.

activité de l'eau / transition vitreuse / poudre laitière / collage / mottage

* Corresponding author: pierre.schuck@rennes.inra.fr

1. INTRODUCTION

Spray drying, storage and quality of milk powder are significantly dependent on both the physical state of the lactose (one of the main components in skim milk powder) and on the proteins or other carbohydrates which themselves are dependent on the glass transition temperature (T_g). The spray drying of skim milk concentrate is so quick that the lactose cannot crystallize [18]. Rapid removal of water in subsequent spray drying does not allow lactose crystallization, and when water is removed, lactose is transformed into a solid-like, amorphous glass directly from the dissolved state [9–11, 17, 18].

Many dehydrated foods contain amorphous components in the glass form. This is a non-equilibrium state with higher energy in relation to the corresponding equilibrium state. If the temperature of a material in this state rises above a certain critical value then it transforms into a rubber. This phenomenon is known as glass transition and the temperature at which it occurs is the glass transition range temperature. This transition results in an increase in mobility in the rubbery state and this in turn can lead to change in physical and chemical properties of the material. Carbohydrates, including sugars, starch and hemicelluloses can exist in the amorphous glassy state in dried foods. Low molecular weight sugars in the glassy state are usually extremely hygroscopic and have low glass transition temperatures [2]. This can lead to problems in spray drying and/or in storage. The addition of high molecular weight compounds to these low molecular weight sugars can lead to an improvement in spray drying and storage conditions. Proteins, including gelatin, elastin, gluten, glutenin, casein, whey proteins and lysozyme, are also found in the amorphous state in dried food. In the dry state, they have a relatively a high glass transition temperature [2, 12, 15].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the moisture sorption isotherm, glass transition temperature (T_g) and state diagrams (T_g vs. moisture) for various dairy ingredients (caseinate, whey proteins, and crystallized and non-crystallized whey, skim milk and hydrolyzed skim milk powders).

From this information, we should be able to determine the glass transition temperature of any product with regard to the physical properties of its major ingredients by using the Couchman-Karasz equation [5], modified and extended in tertiary mixtures of casein, carbohydrates and water. From this thermodynamic information, one should be able to anticipate the behavior of a powder under given temperature and a_w conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Dairy powders

The native phosphocaseinate suspension (NPCS) was prepared by microfiltration and diafiltration (pore diameter: 0.1 μm) on MFS 19 equipment (Tetra-Laval, Århus, Denmark; 4.6 m^2) at 50 °C [6, 16], at $200 \pm 5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ total solids. The whey protein isolate (WPI) was obtained by ultrafiltration and diafiltration on a DDS module (Gea, Soeborg, Denmark) with a plane membrane (10000 $\text{g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ molecular mass cut-off, 9 m^2 ; 50 °C) at $200 \pm 2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ total solids. Concentrated milk and whey were produced in a two-stage falling film vacuum evaporation (GEA, Niro Atomizer, St Quentin en Yvelines, France) at Bionov (Rennes, France). The first evaporation stage was carried out at 60 °C and led to a concentrated milk and whey temperature of 40 °C. The evaporation capacity was 180 $\text{kg}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$. A part of the concentrated whey was crystallized at 25 °C for 5 h to obtain a crystallized whey concentrate. The spray drying of concentrates of NPCS, WPI, skim milk (SM), crystallized (CW) and non-crystallized whey (NCW) were performed at Bionov (Rennes, France) in a 3-stage pilot-plant spray dryer (GEA, Niro Atomizer, St Quentin en Yvelines, France) [22]. The atomizer was equipped with a pressure nozzle (0.73-mm diameter orifice) and a 4 slot core (0.51-mm nominal width), providing a 60° spray angle. Evaporation capacity was 70 to 120 $\text{kg}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (depending on inlet and outlet air temperature and air flow). The hydrolyzed skim milk (HSM) and sodium caseinate (Na caseinate) powders were produced by “La Laiterie de Montaigu” (Montaigu, France)

Table I. Biochemical composition of dairy powders.

Powders	Casein	Whey proteins	Lactose	Glucose	Galactose
	(g·100 g ⁻¹ TS)				
Non-Crystallized Whey	–	13.2 ± 0.2	78.9 ± 1.2	–	–
Crystallized Whey	–	13.5 ± 0.2	76.3 ± 1.2	–	–
Skim Milk	27.2 ± 0.1	5.4 ± 0.2	54.8 ± 0.9	–	–
Hydrolyzed Skim Milk	28.3 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.5	6.7 ± 1.2	23.1 ± 1.5	20.7 ± 1.5
Native Phosphocaseinate Suspension (NPCS)	84.5 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.5	–	–
Whey Protein Isolate (WPI)	–	85.8 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.5	–	–
Na Caseinate	91.9 ± 0.2	–	2.2 ± 0.5	–	–

with a lactose hydrolysis ratio of 89% and by “Armor Proteines” (Saint Brice en Coglès, France), respectively.

2.2. Chemical and physical analysis

Free water content was calculated by weight loss after drying 5 g of the samples with sand in a forced air oven at 105 °C for 7 h (liquid) or 5 h (powder). Total water content was determined by weight loss after drying 5 g of the sample under vacuum with sand in a forced air oven at 105 °C for 5 h [20]. Crystallization ratios were determined by calculating the difference between total water and free water content [20]. Casein and whey protein contents were determined according to [1, 19]. The lactose, galactose and glucose contents were determined by enzymatic reactions (Boehringer Mannheim, Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany). The biochemical composition of the dairy powders is reported in Table I.

Water activity (a_w) was measured in a water activity-meter (a_w -meter; Novasina RTD 200/0 and RTD 33, Pfäffikon, Switzerland) at 20 °C.

“Working” moisture adsorption isotherms were determined using the method proposed by [15]. Approximately 2-g samples of dairy powders were weighed in stainless steel dishes and placed in a desiccator which contained a saturated salt solution at an a_w between 0.11 and 0.66. The saturated salt solutions used were LiCl, CH₃COOK, MgCl₂, NaI, K₂CO₃, NaBr,

Mg(NO₃) and NaNO₂ with relative humidity (RH) of 11, 22, 33, 39, 43, 54, 57 and 66% (± 1% RH), respectively, giving a_w of 0.01 × percentage of RH at equilibrium [15]. The desiccators were then placed in temperature-controlled chambers (± 2 °C) at 20 °C, and samples were allowed to equilibrate for 15 d. Preliminary experiments showed that the dairy powders reached relative humidity equilibrium at 20 °C, whether by adsorption or desorption, within 10 d. However, to ensure a constant a_w , dairy powder samples were equilibrated for fifteen days prior to use.

Glass transition temperatures (T_g) and heat capacity changes (ΔC_p) were determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Q-1000, TA Instruments, Saint Quentin en Yvelines, France) calibrated with indium (melting point 156.6 °C). The samples were scanned twice to eliminate the hysteresis effect of thermal relaxation that is typical for glass transition. The initial scanning rate was 5 °C·min⁻¹ from –70 °C to +70 °C for the skim milk, hydrolyzed skim milk, and crystallized and non-crystallized whey powders, and from 0 °C to +130 °C for the NPCS and WPI powders. Samples were cooled at 10 °C·min⁻¹ at –70 °C, and the T_g range was determined from the DSC curve of the second scan at 5 °C·min⁻¹ from –70 °C to +250 °C (Fig. 1), giving three values: T_g onset, T_g inflection and T_g endset. The ΔC_p value was given by the change in heat capacity between onset and endset T_g values.




Figure 1. DSC curve of the second scan obtained with non-crystallized whey powder at 0.22 a_w .

2.3. Statistical analysis

For each powder from the same batch, three replications of moisture adsorption isotherms, glass transition temperatures and heat capacity change determination were performed. The Student's t test was used as a guide for pair comparisons of the trial means. Differences among trials that are subsequently described as being significant were determined at $P < 0.05$.

Comparison between measured and predicted T_g values was performed using linear regression. Fitting between values provided by the extended Couchman-Karasz equation and the experimental data was estimated by the multiple correlation coefficient (R^2) and a Fisher F test. Significance was determined at $P < 0.001$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The T_g and ΔC_p measured at various relative humidities are reported in Tables II, III, IV, V, VI and VII for the non-crystallized whey (NCW), crystallized whey (CW), skim

milk (SM), hydrolyzed skim milk (HSM), and NPCS and WPI powders, respectively.

In all cases and according to the literature, the increase in the relative humidity during the sorption isotherm involves that of the product water content, leading to a reduction in the glass transition temperature T_g [8, 13]. Indeed, and according to the Couchman-Karasz equation [5] extended in tertiary mixtures of casein, carbohydrates and water (Eq. (1)), the glass transition temperature from a product is the gravity center of the glass transition temperatures from its components:

$$T_g = \frac{W_1 \Delta C_{p1} T_{g1} + W_2 \Delta C_{p2} T_{g2} + W_3 \Delta C_{p3} T_{g3}}{W_1 \Delta C_{p1} + W_2 \Delta C_{p2} + W_3 \Delta C_{p3}} \quad (1)$$

where T_{gi} is the glass transition temperature of a component i (K), ΔC_{pi} is the change in heat capacity of this component at T_{gi} ($J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot ^\circ C^{-1}$) and W_i its weight fraction. The components taken into account are supposed to be miscible, which excludes the insoluble fractions such as crystallized lactose or calcium phosphate precipitate, for example.

Table II. Non-crystallized whey powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g), change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) and crystallization ratio at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g.100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J.g ⁻¹ .°C ⁻¹)	Crystallization ratio (%)
11	4.2 ± 0.1	49 ± 2	51 ± 1	52 ± 1	0.38 ± 0.02	12.2
22	4.8 ± 0.2	40 ± 1	44 ± 1	47 ± 1	0.42 ± 0.05	13.9
33	7.4 ± 0.1	24 ± 1	29 ± 1	32 ± 2	0.50 ± 0.02	11.6
39	8.9 ± 0.1	17 ± 2	21 ± 2	25 ± 2	0.51 ± 0.02	11.9
43	10.1 ± 0.1	12 ± 1	15 ± 1	18 ± 1	0.53 ± 0.02	13.9
53	5.7 ± 0.1	-28 ± 2	-15 ± 2	-10 ± 2	0.09 ± 0.02	72.8
57	5.9 ± 0.1	-37 ± 2	-22 ± 2	-14 ± 3	0.12 ± 0.02	76.2
66	7.4 ± 0.2	-54 ± 2	-35 ± 3	-24 ± 2	0.15 ± 0.03	81.5

Table III. Crystallized whey powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g), change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) and crystallization ratio at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g.100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J.g ⁻¹ .°C ⁻¹)	Crystallization ratio (%)
11	2.2 ± 0.1	39 ± 1	44 ± 1	48 ± 1	0.18 ± 0.01	61.9
22	2.6 ± 0.2	25 ± 2	30 ± 1	36 ± 2	0.24 ± 0.02	68.0
33	3.9 ± 0.2	14 ± 1	15 ± 2	17 ± 1	0.06 ± 0.02	70.7
39	4.3 ± 0.1	1 ± 1	2 ± 1	3 ± 2	0.04 ± 0.01	72.8
43	4.8 ± 0.2	-3 ± 1	-2 ± 1	-1 ± 1	0.03 ± 0.01	72.4
53	4.6 ± 0.1	-22 ± 3	-16 ± 3	-6 ± 3	0.10 ± 0.02	82.8
57	4.9 ± 0.2	-35 ± 3	-21 ± 3	-13 ± 2	0.11 ± 0.02	82.8
66	6.5 ± 0.1	-42 ± 4	-27 ± 3	-21 ± 2	0.15 ± 0.02	91.1

ΔC_p is representative of the extent of the biochemical modifications that occur during glass transition (i.e. around the T_g range) or changes in state (crystallization and fusion). In the case of glass transition, which corresponds to the change from a high viscosity amorphous liquid to a low viscosity amorphous liquid, its value is directly in relation to the amplitude of the changes within the product. The results obtained in this study show that ΔC_p is extremely variable according to the product with constant water activity. As an example, for a 0.22 a_w , its value is between 0.13 (WPI) and 0.42 (NCW). Generally, the value of ΔC_p is determined by the concentration and the nature (amorphous, crystallized) of the lactose present.

The values obtained for the crystallized and non-crystallized whey are given in

Tables II and III. We observed a reduction in T_g in relation to the increase in relative humidity and free water content, which is in agreement with the literature [17]. At the same time, ΔC_p value increased until crystallization of lactose occurred beyond 43% of HR in both cases (in accordance with the literature), and then decreased. In the first part of the sorption isotherm (below 43% of HR), the values of T_g and ΔC_p of the NCW were significantly higher than those of the CW, corresponding to the quantity of amorphous lactose present in these powders. Glass transition can occur only on amorphous components, and is not related to the crystallized state.

Simultaneous reduction in water content, related to the release of water molecules by lactose [18], and considerable reduction in

Table IV. Skim milk powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g), change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) and crystallization ratio at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g·100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)	Crystallization ratio (%)
11	4.4 ± 0.1	45 ± 2	49 ± 1	51 ± 1	0.23 ± 0.02	0.3
22	5.4 ± 0.2	39 ± 1	42 ± 1	46 ± 1	0.25 ± 0.03	-2.1
33	7.3 ± 0.1	22 ± 1	25 ± 1	30 ± 1	0.32 ± 0.02	5.5
39	8.6 ± 0.1	15 ± 1	20 ± 1	23 ± 1	0.33 ± 0.01	6.0
43	9.3 ± 0.1	5 ± 1	12 ± 2	17 ± 2	0.26 ± 0.01	7.4
53	8.4 ± 0.1	-9 ± 3	-1 ± 3	1 ± 3	0.29 ± 0.01	30.2
57	8.8 ± 0.2	-16 ± 3	-9 ± 2	-2 ± 3	0.33 ± 0.00	50.6
66	9.2 ± 0.2	-31 ± 4	-24 ± 4	-6 ± 3	0.36 ± 0.01	57.6

Table V. Hydrolyzed skim milk powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g), change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) and crystallization ratio at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g·100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)
11	5.8 ± 0.1	10 ± 2	13 ± 1	18 ± 1	0.28 ± 0.01
22	7.7 ± 0.1	-5 ± 1	2 ± 1	9 ± 2	0.32 ± 0.02
33	9.9 ± 0.1	-15 ± 1	-10 ± 1	-5 ± 3	0.41 ± 0.03
39	11.9 ± 0.1	-21 ± 1	-15 ± 1	-10 ± 1	0.42 ± 0.03
43	12.4 ± 0.1	-27 ± 2	-18 ± 2	-14 ± 2	0.45 ± 0.05
53	15.8 ± 0.2	-34 ± 3	-28 ± 1	-22 ± 2	0.48 ± 0.01
57	16.4 ± 0.1	-38 ± 3	-30 ± 2	-23 ± 3	0.51 ± 0.02
66	17.4 ± 0.2	-40 ± 3	-32 ± 3	-25 ± 3	0.52 ± 0.00

ΔC_p occurred during the isothermic crystallization of lactose in the powders (from 43% of HR).

In the last part of the sorption isotherm (above 43% of HR), these two powders behaved almost equally, the NCW being within the same range as the CW in terms of T_g , crystallization ratio and low value of ΔC_p .

The T_g and ΔC_p values obtained with skim milk (SM; Tab. IV) and their evolution among the sorption isotherms were comparable with those obtained with NCW. However, the extent of the modifications observed was limited compared with those of NCW, reflecting a smaller proportion of amorphous lactose in skim milk at the beginning of the isotherm ($a_w = 0.11$). Indeed, the amorphous lactose

content can be obtained from the total lactose content (Tab. I) and the crystallization ratios measured for each of these powders (Tabs. II and IV). At 0.11 a_w , it was, respectively, equal to 54.6% and 69.3% for SM and NCW, leading to a lower ΔC_p value for SM.

In the case of hydrolyzed skim milk (HSM; Tab. V), the values of free water content and T_g were higher and lower, respectively than those of skim milk at the same water activity. In accordance with the literature [7, 18], these results are explained by the fact that hydrolysis of lactose in glucose and galactose leads to an increase in powder hygroscopicity and, in accordance to the T_g values of these monosaccharides (Tab. VIII), to a reduction in the overall T_g value of the powder. ΔC_p was significantly

Table VI. NPCS powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g) and change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g·100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)
11	8.0 ± 0.1	112 ± 3	122 ± 0	130 ± 2	0.34 ± 0.03
22	9.9 ± 0.1	99 ± 2	103 ± 0	112 ± 0	0.37 ± 0.05
33	11.2 ± 0.2	86 ± 3	99 ± 2	105 ± 1	0.48 ± 0.04
39	11.6 ± 0.1	81 ± 3	90 ± 2	92 ± 1	0.53 ± 0.04

Table VII. WPI powder: free water content, glass transition temperature (T_g) and change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) at various relative humidities.

Relative Humidity (%)	Free water content (g·100 g ⁻¹ TS)	Glass transition temperature (onset -°C)	Glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Glass transition temperature (endset -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)
11	8.5 ± 0.1	102 ± 1	106 ± 1	112 ± 3	0.10 ± 0.00
22	9.5 ± 0.1	90 ± 3	95 ± 0	106 ± 1	0.13 ± 0.01
33	11.2 ± 0.1	77 ± 3	80 ± 2	85 ± 3	0.13 ± 0.03
39	12.5 ± 0.2	49 ± 3	59 ± 3	66 ± 2	0.15 ± 0.02

Table VIII. Calorimetric characteristics of various dairy ingredients.

Dairy ingredients	Dry glass transition temperature (inflection -°C)	Change in heat capacity (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)	References
Water	-139	1.94	[12]
Casein	132	0.26	Table VI; [12; 14; 15]
Whey proteins	127	0.09	Table VII; [14]
Lactose	98	0.38	[23]
Glucose	31	0.24	Table V; [3, 4, 18]
Galactose	30	0.24	Table V; [3, 4, 18]

higher for HSM than for SM, which could be due to the higher thermoplasticity of glucose and galactose as compared with lactose. This hypothesis needs, however, to be confirmed. For the whole sorption isotherm, the continuous evolution of ΔC_p observed could have been due to the absence of crystallization of glucose and galactose (not measured).

The quasi-total elimination of lactose and minerals (WPI and NPCS powders) resulted in a significant increase in T_g at a given a_w (Tabs. VI and VII). Within the a_w range investigated, and in agreement with

the literature [12, 14, 15], whey proteins and caseins were more hygroscopic than the other powders, except for hydrolyzed skim milk. One interesting finding of this study relates to the values of ΔC_p obtained for caseins and whey proteins (0.37 and 0.13 J·g⁻¹·°C⁻¹ at 0.22 a_w , respectively). These differences can be explained both by their composition in amino acids and by their degree of organization [14].

Table VIII gives T_g and ΔC_p values for the different constituent types at 0.0 a_w , given in the literature or linearly extrapolated from the results obtained in this study




Figure 2. Calculated T_g values as a function of measured T_g inflection values for various dairy powders and a_w in the range 0.11 to 0.33. The different marker styles correspond to dairy powders. ■: NCW; ◆: CW; ▲: SM; ●: HSM.

at a_w lower than 0.39 (included), i.e. before lactose crystallization (Tabs. II to VII). According to the data of Tables I and VIII, and using the Couchman–Karasz equation extended to more than three constituents (water, amorphous lactose, glucose and galactose, casein and whey proteins), it is possible to predict the T_g value of each powder. Figure 2 represents the calculated (predicted) T_g values as a function of measured T_g inflection values for SM, HSM, CW and NCW, a_w being in the range 0.11 to 0.33. The model obtained by linear regression is statistically significant at the $P < 0.001$ level, with a R^2 coefficient of 0.98. This shows that the extended Couchman–Karasz equation makes it possible to obtain a satisfying approximate inflection value of T_g , corresponding to the measured one ± 14 °C (intercept value of the model). Moreover, the higher the value of T_g , the better the estimation by the extended Couchman–Karasz equation, in accordance with the fact that the dry glass transition and ΔC_p values used in this equation (Tab. VIII) were extrapolated from the results obtained at a_w lower than 0.39. The lack of fit and

Table IX. Calculation of stickiness and caking sensitivity index.

$T - T_g$ (°C)	ΔC_p (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)	Number of points
$[T - T_g] \leq 5$	$\Delta C_p < 0.1$	0
$5 < [T - T_g] \leq 10$	$0.1 \leq \Delta C_p < 0.2$	1
$10 < [T - T_g] \leq 15$	$0.2 \leq \Delta C_p < 0.3$	2
$15 < [T - T_g] \leq 20$	$0.3 \leq \Delta C_p < 0.4$	3
$20 < [T - T_g] \leq 30$	$0.4 \leq \Delta C_p < 0.5$	4
$30 < [T - T_g]$	$0.5 \leq \Delta C_p$	5

underestimation of T_g by the model can be explained both by the accuracy of measurement of T_g and ΔC_p and by not considering minerals and oligosaccharides (especially in the case of HSM) in calculation. However, this approach makes it easily possible to reach an approximate value for T_g from the biochemical composition and the crystallization ratio of lactose. It is therefore a particularly interesting operational tool, already validated on an industrial scale.

Table X. Powder behavior during drying and storage as a function of $[T - T_g]$ and ΔC_p values.

Powder	Free Moisture content (g·kg ⁻¹)	Water activity (25 °C)	Calculated T _g temperature (inflection -°C)	Calculated ΔC_p (J·g ⁻¹ ·°C ⁻¹)	Powder temperature T (°C)	T - T _g (°C)	SCSI	Observed effects on powder
STICKINESS PROPERTIES								
Crystallized sweet whey	15.6 ± 1	0.13 ± 0.01	43	0.19	55 ± 3	+ 12	3	No [21]
	22.5 ± 1	0.22 ± 0.02	25	0.24	51 ± 3	+ 26	6	Yes [21]
Sodium caseinate	69.0 ± 1	0.24 ± 0.02	34	0.25	45 ± 2	+ 11	4	No
	83.0 ± 2	0.34 ± 0.01	22	0.48	40 ± 3	+ 18	7	Yes
Skim milk	40.7 ± 2	0.20 ± 0.02	53	0.26	60 ± 2	+ 7	3	No [21]
	60.0 ± 1	0.34 ± 0.01	35	0.31	42 ± 3	+ 7	4	No
	75.0 ± 1	0.41 ± 0.02	24	0.34	38 ± 2	+ 12	5	Yes
CAKING PROPERTIES								
Skim milk	39.6	0.20 ± 0.01	55	0.26	20 ± 2	- 35	2	No [21]
	45.2	0.26 ± 0.01	49	0.28	20 ± 2	- 29	2	No [21]
Non-crystallized sweet whey	23.9	0.10 ± 0.02	65	0.37	20 ± 2	- 4	3	No
	81.6	0.39 ± 0.01	11	0.52	20 ± 2	+ 9	6	Yes

From these results, it is possible to consider the behavior of the different powders during drying (stickiness) and storage (caking) according to the range of variations in the parameters $[T - T_g]$ (T corresponding to the temperature of the powder) and ΔC_p . An increase in $[T - T_g]$ leads to an increase in the speed of physico-chemical changes (thermoplasticity, crystallization and Maillard reaction) in the product [8, 18]. A stickiness and caking sensitivity index (SCSI, ranging between 0 and 10) can be determined for all powder, a_w and temperature conditions. This index (Eq. (2)) simultaneously integrates the values of $[T - T_g]$ (ranging between 0 and 5) and ΔC_p (ranging between 0 and 5) (Tab. IX):

$$\text{SCSI} = \text{Number of points}_{[T - T_g]} + \text{Number of points}_{[\Delta C_p]} \quad (2)$$

Considering the SCSI value calculated from this equation makes it possible to anticipate powder behavior during drying and storage, from the most favorable case

(SCSI ≤ 4: no stickiness and/or no caking) to the most unfavorable (SCSI ≥ 6: high to very high stickiness or caking hazard). Table X gives examples of SCSI and the stickiness and caking tendency observed during drying and storage of skim milk, native phosphocaseinate suspension, non-crystallized whey and crystallized whey powders. The SCSI values are clearly in agreement with the observed effects on the powder.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the glass transition temperatures of various dairy powders were determined by differential scanning calorimetry, and were also calculated using the extended Couchman-Karasz equation, taking into account the values of T_g , ΔC_p and the contents in each component category. In the case of lactose, it is necessary to consider the crystallization ratio, since the calculation of T_g takes into account only the amorphous state of the components. The results obtained showed a good correspondence between measured and calculated T_g inflection

values, which provides easy access to this essential parameter for the control of drying and storage of dairy powders.

We particularly emphasize the importance of the ΔC_p value in the understanding of the mechanisms and control of stickiness during drying and caking during storage. We propose the calculation of a stickiness and caking sensitivity index according to the values of $[T - T_g]$ and ΔC_p , making it possible to anticipate the behavior of a powder under given temperature and a_w conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aschaffenburg R., Drewry J., New procedure for the routine determination of the various non casein proteins of milk, in: Proceedings of the 15th Int. Dairy Congr., London, UK, vol. 3, 1959, pp. 1631–1637.
- [2] Brennan J.G., Food dehydration: a dictionary and guide, Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd, Oxford, UK, 1994.
- [3] Busin L., Mortazavi F., Bimbenet J.J., Étude expérimentale du séchage par atomisation de solutions sucrées, *Ind. Alim. Agric.* (1995) 485–489.
- [4] Busin L., Buisson P., Bimbenet J.J., Notion de transition vitreuse appliquée au séchage par pulvérisation de solutions glucidiques, *Sci. Aliments* 16 (1996) 443–459.
- [5] Couchman P.R., Karasz F.E., A classical thermodynamic discussion of the effect of composition on glass transition temperatures, *Macromolecules* 11 (1978) 117–119.
- [6] Fauquant J., Maubois J.L., Pierre A., Microfiltration du lait sur membrane minérale, *Tech. Lait* 1028 (1988) 21–23.
- [7] Fernandez E., Schebor C., Chirife J., Glass transition temperature of regular and lactose hydrolyzed milk powders, *Lebensm.-Wiss. Technol.* 36 (2003) 547–551.
- [8] Genin N., René F., Analyse du rôle de la transition vitreuse dans les procédés de conservation agro-alimentaires, *J. Food Eng.* 26 (1995) 391–408.
- [9] Jouppila K., Roos Y.H., Water sorption and time-dependent phenomena of milk powders, *J. Dairy Sci.* 77 (1994) 1798–1808.
- [10] Jouppila K., Roos Y.H., Glass transitions and crystallization in milk powders, *J. Dairy Sci.* 77 (1994) 2907–2915.
- [11] Jouppila K., Kansikas J., Roos Y.H., Glass transition, water plastacization, and lactose crystallization in skim milk powder, *J. Dairy Sci.* 80 (1997) 3152–3160.
- [12] Kalichevsky M.T., Blanshard J.M.V., Tokarczuk P.F., Effect of water content and sugars on the glass transition of casein and sodium caseinate, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 28 (1993) 139–151.
- [13] Le Mestre M., Simatos D., La transition vitreuse : incidences en technologie alimentaire, *Ind. Alim. Agric.* (1990) 6–12.
- [14] Matveev Y.I., Grinberg V.Y., Sochava I.V., Tolstoguzov V.B., Glass transition temperature of proteins. Calculation based on the additive contribution method and experimental data, *Food Hydrocolloids* 11 (1997) 125–133.
- [15] Mauer L.J., Smith D.E., Labuza T.P., Effect of water content, temperature and storage on the glass transition, moisture sorption characteristics and stickiness of β -casein, *Int. J. Food Prop.* 3 (2000) 233–248.
- [16] Pierre A., Fauquant J., Le Graët Y., Piot M., Maubois J.L., Préparation de phosphocaseinate natif par microfiltration sur membrane, *Lait* 72 (1992) 461–474.
- [17] Roos Y.H., Water in milk products, in: Fox P.F. (Ed.), *Advanced Dairy Chemistry*, Vol. 3: Lactose, water, salts and vitamins, Chapman & Hall, London, UK, 1997, pp. 303–346.
- [18] Roos Y.H., Importance of glass transition and water activity to spray drying and stability of dairy powders, *Lait* 82 (2002) 478–484.
- [19] Rowland S.J., The determination of nitrogen distribution in milk, *J. Dairy Res.* 9 (1938) 42–46.
- [20] Schuck P., Dolivet A., Lactose crystallization: determination of α -lactose monohydrate in spray dried dairy products, *Lait* 82 (2002) 413–421.
- [21] Schuck P., Roignant M., Brulé G., Méjean S., Bimbenet J.J., Caractérisation énergétique d'une tour de séchage par atomisation multiple effet, *Ind. Alim. Agric.* 115 (1998) 9–14.
- [22] Schuck P., Méjean S., Dolivet A., Jeantet R., Thermohygroscopic sensor: A tool for optimizing the spray drying process, *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 6 (2005) 45–50.
- [23] Senoussi A., Dumoulin E.D., Berk Z., Retention of diacetyl in milk during spray-drying and storage, *J. Food Sci.* 60 (1995) 894–897, 905.