

Pollen quality of fresh and 1-year-old single pollen diets for worker honey bees (Apis mellifera L.)

Stephen Pernal, Robert Currie

▶ To cite this version:

Stephen Pernal, Robert Currie. Pollen quality of fresh and 1-year-old single pollen diets for worker honey bees (Apis mellifera L.). Apidologie, 2000, 31 (3), pp.387-409. 10.1051/apido:2000130 . hal-00891721

HAL Id: hal-00891721 https://hal.science/hal-00891721

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Pollen quality of fresh and 1-year-old single pollen diets for worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.)

Stephen F. PERNAL*, Robert W. CURRIE

Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3T 2N2

(Received 4 February 1999; revised 26 October 1999; accepted 4 November 1999)

Abstract – Newly-emerged honey bees were placed in cages and fed sucrose syrup and one of the following single-pollen diets: *Malus domestica* Borkh., *Brassica campestris* L., *Phacelia tanacetifolia* L., *Melilotus officinalis* (L.) Pall., *Helianthus annuus* L., *Pinus banksiana* (Lamb.), artificial supplement (Bee-Pro[®]) or nothing. Hypopharyngeal gland protein was determined at intervals of 0, 3, 8 and 14 days and ovary development was visually scored on day 14. The development of hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries varied with diet and, collectively, proved to be sensitive measures of protein utilization and pollen quality. For workers fed 1-year-old *Phacelia* pollen, protein was utilized in a differential fashion, promoting the development of ovaries over that of hypopharyngeal glands. Development of glands and ovaries was strongly correlated with the amount of protein workers consumed from pollen diets, and to a lesser extent, the crude protein content of diets. Storing pollen for 1 year by freezing did not affect gland or ovary development.

Apis mellifera / hypopharyngeal gland / ovary / nutrition / protein / pollen

1. INTRODUCTION

Pollen is the only source of protein in the diet of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera* L., and it also contains all of the lipids, vitamins and minerals necessary for normal growth and development of the colony. Numerous

studies have evaluated the quality of beecollected pollen by direct measurement of nutritional factors [1, 14, 21, 24, 30, 32, 43, 50, 53, 54, 56, 59, 60, 65, 66, 69, 76, 78, 82, 85, 86, 90, 93, 94, 97, 101, 103], or by such parameters as: brood production; the growth, longevity, survival or protein

^{*} Correspondence and reprints

E-mail: spernal@sfu.ca

Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6

content of workers; or the development of hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries [6, 15, 22, 23, 25, 28, 29, 31, 42, 45–47, 49, 52, 57, 59, 62, 77–79, 81, 87–89, 95, 99]. The direct measurement of nutritional factors, alone, may be misleading, as the importance of the non-protein components of pollen is not well understood [58, 91, 97].

Evaluating pollen quality by measuring colony growth and development would provide the most pertinent information about its potential impact on honey bee fitness. However, the collection of large quantities of pollen for use in studies on full-size colonies is not feasible at present. Measuring factors that are related to the workers' ability to utilize pollen, such as hypopharyngeal gland and ovary development, could address any inherent differences in the efficiency of pollen digestion and its relative assimilation into tissues of young workers or brood. Because young worker bees are responsible for feeding all castes and age cohorts within a colony [7-9, 11], measurements of hypopharyngeal gland development could also provide information about the amount of protein potentially disseminated to the rest of the colony, relative to a particular pollen source. Furthermore, by feeding similar groups of caged workers single pollen diets, relative consumption can be measured and the palatability of pollen diets may be evaluated.

Hypopharyngeal gland development is influenced by the quantity and quality of protein ingested by workers [25, 28, 35, 47, 57, 59, 87–89]. The size of the hypopharyngeal glands, as measured by acinal diameter, is related to their total protein content [5]. In nurse bees, the protein content of the hypopharyngeal glands can also be used as an indication of gland activity [37, 68]. Examination of the hypopharyngeal glands from caged newly-emerged workers is a reliable measure of dietary protein assimilation, because these glands will develop in the absence of brood and their total protein content is unaffected by brood quantity [10, 37]. Therefore, the protein content of the hypopharyngeal glands is an effective physiological parameter for evaluating the quality of pollen consumed by newly-emerged workers.

A second physiological parameter used to evaluate the quality of a pollen diet is the extent of ovary development in newlyemerged workers. Pollen that is protein-rich usually promotes ovary and egg development in workers that are caged without queens [42, 51, 57, 62, 63], and a lack of pollen protein can retard or prevent ovary development [26]. Pollen protein promotes growth of the fat body [57], and haemolymph vitellogenin titre has been linked to the level of pollen in the diet of workers [3, 12], thereby establishing the importance of pollen protein for egg development. Hence, ovarian development is another direct measure of pollen protein utilization by workers, and could indicate the potential for development of ovaries and production of eggs by queens.

In honey bee workers, the question of whether different physiological systems have different nutritional requirements has not been adequately studied. Maurizio [57] showed similar trends in the hypopharyngeal gland and ovary development for bees fed different pollen diets. Haydak [28], using similarly designed tests, showed that the development of hypopharyngeal glands was more sensitive to reductions in pollen quality than that of mandibular glands. If unequal partitioning of protein between developing hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries exists, it would affect our judgement of how these indicators should be used in making assessments of pollen quality.

Ambiguity also remains over the value of stored pollen as a protein source for honey bees. Most studies examining the nutritional value of pollen after periods of storage are confounded by the use of diets with mixed, and often unidentified, pollen species. In addition, poor descriptions of the techniques used to store pollen, and incomplete information about the conditions maintained during storage are common. Thus, it is difficult to assess the effects of specific storage parameters on pollen quality, and whether such effects are consistent among species.

The age of pollen used to feed honey bees can influence worker growth and development, or the production of brood by a colony. Workers fed dried pollen that is 1-year-old or older have smaller hypopharyngeal glands and lower rates of weight gain than workers fed fresh pollen [28, 57]. Colonies fed diets composed of 2-year-old dried pollen rear less brood than colonies fed freshly-dried pollen [29]. The amount and age of pollen in formulated diets also can affect its utilization by workers. Hagedorn and Moeller [25] determined that newly-emerged workers, fed pollen supplement containing small quantities of dried or frozen 1-year-old pollen, have similar hypopharyngeal gland development to those fed supplement mixed with fresh pollen. When fed supplements containing pollen stored for more than 1 year, however, workers have smaller glands. The rate of thoracic weight gain in workers fed supplement containing dried pollen also decreases when the pollen component has been stored for extended periods.

In contrast, other studies show that the age of pollen fed to bees does not affect at least some of the physiological measures indicative of pollen quality. Ovarian development of queenless workers fed dried 1-year-old pollen [62], and the lifespan of caged bees fed dried 2-year-old pollen [23], is similar to that found in bees fed fresh pollen. Dietz and Stevenson [15] showed that drying and freezing pollen can extend its nutritional value for bees to some extent. Brood production in colonies fed freshlycollected dried pollen does not differ from that in colonies fed dried frozen pollen. Dried frozen pollen can support limited brood rearing even after 11 years; without freezing, similarly-aged dried pollen is completely ineffective. The combination of drying and freezing is important, as pollen stored by freezing is less adequate for longterm brood production than pollen that is first dried and then frozen [15]. However, drying pollen may not adequately prevent the degradation of lipids that are nutritionally important for honey bees, or prevent changes in those lipids influencing the palatability of pollen [73]. Freezing pollen, in combination with storage in an oxygen-reduced environment, might prevent degradation of pollen protein while simultaneously preventing the oxidation of other non-protein constituents.

A nutritional comparison between fresh and 1-year-old stored pollen, using several identified pollen species would provide a precise and meaningful comparison of the quality of pollen following storage and its utilization by different physiological systems. This would provide useful information for beekeepers, who typically use the previous year's pollen to feed colonies, as well as for researchers interested in aspects of pollen nutrition and consumption.

In this study, we evaluated the quality of several single pollen diets and one pollen substitute for honey bees. The parameters we chose to measure were physiological indices of pollen utilization in newlyemerged workers: i.e., the development of the hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries. We also determined whether the pattern of hypopharyngeal gland development differed from that of the ovaries, to ascertain whether one parameter was a more sensitive indicator of pollen protein utilization, or whether the hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries utilized protein in a differential fashion. In addition, we examined the relationship between the quality of a pollen as a food source for bees, and its protein content. Finally, we evaluated whether freezing pollen and storing it for 1 year in an oxygen-reduced environment would cause a reduction in its quality.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Pollen collection

During the summers of 1993 and 1994, pollen was collected from blooming trees and field crops using honey bee (Apis mel*lifera*) colonies fitted with OAC pollen traps [83]. Four colonies, housed in standard Langstroth hives, were placed in isolated plots containing the following species: Malus domestica Borkh. (mixed var.) (apple), Brassica campestris L. var. 'Goldrush' (oilseed rape), Phacelia tanacetifolia L. var. 'Angelia' (phacelia), Melilotus officinalis (L.) Pall. var. 'Norgold' (yellow sweetclover), and Helianthus annuus L. var. 'Sigco 954' (sunflower). Pine pollen was obtained by collecting male cones from pine trees, Pinus banksiana (Lamb.) (jack pine), drying them at 35 °C for 1 d, and then shaking the dried cones. Malus pollen was collected from the orchards of the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Research Centre in Morden, Manitoba. Pollen from field crops was collected at the University of Manitoba Glenlea Research Station, or on co-operating producers' farms in southern Manitoba. Pinus pollen was collected from the Sandilands Provincial Forest in eastern Manitoba.

The following protocol was observed for the collection, handling and storage of all pollen samples to preserve the integrity of external pollen lipids, and to minimize oxidation and desiccation of pollen. Surfaces of OAC pollen traps were rinsed with *n*-pentane, and the pollen collection trays of the traps were lined with tinfoil, to ensure that pollen only came into contact with lipidfree surfaces. All laboratory tools and surfaces used for the handling and sorting of pollen were also carefully cleaned so that they were lipid-free, and care was taken to avoid contacting pollen by hand. Pollen was separated from non-pollen debris manually, and corbicular loads were sorted on the basis of pollen load colour [33, 44]. Pollen from each colour cohort was mounted in glycerine jelly [19] and examined under a compound microscope at $400 \times \text{to confirm}$ the identity of the pollen species [13]. Fresh, undried pollen that was not immediately used for the preparation of pollen diets was readied for storage by placing it in glass vials (26 by 60 mm, 23 mL). After vials were filled with pollen, any air remaining was displaced with nitrogen. Vials were immediately sealed with teflon-lined screw-caps and kept frozen at -30 °C.

2.2. Experimental design

The experimental design consisted of two factors that were tested: the age of pollen (or pollen substitute) fed to bees, and its species. The age of pollen was defined as being 1-year-old or freshly collected. Oneyear-old pollen (or pollen substitute) was collected during the previous summer and stored frozen, using the previously-described protocol. Freshly-collected pollen was taken and used immediately, or was temporarily frozen until needed. This short period of freezing was necessary to preserve earlyseason species (Malus, Pinus) under optimal conditions until late-season species (Helianthus) could be collected, at which time all diets were evaluated simultaneously. Eight different pollen diets were tested, each formulated from a single pollen species or pollen substitute. Individual trials consisted of the 8 pollen diets of the same pollen age fed to bees for a duration of 14 days. Trials were performed concurrently by staggering the starting dates at 2-day intervals. Six individual trials were performed, enabling all treatment combinations to be replicated three times.

2.3. Bioassay cages

Worker bees were confined in bioassay cages and provided a single diet. Cages (12.7 by 17.5 by 6.4 cm) were constructed of 1.3-cm thick spruce plywood, and were covered on one face with fibreglass screening (1.1 mm openings) (Fig. 1). Each cage contained a piece of plastic comb (10.1 by

14.9 cm) (Perma Comb Systems[®], Woodland Hills, CA, USA), which formed the rear interior wall. Cages also contained a small (1.6 by 1.6 by 8.9 cm) plexiglass diet tray for feeding bees, and a 125-mL feeder bottle which provided a 2-M sucrose solution. Both the pollen diets and sucrose solution were fed ad libitum.



Figure 1. Bioassay cage used for experimental treatments, constructed from 1.3-cm thick spruce plywood and fibreglass screening. Not shown is Tergal[®] drapery lining material (200- μ m openings) stretched across neck of sucrose feeder bottle. Plexiglass diet tray was inserted into opening at bottom side wall of cage. Unit of measurement for all dimensions is centimeters.

2.4. Diet preparation

Six of the 8 diets were prepared from the previously described pollen species; one was prepared from a commercial honey bee pollen substitute (Bee-Pro®, Mann Lake Supply, Hackensack, MN, USA), and the final diet contained nothing. To simplify descriptions, the pollen substitute Bee-Pro® will, hereafter, be referred to as a pollen diet. Honey bee-collected pollen was formulated into diets by mixing pollen with water to obtain a paste-like consistency. Each diet was prepared from a pooled sample containing equal amounts of pollen from each collection date. For Bee-Pro® and Pinus pollen, sucrose (30% w/w dry mass) was added before mixing with water. This amount is equivalent to the total weight of sugar normally found in bee-collected pollen, as determined over a wide range of plant species [60, 74, 85, 94].

2.5. Bioassay protocol

Frames of A. mellifera capped brood were collected and incubated overnight at 30 °C to obtain newly-emerged adult workers. Cohorts of 150 newly-emerged adult workers, collected within 12 h of emergence, were then placed in bioassay cages along with weighed portions of prepared diet mixtures and were incubated at 30 ± 1 °C and 70% RH. To estimate the percentage of water lost by diets to evaporation, equal portions of the same diet mixtures used in bioassay cages were placed in an additional set of diet trays. These trays were placed in the same incubator as the respective bioassay cages. All diet trays were reweighed, cleaned, and subsequently replenished with a known mass of freshly prepared diet mixture on days 3 and 8. On day 14, the conclusion of the experimental trials, diets were not replenished. The mortality of honey bees within treatments was evaluated by removing and counting dead bees from cages on days 3, 8 and 14.

Hypopharyngeal glands were removed from randomly selected bees on days 0, 3, 8 and 14. These intervals were chosen to establish gland size. Hypopharyngeal glands become large and biosynthetically active by the time workers are 3 days old [5, 27, 36], but decrease in size and activity with age [10, 38, 48]. On day 0, 80 bees were selected from the pool of newly-emerged workers to establish the extent of their gland development. On days 3, 8 and 14 of each trial, 10 bees were removed from each bioassay cage, killed by crushing the thorax, and then decapitated. Decapitated heads were immediately bisected with a razor blade (from the ocelli to the mandibles) and both hypopharyngeal glands were removed by dissection in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.3 [18]. Glands were then placed in microcentrifuge tubes (1.5 mL) containing 0.1 mL of PBS, and frozen until subsequent protein analysis.

On day 14 of the trials, ovaries were dissected from 25 bees, randomly selected from each bioassay cage. These bees were used to establish a mean value for ovary development per treatment. Bees were killed by crushing the thorax, pinned in a dish containing Apis saline [5], and dissected. Ovaries were examined using a binocular dissecting microscope, and their stage of development was visually scored using a 5-point scale, modified from Velthuis [96]. A single, whole number score was assigned per ovary, after determining the stage of development to which the majority of its ovarioles belonged. Ovaries were classified as: 0: undeveloped (completely resting ovary, small ovarioles close to each other); 1: oogenesis starting (cells swelling the top of the ovariole and descending); 2: slight development (eggs distinguished from trophocytes with the nutritive follicle volume not exceeding that of the egg); 3: moderate development (egg volume exceeding that of the nutritive follicle); 4: highly developed (eggs fully elongated -'sausageshaped' in appearance, with only a remnant of the trophocytes behind the eggs).

2.6. Hypopharyngeal gland protein

Hypopharyngeal gland development was assessed using a modified Bradford dye-binding assay for total protein [4]. Reagents for the Bradford dye-binding assay were prepared using Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 dye (Pharmacia LKB Inc., Uppsala, Sweden) according to the protocol outlined in Peterson [64]. A bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein standard solution (0.56 mg/mL) was prepared from lyophilized crystals (Sigma-Aldrich Canada Ltd, Oakville, ON, Canada) and double-distilled water. This solution was prepared with 1 mg/mL of sodium azide (Mallinckrodt Specialty Chemicals Canada, Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) and was kept frozen in 15-mL aliquots until used.

For protein determination, the frozen hypopharyngeal glands were thawed and mechanically ground in their microcentrifuge tubes using a pestle. Twenty-five μL of 50% (w/v) aqueous *n*-octyl- β -D-glucanopyranoside (OG) (ICN Biomedicals, Inc., Costa Mesa, CA, USA) was added to each sample to solubilize membrane-bound proteins [20]. Individual samples were then mixed by vortexing, and were centrifuged for 10 min at $8850 \times g$. A 10-µL aliquot of the supernatant was then diluted 10-fold in PBS (pH 7.3), or by an appropriate value so that the concentration of the each sample fell within the range of the standard curve. An appropriate amount of OG was added in diluted samples to reach a final concentration of 0.2% (w/v) in the dye reagent [20].

Two 10- μ L aliquots of each diluted sample were pipetted into separate wells of a microassay plate. Standards, ranking in concentration from 0.05 to 0.5 μ g/ μ L of BSA, were prepared and added to the microassay plates, along with reagent blanks. Dilute Coomassie dye reagent (200 μ L) was then added to all wells containing samples and standards. The microassay plate was then agitated on an orbital plate shaker, and incubated at 20 °C for 5 min. Optical densities of

samples were read immediately in a microplate reader (Model 450, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) set to 595 nm. Absorbances were plotted against the linear portion of the standard curve for calculation of protein concentrations in the unknowns.

2.7. Protein determination of pollen diets

Duplicate samples of fresh and 1-yearold pollen, as well as Bee-Pro®, were analyzed to determine total crude protein. Samples were weighed before and after drying in an oven at 70 °C for 24 h, to ascertain dry weight and water content. Dried samples were ground with a mortar and pestle to achieve a powder-like consistency, and then stored in a desiccator until analyzed. Total nitrogen content of the samples was determined using an elemental analyzer (Model FP-428, Leco Instruments Ltd., Mississauga, ON, Canada), calibrated against known nitrogen standards. To determine total crude protein, nitrogen values were multiplied by a conversion factor of 5.6 [65].

2.8. Calculation of diet consumption

The net weight of the pollen diet consumed within treatments was calculated for each treatment and time interval by weighing diet trays before and after bees consumed the diet, and then correcting for the amount of water lost between time intervals. Water loss between time intervals was estimated using a duplicate set of diets placed inside incubators, which were not consumed by bees. Sugar content of beecollected pollen diets (sugar is added to pollen during its collection by bees), was assumed to be equal [74].

2.9. Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted on mean values of hypopharyngeal gland protein, ovary score and diet consumption for each bioassay cage rather than for each individual bee, to provide comparisons based on the correct experimental unit. Differences in hypopharyngeal gland protein and diet consumption among treatments were examined using a split-plot design ANOVA with pollen age, replicate and diet as main factors, and time as a repeated measure [70]. Honey bee mortality was analyzed using the same design, but counts of dead bees per time interval were converted to cumulative proportions of the initial population. Proportions were then transformed using an angular transformation [84] prior to analysis. Ovary development was analyzed as a factorial ANOVA, with pollen age, replicate and diet as factors. For all analyses, comparisons between means were made either with single degree of freedom contrasts or least-significant difference tests (LSD) [70].

3. RESULTS

Consumption of experimental diets was independent of any interaction between diet type and age (F = 1.74; df = 6, 12; P = NS) (Fig. 2). For all diets, consumption differed with the age of pollen used (F = 9.20; df = 1, 12; P < 0.05), with workers consuming slightly more fresh than 1-year-old pollen. This preference continued to remain evident after Bee-Pro[®] (a pollen substitute) was excluded from the previous analysis (F = 5.41; df = 1, 10; P < 0.05). The quantity of diet consumed also varied with the type of pollen fed (F = 62.44; df = 6, 12; P < 0.0001), as workers ate substantially more Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia, Brassica and *Helianthus* pollen than that of Bee-Pro[®] or Pinus.

Temporal patterns of pollen consumption were influenced by the type of pollen



Figure 2. Pollen consumption for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values represent the mean amount of pollen consumed within treatments per worker bee per day. Mean numbers of bees in treatments were calculated from the populations in cages at the midpoint of each experimental time interval, corrected for sampling loss and mortality, and weighted by the duration of the interval. Significant differences between diets are indicated by different letters (LSD, $\alpha = 0.05$).

diet fed to workers (F = 26.51; df = 12, 36; P < 0.0001), but not its age (F = 2.58; df = 2, 36; P = NS) (Fig. 3). Over both ages of pollen, the consumption of Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia, Brassica, Helianthus and Bee-Pro[®] differed among time intervals (F = 679.61; df = 2, 30; P < 0.0001). For these diets, maximum consumption occurred during days 0-3, exceeding values for the remaining time intervals (F = 1237.99; df = 1, 30; P < 0.0001). Consumption during the 3-8-day interval substantially declined relative to the 0–3-day interval (F = 623.30; df = 1, 30; P < 0.0001), but was greater than that for the 8–14-day interval (F = 121.23; df = 1, 30; P < 0.0001). The consumption of *Pinus* pollen did not vary with time (F = 1.81; df = 2, 36; P = NS). Within each time interval, Bee-Pro® and Pinus were consumed less than other pollen diets, over both ages of pollen.

Table I shows the total crude protein content of each pollen diet and its accompanying water content. There was no linear relationship between the crude protein content of a pollen diet and its consumption by worker bees ($r^2 = 0.054$; P = NS). Estimates of the actual amount of protein consumed by bees in treatments were also calculated by converting consumption values to dry mass quantities and multiplying by the appropriate crude protein conversion. The consumption of dietary protein was influenced by the interaction between diet type and age (F = 4.96; df = 6, 12; P < 0.01) (Fig. 4). Workers consumed greater quantities of protein from fresh *Phacelia* (F = 29.79; df = 1, 12; P < 0.0001) or Bee-Pro[®] (F = 7.14;df = 1, 12; P < 0.05) diets than those that were 1 year old. However, protein consumption from Malus, Melilotus, Brassica, Helianthus and Pinus diets did not differ between ages of pollen (F = 3.08; df = 1, 10; P = NS).

Temporal patterns of protein consumption varied with the type of pollen diet fed to workers (F =23.51; df = 12, 24; P < 0.0001), but not its age (F = 2.68; df = 2, 24; P = NS)



Figure 3. Pollen consumption during each experimental time interval for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values represent mean consumption per bee per day, based on populations in cages at the midpoint of the time interval, corrected for sampling loss and mortality.

Table I. Protein and water content of pollen diets.

Pollen diet		Age of pollen	% Protein ^a , μg/100 μg dry	% Water, μg/100 μg
Species	Common name		mass	wet mass
Malus domestica	Apple	Fresh	25.12	10.71
Melilotus officinalis	Yellow sweetclover	Fresh	24.15	23.48
Phacelia tanacetifolia	Phacelia	Fresh	30.10	14.56
Brassica campestris	Oilseed rape	Fresh	25.72	29.53
Bee-Pro [®]	Bee-Pro®	Fresh	29.92	5.89
Helianthus annuus	Sunflower	Fresh	14.86	17.66
Pinus banksiana	jack pine	Fresh	14.03	7.50
Malus domestica	Apple	1-year-old	24.29	11.50
Melilotus officinalis	Yellow sweetclover	1-year-old	23.92	13.88
Phacelia tanacetifolia	Phacelia	1-year-old	26.02	18.43
Brassica campestris	Oilseed rape	1-year-old	24.67	19.99
Bee-Pro [®]	Bee-Pro [®]	1-year-old	29.89	5.80
Helianthus annuus	Sunflower	1-year-old	15.00	23.94
Phacelia banksiana	jack pine	1-year-old	14.00	7.31

^a Protein determination for Bee-Pro[®] and jack pine was performed after the addition of 30% (w/w dry mass) sucrose.



Figure 4. Protein consumption for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values for consumption were calculated using the protein content of each diet. Mean numbers of bees in treatments were calculated from populations in cages at the midpoint of each experimental time interval, corrected for sampling loss and mortality, and weighted by the duration of the interval. Significant differences between diets are indicated by different letters (LSD, $\alpha = 0.05$).

(Fig. 5). Over both ages of pollen, the consumption of Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia, Brassica, Helianthus and Bee-Pro® differed among time intervals (F = 531.10; df = 2, 20; P < 0.0001). For these diets, maximum consumption occurred during days 0-3, significantly exceeding values for the remaining time intervals (F = 964.46; df = 1, 20; P < 0.0001). Consumption during the 3–8day interval declined relative to the 0–3-day interval (F = 481.88; df = 1, 20; P < 0.0001), but was greater than that for the 8–14-day interval (F = 97.74; df = 1, 20; P < 0.0001). Protein consumption from Pinus pollen diets did not vary with time (F = 0.64; df = 2, 24; P = NS). Within each time interval, less protein was consumed from Helianthus. Bee-Pro[®] or *Pinus* pollen than from all other diets, over both ages of pollen.

Overall worker bee mortality, analyzed as the cumulative proportion of bees dying per cage, was similar in bees fed different ages of pollen (F < 0.01; df = 1, 14; P = NS), different pollen diets (F = 2.27; df = 7, 14; P = NS) and between different time periods (F = 2.38; df = 2, 94; P = NS). The mean cumulative proportion of dead bees at the end of the experiment was $3.6 \pm 0.5\%$, with values ranging from 0-16%.

The overall analysis of samples from 1 600 newly-emerged workers clearly shows that the type of pollen consumed by bees had a pronounced effect on their hypopharyngeal gland development (F = 23.22; df = 7, 14; P < 0.0001) (Fig. 6). Furthermore, these effects were consistent between freshly-collected and 1-year-old pollen diets (F = 0.54; df = 7, 14; P = NS). The age of pollen used to feed bees did not affect the degree of hypopharyngeal gland development (F = 0.28; df = 1, 14; P = NS). For both ages of pollen, diets composed of Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia and Brassica pollen promoted greater hypopharyngeal gland development than diets prepared from Pinus or no pollen, with Bee-Pro® and Helianthus diets being intermediate.



Figure 5. Protein consumption during each experimental time interval, for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values for consumption were calculated using the protein content of each diet. Mean bee numbers per cage are based on populations at the midpoint of the time interval, corrected for sampling loss and mortality.

S.F. Pernal, R.W. Currie



Figure 6. Hypopharyngeal gland development for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values for hypopharyngeal gland protein represent mean development, averaged over the time intervals ending on days 3, 8 and 14. Significant differences between diets are indicated by different letters (LSD, $\alpha = 0.05$).

Temporal patterns of hypopharyngeal gland protein content changed with the age of pollen fed to workers (F = 18.27; df = 2, 74; P < 0.0001) and were also dependent on the type of diet consumed (F = 2.64; df = 14, 74; P < 0.01) (Fig. 7). For workers fed freshly-collected pollen, the hypopharyngeal glands contained an average of $44.5 \pm 3.2 \mu$ protein on day 0, with values ranging from 14.2–80.5 µ. Workers fed fresh Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia, Brassica and Helianthus pollen developed glands that varied in protein content among time intervals (F = 35.86; df = 2, 16; P < 0.0001). Workers consuming Malus pollen diets developed glands that were largest during the 0–3-day interval (F = 8.94; df = 1, 28; P < 0.001). However, those fed *Melilotus*, Phacelia, Brassica and Helianthus pollen developed glands that were smallest during days 0–3 (*F* = 59.37; df = 1, 12; *P* < 0.0001),

peaking in size during the 3–8-day interval (F = 68.64; df = 1, 12; P < 0.0001). For workers fed Bee-Pro[®], *Pinus* or no pollen, gland protein did not vary significantly over time (F = 3.71; df = 2, 12; P = NS). Within individual time intervals, workers fed *Malus*, *Melilotus*, *Phacelia* and *Brassica* pollen consistently developed larger glands than bees fed other diets; workers fed *Pinus* or no pollen showed the least development.

For workers fed 1-year-old pollen, hypopharyngeal gland development showed slightly different temporal patterns (Fig. 7). The hypopharyngeal glands from these bees contained an average of $38.9 \pm 2.9 \mu$ protein on day 0, with values ranging from $14.1-67.3 \mu$. For all diets, the mean values for gland protein were greatest during the 0–3-day interval; however, only those for *Malus*, *Melilotus*, Bee-Pro[®] and *Helianthus* showed significant changes over time



Figure 7. Hypopharyngeal gland development during each experimental time interval, for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen.

(F = 20.28; df = 2, 12; P < 0.0001). For these diets, hypopharyngeal gland development was greatest during the 0-3-day interval (F = 27.54; df = 2, 12; P < 0.001), and declined as the experiment progressed. Protein levels from bees fed the remaining pollen diets showed little variation over time, or possessed greater variability per time interval. The relative ranking of 1-year-old diets within time intervals showed some similarity to fresh pollen diets, but fewer consistent patterns among groups of diets were seen across time periods. Workers fed Malus and Melilotus diets during the 0-3-day interval developed larger hypopharyngeal glands than bees fed all other diets, and continued to develop larger glands than with most remaining diets during the 3-8-day interval. Workers fed Pinus or no pollen had low hypopharyngeal gland protein during the 0-3-day interval, and during the 3-8-day and 8-14-day time intervals, produced the lowest hypopharyngeal protein of all diets. All other 1-year-old diets were similar for hypopharyngeal gland development, within all time periods.

The analyses of pooled ovary scores from 1 200 dissections showed similar patterns to those indicated by hypopharyngeal gland development. The overall analysis showed that ovary development was greatly affected by the type of pollen fed to workers (*F* = 10.39; df = 7, 47; *P* < 0.0001) (Fig. 8). The age of pollen used to feed newlyemerged workers had no effect on the extent of their ovarian development (F = 0.72; df=1, 47; P = NS), and no interaction between pollen age and diet type existed (F = 0.34; df = 7, 30; P = NS). For both ages of pollen, diets composed of Malus, Melilotus, Phacelia and Brassica pollen promoted greater ovary development than diets prepared from Pinus or no pollen. Bee-Pro® and Helianthus diets produced intermediate ovary development.

The linear relationship between ovary score and hypopharyngeal gland protein is shown in Figure 9. These two measures of protein utilization are well correlated ($r^2 = 0.904$; P < 0.0001). The crude protein content of diets was also found to be

S.F. Pernal, R.W. Currie



Figure 8. Ovary development for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values for ovary score represent the mean extent of ovary development for bees on day 14 of the experimental period. Significant differences between diets are indicated by different letters (LSD, $\alpha = 0.05$).

correlated with hypopharyngeal gland protein ($r^2 = 0.471$; P < 0.01) and ovary score ($r^2 = 0.601$; P < 0.001). However, the strongest linear relationships were found between protein consumption and hypopharyngeal gland development ($r^2 = 0.869$; P < 0.0001) (Fig. 10a), and between protein consumption and ovary score ($r^2 = 0.905$; P < 0.0001.) (Fig. 10b).

4. DISCUSSION

The development of the hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries in newly-emerged worker bees both appear to be reliable and sensitive measures of protein utilization, and when used together provide a good indication of the quality of the pollen that is being consumed. This conclusion is supported by a strong correlation between the amount of protein consumed from pollen diets and the extent of hypopharyngeal gland or ovary development.

Hypopharyngeal gland and ovary development were chosen for analysis in this study because they were considered the best indicators of how pollen diets affect colonylevel fitness. Hypopharyngeal gland development in nurse bees is positively correlated with pollen consumption [35], and protein synthesis from these glands utilizes protein derived from pollen [8]. In a colony, nurse bees actively consume and digest the largest quantities of stored pollen [11] and secrete it as brood food from their hypopharyngeal and mandibular glands [80]. The quantity and quality of brood food produced by nurse bees have important ramifications for the fitness of the colony as a whole. Most brood food is fed to the developing larvae within the colony; however, a significant proportion is also fed to the adult members of each caste [9]. The quality of food



Figure 9. Relationship between mean ovary score and hypopharyngeal gland protein for worker bees fed freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen. Values for hypopharyngeal gland protein represent mean development, averaged over the intervals ending on days 3, 8 and 14. Values for ovary score represent the mean extent of ovary development for bees on day 14 of the experimental period.

received by the brood and the queen, especially, has the potential to influence the overall rate of colony growth. In addition, the survival of workers is directly affected by the total amount of pollen protein consumed [45, 46, 77]. Therefore, diets that enhance hypopharyngeal gland development in nurses potentially influence colony population size, a variable that is related to such colony-level fitness components as increased colony survival and reproductive performance [71]. In contrast, worker ovarian development provides a direct measure of the ability of bees to convert pollen proteins into vitellogenin [3, 12], a lipoprotein which is required for egg-laying. Greater or more efficient production of vitellogenin in queens could increase fecundity, colony population size, and therefore colony-level fitness.

Although the utilization of pollen protein by the hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries closely paralleled each other, our results showed that there are important differences in the way that the ovaries and hypopharyngeal glands assimilate protein from some pollen sources. Workers fed



Figure 10. Correlations between protein consumption per worker (from Fig. 4) and indices of worker development, for freshly collected and 1-year-old pollen diets. **a**) Hypopharyngeal gland protein. Values represent mean development, averaged over the intervals ending on days 3, 8 and 14. **b**) Ovary development. Values are the mean extent of development on day 14 of the experimental period.

1-year-old Phacelia pollen developed smaller hypopharyngeal glands than workers fed fresh Phacelia pollen, while bees fed other diets had no change in gland size between both ages of pollen. In contrast, ovary development for workers fed fresh or 1-year-old Phacelia pollen was similar, even though bees consumed less 1-year-old pollen. It is likely that decreased consumption of 1-year-old pollen limited the protein available for metabolic processes in these workers. As a result, protein may have been utilized according to a physiological priority, or sink, which favoured the development of the ovaries over that of the hypopharyngeal glands. If this is true, such differential utilization of protein would not occur under queenright conditions, where ovary development is naturally suppressed, but hypopharyngeal gland development is not [40, 41]. Our results suggest, that under queenless conditions, more than one physiological index of protein utilization should be used to evaluate honey bee nutrition. Such measures should include ovarian development.

The significant positive correlation between the crude protein content of pollen diets and hypopharyngeal gland or ovary development in workers indicates that, in the absence of conducting a nutritional bioassay, crude protein content could be used as a general guideline for evaluating pollen quality. Although most species of pollen that have been quantitatively analyzed exhibit similar amino-acid profiles and contain the minimum levels of essential amino acids [23] necessary for normal honey bee growth and development [14, 21, 23, 59, 66, 85, 102], protein content is important. The developmental rate of the hypopharyngeal glands in workers is not related to the essential amino-acid composition of the pollen consumed [59], but is correlated with the level of protein in the diet [59, 88] and the amount of protein that is ingested [59, 89]. Furthermore, the addition of essential amino acids has often proven to be unsuccessful at improving the nutritional status of specific pollen diets [2, 23, 52]. Even for a species such as dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* Weber ex Wigg.), which does not support brood rearing because of amino acid deficiencies [31, 52], crude protein content is characteristically low (9.9%) [53]. Therefore, our results support the use of crude protein content as a parameter for evaluating the quality of a pollen diet.

Freezing pollen and storing it in an oxygen-reduced environment for up to 1 year did not degrade its nitrogen content, or change its nutritional value for honey bee workers. Although workers consumed slightly more fresh than 1-year-old frozen pollen, overall hypopharyngeal gland and ovary development did not differ between fresh or stored pollen diets. Although the crude protein content among species of pollen used in this study differed by up to 16%, protein levels for fresh and 1-year-old conspecific pollen differed by less than 1% (except for *Phacelia* at 4.1%). These results are in agreement with studies that have reported little change in the content of proteins, minerals, carbohydrates, and lipids in pollen after storage by freezing [14, 103]. However, this is in contrast with reports of reductions in digestible proteins [93], deterioration or lowered availability of proteins [29], decreased vitamin content [24], or increased mortality and loss of brood-rearing capacity [15] of stored pollen. Our 1-year-old pollen samples may have benefitted from a lower storage temperature $(-30 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ than that used in other studies, and also the presence of an oxygen-reduced atmosphere. The pollen species chosen for this study may also have inherently influenced the nature of our results. Lack of proper taxonomic identification of pollen species confounds the interpretation of results from many other studies, as mixed or unidentified pollen sources have often been utilized. Our findings with pure pollen samples of known origin indicate that the use of 1-year-old frozen pollen for use as feeding supplements in colonies could be nutritionally equivalent

to that of fresh pollen if improved storage protocols such as those used in this study were followed.

Reduced palatability of stored pollen for worker bees may be responsible for the slight reduction in consumption of 1-yearold pollen relative to freshly collected pollen observed in this study. The attractiveness and palatability of pollen is affected by its lipid composition [16, 17, 34, 39, 55, 73, 78, 92]. Although the lipid composition changes when pollen is stored in the hive [54, 98], it has not been demonstrated whether such changes occur under other storage conditions. Changes in pollen lipids could explain the slight reductions in pollen consumption in studies such as ours, where protein content has been shown to remain stable in storage. Reduced palatability of stored pollen occurs when pollen has been dried and stored continuously at room temperature [15, 95].

The species of pollen we examined in this study, with the exception of *Pinus*, are usually collected in large quantities by honey bees. The relative differences in protein content among these species show that foraging honey bees collect pollen that varies greatly in quality. Our mean crude protein values for Malus domestica (24.7%), Melilotus officinalis (24.0%), Helianthus annuus (14.9%), Brassica campestris (25.2%) and Pinus banksiana (14.0%) are comparable to other studies that have analyzed bee-collected pollen, after standardizing their data for the protein conversion factor of 5.6. From these studies, it is apparent that protein may vary within a particular species, or a closely related group of species. Reported protein values for apple (Malus pumila Mill.) range between 22.7-24.6%, while those for Trifolium species vary between 17.6-18.4% [59, 87]. Oilseed rape pollen is reported to contain between 19.4-22.7% protein for Brassica campestris [87, 94], 24.3% for Brassica napus L. [66], and 21.6% for an unidentified mixture of both species [86]. In addition, Helianthus annuus pollen has been described to contain 15.8%

protein [66]. Low protein values among various species of Pinus (1.9-12.1%) have also been reported for bee-collected and handcollected samples [14, 23, 45, 66, 85, 93, 94]. Published values for the crude protein content of Phacelia tanacetifolia pollen were not found; in our study it contained the highest level of crude protein (28.1%) of all natural pollen diets. Although variation in protein content occurs between geographic locations and with different collection techniques [53], our reported values compare favourably with other studies. The polylectic nature of honey bee foraging may be an adaptation to prevent colonies from becoming dependent on a small number of pollen sources lacking in protein, and lessen the impact of vitamin, mineral or lipid deficiencies, or toxin overloads [72], associated with individual species.

An interesting finding in this study concerns the lack of relationship between the crude protein content of the diets tested and their relative consumption by newlyemerged workers. This lack of relationship suggests that young worker bees, particularly nurses, may have no inherent mechanism through which they can discriminate the protein content of the diet that they are consuming. If workers could discriminate this aspect of pollen quality, it would be expected that larger quantities of some pollen species, such as Helianthus and Pinus, would have been consumed to compensate for their low protein content [100]. In colonies, large increases in pollen consumption occur when the level of pollen protein decreases by 10%, in an apparent attempt to meet protein requirements [46]. In our study, which used caged queenless workers, consumption did not increase with a decrease in pollen protein. Helianthus pollen, which is low in protein, was consumed in the same proportions as higherprotein pollens, and Pinus pollen was consumed in small quantities. In contrast with our findings, Schmidt and Johnson [75] showed a weak positive correlation between worker feeding preference and the protein content of pollen diets. Their results are, however, consistent with our findings in showing that workers do not increase pollen consumption to compensate for reductions in dietary protein. Schmidt and Johnson's work also suggests that consumption may be influenced by physical or chemical cues that are unrelated to pollen quality.

Factors other than protein may be important in determining the amount of pollen consumed by individual workers. The amount of pollen consumed is, in part, also a measure of how attractive and palatable a diet is. Bee-Pro[®], a pollen substitute containing no natural pollen, is as high in protein content as Malus, Melilotus, Brassica or Phacelia pollen. However, Bee-Pro® consumption was low relative to natural pollen. This may have occurred because Bee-Pro[®] lacks phagostimulants normally associated with the lipid components of pollen [67, 73]. The lack of phagostimulants or the presence of repellents in Pinus pollen, an anemophilous species, may also explain its low level of consumption. Many anemophilous species of pollen are readily collected by bees [61], but others remain unpreferred or contain toxic compounds [77]. Our study demonstrates that Pinus pollen is not readily consumed by workers, and confirms the poor value of *Pinus* pollen for honey bee development and longevity [23, 93]. Its lack of nutritional value does not appear to be the result of deficient amino-acid composition as the supplementation of amino acids that are absent, or present in low concentrations in Pinus pollen, do not improve its quality [23]. Therefore, the existence of compounds that reduce the palatability of Pinus pollen likely influence its utilization by honey bees.

Modern agricultural systems entail the use of large monocultures, severely limiting floral diversity for bees. Our findings suggest that the colony performance of honey bees is not likely to be adversely affected if bees are limited to foraging on monocultures of *Malus domestica*, *Melilotus officinalis*, *Brassica campestris* or *Phacelia tanacetifolia*. However, honey bee colonies restricted to foraging on *Helianthus annuus* during its bloom period may suffer a slight loss of fitness. Pollen supplements made from Bee-Pro[®] might benefit from the addition of pollen, or phagostimulants from pollen, that bees normally prefer to consume. *Pinus banksiana* pollen is nutritionally deficient, and is not palatable to bees. Although sometimes marketed as a pollen substitute, it should not be used as a feed supplement for honey bees.

In conclusion, the development of hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries in newly-emerged honey bee workers is strongly correlated with the amount of pollen protein consumed from diets, and to a lesser extent, with the crude protein content of the diets themselves. For certain pollen diets, workers physiologically allocate protein in a differential fashion, promoting greater development of the ovaries over that of the hypopharyngeal glands. Over the species we have tested, the consumption of pollen by workers appears to be unrelated to its nutritional content, and may be more influenced by the presence or absence of phagostimulants or repellants. We also ascertained that pollen quality remains unaffected after storage for 1 year, following our protocol of freezing in an oxygen-reduced environment. Although pollen quality is best evaluated in single-pollen nutritional bioassays, the crude protein content of pollen can be used as a general guideline for evaluating the quality of pollen collected by foragers.

Résumé – Qualité de différents pollens monofloraux, frais et d'un an, comme nourriture pour les ouvrières d'abeilles domestiques (*Apis mellifera* L.). Des groupes de 150 abeilles naissantes ont été placées dans des cages en bois, nourries avec une solution de saccharose à 2 M et avec l'un des six pollens suivants : *Malus* domestica Borkh., *Brassica campestris* L., *Phacelia tanacetifolia* L., *Melilotus officinalis* (L.) Pall., *Helianthus annuus* L. et Pinus banksiana (Lamb.). Un succédané commercial de pollen, Bee-Pro[®], et un régime sans pollen ont également été testés. Le pollen fourni aux abeilles encagées était soit du pollen fraîchement récolté, soit du pollen congelé à -30 °C pendant un an et conservé dans des récipients en verre sous atmosphère réduite en oxygène. Au début de l'expérience, puis aux jours J3, J8 et J14, dix abeilles ont été prélevées dans chaque cage et leurs glandes hypopharyngiennes extraites. La teneur totale en protéines des glandes a été déterminée par le test de Bradford et utilisée comme mesure du développement et de la taille des glandes. A la fin de l'expérience (J14), 25 abeilles de chaque cage ont été disséquées et leur développement ovarien classé visuellement de 0 à 4. Le développement des glandes hypopharyngiennes et des ovaires a varié en fonction du régime et s'est comporté, dans l'ensemble, comme une mesure fiable et sensible de l'utilisation des protéines et de la qualité du pollen. Pour les ouvrières nourries avec du pollen de phacélie d'un an, les protéines ont été utilisées différemment : elles ont plus favorisé le développement des ovaires que celui des glandes hypopharyngiennes. Le développement des ovaires et des glandes était fortement corrélé avec la quantité de protéines consommées issues du pollen et, à un moindre degré, avec la teneur brute en protéines des pollens. La conservation du pollen par congélation durant un an n'a eu aucun effet sur le développement des glandes ni des ovaires. Le pollen de tournesol et le succédané Bee-Pro[®] devraient être complétés par d'autres espèces de pollen lorsqu'ils sont donnés en nourrissement ; le pollen de pin devrait être évité.

Apis mellifera / glande hypopharyngienne / ovaire / nourrissement / protéine / pollen

Zusammenfassung – Qualität von frischem und ein Jahr altem unifloralen Pollen als Nahrung von Honigbienenarbeiterinnen (*Apis mellifera* L.). Gruppen

von jeweils 150 frisch geschlüpften Honigbienen (Apis mellifera L.) wurden in hölzernen Testkäfigen mit 2 M Zuckerlösung versorgt und mit jeweils einer der folgenden Pollennahrungen gefüttert: Malus domestica Borkh., Brassica campestris L., Phacelia tanacetifolia L., Meliotus officinalis (L.) Pall., Helianthus annuus L. und Pinus banksiana (Lamb.). Weiterhin wurden zusätzlich die kommerzielle Pollenersatznahrung Bee-Pro[®] und eine pollenfreie Ernährung untersucht. Den gekäfigten Bienen wurde entweder frisch gesammelter Pollen verfüttert oder Pollen, der ein Jahr lang bei –30 °C in Glasgefäβen in einer sauerstoffreduzierten Atmosphäre gelagert worden war. Zu Beginn des Experiments, nach 3, 8 und 14 Tagen (dem Versuchsende) wurden jeweils 10 Arbeiterinnen aus jedem Käfig entnommen und die Hypopharynxdrüsen herauspräpariert. Zu jedem dieser Zeitpunkte wurde die Nahrungsaufnahme gemessen, der Roheiweißgehalt jeder Nahrung wurde mit einer Elementaranalyse bestimmt. Anhand des Untersuchungsverfahrens von Bradford wurde der Gesamtproteingehalt der Drüsen bestimmt und als Maß für den Entwicklungsgrad und die Größe der Drüsen benutzt. Weiterhin wurden am 14. Tag des Experiments 25 Bienen präpariert und die Entwicklung der Ovarien visuell in 5 Stufen eingeteilt. Der Entwicklungszustand der Hypopharynxdrüsen und der Ovarien war bei den verschiedenen Ernährungen unterschiedlich und erwies sich als zuverlässige und empfindliche Messgröße für die Eiweißnutzung und die Pollenqualität. Bei der Verfütterung von ein Jahr lang gelagertem Phacelia Pollen wurde das Eiwei β allerdings abweichend genutzt und förderte die Entwicklung der Ovarien stärker als die der Hypopharynxdrüsen. Die Entwicklung der Drüsen und der Ovarien war stark mit der Menge von Eiweiß korreliert, die die Arbeiterinnen mit der Pollennahrung aufnahmen, zu einem geringeren Grad hing sie mit dem Roheiweißgehalt der Nahrung zusammen. Die tiefgekühlte Lagerung des Pollens über ein Jahr hatte auf die Entwicklung der Ovarien und Drüsen keinen Einfluss. *Helianthus* Pollen und die Bee-Pro Pollenersatznahrung sollten zur Fütterung von Bienenvölkern mit anderen Pollenarten angereichert werden; der Pollen von *Pinus* sollte vermieden werden.

Apis mellifera / Hypopharynxdrüse / Ovarien / Ernährung / Protein / Pollen

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the assistance provided by Christine Abraham, Wendy Graham and Mike Patterson for dissecting the hypopharyngeal glands and ovaries. Thanks are also owed to Douglas Olafson for his assistance in the collection of pollen. Cam Davidson provided access to the orchards at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Research Centre in Morden, Manitoba. René Mabon of Brett Young Seeds Ltd. provided phacelia seed, and Harold Pauls, Clayton Mannes, Bernard Mariash were co-operating producers, who allowed access to their crops. The elemental analysis of pollen was provided by the Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba. We also acknowledge the efforts of Earl Thompson, Manitoba Hydro, for producing the bioassay cage drawing. This research was financially supported by Manitoba Agriculture, a University of Manitoba Fellowship to SFP and a Research Development Fund Grant to RWC.

REFERENCES

- Auclair J.L., Jamieson C.A., A qualitative analysis of amino acids in pollen collected by bees, Science 108 (1948) 357–358.
- [2] Barker R.J., Whether the superiority of pollen in diet of honey bees is attributable to its high content of free proline, Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 65 (1972) 270–271.
- [3] Bitondi M.M.G., Simões Z.L.P., The relationship between level of pollen in the diet, vitellogenin and juvenile hormone titres in Africanized Apis mellifera workers, J. Apic. Res. 35 (1996) 27–36.
- [4] Bradford M.M., A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein using the principle of protein-dye binding, Anal. Biochem. 72 (1976) 248–254.

- Brouwers E.V.M., Measurement of hypopharyngeal gland activity in the honey bee, J. Apic. Res. 21 (1982) 193–198.
- [6] Campana B.J., Moeller F.E., Honey bees: preference for and nutritive value of pollen from five plant sources, J. Econ. Entomol. 70 (1977) 39–41.
- [7] Crailsheim K., Interadult feeding of jelly in honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies, J. Comp. Physiol. B 161 (1991) 55–60.
- [8] Crailsheim K., The flow of jelly within a honeybee colony, J. Comp. Physiol. B 162 (1992) 681–689.
- [9] Crailsheim K., Trophallactic interactions in the adult honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.), Apidologie 29 (1998) 97–112.
- [10] Crailsheim K., Stolberg E., Influence of diet, age and colony condition upon intestinal proteolytic activity and size of the hypopharyngeal glands in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.), J. Insect Physiol. 35 (1989) 595–602.
- [11] Crailsheim K., Schneider L.H.W., Hrassnigg N., Bühlmann G., Brosch U., Gmeinbauer R., Schöffmann B., Pollen consumption and utilization in worker honeybees (*Apis mellifera carnica*): dependence on individual age and function, J. Insect Physiol. 38 (1992) 409–419.
- [12] Cremonez T.M., De Jong D., Bitondi M.M.G., Quantification of hemolymph proteins as a fast method for testing protein diets for honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae), J. Econ. Entomol. 91 (1998) 1284–1289.
- [13] Crompton C.W., Wojtas W.A., Pollen Grains of Canadian Honey Plants, Agric. Canada, Res. Branch, Publ. 1892/E, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 1993.
- [14] Day S., Beyer R., Mercer A., Ogden S., The nutrient composition of honeybee-collected pollen in Otago, New Zealand, J. Apic. Res. 29 (1990) 138–146.
- [15] Dietz A., Stevenson H.R., Influence of longterm storage on the nutritional value of frozen pollen for brood rearing of honey bees, Apidologie 11 (1980) 143–151.
- [16] Doull K.M., Effects of attractants and phagostimulants in pollen and pollen supplement on the feeding behaviour of honeybees in the hive, J. Apic. Res. 13 (1974) 47–54.
- [17] Doull K.M., Standifer L.N., Feeding responses of honeybees in the hive, J. Apic. Res. 9 (1970) 129–132.
- [18] Dunbar B.S., Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis, and Immunological Techniques, Plenum Press, New York, 1987.
- [19] Fægri K., Kaland P.E., Krzywinski K., Textbook of Pollen Analysis, 4th ed., Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [20] Fanger B.O., Adaptation of the Bradford protein assay to membrane-bound proteins by solubilizing in glucopyranoside detergents, Anal. Biochem. 162 (1987) 11–17.

- [21] Gilliam M., McCaughey W.F., Wintermute B., Amino acids in pollens and nectars of citrus cultivars and in stored pollen and honey from honeybee colonies in citrus groves, J. Apic. Res. 19 (1980) 64–72.
- [22] Groot A.P. de, Amino-acid requirements for growth of the honey bee, Experientia 8 (1952) 192–194.
- [23] Groot A.P. de, Protein and amino acid requirements of the honey bee (*Apis mellifica* L.), Physiol. Comp. Oecol. 3 (1953) 197–285.
- [24] Hagedorn H.H., Burger M., Effect of the age of pollen used in pollen supplements on their nutritive value for the honeybee. II. Effect of vitamin content of pollens, J. Apic. Res. 7 (1968) 97–101.
- [25] Hagedorn H.H., Moeller F.E., Effect of the age of pollen used in pollen supplements on their nutritive value for the honeybee. I. Effect on thoracic weight, development of hypopharyngeal glands, and brood rearing, J. Apic. Res. 7 (1968) 89–95.
- [26] Harris J.W., Harbo J.R., Suppression of ovary development of worker honeybees by association with workers treated with carbon dioxide, J. Apic. Res. 29 (1990) 187–193.
- [27] Haydak M.H., Changes with age in the appearance of some internal organs of the honeybee, Bee World 38 (1957) 197–207.
- [28] Haydak M.H., Influence of storage on the nutritive value of pollens for newly emerged honeybees, Am. Bee J. 101 (1961) 354–355.
- [29] Haydak M.H., Influence of storage on the nutritive value of pollen for brood rearing by honeybees, J. Apic. Res. 2 (1963) 105–107.
- [30] Herbert E.W. Jr., Shimanuki H., Chemical composition and nutritive value of bee-collected and bee-stored pollen, Apidologie 9 (1978) 33–40.
- [31] Herbert E.W. Jr., Bickley W.E., Shimanuki H., The brood-rearing capability of caged honey bees fed dandelion and mixed pollen diets J. Econ. Entomol. 63 (1970) 215–218.
- [32] Herbert E.W. Jr., Vanderslice T.J., Huang M., Higgs D.J., Levels of thiamine and its esters in bee collected pollen using liquid chromatography and robotics, Apidologie 18 (1987) 129–136.
- [33] Hodges D., The Pollen Loads of the Honeybee: A Guide to Their Identification by Colour and Form, 1st ed. (reprinted, with addenda), Int. Bee Res. Assoc., London, UK, 1984.
- [34] Hohmann H., Über die Wirkung von Pollenextrakten und Duftstoffen auf das Sammel- und Werbeverhalten höselnder Bienen (*Apis mellifica* L.), Apidologie 1 (1970) 157–178.
- [35] Hrassnigg, N. Crailsheim, C., Adaptation of hypopharyngeal gland development to the brood status of honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies, J. Insect Physiol. 44 (1998) 929–939.

- [36] Huang Z.-Y., Otis G.W., Factors determining hypopharyngeal gland activity of worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.), Insectes Soc. 36 (1989) 264–276.
- [37] Huang Z.-Y., Otis G.W., Teal P.E.A., Nature of brood signal activating the protein synthesis of hypopharyngeal gland in honey bees, *Apis mellifera* (Apidae: Hymenoptera), Apidologie 20 (1989) 455–464.
- [38] Huang Z.-Y., Robinson G.E., Borst D.W., Physiological correlates of division of labor among similarly aged honey bees, J. Comp. Physiol. 174 (1994) 731–739.
- [39] Hügel M.-F., Étude de quelques constituants du pollen, Ann. Abeille 5 (1962) 97–133.
- [40] Jay S.C., Factors influencing ovary development of worker honeybees under natural conditions, Can. J. Zool. 46 (1968) 345–347.
- [41] Jay S.C., The effect of various combinations of immature queen and worker bees on the ovary development of worker honeybees in colonies with and without queens, Can. J. Zool. 48 (1970) 169–173.
- [42] Jay S.C., Jay D.H., The effect of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* A. Chev.) and yellow flowered broom (*Cystisus scoparius* Link) pollen on the ovary development of worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.), Apidologie 24 (1993) 557–563.
- [43] Kauffeld N.M., Chemical analysis of Louisiana pollen and colony conditions during a year, Apidologie 11 (1980) 47–55.
- [44] Kirk W.D., A Colour Guide to Pollen Loads of the Honey Bee, Int. Bee Res. Assoc., Cardiff, UK, 1994.
- [45] Kleinschmidt G.J., Kondos A.C., The influence of crude protein levels on colony production, Aust. Beekeep. 78 (1976) 36–39.
- [46] Kleinschmidt G.J., Kondos A.C., The effect of dietary protein on colony performance, Aust. Beekeep. 79 (1978) 251–257.
- [47] Knox D.A., Shimanuki H., Herbert E.W., Diet and longevity of adult honey bees, J. Econ. Entomol. 64 (1971) 1415–1416.
- [48] Lass A., Crailsheim K., Influence of age and caging upon protein metabolism, hypopharyngeal glands and trophallactic behavior in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.), Insectes Soc. 43 (1996) 347–358.
- [49] Lehner Y., Nutritional considerations in choosing protein and carbohydrate sources for use in pollen substitutes for honey bees, J. Apic. Res. 22 (1983) 242–248.
- [50] Levin M.D., Bohart G.E., Selection of pollen by honey bees, Am. Bee J. 95 (1955) 392–393, 402.
- [51] Lin H., Winston, M., The role of nutrition and temperature in the ovarian development of the worker honey bee (*Apis mellifera*), Can. Entomol. 130 (1998) 883–891.

- [52] Loper G.M., Berdel R.L., The effects of nine pollen diets on brood rearing of honeybees, Apidologie 11 (1980) 351–359.
- [53] Loper G.M., Cohen A.C., Amino acid content of dandelion pollen, a honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) nutritional evaluation, J. Econ. Entomol. 80 (1987) 14–17.
- [54] Loper G.M., Standifer L.N., Thompson M.J., Gilliam M., Biochemistry and microbiology of bee-collected almond (*Prunus dulcis*) pollen and bee-bread, Apidologie 11 (1980) 63–73.
- [55] Louveaux J., Recherches sur la récolte du pollen par les abeilles (*Apis mellifica* L.), Ann. Abeille 2 (1959) 13–111.
- [56] Lubliner-Mianowska K., Badania sktadu chemicznego pytku I. Badania pytku jako pokarmu pszczót, Acta Soc. Bot. Pol. 25 (1956) 409–419.
- [57] Maurizio A., Pollenernährung und Lebensvorgänge bei der Honigbiene (*Apis mellifica* L.), Landwirtsch. Jahrb. Schweiz. 68 (1954) 115–182.
- [58] Maurizio A., Pollen: its composition collection, utilization and identification, Bee World 35 (1954) 49–50.
- [59] McCaughey W.F., Gilliam M., Standifer L.N., Amino acids and protein adequacy for honey bees of pollens from desert plants and other floral sources, Apidologie 11 (1980) 75–86.
- [60] McLellan A.R., Minerals, carbohydrates, and amino acids of pollens from some woody and herbaceous plants, Ann. Bot. 41 (1977) 1225–1232.
- [61] O'Neal R.J., Waller D., On the pollen harvest by the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) near Tucson, Arizona (1976–1981), Desert Plants 6 (1984) 81–109.
- [62] Pain J., Sur quelques facteurs alimentaires, accélérateurs du développment des œufs dans les ovaires des ouvrières d'abeilles (*Apis m. L.*), Insectes Soc. 8 (1961) 31–93.
- [63] Pain J., L'alimentation de la jeune abeille, Ann. Nutr. (Paris) 17 (1963) A307–A312.
- [64] Peterson G.L., Determination of total protein, in: Hirs C.H.W., Timasheff S.N. (Eds.), Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 91, Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 95–119.
- [65] Rabie A.L., Wells J.D., Dent L.K., The nitrogen content of pollen protein, J. Apic. Res. 22 (1983) 119–123.
- [66] Rayner C.J., Langridge D.F., Amino acids in bee-collected pollens from Australian indigenous and exotic plants, Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 25 (1985) 722–726.
- [67] Robinson F.A., Nation J.L., Substances that attract caged honeybee colonies to consume pollen supplements and substitutes, J. Apic. Res. 7 (1968) 83–88.
- [68] Roşca O., Rusu C., Frasinel C., Contribuții la studiul influenței nutriției suplimentare cu proteine asupra dezvoltrii și activității secretorii a

glandelor faringiene ale albinelor, Lucr. ştiint, Inst. Agron. 'Ion Ionescu de la Brad', Iaşi II, Ser. Zootehn.–Med. Vet. (1972) 93–98.

- [69] Sarkar B.C.R., Wittwer S.H., Luecke R.W., Sell H.M., Quantitative estimation of some amino acids in sweet corn pollen, Arch. Biochem. 22 (1949) 353–355.
- [70] SAS Institute, SAS User's Guide: Statistics, Release 6.11, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1995.
- [71] Schmid-Hempel P., Winston M.L., Ydenberg R.C., Foraging of individual workers in relation to colony state in the social Hymenoptera, Can. Entomol. 125 (1993) 129–160.
- [72] Schmidt J.O., Feeding preference of *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae): individual versus mixed pollen species, J. Kans. Entomol. Soc. 57 (1984) 323–327.
- [73] Schmidt J.O., Phagostimulants in pollen, J. Apic. Res. 24 (1985) 107–114.
- [74] Schmidt J.O., Buchmann S.L., Floral biology of the saguaro (*Cereus gigantues*). I. Pollen harvest by *Apis mellifera*, Oecologia (Berlin) 69 (1986) 499–503.
- [75] Schmidt J.O., Johnson B.E., Pollen feeding preference of *Apis mellifera*, a polylectic bee, Southwest. Entomol. 9 (1984) 41–47.
- [76] Schmidt J.O., Schmidt P.J., Pollen digestibility and its potential nutritional value, Glean. Bee Cult. 112 (1984) 320–322.
- [77] Schmidt J.O., Thoenes S.C., Levin M.D., Survival of honey bees, *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), fed various pollen sources, J. Econ. Entomol. 80 (1987) 176–183.
- [78] Schmidt J.O., Buchmann S.L., Glaiim M., The nutritional value of *Typha latifolia* pollen for bees. J. Apic. Res. 28 (1989) 155–165.
- [79] Schmidt L.S., Schmidt J.O., Rao H., Wang W., Xu L., Feeding preference of young worker honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) fed rape, sesame, and sunflower pollen, J. Econ. Entomol. 88 (1995) 1591–1595.
- [80] Seeley T.D., Adaptive significance of the age polyethism schedule in honeybee colonies, Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 11 (1982) 287–293.
- [81] Shawer M.B., Major pollen sources in Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, and the effect of pollen supply on brood area and honey yield, J. Apic. Res. 26 (1987) 43–46.
- [82] Shawer M.B., Ali S.M., Abdellatif M.A., El-Refai A.A., Biochemical studies of bee-collected pollen in Egypt. 2. Fatty acids and nonsaponifiables, J. Apic. Res. 26 (1987) 133–136.
- [83] Smith M.V., Adie A., A new design in pollen traps, Can. Bee J. 74 (1963) 4–5, 8.
- [84] Snedecor G.W., Cochran W.G., Statistical Methods, 7th ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, IA, 1980.
- [85] Solberg Y., Remedios G., Chemical composition of pure and bee-collected pollen, Meld. Nor. Landbrukshøgsk. 59 (1980) 1–12.

- [86] Stace P., Pollen quality: canola (*Brassica* spp.), Bee Briefs 9 (1992) 2.
- [87] Standifer L.N., A comparison of the protein quality of pollens for growth-stimulation of the hypopharyngeal glands and longevity of honey bees, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae), Insectes Soc. 14 (1967) 415–426.
- [88] Standifer L.N., McCaughey W.F., Todd F.E., Kremmerer A.R., Relative availability of various proteins to the honey bee, Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 53 (1960) 618–625.
- [89] Standifer L.N., Macdonald R.H., Levin M.D., Influence of the quality of protein in pollens and of a pollen substitute on the development of hypopharyngeal glands in honey bees, Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 63 (1970) 909–910.
- [90] Standifer L.N., McCaughey W.F., Dixon S.E., Gilliam M., Loper G.M., Biochemistry and microbiology of pollen collected by honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) from almond, *Prunus dulcis*. II. Protein, amino acids and enzymes, Apidologie 11 (1980) 163–171.
- [91] Stanley R.G., Linskens H.F., Pollen: Biology, Biochemistry and Management, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [92] Starrat A.N., Boch R., Synthesis of octadecatrans-2, cis-9, cis-12-trienoic acid and its evaluation as a honey bee attractant, Can. J. Biochem. 49 (1971) 251–254.
- [93] Svoboda J., The value of pollen as food for bees, Bee World 21 (1940) 105–107.
- [94] Todd F.E., Bretherick, O., The composition of pollen, J. Econ. Entomol. 35 (1942) 312–317.

- [95] Townsend G.F., Smith M.V., Pollen storage for bee feed, Am. Bee J. 109 (1969) 14–15.
- [96] Velthuis H.H.W., Ovarian development in Apis mellifera worker bees, Entomol. Exp. Appl. 13 (1970) 377–394.
- [97] Vivino A.E., Palmer L.S., The chemical composition and nutritional value of pollens collected by bees, Arch. Biochem. 4 (1944) 129–136.
- [98] Vorst E. van der, Mattys J., Rycke P.H. de, Jacobs F.J., Comparative lipid composition of colony- and laboratory-stored pollen, J. Apic. Res. 21 (1982) 174–177.
- [99] Wahl O., Vergleichende Untersuchungen über den Nährwert von Pollen, Hefe, Sojamehl und Trockenmilch für die Honigbiene (*Apis mellifica* L.), Z. Bienenforsch. 6 (1963) 209–280.
- [100] Waldbauer G.P., Friedman S., Self-selection of optimal diets by insects, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 36 (1991) 43–63.
- [101] Weaver N., Kuiken K.A., Quantitative analysis of the essential amino acids of royal jelly and some pollens, J. Econ. Entomol. 44 (1951) 635–638.
- [102] Wille H., Wille M., Kitchenmann V., Imdorf A., Bühlmann G., Pollenernte und Massenwechsel von drei *Apis mellifera*-Völkern auf demselben Bienenstand in zwei aufeinanderfolgenden Jahren, Rev. Suisse Zool. 92 (1985) 897–914.
- [103] Yousseff A.M., Farag R.S., Ewies M.A., El-Shakaa S.M.A., Chemical studies on pollen collected by honeybees in Giza region, Egypt, J. Apic. Res. 17 (1978) 110–113.