

Effect of simplifying winter feeding in suckling herds

N Grenet, J Billant

▶ To cite this version:

N Grenet, J Billant. Effect of simplifying winter feeding in suckling herds. Annales de zootechnie, 1995, 44 (Suppl1), pp.340-340. hal-00889497

HAL Id: hal-00889497 https://hal.science/hal-00889497v1

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of simplifying winter feeding in suckling herds

N Grenet 1, J Billant 2

Institut de l'Elevage, Theix, 63122 St-Genès-Champanelle ; 2LEGTA, 52903 Chaumont Cedex 9, France

Depending on farm resources, two forages of unequal value are often distributed daily to beef herds. To gain time for animal care, they could be given alternately at several days interval but the subsequent ration imbalance and varying levels of energy might affect animal performances.

Six trials were performed with Limousine animals, three with 1-year-old heifers (H1), two with 2-year-old heifers (H2) and one with multiparous suckling cows (SC). For each trial, which lasted at least 110 days, two groups (control and experimental) of 10 to 12 heifers were formed on the basis of age, weight and previous growth while the cows were allotted to groups of 18 according to weight and calving date. H1 received a diet of limited amounts of grass silage and hay for two years, grass silage and maize silage the third year, H2 and SC a diet of grass silage and straw. Rations were balanced by cereals and soya meal for growth of about 600 or 300 g/day in H1 and H2 respectively and weight loss of 20-25 kg for the cows (INRA recommendations 1988, "L'alimentation des bovins, ovins et caprins" edited by R Jarrige, INRA Publ, Paris). In each case, the control group (C) received all ration constituents daily while the experimental group (E) received grass silage from Monday to Friday and hay, straw and concentrate or maize silage the weekend in such a way that

all animals received exactly the same amounts in a week (dry matter and calculated energy)

The animals were weighed on two consecutive days at the same hour after pre-and post-experimental periods of ten days during which grass silage alone was given (H1, 5 kg of dry matter, H2, 7 kg and SC, 10 kg) to eliminate fluctuations in digestive content. Subsequent growth at pasture was also measured.

There was no effect of year and so results were grouped together. Alternate forage distribution significantly affected growth in H1 (-88 g/day) and H2 (-77 g/day, a difference close to the 5% threshold). Compensatory growth at pasture was not sufficient to totally offset winter delay; for a standard indoor wintering of 180 days (H1) or 150 days (H2) and 210 days at pasture, the final weight difference would be 11 and 9 kg. The cows also lost more weight (NS) but completely made up the difference at pasture.

In conclusion, simplifying feeding did not affect animal health but reduced feed efficiency and consequently growth of heifers. Young animals are more sensitive to abrupt feed variations. To maintain growth would require an extra daily cost of 0.25 feed unit, ie 80 kg of concentrates over two winters with the same efficiency .A study of reproduction in a larger number of cows is also needed.

Animal type	H1		H2		SC1	
Group	С	E	С	Ε	С	E
Experimental ADG (g)	597**	509	363	286	-25.5	-34.4
Pasture ADG (g)	618	641	513	527	62.0	72.5
Final weight difference (C - E in kg)	-11		-9		+1.8	

¹ Weight variation in kg

^{**} Significant difference at threshold of 1 %