

Comparative fibrolytic activity of different microbial populations from rabbit caecum and bovine rumen

N Jehl, Cécile Martin, Pierre Noziere, Thierry Gidenne, B Michalet-Doreau

▶ To cite this version:

N Jehl, Cécile Martin, Pierre Noziere, Thierry Gidenne, B Michalet-Doreau. Comparative fibrolytic activity of different microbial populations from rabbit caecum and bovine rumen. Annales de zootechnie, 1995, 44 (Suppl1), pp.186-186. hal-00889348

HAL Id: hal-00889348 https://hal.science/hal-00889348

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparative fibrolytic activity of different microbial populations from rabbit caecum and bovine rumen

N Jehl 1, C Martin 2, P Nozière 2, T Gidenne 1, B Michalet-Doreau 2

1INRA, Station de Recherches Cunicoles, 31320 Castanet Tolosan ; ²INRA, SRNH, Theix, 63122 St-Genès-Champanelle, France

As in the bovine rumen, plant cell walls are degraded in the rabbit caecum by enzymes produced by fibrolytic microbial flora. The caecal microflora contrasts from that of the rumen by absence of protozoa and predominance of Bacteroïdes species. In the rumen, solid-associated bacteria (SAB) represent the most important bacterial population (about 75 %) (Legay-Carmier and Bauchart, 1989, Br J Nutr, 61, 725-740), and differentiate from the liquid-associated bacteria (LAB) by both their chemical composition (Merry and Mc Allan, 1983, Br J Nutr, 50, 701-709) and their higher glycolytic activity (Martin et al, 1993, Curr Microbiol, 27, 223-228). In the rabbit caecum, distribution and enzyme activity of the microbial population are not well known.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the separation between liquid and solidassociated caecal bacteria is opportune or not, and to compare the fibrolytic activity of rabbit caecal bacteria and ruminal bacteria.

The experiment was conducted with rabbits fed *ad libitum* and cows restricted to 80 % *ad libitum*, on fibre rich diets : 40 % (NDF) for the two rabbit diets (diets 1 and 2) and 60 % for the cow diet (diet 3). Caecal contents were collected at the end of the caecotrophy period (11 am) and ruminal content 23 h after feeding.

After sonication under anaerobic conditions, samples from SAB and LAB (diets 1 and 3) or whole bacteria (WB) (diet 2) were assessed for their cellulolytic (CMCase and avicelase) and hemicellulolytic (xylanase) specific activities (Williams and Strachan, 1984, Curr Microbiol, 10, 215-220).

Ruminal LAB were not involved in plant cell wall polysaccharides degradation. In the rabbit caecum, the fibrolytic activity was similar in SAB and LAB, but showed an important variability, suggesting a transitory or a random distribution between SAB and LAB population. In contrast, the fibrolytic activity of whole bacterial population (WB) had a variability similar to that registered for rumen SAB. In addition, the great homogeneity of caecal digesta and its high DM level (20 %), contrasted with those of the rumen. Thus, the fractionation of caecal flora into free and adherent bacteria seemed not justified. Activity of the caecal WB was lower than ruminal SAB, but contamination of the enzymatic extract by endogenous proteins may induce an underestimation of the specific enzyme activity in the caecum. Nevertheless, the measurement of enzyme activity seems to be an interesting approach to study the rabbit microbial caecum ecosystem and its eventual modifications by the dietary conditions.

| Enzyme activity nmole or reducing sugars released/mg proteins/min | caecum | | | rumen | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | diet 1 | | diet 2 | diet 3 | |
| | SAB (n = 6) | LAB (n = 5) | WB (n = 8) | SAB (n = 4) | LAB (n = 4) |
| Xylanase | 946 ± 955 | 1472 ± 1120 | 1446 ± 406 | 7706 ± 3514 | 0 |
| CMCase | 581 ± 436 | 362 ± 361 | 424 ± 92 | 838 ± 479 | 0 |
| Avicelase | $\textbf{263} \pm \textbf{193}$ | 206 ± 227 | 432 ± 130 | 760 ± 313 | 0 |