



HAL
open science

Genetic relationships between station performance-testing and " on-farm " testing in pigs

P. Sellier, R. Gueblez, D. Laloe, J.P. Runavot, L. Ollivier

► To cite this version:

P. Sellier, R. Gueblez, D. Laloe, J.P. Runavot, L. Ollivier. Genetic relationships between station performance-testing and " on-farm " testing in pigs. *Annales de zootechnie*, 1985, 34 (3), pp.363-363. <hal-00888386>

HAL Id: hal-00888386

<https://hal.science/hal-00888386v1>

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Estimates of the annual genetic trends for average daily gain (g) and food conversion ratio (kg feed/kg gain) in the LW breed $+ 2.9 \pm 0.8$ g and $- 0.011 \pm 0.002$ kg/kg were respectively in I stations, $- 4.7 \pm 2.1$ g and $- 0.003 \pm 0.007$ kg/kg in P stations. The corresponding estimated for the FL breed were $+ 1.0 \pm 0.9$ g and $- 0.008 \pm 0.003$ kg/kg respectively in I stations, $+ 3.2 \pm 2.7$ g and $- 0.022 \pm 0.008$ kg/kg in P stations. For the BL breed, they were $- 2.5 \pm 1.4$ g and $+ 0.003 \pm 0.004$ kg/kg respectively in I stations, $- 0.8 \pm 2.8$ g and $- 0.018 \pm 0.009$ kg/kg in P stations. Annual genetic trends in muscle content of the carcass (P stations) and average backfat thickness (I stations) were $+ 0.42 \pm 0.07$ p. 100 and $- 0.26 \pm 0.02$ mm respectively for the LW breed, $+ 0.15 \pm 0.10$ p. 100 and 0.16 ± 0.02 mm for the FL breed, $+ 0.33 \pm 0.09$ p. 100 and $- 0.19 \pm 0.03$ mm for the BL breed.

As compared to the expected responses from the boar selection index of I stations, estimated genetic gains were relatively greater for body composition than for growth traits.

Genetic relationships between station performance-testing and « on-farm » testing in pigs

P. SELLIER *, R. GUEBLEZ **, D. LALOE ***,
J.P. RUNAVOT **, L. OLLIVIER *

* *I.N.R.A., Station de Génétique quantitative et appliquée
78350 Jouy-en-Josas*

** *I.T.P., Région Ouest, B.P. 3, 35650 Le Rheu*

*** *U.P.R.A. Porcine, 95 bis, boulevard Pereire, 75017 Paris*

Pig breeding for growth and carcass traits in France is based on performance recording both in central testing stations (S) and in breeding farms (F). Data collected in boar performance-test stations (1979-1983) and in the « on-farm » programme (1981-1983), on *Large White* and *French Landrace* pigs, were analysed in order to estimate genetic correlations between traits measured in the S and F environments. The S traits are average daily gain (ADG_s) and food conversion ratio (FCR_s) from 35 to 90 kg, and ultrasonic backfat thickness at 90 kg (BFT_s). The F traits are age at 100 kg (AGE_F) and ultrasonic backfat thickness at 100 kg (BFT_F). Data sets used for estimating genetic variances included 25298 boars from 4656 sires for S traits, and 96711 boars (1/3) or gilts (2/3) from 2292 sires for F traits (104 herds). Genetic covariances between S and F traits were derived from sire-offspring covariance on one hand (18325 F offspring from 302 S sires), and from paternal half-sib covariances on the other hand (1117 sires with 55697 F offspring and 5743 S sons). The pooled estimates of genetic correlation (r_A) between S and F traits are as follows : $- 0.41 \pm 0.07$ for ADG_s and AGE_F , $- 0.10 \pm 0.05$ for ADG_s and BFT_F , 0.39 ± 0.05 for FCR_s and AGE_F , 0.23 ± 0.05 for FCR_s and BFT_F , 0.05 ± 0.05 for BFT_s and AGE_F , and 0.53 ± 0.05 for BFT_s and BFT_F . Separate analyses by sex of « on-farm » pigs suggest a genotype x sex interaction for growth traits, the r_A 's being higher for the boar-boar than for the boar-gilt relationship ($- 0.57 \pm 0.09$ vs $- 0.35 \pm 0.06$ for ADG_s and AGE_F , and 0.61 ± 0.09 vs 0.31 ± 0.06 for FCR_s and AGE_F , respectively). The genotype x environment interaction effects explaining that the observed r_A 's between similar S and F traits deviate from the « expected » value of 1 (or $- 1$) are briefly discussed in relation to the feeding system, test period, sex and housing differences between the two breeding environments.