

Gene networks involved in iron acquisition strategies in plants

Petra Bauer, Zsolt Bereczky

▶ To cite this version:

Petra Bauer, Zsolt Bereczky. Gene networks involved in iron acquisition strategies in plants. Agronomie, 2003, 23 (5-6), pp.447-454. 10.1051/agro:2003012 . hal-00886196

HAL Id: hal-00886196 https://hal.science/hal-00886196v1

Submitted on 11 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Gene networks involved in iron acquisition strategies in plants

Petra BAUER*, Zsolt BERECZKY

Institute for Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Corrensstrasse 3, 06466 Gatersleben, Germany

(Received 5 August 2002; accepted 4 December 2002)

Abstract – Iron uptake is strictly controlled in all organisms. Iron deficiency induces a well-defined series of physiological events, either based on iron reduction (strategy I, dicots and monots except grasses) or iron chelation (strategy II, grasses). Genes encoding the structural components of the strategies I and II have been described recently using molecular, genetic and biochemical techniques. The major participants of the strategy I are the ferric reductase and the Fe II transporter encoded by *Atfro2* and *Atirt1* homologs, respectively. The strategy II comprises the enzymes for phytosiderophore biosynthesis encoded by the genes for nicotianamine synthase, nicotianamine aminotransferase and others. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms control the strategy I and II genes. The tomato *fer* gene is among the first identified regulator genes for iron uptake. The identification and characterisation of the regulatory mechanisms is an important step towards designing new strategies for improving the iron content of crop plants for human health.

iron uptake / strategy I genes / strategy II genes / regulation / fer

Résumé – **Les réseaux de gènes impliqués dans les stratégies d'absorption de fer par les plantes.** L'absorption de fer est contrôlée de manière rigoureuse dans tous les organismes. La carence en fer entraîne des séries bien connues d'incidents physiologiques, qu'ils soient basés sur la réduction de fer (stratégie I, dicotylédones et monocotylédones à l'exception des plantes herbacées) ou la chélation de fer (stratégie II, plantes herbacées). Les gènes codant pour les composants structuraux des stratégies I et II ont été décrits récemment en utilisant des techniques moléculaires, génétiques et biochimiques. Les principaux participants de la stratégie I sont la réductase ferrique et le transporteur Fe II codés respectivement par les homologues de *Atfro2* et *Atirt1*. La stratégie II comporte les enzymes pour la biosynthèse de phytosidérophore codées par les gènes de la nicotianamine synthase, de la nicotianamine aminotransférase et d'autres. Les mécanismes de régulation transcriptionels et post-transcription de fer à avoir été identifiés. L'identification et la caractérisation des mécanismes régulateurs est une avancée considérable pour envisager de nouvelles stratégies visant à améliorer le contenu en fer des plantes cultivées pour la santé humaine.

absorption de fer / stratégie I gènes / stratégie II gènes / régulation / fer

1. INTRODUCTION

Iron is essential for cellular redox reactions due to its ability to undergo reversible valence changes from Fe II to Fe III. Iron is, for example, required for electron transport during respiration and photosynthesis, and the catalytical reactions of metalloproteins that utilise oxygen, hydrogen or water as substrates [26]. Due to its high redox reactivity, free cellular iron can generate hydroxyl radicals in the Fenton reaction. To find the balance between iron requirement and toxicity, the uptake and distribution of iron is strictly controlled in all organisms.

The identification of iron signals, signal transduction components and regulators for iron homeostasis is a major issue in iron plant biology today. Metal homeostasis can be regulated during entry of iron into the root epidermis, transport to the xylem, transport throughout the plant, intracellular distribution and storage. Disturbed iron homeostasis may cause leaf chlorosis, growth inhibition and a decreased yield. Roots explore the available iron in the environment, trap iron and take it up in response to the needs of the shoot for iron. Iron assimilation can be controlled by altering the amount and activity of iron mobilisation and uptake components. At low nutrient supply, organisms tend to express high-affinity uptake systems to transport the nutrients with higher efficiency. In contrast, if nutrient supply is sufficient, low-affinity transport mechanisms are usually employed [14, 19, 57]. High- and low-affinity iron transport in plant roots have only been partially analysed [52].

Communicated by Philippe Hinsinger (Montpellier, France)

* Correspondence and reprints

bauer@ipk-gatersleben.de



Figure 1. Schematic representation of iron acquisition in roots or root hairs upon iron deficiency. (A) Strategy I of dicot and monocot plants with the exception of grasses (modified according to [45]). Iron deficiency causes proton extrusion (H^+), secretion of small organic chelating molecules (chelators) to solubilise Fe III. Fe III is reduced by FRO2 to Fe II [42], and subsequently taken up by the Fe II transporter IRT1 present in the root epidermis [13, 52]. Iron mobilisation and uptake may also take place in the root apoplast in addition to the rhizosphere as represented. (B) Strategy II of the *Gramineae* (modified according to [45]). Phytosiderophores are synthesised from S-adenosylmethionine via the action of nicotianamine synthase, nicotianamine aminotransferase and other enzymes summarised in [35] and secreted into the rhizosphere. After chelation of Fe III, phytosiderophore-Fe III complexes are reimported into the root via YS1 [11].

Iron acquisition is currently the best studied system for metal uptake in plants. The reason is that iron limitation induces a well-defined series of physiological responses which can be easily monitored in various laboratory assays to isolate iron uptake components at a molecular level. Iron deficiency is often caused by the low solubility of Fe III rather than the low amounts of iron in the soil, especially on calcareous and alkaline soils. Plant strategies to cope with iron deficiency therefore aim at solubilising Fe III to facilitate its uptake by the plant roots. Dicots and monocots except grasses acidify the apoplast and rhizosphere due to the action of H+-ATPases, secrete small chelating organic molecules like citrate and reduce iron. Fe II is taken up by the root epidermis via a transporter for Fe II (strategy I, [45], Fig. 1A).

Grasses secrete phytosiderophores, potent chelators for Fe III. These phytosiderophores are derived from the nonproteinogenic tripeptide-like molecule nicotianamine, a condensation product of methionine. Fe III-phytosiderophore complexes are reimported into the root (strategy II, [45], Fig. 1B).

Both strategies are induced upon iron limitation and switched off after sufficient iron supply, as established physiologically [45]. This suggests that the strategy I and II components are only required for the uptake of iron upon an inadequate iron nutritional status, presumably high-affinity uptake components. Upon sufficient iron supply, different mechanisms for the uptake and transport of iron presumably exist which have not been described in detail for plants.

In the following overview we describe our current approaches to isolating the genes involved in iron mobilisation and uptake. A number of observations indicate that iron assimilation is controlled at several levels. Molecular gene identification allows us to study the regulation of iron acquisition more precisely.

2. GENES ENCODING IRON MOBILISATION COMPONENTS OF THE STRATEGY I AND STRATEGY II

In recent years genetic, biochemical and molecular approaches and their combination have successfully contributed to the identification of the genes mediating iron acquisition (Tab. I).

2.1. Ferric chelate reductase genes

Root iron reductase activity can be visualised using BPDS (Bathophenanthroline disulfonate) or ferrozine which turn red or pink upon binding of reduced Fe II. This colour reaction was used for a forward genetic screen to identify Arabidopsis mutants devoid of iron reductase activity [58]. In this way, three allelic mutations were identified, frd1-1, frd1-2 and frd1 3 (ferric reductase deficient mutants) [58]. Mapping of frd1-1 together with a candidate gene approach for identifying ferric reductase homologs from Arabidopsis revealed that the candidate gene Atfro2 cosegregated with frd1-1 [42]. Atfro2 encodes a predicted eight membrane-spanning protein, harbouring a FAD cofactor binding site and two sites for haem coordination [42]. Atfro2 is induced upon iron limitation in roots [42]. A ferric reductase homolog has been identified in pea via a PCR-based approach using degenerate oligonucleotides, Psfro1 [53]. PSFRO1 confers increased ferric chelate reductase activity in yeast [53]. Psfro1 is expressed in ironstarved roots and shoots as well as in nodules in the infection and nitrogen-fixing zones [53]. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation requires iron for the activity of nitrogenase and leghemoglobin. The presence of *PSFRO1* transcripts in leaf mesophyll and parenchyma cells was explained as the need for iron reduction to retrieve Fe III, which is presumably complexed with citrate [53]. fro genes represent a gene family in plants with specific expression patterns [42]. The identification of Atfro2 as the strategy I ferric reductase seems to indicate that despite the apparent redundancy, some fro family members have acquired specific functions.

2.2. Transporter genes

The family of ZIP metal transporters (ZIP stands for ZRP, IRT-like proteins, ZRP = zinc-regulated transporter, IRT = iron-regulated transporter) was found to be involved in metal uptake in eukaryotes and some bacteria [15, 20, 22]. ZIP proteins harbour mostly eight predicted transmembrane-spanning regions and a postulated metal ion binding site in the intracellular loop between helices III and IV [15]. The first zip cDNA from plants, *Atirt1*, was identified in a functional yeast complementation assay to restore growth of the iron uptake-deficient yeast strain *fet3fet4* [13]. ATIRT1 has a broad substrate range, including iron (Fe II is preferred over Fe III), zinc, manganese and cadmium [13, 29, 43]. *Atirt1* and a close relative *Atirt2* were found to be induced by iron limitation in the root where they are expressed in the root epidermis of the

Function of gene product	Name	Organism	Role of gene product in iron uptake	Approaches for gene identification	Gene regulation in response to iron supply	References
Ferric chelate reductase	Atfro2	Arabidopsis thaliana	Strategy I iron reductase	Forward genetics, candidate gene	Induction in –Fe roots	[42]
	Psfro1	Pisum sativum	Iron reductase	Candidate approach, yeast complementation	Induction in –Fe roots and –Fe shoots	[53]
ZIP transporter	Atirt1	Arabidopsis thaliana	Strategy I Fe II transporter in root epidermis	Yeast complementation, reverse genetics	Up-regulation in –Fe roots	[13, 52]
	Atirt2	Arabidopsis thaliana	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene identification, yeast complementation	Up-regulation –Fe roots	[51]
	Leirt1	Lycopersicon esculentum	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Up-regulation –Fe roots	[12]
	Leirt2	Lycopersicon esculentum	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Similar expression in +/- roots	[12]
	Psrit1	Pisum sativum	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene	Induction in -Fe roots	[8]
	Osirt1	Oryza sativa	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Induction in –Fe roots	[6]
NRAMP transporter	Atnramp1	Arabidopsis thaliana	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Up-regulation –Fe roots, low expression with up- regulation in –Fe leaves	[10, 50]
	Atnramp3	Arabidopsis thaliana	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Up-regulation –Fe roots and –shoots	[50]
	Atnramp4	Arabidopsis thaliana	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Up-regulation –Fe roots and –Fe shoots	[50]
	Lenramp1	Lycopersicon esculentum	Putative iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene	Up-regulation –Fe roots	Bereczky and Bauer
	Lenramp3	Lycopersicon esculentum	Putative iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene	Similar expression in +/- roots and shoots	Bereczky and Bauer
	Osnramp1	Oryza sativa	Iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene, yeast complementation	Not analysed	[2, 10]
	Osnramp3	Oryza sativa	Putative iron (metal) transporter	Candidate gene	Not analysed	[2]
YSL transporter	Zmys1	Zea mays	Strategy II Fe III phytosiderophore transporter	Forward genetics, yeast complementation	Induction –Fe roots and – leaves	[11]
Transporter MATE	Atfrd3	Arabidopsis thaliana	Putative transporter	Forward genetics	Weak up-regulation in –Fe roots	[44]
Nicotiana- mine synthase	Lechln	Lycopersicon esculentum	Nicotianamine synthase	Forward genetics, Enzyme assay	Similar expression in +/– Fe shoots and roots	[31]
	Hvnas	Hordeum vulgare	Strategy II nicotianamine synthase	Enzyme purification	Induction in -Fe roots	[24]
	Osnas	Oryza sativa	Nicotianamine synthase	Candidate approach	Induction in –Fe leaves and roots, basal expression	[25]
Phytosideroph ore enzyme	Hvnaata Hvnaatb	Hordeum vulgare	Strategy II nicotianamine aminotransferase	Enzyme purification	Induction in –Fe roots	[48]
	Hvids2 Hvids3	Hordeum vulgare	Putative dioxygenase	Mapping, differential cDNA library screening	Induction in –Fe roots	[33, 34, 36]
Regulator	Lefer	Lycopersicon esculentum	Strategy I regulator, putative transcription factor	Forward genetics	Similar expression in +/- roots	[32]

Table I. Genes involved in iron uptake mobilisation responses in the root.

root hair zone [51, 52]. The IRT1 protein is present in the plasmalemma [52]. Loss of Atirt1 function leads to chlorosis and reduced growth upon low iron supply [52]. Moreover, IRT1 appears to transport iron with high affinity [13, 52]. Atirt2 was not capable of rescuing Arabidopsis irt1-1 mutants [52]. Moreover, Arabidopsis irt2 mutant plants did not display any iron deficiency phenotypes [55]. Therefore, IRT1 is the highaffinity Fe II transporter acting during the strategy I [52]. The role of IRT2 is not yet fully established in Arabidopsis; presumably, it may act in addition to IRT1, in other compartments than IRT1 or in the uptake of other metals. Due to the lack of genetic evidence in other systems we do not know yet whether ATIRT1's function as the main strategy I Fe II transporter is conserved in other strategy I plants. In tomato, Leirt1 encodes a root-specific metal transporter with enhanced expression upon low iron supply, and a basal level of expression upon sufficient iron nutrition [12]. Induction at mRNA level has also been reported for putative *irt1* genes from pea and rice [6, 8]. Leirt2 was expressed in roots irrespective of iron supply [12]. Interestingly, the tomato as well as the Arabidopsis irt1 and irt2 genes are located in tandem in the genome [12, Arabidopsis database].

A second metal transporter family from plants identified through similarity searches using the database is the NRAMP family, comprising six members in Arabidopsis [10, 50, http:// plantst.sdsc.edu/]. nramp genes are involved in metal uptake in eukaryotes and also some bacteria [16]. NRAMP proteins were named after the phagosomal protein NRAMP1 (Natural Resistance-Associated Macrophage Protein 1) that functions as a divalent metal efflux pump in the membrane and contributes to the resistance against intracellular bacteria by reducing metal availability [38]. NRAMP proteins have a broad substrate range and can transport manganese, iron, zinc, cobalt, cadmium, copper, nickel and lead ions [38]. Plant NRAMP proteins contain about 12 predicted transmembrane regions and usually a predicted conserved transport motif [10, 50]. ATNRAMP3 and ATNRAMP4 from Arabidopsis were able to complement the yeast fet3fet4 mutant and to mediate iron uptake in yeast [50]. ATNRAMP1, as well as the rice homolog OSNRAMP1, were less efficient than ATNRAMP3 in the fet3fet4 complementation and iron uptake assays [10, 50]. Possibly, NRAMP1-type transporters in plants serve a different function from NRAMP3 and NRAMP4-type transporters. This assumption seems further supported by the mRNA expression patterns. The related Atnramp1 and Osnramp1 genes are predominantly expressed in roots [2, 10]. Osnramp3, Atnramp3 and Atnramp4 are expressed similarly in roots and shoots [2, 50]. We also found a similar situation for the tomato *Lenramp1* and *Lenramp3* genes (Z. Bereczky and P. Bauer). Atnramp1, Atnramp3 and Atnramp4 show increased expression upon iron limitation [10, 50]. An Atnramp3 T-DNA insertion mutant was more resistant to cadmium than wild-type plants, whereas an obvious growth reduction or iron chlorosis was not observed [50]. ATNRAMP2 and OSNRAMP2 failed to complement the fet3fet4 yeast mutant, indicating that these two proteins may not be iron transporters [10]. ATNRAMP5 and ATNRAMP6 have not been further described in the literature yet. ATEIN2, an ethylene signalling component, shows partial similarity to NRAMP proteins, but was devoid of metal transport function [1]. To really assess the possible roles of *nramp* genes in iron uptake it will be necessary to obtain detailed data on mRNA and protein localisation as well as loss and gain of function effects. It is possible that NRAMP proteins function in a less obvious manner than ATIRT1, for example, for the relocation of iron in specific organelles. Thomine et al. proposed that AtNRAMP3 might regulate the vacuolar-cytosolic metal pools and thereby have a control function upon iron acquisition processes (S. Thomine, F. Lelièvre, E. Debarbieux, J.I. Schröder, H. Barbier-Brygoo, *AtNRAMP3* encodes a vacuolar metal transporter induced by iron deficiency that down-regulates iron acquisition mechanisms in *Arabidopsis*, XI Intern. Symposium in iron nutrition and interactions in plants, Udine, Italy, June 23-28, 2002).

A new family of transporters was discovered with the isolation of Zmys1 (maize yellow stripe1) from the ys1-m1 mutant using transposon mutagenesis [11]. ys1 mutant plants are deficient in the uptake of phytosiderophore complexes into roots and suffer from leaf chlorosis [56]. YS1 confers complementation of *fet3fet4* yeast strains if Fe III-phytosiderophore complexes are provided in the growth medium [11]. Zmys1 is induced or expressed at a higher level upon iron deficiency in maize leaves and roots, suggesting that Zmys1 may be important for the distribution of iron throughout the plant [11]. Interestingly, the Arabidopsis genome contains eight Zmys1 homologs (called Atysl = vsl-like genes) [11]. Since strategy I plants do not produce phytosiderophores, this may suggest that YSL-type transporters could have a conserved function in higher plants. It is possible that YSL proteins transport metalnicotianamine complexes in the plant. Nicotianamine is present in all higher plants tested, where it presumably serves an essential role as metal chelator [47]. LeJean et al. reported that AtYSL1 might mediate iron partitioning in the shoot (M. LeJean, J.F. Briat, C. Curie, Involvement of AtYSL1 in plant resistance to iron excess. XI Intern. Symposium in iron nutrition and interactions in plants, Udine, Italy, June 23-28, 2002).

A gene encoding a putative novel transporter involved in metal uptake was recently identified from the Arabidopsis frd3-1 mutant [44]. FRD3 is a member of the multidrug and toxin efflux family which can transport small organic molecules [44]. The concrete function or localisation of FRD3 are not described yet. frd3 is specifically expressed in the root [44]. frd3 mutant plants display upregulated iron and metal acquisition phenotypes [44].

2.3. Gene coding for enzymes involved in nicotianamine and phytosiderophore production

Nicotianamine is a plant-specific compound essential for plant growth [47]. Tomato *chloronerva* (*chln*) mutants, so far the only nicotianamine-free plants described, suffer from severe leaf chlorosis and show induced iron mobilisation and uptake upon sufficient iron supply compared with the wild type [47, Bereczky and Bauer]. Presumably, nicotianamine serves as metal chelator in the plant to achieve proper intracellular or intercellular distribution of iron and other metals, and could be involved in the relocation of iron. In strategy II plants, nicotianamine serves the additional function as precursor for phytosiderophores [35]. Two independent approaches led to the isolation of nicotianamine synthase (*nas*) genes. Due to its enzymatic activity, HvNAS was purified from protein extracts prepared from iron-starved barley roots which showed highly-induced nicotianamine synthase activity [23, 24]. Degenerate oligonucleotides derived from HvNAS peptide sequences were used to amplify the Hvnas DNA fragments to isolate the corresponding cDNA clone [23, 24]. Hvnas was strongly induced at a mRNA and protein level in barley iron-deficient roots, but hardly expressed in iron-sufficient roots and leaves, suggesting that HvNAS is the strategy II specific nicotianamine synthase [23, 24]. In parallel, map-based isolation of Lechln revealed that it encodes a nicotianamine synthase [31]. Lechln was found expressed throughout the plant [31]. nas genes form multigene families in general. Barley has six genes at least [24], and Arabidopsis four apparent *nas* genes (database searches). Tomato appears to be an exception as only one nas band was detected in a genomic Southern blot using a Lechln probe [31] and only Lechln was found expressed in the tomato EST (= expressed sequence tag) database (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/tgi/lgi/).

The second critical enzyme in phytosiderophore production is nicotianamine transferase, NAAT, catalysing the conversion of nicotianamine into demugineic acid. NAAT was purified from barley iron-deficient roots and two corresponding cDNAs were obtained [48]. *Hvnaat* genes were only found expressed in iron-deficient roots [48]. *Hvnaat* genes show a similarity with aminotransferase genes, of which many can be found in the *Arabidopsis* genome (*Arabidopsis* database). Two *Arabidopsis* aminotransferases show higher sequence similarity with the HVNAAT proteins and could potentially be involved in nicotianamine metabolism in *Arabidopsis*. So far, metabolised products of nicotianamine have not yet been reported for strategy I plants.

Subsequent phytosiderophore metabolic steps depend on the genetic background in grasses, whereby iron efficiency may correlate with the amount, time course and variety of phytosiderophores released. Barley produces a large variety of phytosiderophores. Genetic *loci* for the conversion of demugineic acid to mugineic acid and mugineic acid to epihydroxymugineic acid have been mapped to the barley chromosome arms 4L and 7L, respectively [33–35]. These *loci* corresponded to the mapping positions of two iron limitationinduced dioxygenase-encoding cDNAs from roots, *Hvids3* and *Hvids2*, respectively, suggesting that these two cDNAs might encode phytosiderophore biosynthetic enzymes [36]. *Hvids3* might encode a strategy II phytosiderophore dioxygenase. Transgenic rice containing *Hvids3* can produce mugineic acid, in contrast to untransformed rice [28].

Using microarray analysis, Negishi et al. (2002) found iron limitation-induced transcripts encoding polar vesicle transport components, supporting the hypothesis that polar vesicle transport might be involved in the diurnal release of phytosiderophores in barley [37].

2.4. Regulatory genes

The tomato *fer* mutant (T3238*fer*) does not display iron reductase activity and proton extrusion, and is highly deficient in the uptake of iron from the soil [4, 5]. *fer* mutant plants exhibit morphological root phenotypes (such as no root hair proliferation at low iron supply) as well as reduced mRNA

expression of certain metal transporter genes [32, Bereczky and Bauer]. Lefer is required in the root but not the shoot [4]. Phenotypes of fer mutant plants are detectable at low and sufficient iron supply compared with wild-type plants, suggesting that Lefer may be involved in controlling root adaptations to iron supply [32]. The tomato Lefer gene has been isolated by map-based cloning and found to encode a bHLH protein, a putative regulator protein, possibly acting at transcriptional level as a DNA binding protein [32]. Lefer was found expressed in the root but not the shoot, irrespective of iron supply [32]. LeFER transcripts were detected in root tips in a cellspecific manner. Close to the root tip, Lefer expression occurred in the cortex and epidermis, while in the root hair zone expression was found to be restricted to parenchyma cells of the vascular bundles [32]. Therefore, LEFER may be a master regulator for iron acquisition in tomato roots by integrating signals for the iron status and inducing the appropriate root responses. We are currently investigating how the activity of the regulator LEFER may be controlled by the iron status and which could be the direct or indirect target genes.

Due to the efforts being made in structural and functional genomic approaches, it will only be a matter of time before novel regulators are identified. Interacting partners for regulators may be identified by yeast two hybrid screens, co-immunoprecipitation, pull-down assays or other biochemical techniques available. An alternative approach may rely on mRNA profiling using the array technology in Arabidopsis or other species to identify putative transcription factor genes upor down-regulated in response to iron supply. Nevertheless, a transcription factor gene which is itself regulated at transcriptional level by the availability of iron requires that further upstream regulators may exist, which either induce or repress the gene or influence its mRNA stability. Insertion mutagenesis, tilling and RNAi approaches offer the possibility of isolating the corresponding knockout mutants in the identified genes to further investigate the roles of the newly identified regulator genes in plants. New forward screens and suppressor/enhancer screens using the already existing mutants may reveal further regulatory components in the future.

2.5. Other genes

Other described genes involved in iron homeostasis are not directly part of the strategies I or II. A recently identified gene from castor bean, a member of the *lea* late embryogenesis abundant gene family, encoding an iron transport protein (ITP), may serve the phloem transport of iron from the cotyledons [30]. Ferritins play an important role as storage proteins for iron in all organisms. Plant ferritins are located in plastids and encoded by gene families (in *Arabidopsis* four members) with differentially expressed gene members [3, 40]. Some of the ferritin genes have been found to be repressed by low iron availability [40, 41]. Moreover, modulation of ferritin contents in transgenic plants influenced iron acquisition processes, suggesting that ferritins are involved in regulation of the iron pool [54].

In addition, differential cDNA screening procedures and mRNA array technology led to the identification of a number of iron-regulated genes whose concrete functions in iron uptake are not yet known [for example, 17, 36, 37, 49].

Table II.	Regulation	of strategy	I ferric reductase and	iron transporter	genes in iron ur	otake mutants u	oon sufficient	iron supply.

Mutant	Function of mutated gene	Species	Ferric reductase activity	<i>fro</i> gene expression	<i>irt1</i> gene expression	References
irt1-1	Fe II transporter	Arabidopsis thaliana	+	+	nd	[52]
frd1	Fe III reductase	Arabidopsis thaliana	-	nd	+	[13, 42, 58]
frd3	Putative MATE-like transporter	Arabidopsis thaliana	+	+	+	[13, 44]
chln	Nicotianamine synthase	Lycopersicon esculentum	+	nd	+	[47], Bereczky and Bauer
fer	Putative transcription factor	Lycopersicon esculentum	-	nd	-	[4, 32], Bereczky and Bauer
brz	Unknown	Pisum sativum	+	+	nd	[27, 53]
dgl	Unknown	Pisum sativum	+	+	nd	[21, 53]

nd = non determined.

3. REGULATORY MECHANISMS INVOLVED IN IRON UPTAKE

The physiological characteristics of the strategy I and strategy II are induced upon low iron supply and switched off after iron resupply. Thus, strategy I and II responses are efficiently regulated by the availability of iron.

A prerequisite for iron regulation is that an iron sensing component must exist with differential activity upon high or low iron supply. In the easiest way, one may assume that iron could be an activator or repressor for this sensor component. Iron may bind to a regulator directly and influence the biochemical activity of the regulator. For example, iron may regulate by altering mRNA stability, DNA binding capacity, the protein-protein interaction surface or activating a receptormediated signal transduction chain. An alternative possibility is that iron may bind an internal plant signal component. Nicotianamine could serve as a trigger. Finally, the presence or absence of iron may be sensed by certain physiological components or processes, translated into a secondary signal which then acts on a signal transduction cascade, ultimately arriving at the level of DNA. For example, the translocation of iron might emit a signal. Or iron availability could be sensed in the plant tissues during its incorporation into functional structures, such as the photosynthetic apparatus in the plastids. Lack of iron may induce in these tissues a stress signal, possibly related to plant hormones or signalling peptides. Currently, the exact mechanisms for regulation are purely hypothetical. Presumably different iron signals and different regulatory mechanisms are involved since regulation of the strategy I and II occurs at different levels.

First, the expression of iron mobilisation genes (such as *fro2*, *irt1*, *nramp*, *nas* and *naat*) is induced, suggesting transcriptional regulation by DNA binding transcription factors (Sect. 2, Tab. I). The tomato *fer* gene is to date the only candidate for such a regulator [32]. We still do not know whether the *fer*-dependent iron transporter genes are the direct targets of FER in tomato or whether further regulators act as intermediates. The definition of promoter elements of iron deficiency-induced target genes and concrete interaction of a regulator protein with such elements has yet to be demonstrated. A cisregulatory element able to bind proteins (IDRS = iron-dependent regulatory sequence) has been identified in the promoters of ferritin genes *Atfer1* and *Zmfer1* for the repression upon low

iron supply [41]. Iron mobilisation genes encoding the Fe II transporter (IRT1-type) and the reductase (FRO-type) could be directly regulated by the availability of iron in the growth medium. Nevertheless, direct iron regulation is not the only way of regulation. The iron mobilisation genes are also induced in various iron uptake mutants in Arabidopsis, pea and tomato at sufficient iron supply (Tab. II). In the mutants, an iron-deficient situation could be mimicked, either due to the inefficient uptake of iron into the root (*irt1-1* [52], frd1 [42]) or due to altered distribution of iron in the leaves (chln [44], *brz* [*bronze*, 27, 53], *dgl* [*degenerate* leaflet, 21, 53], frd3 [44]) (Tab. II). Therefore, an iron signal was generated internally in the plant mutants. Schmidt discusses extensively the possible involvement of shoot signals in the induction of iron mobilisation responses in the roots [46]. In the mutants iron mobilisation genes are expressed at higher levels than observed in the wild type (Tab. II). For example, ATFRD3 mRNA is present in much higher amounts in the roots of frd3 mutant plants than in wild-type plants in the absence of iron [44]. Atfro2 is expressed at higher levels in *frd1-3* mutants than normally upon iron limitation [42], and a similar observation was also made for Atirt2 and Atfro2 expression in irt1-1 mutant plants [52]. These effects could be an indication of feedback of the activity of the protein to regulate the expression of its own gene. Alternatively, the lack of iron may influence the uptake of other metals which may exert additional regulatory effects. frd3 mutants overaccumulate iron, zinc and manganese, which may also explain the high Atfrd3 expression [44].

Nicotianamine synthase genes are iron-deficiency and rootregulated in barley, whereas the rice homologs show in addition expression in leaves and low expression upon sufficient iron supply [24]. Interestingly, barley genes behave in their expression in a similar way to the rice genes once they are transferred into rice, demonstrating that the regulatory sequences in the barley genes are recognised in rice, and that probably the differences between barley and rice reside, to a certain extent, in the regulation of phytosiderophore synthesis [25].

Another regulatory level interferes with protein stability. ATIRT1 is stable only upon low iron supply in the roots, whereas upon sufficient iron supply ATIRT1 is not detected, even if *Atirt1* is overexpressed in transgenic plants behind the 35S promoter [9]. It was suggested that ATIRT1, similar to the zinc transporter ZIP protein ZRT1 from yeast, may become ubiquitinated and degraded if iron is present in sufficient amounts [9, 59]. ZRT1 contains within its intracellular loop between helices III and IV a histidine-rich motif which could be involved in metal binding or sensing [59]. Furthermore, a lysine residue within this variable region is required for ubiquitination [18]. Both features, a histidine-rich sequence and lysine residues, are found in AtIRT1 [9, 15].

4. CONCLUSIONS

Most iron uptake components are encoded by gene families in strategy I and strategy II plants. Despite this, it was possible to identify individual genes which have gained a specific function in the mobilisation of iron upon iron deficiency, suggesting that the members of these gene families are only partially redundant. Since the gene families exist in strategy I and strategy II plants, the specific iron mobilisation gene family members must have been recruited for the purpose of enhancing iron uptake (nas, naat and ys1 in grasses, and irt1 and fro2-type in other plants). Future analysis may reveal the functions of all gene family members in Arabidopsis (http://www.nsf.gov/ pubsys/ods/getpub.cfm?bio0202). It would certainly be important, however, to see whether the Arabidopsis strategy I mechanism is indeed conserved in other plant species (meaning irt1 and *fro2* should be most similar in sequence and map position in various strategy I families) or whether in fact different plant families may have recruited different members of these or even other families. Studies with hyperaccumulation accessions (for example, the zinc and nickel accumulating lines of *Thlaspi*, Arabidopsis halleri or Alyssum) showed that indeed certain metal transporter families can be upregulated in these lines to tolerate heavy metals [for example, 7, 39], indicating that probably in a short time differential functions of gene family members can be selected.

The signals, sensors and effectors for iron homeostasis are just being characterised with the tomato Lefer gene being among the first identified regulator genes [32]. Lefer may allow the control of multiple iron uptake responses at once, and thus the Lefer gene could be used to increase the iron content of fruits or leaves in genetically modified plants. However, the current knowledge about iron-regulated systems clearly shows that we first need to learn more about the regulated features of iron mobilisation components. Obviously, the simple overexpression of strategy I and II genes is not the solution to generating iron-rich crops, as for the activity of the genes, we need to control the post-translational regulatory mechanisms as well. Furthermore, iron assimilation is regulated within the context of nutrition in general. It is well established that the availability of certain mineral nutrients influences the uptake of other nutrients. Some of the iron mobilisation responses serve generally increased nutrient uptake, such as citrate secretion, acidification or root hair proliferation. Clearly, the iron homeostasis components do not distinguish exactly between iron and other metals, especially heavy metals. In the future our efforts therefore also have to concentrate on improving the specificity of iron homeostasis components.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financial support (Ba 1610/3-1 and Ba 1610/2-3).

REFERENCES

- Alonso J.M., Hirayama T., Roman G., Nourizadeh S., Ecker J.R., EIN2, a bifunctional transducer of ethylene and stress responses in Arabidopsis, Science 284 (1999) 2148–2152.
- [2] Belouchi A., Kwan T., Gros P., Cloning and characterization of the OsNramp family from Oryza sativa, a new family of proteins possibly implicated in the transport of metal ions, Plant Mol. Biol. 33 (1997) 1085–1092.
- [3] Briat J.-F., Lobreaux S., Grignon N., Vansuyt G., Regulation of plant ferritin synthesis: How and why, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 56 (1999) 155–166.
- [4] Brown J.C., Chaney R.L., Ambler J.E., A new mutant inefficient in the transport of iron, Physiol. Plant. 25 (1971) 48–53.
- [5] Brown J.C., Ambler J.E., Iron-stress response in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). 1. Sites of Fe reduction, absorption and transport, Physiol. Plant. 31 (1974) 221–224.
- [6] Bughio N., Yamaguchi H., Nishizawa N.K., Nakanishi H., Mori S., Cloning an iron-regulated metal transporter from rice, J. Exp. Bot. 53 (2002) 1677–1682.
- [7] Clemens S., Palmgren M.G., Krämer U., A long way ahead: understanding and engineering plant metal accumulation, TIPS 7 (2002) 309–315.
- [8] Cohen C.K., Fox T.C., Garvin D.F., Kochian L.V., The role of iron-deficiency stress responses in stimulating heavy-metal transport in plants, Plant Physiol. 116 (1998) 1063–1072.
- [9] Connolly E.L., Fett J.P., Guerinot M.L., Expression of the IRT1 Metal Transporter Is Controlled by Metals at the Levels of Transcript and Protein Accumulation, Plant Cell 14 (2002) 1347– 1357.
- [10] Curie C., Alonso J.M., Le Jean M., Ecker J.R., Briat J.-F., Involvement of NRAMP1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* in iron transport, Biochem. J. 347 (2000) 749–755.
- [11] Curie C., Panaviene Z., Loulergue C., Dellaporta S.L., Briat J.F., Walker E.L., Maize *yellow stripe1* encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe(III) uptake, Nature 409 (2001) 346–349.
- [12] Eckhardt U., Mas Marques A., Buckhout T.J., Two iron-regulated cation transporters from tomato complement metal uptake-deficient yeast mutants, Plant Mol. Biol. 45 (2001) 437–448.
- [13] Eide D., Broderius M., Fett J., Guerinot M.L., A novel iron-regulated metal transporter from plants idenitified by functional expression in yeast, PNAS 93 (1996) 5624–5628.
- [14] Eide D.J., The molecular biology of metal ion transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Annu. Rev. Nutr. 18 (1998) 441–469.
- [15] Eng B.H., Guerinot M.L., Eide D., Saier M.H.J., Sequence analyses and phylogenetic characterization of the ZIP family of metal iron transport proteins, J. Membr. Biol. 166 (1998) 1–7.
- [16] Forbes J.R., Gros P., Divalent-metal transport by NRAMP proteins at the interface of host-pathogen interactions, Trends Microbiol. 9 (2001) 397–403.
- [17] Giritch A., Ganal M., Stephan U.W., Baumlein H., Structure, expression and chromosomal localisation of the metallothioneinlike gene family of tomato, Plant Mol. Biol. 37 (1998) 701–714.
- [18] Gitan R.S., Eide D.J., Zinc-regulated ubiquitin conjugation signals endocytosis of the yeast ZRT1 zinc transporter, Biochem. J. 346 (2000) 329–336.
- [19] Glass A.D., Britto D.T., Kaiser B.N., Kinghorn J.R., Kronzucker H.J., Kumar A., Okamoto M., Rawat S., Siddiqi M.Y., Unkles S.E., Vidmar J.J., The regulation of nitrate and ammonium transport systems in plants, J. Exp. Bot. 53 (2002) 855–864.
- [20] Grass G., Wong M.D., Rosen B.P., Smith R.L., Rensing C., ZupT is a Zn(II) uptake system in *Escherichia coli*, J. Bacteriol. 184 (2002) 864–866.
- [21] Grusak M.A., Welch R.M., Kochian L.V., Physiological characterization of a single gene mutant of *Pisum sativum* exhibiting excess iron accumulation, Plant Physiol. 93 (1990) 976–981.

- [22] Guerinot M.L., Eide D., Zeroing on zinc uptake in yeast and plants, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2 (1999) 244–249.
- [23] Herbik A., Koch G., Mock H.P., Dushkov D., Czihal A., Thielmann J., Stephan U.W., Baumlein H., Isolation, characterization and cDNA cloning of nicotianamine synthase from barley: a key enzyme for iron homeostasis in plants, Eur. J. Biochem. 265 (1999) 231–239.
- [24] Higuchi K., Suzuki K., Nakanishi H., Yamaguchi H., Nishizawa N.K., Mori S., Cloning of nicotianamine synthase genes, novel genes involved in the biosynthesis of phytosiderophores, Plant Physiol. 119 (1999) 471–480.
- [25] Higuchi K., Watanabe S., Takahashi M., Kawasaki S., Nakanishi H., Nishizawa N.K., Mori S., Nicotianamine synthase gene expression differs in barley and rice under Fe-deficient conditions, Plant J. 25 (2001) 159–167.
- [26] Karlin K.D., Metalloenzymes, structural motifs and inorganic models, Science 261 (1993) 701–708.
- [27] Kneen B.E., LaRue T.A., Welch R.M., Weeden N.F., Pleiotropic effects of *brz*. A mutation in *Pisum sativum* (L.) cv "Sparkle" conditioning decreased nodulation and increased iron uptake and leaf necrosis, Plant Physiol. 93 (1990) 717–722.
- [28] Kobayashi T., Nakanishi H., Takahashi M., Kawasaki S., Nishizawa N.K., Mori S., In vivo evidence that *Ids3* from *Hordeum vulgare* encodes a dioxygenase that converts 2'-deoxymugineic acid to mugineic acid in transgenic rice, Planta 212 (2001) 864–871.
- [29] Korshunova Y.O., Eide D., Clark W.G., Guerinot M.L., Pakrasi H.B., The IRT1 protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a metal transporter with a broad substrate range, Plant Mol. Biol. 40 (1999) 37– 44.
- [30] Kruger C., Berkowitz O., Stephan U.W., Hell R., A metal-binding member of the late embryogenesis abundant protein family transports iron in the phloem of *Ricinus communis* L., J. Biol. Chem. 277 (2002) 25062–25069.
- [31] Ling H.-Q., Koch G., Bäumlein H., Ganal M.W., Map-based cloning of *chloronerva*, a gene involved in iron uptake of higher plants encoding nicotianamine synthase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96 (1999) 7098–7103.
- [32] Ling H.-Q., Bauer P., Bereczky Z., Keller B., Ganal M.W., The tomato *fer* gene encoding a bHLH protein controls iron-uptake responses in roots, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99 (2002) 13938– 13943.
- [33] Ma J.F., Taketa S., Chang Y.-C., Iwashita T., Matsumoto H., Takeda K., Nomoto K., Genes controlling hydroxylation of phytosiderophores are located on different chromosomes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), Planta 207 (1999) 590–596.
- [34] Mori S., Nishizawa N., Identification of barley chromosome no. 4 possible encoder of genes for mugineic acid synthesis from 2'deoxymugineic acid using wheat-barley addition lines, Plant Cell Physiol. 30 (1989) 1057–1061.
- [35] Mori S., Iron acquisition by plants, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2 (1999) 250–253.
- [36] Nakanishi H., Yamaguchi H., Sasakuma T., Nishizawa N.K., Mori S., Two dioxygenase genes, *Ids3* and *Ids2*, from *Hordeum vulgare* are involved in the biosynthesis of mugineic acid family phytosiderophores, Plant Mol. Biol. 44 (2000) 199–207.
- [37] Negishi T., Nakanishi H., Yazaki J., Kishimoto N., Fujii F., Shimbo K., Yamamoto K., Sakata K., Sasaki T., Kikuchi S., Mori S., Nishizawa N.K., cDNA microarray analysis of gene expression during Fe-deficiency stress in barley suggests that polar transport of vesicles is implicated in phytosiderophore secretion in Fe-deficient barley roots, Plant J. 30 (2002) 83–94.
- [38] Nelson N., Metal ion transporters and homeostasis, EMBO 18 (1999) 4361–4371.
- [39] Pence N.S., Larsen P.B., Ebbs S.D., Letham D.L., Lasat M.M., Garvin D.F., Eide D., Kochian L.V., The molecular physiology of

heavy metal transport in the Zn/Cd hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi* caerulescens, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97 (2000) 4956–4960.

- [40] Petit J.M., Briat J.F., Lobreaux S., Structure and differential expression of the four members of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* ferritin gene family, Biochem. J. 359 (2001) 575–582.
- [41] Petit J.M., van Wuytswinkel O., Briat J.F., Lobreaux S., Characterization of an iron-dependent regulatory sequence involved in the transcriptional control of *AtFer1* and *ZmFer1* plant ferritin genes by iron, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 5584–5590.
- [42] Robinson N.J., Procter C.M., Connolly E.L., Guerinot M.L., A ferric-chelate reductase for iron uptake from soils, Nature 397 (1999) 694–697.
- [43] Rogers E.E., Eide D.J., Guerinot M.L., Altered selectivity in an *Arabidopsis* metal transporter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97 (2000) 12356–12360.
- [44] Rogers E.E., Guerinot M.L., FRD3, a member of the multidrug and toxin efflux family, controls iron deficiency responses in *Arabidopsis*, Plant Cell, in press.
- [45] Römheld V., Different strategies for iron acquisition in higher plants, Physiol. Plant. 70 (1987) 231–234.
- [46] Schmidt W., Mechanisms and regulation of reduction-based iron uptake in plants, New Phytol. 141 (1999) 1–26.
- [47] Stephan U.W., Scholz G., Nicotianamine: a mediator of transport of iron and heavy metals in the phloem? Physiol. Plant. 88 (1993) 522–529.
- [48] Takahashi M., Yamaguchi H., Nakanishi H., Shioiri T., Nishizawa N.K., Mori S., Cloning two genes for nicotianamine aminotransferase, a critical enzyme in iron acquisition (Strategy II) in graminaceous plants, Plant Physiol. 12 (1999) 947–956.
- [49] Thimm O., Essigmann B., Kloska S., Altmann T., Buckhout T.J., Response of *Arabidopsis* to iron deficiency stress as revealed by microarray analysis, Plant Physiol. 127 (2001) 1030–1043.
- [50] Thomine S., Wang R., Crawford N.M., Schroeder J.I., Cadmium and iron transport by members of a plant metal transporter family in *Arabidopsis* with homology to *Nramp* genes, PNAS 97 (2000) 4991–4996.
- [51] Vert G., Briat J.F., Curie C., Arabidopsis IRT2 gene encodes a root-periphery iron transporter, Plant J. 26 (2001) 181–189.
- [52] Vert G., Grotz N., Dedaldechamp F., Gaymard F., Guerinot M.L., Briat J.F., Curie C., IRT1, an *Arabidopsis* Transporter Essential for Iron Uptake from the Soil and for Plant Growth, Plant Cell 14 (2002) 1223–1233.
- [53] Waters B.M., Blevins D.G., Eide D.J., Characterization of FRO1, a Pea Ferric-Chelate Reductase Involved in Root Iron Acquisition, Plant Physiol. 129 (2002) 85–94.
- [54] Van Wuytswinkel O., Vansuyt G., Grignon N., Fourcroy P., Briat J.F., Iron homeostasis alteration in transgenic tobacco overexpressing ferritin, Plant J. 17 (1999) 93–97.
- [55] Varotto C., Maiwald D., Pesaresi P., Jahns P., Salamini F., Leister D., The metal ion transporter IRT1 is necessary for iron homeostasis and efficient photosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Plant J. 31(2002) 589–599.
- [56] Von Wiren N., Marschner H., Römheld V., Iron inefficiency in the maize mutant ys1 (*Zea mays* L. cv Yellow-Stripe) is caused by a defect in uptake of iron phytosiderophores, Plant Physiol. 106 (1994) 71–77.
- [57] Von Wiren N., Gazzarrini S., Gojon A., Frommer W.B., The molecular physiology of ammonium uptake and retrieval, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 3 (2000) 254–261.
- [58] Yi Y., Guerinot M.L., Genetic evidence that induction of root Fe(III) chelate reductase activity is necessary for iron uptake under iron deficiency, Plant J. 10 (1996) 835–844.
- [59] Zhao H., Eide D., The yeast ZRT1 gene encodes the zinc transporter protein of a high-affinity uptake system induced by zinc limitation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93 (1996) 2454–2458.