

Nitrogen fertilizer value of cattle manure applied on soils originating from organic and conventional farming systems

Monika Langmeier, Emmanuel Frossard, Michael Kreuzer, Paul Mäder, David Dubois, Astrid Oberson

► To cite this version:

Monika Langmeier, Emmanuel Frossard, Michael Kreuzer, Paul Mäder, David Dubois, et al.. Nitrogen fertilizer value of cattle manure applied on soils originating from organic and conventional farming systems. Agronomie, 2002, 22 (7-8), pp.789-800. 10.1051/agro:2002044 . hal-00885976

HAL Id: hal-00885976 https://hal.science/hal-00885976

Submitted on 11 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

789

Nitrogen fertilizer value of cattle manure applied on soils originating from organic and conventional farming systems

Monika LANGMEIER^a, Emmanuel FROSSARD^a, Michael KREUZER^b, Paul MÄDER^c, David DUBOIS^d, Astrid OBERSON^a*

^aInstitute of Plant Sciences, Plant Nutrition, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Eschikon 33, 8315 Lindau, Switzerland ^bInstitute of Animal Science, Animal Nutrition, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), ETH Centre, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland ^cResearch Institute of Organic Agriculture, Ackerstrasse, 5070 Frick, Switzerland

^dSwiss Federal Research Station for Agroecology and Agriculture (FAL), Reckenholzstrasse 191, 8046 Zurich, Switzerland

(Received 30 October 2001; accepted 28 May 2002)

Abstract – Nitrogen nutrition of plants in organic farming depends largely on animal manure. In a pot experiment the hypothesis was tested that on a long-term organically managed soil (ORG) characterized by higher soil microbial activity, a greater portion of N applied as cattle manure is mineralized and taken up by plants than on a conventionally managed soil that had received exclusively mineral fertilizers (MIN). Dry matter yields and N uptake by Italian ryegrass were higher by around 20% on ORG than MIN soil. The N utilization of ¹⁵N labeled animal manure components and mineral N differed little between ORG and MIN. The major part of the increased N uptake on ORG compared with MIN was due to a significantly greater N supply from ORG soil. The increased capacity of the ORG soil to supply N to plants became more important at later cuts when N was severely limiting plant growth.

cattle manure / ¹⁵N / organic farming / conventional farming / N fertilizer value

Résumé – Valeur fertilisante azotée d'un lisier de bovin appliqué sur des sols cultivés selon les règles de l'agriculture biologique ou conventionnelle. En agriculture biologique, la nutrition azotée des plantes dépend largement d'une utilisation efficace des engrais de ferme. L'objectif de ce travail était d'évaluer dans une expérience en pots l'aptitude de deux sols provenant d'un essai de longue durée, l'un cultivé se-lon les règles de l'agriculture biologique et n'ayant reçu que des engrais organiques (ORG) et l'autre cultivé selon les règles de l'agriculture conventionnelle n'ayant reçu que des engrais minéraux (MIN), à alimenter une culture de ray-grass italien après des apports de NO₃NH₄, de fèces avec/sans urine de bovin préalablement marqués à ¹⁵N. Le sol ORG est caractérisé par une activité microbiologique plus élevée. Le prélèvement d'azote par le ray-grass était plus élevé d'environs 20 % pour le sol ORG que pour le sol MIN. Peu de différences furent observées entre ORG et MIN pour l'utilisation par la plante de l'azote issu des fèces (17 à 22 % du N apporté), de l'urine (62 à 66 % du N apporté) et de l'engrais minéral (75 à 76 % du N apporté). L'augmentation du prélèvement de N par le ray-grass dans le sol ORG s'explique donc par une plus grande minéralisation de l'azote du sol.

lisier de bovin / ¹⁵N / agriculture biologique / agriculture conventionnelle / valeur fertilisante azotée

1. INTRODUCTION

Efficient use of animal manure N is crucial in organic farming systems because they exclusively depend on organic N sources. Promotion of soil microorganisms which mediate the complex mobilization-immobilization-turnover processes that finally determine the fertilizer value of organic fertilizers like manure [12, 15] is considered to be an integrated part of soil and nutrient management of organic systems [41]. It has often been shown that long-term manure application [10, 24, 43] or organic farming [4, 6, 7, 29] can lead to an increase in soil microbial biomass and activity, as suggested by higher activities of various enzymes, higher soil respiration rates, and higher microbial C contents. Soil respiration correlates well with N mineralization in incubation studies [8]. The increase in N mineralization of organically managed soils that was observed in incubation studies [4, 20] is consistent with reported higher biological activity for these soils.

Communicated by Sylvie Recous (Laon, France), Bernard Nicolardot (Reims, France)

* Correspondence and reprints

astrid.oberson@ipw.agrl.ethz.ch

It has, however, never been shown whether this change in the microbial status of a soil has implications for the N use efficiency of freshly applied organic substrates. It is hypothesized that the N fertilizer efficiency for plants of freshly applied cattle manure is increased on long-term organically managed soils. The objective of this study was to test this hypothesis using soils from a long-term field experiment where different fertilization practices had resulted in different soil microbial properties. On long-term organically managed plots (ORG), microbial biomass and activity had increased compared with a conventionally managed soil receiving mineral fertilizers (MIN) [6, 22]. A pot experiment was conducted on these two soils, amended with ¹⁵N labeled cattle manure, and Italian ryegrass was grown to determine the effect of the farming system (ORG vs. MIN) on N uptake from the manure components (feces, urine) by the grass.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Soils

Soil samples were taken from a long-term field experiment located in Therwil near Basel (Switzerland), established on a loamy silt Typic Hapludalf developed on loess. In this experiment organic and conventional farming systems have been compared since 1978. The experiment is being carried out by the Swiss Federal Research Station for Agroecology and Agriculture (FAL), Zurich-Reckenholz and the Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Frick, Switzerland. The design and management practices of the trial have been described in detail by Besson and Niggli [3] and Siegrist et al. [32]. The main differences between the tested systems lie in fertilization and plant protection (Tab. I). Differences in soil cultivation are small. The sevenyear crop rotation is uniform for all systems (Tab. I) [23, 32]. To test the hypothesis formulated previously, soils were sampled from two contrasting farming systems similar in chemical, but differing in microbiological soil properties (Tab. II). The ORG soils had been managed according to bio-organic guidelines [41] since 1978 and MIN soils were managed conventionally (Tab. I). During the first crop rotation from 1978 to 1984 the MIN plots were used as non-fertilized conventional control. After 1984, conventional management was continued with the application of exclusively mineral fertilizers at the rates indicated in Table I. The average annual N budgets, calculated as the difference between N input by fertilizers and N export from the field by harvested products [33], were similar for both systems (Tab. I). In both systems, N export exceeded N input by fertilizers.

Representative topsoil samples (0–20 cm) were taken from four field replicates per farming system under a decaying sunflower – vetch intercrop grown after winter wheat, before land preparation for red beet in February 1997. The plant residues were removed, and the soils were sieved at 5 mm and stored air-dried at room temperature until the setup of the pot experiment.

2.2. Manures

The ¹⁵N labeled manure was obtained by feeding ¹⁵N labeled hay as a one-day ration to a lactating cow (10.3 kg milk·day⁻¹, 738 kg live-weight) adapted for three weeks to the same kind of unlabeled hay [25, 27]. The labeled hay had an

Table I. Farming systems record of the investigated soils: average rate of N, P and K fertilization and input-output budgets of the three crop rotation periods, types of fertilizers added and plant protection strategy applied to the investigated soils from 1978–1996.

Soil name		ORG			MIN			
Farming system	Crop rotation		Bio-organic			Conventional		
Nutrient management	period	Total N	Organic N	Р	K	Total N	Р	К
Average nutrient input kg·ha ⁻¹ ·yr ⁻¹	1978–1984 1985–1991 1992–1996	113 94 72	71 63 45	29 25 25	124 125 121	0 102 163	0 46 38	0 225 293
Average budget kg·ha ⁻¹ ·yr ⁻¹	1978–1984 1985–1991 1992–1996	-71 -158 -134	- - -	-2 -10 -10	-43 -78 -28	-176 -153 -92	-27 11 -5	-114 4 7
Type of manure/fertilizer		Slightly aerobi (1978–199	Slightly aerobically rotted farmyard-manure and slurry from 1.2 (1978–1991) or 1.4 (since 1992) livestock units ha ⁻¹ ·yr ⁻¹			Unfertilized from 1978 until 1984; since 1985 exclusively water-soluble fertilizers according to official norm		
Plant protection								
Weed control			Mecha	nical		Mech	anical and hert	vicides
Disease control		Indire	Indirect methods, copper 1978–91 in potatoes			Chemical		
Insect control		Plant extract, bio-control			Chemical			
Crop rotation	1978–1984 1985–1991 1992–1996	spring barley, two years of grass-clover mixture, potatoes, w winter barley, two years of grass-clover mixture, potatoe			winter wheat, white es, winter wheat, re-	e cabbage, win ed beet, winter	ter wheat wheat	

Table II. Characteristics of the investigated soils. Available P is presented by the quantity of isotopically exchangeable P within the first minute [5], available K by K extractable in saturated CO_2 water [1]; microbial C and N determined by chloroform fumigation [40] using k_{EC} and k_{EN} factors [13, 14].

Soil	pH (H ₂ O)	Total C	Total N	Available	e nutrients	Microbia	l biomass
				Р	К	С	Ν
	-	$g \cdot kg^{-1}$		mg·kg ⁻¹			
ORG	6.1 a	15 a	1.5 a	6.4 a	0.45 a	352 a	44 a
MIN	5.8 b	14 b	1.3 a	6.5 a	0.48 a	264 b	32 b

Data followed by different letters within a parameter indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between the two soils (t-test).

Table III. Characteristics and rates of the fertilizer treatments applied in the pot experiment, with details given for single components in the case of composed fertilizer treatments. FecminN = feces with additional mineral N; Feces(minN) = feces N within the feces-mineral N-mixture; MinN = mineral N within the feces-mineral N-mixture; Feces(slu) and Urine(slu) = feces and urine, respectively, as components of the slurry; MinN1 and MinN2 = lower and higher level of mineral N treatment.

Treatment	Fertilizer characteristics			Applied rates			
Components	Dry matter content	Total N	¹⁵ N atomic enrichment	Total N	(NH ₄ +NO ₃)–N	Total P	Total C
	%	% of dry matter	%		mg·kg ^{−1}	soil	
Control	_	_	_	0	0	0	0
Feces	11.6	2.5	0.962	55.6	2.7	30	893
FecminN Feces(minN) MinN†	11.6	2.5	0.962 0	99.0 55.7 43.3	46 2.7 43.3	30 30	893 893
Slurry Feces(slu) Urine(slu)	8.9‡/8.8§	3.7‡/3.9§	0.962 0.555 / 0¶	108 56.4 51.2	35 2.7 32.5#	31 30.2 0.4	995 905 90
MinN1†	_	_	7.761	60	60	30††	0
MinN2†	_	_	7.761	101	101	30††	0

†Mineral N applied as solution of NH4NO3.

 \pm Slurry composed of labeled feces and labeled urine (feces N/slurry N = 0.52).

§Slurry composed of labeled feces and unlabeled urine (feces N/slurry N = 0.52).

¶Slurry treatment applied in the two equivalent variants, see footnotes ‡, § and text.

#Difference between mineral N determined in slurry and mineral N in feces.

††As solution of KH₂PO₄.

average N content of 1.9% and a ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of 2.632%. It was obtained from a permanent grassland plot previously fertilized with ¹⁵NO₃¹⁵NH₄ (10.5% ¹⁵N atomic enrichment) at a rate of 150 kg N·ha⁻¹. For eight days after feeding ¹⁵N labeled hay, feces and urine were collected separately by the use of urinals [18] two to three times per day and immediately frozen. Feces samples collected 35 and 47 h after starting to feed the ¹⁵N labeled hay were highest in ¹⁵N enrichment (0.961 and 0.953% ¹⁵N atomic enrichment). The ¹⁵N enrichment in urine was also highest 35 h after the start of feeding (0.555% ¹⁵N atomic enrichment). These samples (pooled for the two feces subsamples) were used for the manure treatments in the pot experiment. Manure characteristics are indicated in Table III.

In order to test the homogeneity of the ¹⁵N labeling, the ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of physico-chemical fractions of feces N was compared. The fractionation method described by Mason [19] and simplified by Kreuzer and Kirchgessner [16] distinguishes mainly three N fractions, differing in their origin from the cows' metabolism: (i) undigested feed N (UDN)

containing mainly celluloses, hemicelluloses, lignified materials and some denatured proteins of the cell walls of the plant tissue ingested by the animal, (ii) N compounds derived from intact or disrupted microbial cells of the rumen and hind gut or from abrasions from the digestive tract tissue (bacterial and endogenous debris N, BEDN), and (iii) water-soluble N (WSN), mainly derived from endogenous sources in the animal. The amount and enrichment of the UDN fraction can be directly determined, whereas the values for BEDN and WSN are calculated from the determination of total feces N and total water-insoluble N. As the water-insoluble N contains UDN and BEDN, the BEDN fraction is calculated as the difference between water-insoluble N and UDN. The WSN is the difference between total feces N and water-insoluble N. The fractions were determined in separate subsamples of a homogenate of 350 g fresh feces and distilled water containing 3 to 6% dry matter (DM). The UDN fraction was obtained by boiling 30 g of the homogenate with a neutral detergent solution [39] for 1 h in a Fibretec System M (Tecator Ltd., Hønegäs, Sweden) after shaking of the homogenate subsample for 24 h at 4 °C and 200 rpm in a water bath in order to remove microbial matter from truly undigested material [17]. The detergent-insoluble fibrous material was washed with boiling distilled water and dried overnight at 103 °C prior to total N and ¹⁵N analysis. The water-insoluble N associated with the particulate matter of the feces was determined by centrifuging another 30 g of the homogenate twice at 27 000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully discarded, the sediment containing the water-insoluble N recovered, lyophilized and analyzed for total N and ¹⁵N. All fractions were analyzed in triplicate subsamples of the homogenate. Total feces N was determined in lyophilized undiluted feces (see Chap. 2.5).

2.3. Pot experiment

The air-dried soils were re-wetted to 44% water-holding capacity (i.e. 242 and 229 g $H_2O \cdot kg^{-1}$ soil DM for MIN and ORG, respectively), sieved at 2 mm and pre-incubated for 14 days at 20 °C and 80–85% atmospheric humidity.

Fertilizer treatments included feces alone (feces), a mixture of feces and urine (slurry: feces N/slurry N = 0.52 according to excretion), feces with additional mineral N (fecminN), mineral N fertilizers as references at two levels (minN1 and minN2) and an unfertilized control. In order to separate the N derived from the two slurry components (feces(slu), urine(slu)), two equivalent slurries with different ¹⁵N labeling were prepared. One slurry was composed of 2.9 kg labeled feces (0.962% ¹⁵N atomic enrichment) and 1.8 L of labeled urine (0.555% ¹⁵N atomic enrichment). The other was composed of the same amount of labeled feces but 1.5 L of an unlabeled urine portion taken immediately before feeding ¹⁵N labeled hay to the cow. The urine volumes differed slightly in order to provide equal amounts of urine N to both slurries. After mixing, the treatments were refrozen until use, a procedure which has been shown not to alter their mineralization characteristics [38].

A detailed overview of the treatments and their characteristics is given in Table III. Manure rates were calculated on the basis of an equal P level of 30 mg $P \cdot kg^{-1}$ soil DM. The elements K, Ca and Mg were added to reach the same level in all treatments. Each fertilizer treatment was mixed with a batch of 3.6 kg soil DM by adding the required volumes of mineral solutions or manures and careful stirring to obtain a homogenous distribution of the amendments. Thereafter, each fertilizer treatment was distributed into 4 replicate pots at 900 g soil DM·pot⁻¹. Soil moisture was controlled by weighing and readjusted to 60% of total water-holding capacity throughout the experiment by daily watering. The pots were sown with 0.9 g of Italian ryegrass seeds (Lolium multiflorum, var. Axis) and placed in a growth chamber (65% atmospheric humidity, photoperiod 16 $h \cdot d^{-1}$, temperature 22 °C and 18 °C during day and night, respectively). The ryegrass was cut six times at 19, 36, 64, 96, 134 and 162 days after sowing. After the second, third and fourth cut, K, Ca, Mg and some microelements were re-amended in amounts equivalent to the estimated removal by previous cuts. The elements N and P were not re-amended during the experiment. Shoot P concentrations, measured after each cut, were at least 0.34%, showing that P was never a growth-limiting factor [2].

At each cut, DM yield was determined and samples for analysis of total N and ¹⁵N content of the shoots were obtained. At the final cut, the soils and roots from each pot were recovered as well and separately subjected to the analyses.

2.4. Determination of nitrogen fertilizer value and nitrogen-15 balances

The N in the plants derived from the ¹⁵N labeled fertilizers (Ndff, $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$ soil) was calculated based on isotopic dilution principles [9] according to equation (1):

$$Ndff = N_{pl} \left({}^{15}Nen_{pl} / {}^{15}Nen_{f} \right)$$
(1)

where N_{pl} is the total N content of the plant (mg·kg⁻¹ soil), ¹⁵Nen_{pl} is the ¹⁵N enrichment in the plant (%) and ¹⁵Nen_f is the ¹⁵N enrichment in the fertilizer (%). The real coefficient of utilization of the fertilizer N (CUN,%) was calculated according to equation (2):

$$CUN = Ndff / N_f \times 100$$
 (2)

where N_f denotes the amount of fertilizer N applied (mg·kg⁻¹ soil).

The N derived from the slurry had to be calculated as the sum of the N derived from the two separate slurry components [feces (Ndf_{feces(slu})) and urine (Ndf_{urine(slu}))], because equation (1) is only valid for homogeneously labeled fertilizers. The Ndf_{feces(slu}) was directly calculated according to equation (1) from the slurry treatment composed of labeled feces and unlabeled urine. The Ndf_{urine(slu}) was deduced by combining this Ndf_{feces(slu}) value with the data obtained in the slurry treatment which was composed of both labeled slurry components. The total excess ¹⁵N taken up from this slurry consisted of the portions of excess ¹⁵N taken up from labeled feces and from labeled urine as given in equation (3):

$$Ven_{feces} \times Ndf_{feces(slu)} + {}^{15}Nen_{urine} \times Ndf_{urine(slu)}.$$
(3)

Since the two slurries were similar in their N composition, it was assumed that the percentage of $Ndf_{feces(slu)}$ of the total plant N uptake was equal in both slurry treatments. Thus, equation (3) could be solved for $Ndf_{urine(slu)}$ using the known ¹⁵N atomic enrichments of the feces and urine components. The ¹⁵N recovery of slurry N over the whole pot experiment was based on the same assumption, i.e., that the percentage of feces N recovered was equal in both slurry treatments.

The ¹⁵N balances were calculated for each pot as the difference between ¹⁵N added and ¹⁵N removed by the six cuts, ¹⁵N contained in the roots and ¹⁵N remaining in the soil at the end of the pot experiment.

2.5. Analyses

15N

2.5.1. Total N, organic C, nitrogen-15 and mineral N in manures

Feces, slurries and sediments obtained from the N fractionation described previously were lyophilized and finely ground using a ball mill (Retsch, Haan, Germany) prior to total N, C and ¹⁵N abundance analysis on a continuous flow Roboprep CN Biological Sample Converter coupled to a Tracermass Mass Spectrometer (Europa Scientific, Crewe, England) [21]. Comparison of these total N determinations with total N measured in fresh feces samples analyzed on a macro CN analyzer (Leco CN-2000, St. Joseph, Michigan) showed that N loss from feces during lyophilization was only 5% on average. The urine portions were centrifuged at 500 gin a cooled centrifuge for 10 min, an aliquot of 40 ml of the supernatant acidified with 1.5 ml of 10 M H₂SO₄ and, after foaming had ceased, analyzed for total N on the Leco macro CN analyzer. For ¹⁵N analysis, centrifuged urine samples were acidified to a pH between 3 and 5, lyophilized and analyzed for ¹⁵N abundance as described previously for the feces samples. The ¹⁵N atomic enrichment was calculated by subtracting the natural ¹⁵N abundance, determined in unlabeled feces (0.371%) and urine (0.365%) collected immediately before the feeding of ¹⁵N labeled hay, from measured values.

The mineral N (NH₄ and NO₃) in feces and slurries was extracted by shaking 5 g of fresh material, acidified by four drops of concentrated H₂SO₄, with 100 ml of 2 M KCl for 1 h and filtering through filter papers (Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany) [35]. Nitrate and ammonium N were colorimetrically analyzed on an Evolution II continuous flow autoanalyzer (Alliance Instruments, Nanterre, France).

2.5.2. Total N and nitrogen-15 in plant and soil materials

The plant shoot and root material was dried at 80 °C for 48 h and cut into small pieces. Soil samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 h. Both materials were then finely ground using the ball mill mentioned previously and analyzed for total N and ¹⁵N as described for feces samples. The ¹⁵N enrichments were calculated by subtracting the natural ¹⁵N abundance (0.366%). Unlabeled samples were analyzed for total N on a Carlo Erba flash combustion CN-analyzer (NA1500) (Carlo Erba, Milano, Italy).

2.5.3. Statistical analysis

The GLM procedure [30] was used for analysis of variance to detect the effects of fertilizer treatments and soils and to calculate least significant differences at the P = 0.05 level. Means were compared with the Tukey's studentized range (HSD) test or the Bonferroni multiple comparison t-tests for the fertilizer treatments and with two sample t-tests for the soils at the P = 0.05 level.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Homogeneity of nitrogen-15 labeling in feces

Of the total N present in the feces used for the pot experiment, 10.7% was undigested feed N (UDN) (Tab. IV). The bacterial and endogenous debris N (BEDN) made up the major fraction, amounting to 76.9% of total feces N. The remaining 12.5% of total feces N was water-soluble N (WSN). **Table IV.** Percentage of total N and ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of different N fractions of the cow feces applied in the pot experiment.

N fraction	Fract in to	ion N tal N	¹⁵ N a enric	atomic hment
		Ģ	%	
Undigested N	10.7	(0.44)	0.648	(0.028) a
Bacterial and endogenous debris N	76.9	(1.24)	0.997	(0.011) b
Water-soluble N	12.5	(1.43)	1.014	(0.040) b
Total N	100		0.962	(0.001) b

Mean and standard deviation (in brackets). Data followed by different letters within a parameter indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 (Bonferroni multiple comparison t-tests, SAS Institute [30]).

The ¹⁵N atomic enrichment was significantly lower in the UDN than in the other N fractions. However, as the UDN was only a small proportion of the total N, the overall ¹⁵N atomic enrichment was not significantly influenced by this low value. Using the overall ¹⁵N atomic enrichment to calculate the fertilizer value of feces reflected the N from the BEDN and the WSN fractions quite accurately, as the ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of these two fractions was similar to the overall ¹⁵N atomic enrichment (Tab. IV).

3.2. Total yield and nitrogen uptake

The DM yield and N uptake of the Italian ryegrass shoots were significantly higher on the ORG than on the MIN soil (Tab. V). On both soils, the highest yield and N uptake was obtained under the high level of mineral N fertilizer and the lowest in the unfertilized control. The feces alone only slightly increased shoot DM yield and N uptake compared with the control in both soils. Nitrogen uptake from fertilizers applied was similar on both soils and was well related to the proportion of mineral N contained in the fertilizers (Tab. III). Differences between treatments were more pronounced for N uptake than for DM yield.

The higher root N uptake on ORG compared with MIN soil (Tab. VI) was due not only to the higher DM yield but also to a significantly higher root N concentration (overall mean 9.3 and 8.7 mg N·g⁻¹ DM on ORG and MIN soils, respectively). The lowest root DM yield was observed in the unfertilized control on both soils. For root N uptake, differences between the treatments were greater on ORG than MIN soil, but were generally small. The DM shoot-to-root ratio ranged from 1.6 to 2.1 and was generally higher on MIN than ORG soil except in the feces treatment. Considering the composition of the total N uptake as the sum of N uptakes of shoots and roots, a greater proportion of N was allocated to the roots on ORG than MIN soil. The total DM yield as the sum of shoot and root DM was highest for treatment minN2 on both soils, followed by slurry and fecminN, minN1, feces and the control.

Treatments Components	Yield	N uptake	Ndff	Ndfsoil	CUN
	g·kg ⁻¹ soil		mg N·kg ^{−1} soil		%
Soil ORG					
Control	8.4 d A	122.8 d A		122.8 b A	
Feces	9.4 c A	126.6 d A	10.6 d A	116.0 b A	19.1 d A
FecminN Feces(minN) MinN	10.7 ab A	167.0 bc A	nd‡ 12.4 d A nd	154.7† A	nd 22.2 d A nd
Slurry Feces(slu) Urine(slu)	10.3 ab A	159.9 c A	43.7 b A 12.3 d A 31.5 c A	116.2 b A	40.7 c A 21.8 d A 61.6 b A
MinN1	10.0 bc A	174.9 b A	44.6 b A	130.3 a A	74.8 a A
MinN2	10.9 a A	208.8 a A	77.1 a A	131.7 a A	76.4 a A
Soil MIN					
Control	6.6 b B	97.7 d B		97.7 b B	
Feces	7.4 b B	104.1 d B	9.3 d A	94.8 b B	16.8 d B
FecminN Feces(minN) MinN	8.8 a B	145.9 b B	nd 10.3 d A nd	135.6† B	nd 18.5 d B nd
Slurry Feces(slu) Urine(slu)	8.6 a B	129.4 c B	44.1 b A 10.3 d A 33.8 c A	85.4 c B	41.1 c A 18.3 d B 66.2 b B
MinN1	8.8 a B	150.5 b B	44.6 b A	105.9 a B	74.7 a A
MinN2	9.1 a B	186.7 a B	76.8 a A	109.9 a B	76.1 a A
			ANOVA		
Source of variation					
Soil (S)	***	***	ns	***	ns
Treatment (T)	***	***	***	***	***
$S \times T$	ns	ns	ns	*	ns

Table V. Total dry matter yield, total N uptake and N derived from fertilizer (Ndff) and from soil (Ndfsoil) by the six cuts of Italian ryegrass shoots, and real coefficient of utilization of applied N (CUN) in the different fresh fertilizer treatments on the long-term organically (ORG) and exclusively minerally (MIN) fertilized soil. For abbreviations of treatments and components see Table III.

*, **, *** Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level, respectively. Different lower case letters within a parameter and a soil indicate significantly different values at P < 0.05 level (Tukey's studentized range (HSD) test [30]). Different capital letters denote significant differences at the P < 0.05 level of the respective parameter between the two soils (t-test). *Sum of Ndfsoil and N derived from minN.

‡Not determined since non-labeled minN not distinguishable from non-labeled soil N.

3.2.1. Nitrogen uptake from fresh fertilizers

Total N from different fertilizer treatments (Ndff) taken up by the shoots and the respective real coefficients of utilization of the fertilizer N (CUN) were similar on both soils (Tab. V). The Ndff was highest for minN2 and lowest for feces alone treatments. Slurry N was less available to plants than the same dose of N applied in mineral form (minN2). The average relative N efficiency of slurry N, calculated as the CUN of slurry N divided by the CUN of minN2, was 54%. The CUN of the urine component in the slurry was on average 64%, which is lower than the CUN of the mineral fertilizer. The CUN of the mineral fertilizer was similar for both application rates (average 75.5%, Tab. V).

The Ndff of the feces applied alone or as a slurry component exceeded the amount of N initially present as mineral N (Tab. III) or as total water-soluble N (Tab. IV), indicating that a portion of organically-bound feces N was mineralized during the experiment on both soils.

When the CUN of the manure components were considered separately (t-test), statistically significant differences between the soils were detected. Less urine N and more feces N was taken up on ORG than MIN soil. In the slurry, the resulting overall CUN, however, was similar on both soils. The CUN of feces N was increased by 3% on ORG and 1.5% on MIN when feces were applied with additional N (urine or mineral N). These differences were, however, very small compared with the differences between applied fertilizer types.

The CUN of the different fertilizers in the roots (Tab. VI), indicating the percentage of the respective fertilizers present in the roots at the end of the pot experiment, showed a different situation. Significantly more manure N was recovered in roots on ORG than MIN soil, and on both soils, the CUN of

clusively minerally (MII	N) fertilized soil. For abbreviation	ons of treatments and compon	ients see Table III.	
Treatment		Shoots + Roots		
components	Yield	N uptake	CUN	Total CUN
	g·kg ⁻¹ soil	mg N·kg ⁻¹	%	%
Soil ORG				
Control	4.9 b A	47.1 b A		
Feces	5.8 ab A	55.4 a A	10.9 a A	30.0 d A
FecminN Feces(minN)	6.1 ab A	56.4 a A	10.2 a A	32.5 d A
Slurry Feces(slu) Urine(slu)	6.5 a A	62.1 a A	9.8 a A 9.8 a A 9.8 a A	50.5 c A 31.6 d A 71.4 b A
MinN1	6.1 ab A	54.3 ab A	5.8 b A	80.6 a A
MinN2	6.8 a A	59.6 a A	6.3 b A	82.8 a A
Soil MIN				
Control	3.8 b B	35.6 ab B		
Feces	4.7 ab B	42.0 ab B	8.5 a B	25.3 с В
FecminN Feces(minN)	4.8 ab B	40.9 ab B	8.3 a A	26.8 c B
Slurry Feces(slu) Urine(slu)	4.9 a B	42.6 a B	8.0 a B 7.5 ab B 8.4 a A	49.0 b A 25.8 c B 74.6 a A

Table VI. Dry matter yield, N uptake and real coefficient of utilization of applied N (CUN) in the different fresh fertilizer treatments of the Italian ryegrass roots at the end of the pot experiment, and the resulting total CUN of shoots and roots, on the long-term organically (ORG) and exclusively minerally (MIN) fertilized soil. For abbreviations of treatments and components see Table III.

*, **, *** Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level, respectively. Different lower case letters within a parameter and a soil indicate significantly different values at the P < 0.05 level (Tukey's studentized range (HSD) test [30]). Different capital letters denote significant differences at the P < 0.05 level of the respective parameter between the two soils (t-test).

35.1 b B

37.0 ab B

*

the manures were higher than that of the mineral N fertilizers. The highest root CUN was found for feces. Expressed as Ndff, most fertilizer N found in roots was in the slurry treatment on both soils. Considering the total utilization of the fertilizers as the sum of the CUN in shoots and roots, the influence of soil, including all treatments, was statistically significant. More feces N was utilized on ORG than MIN, and the influence of additional N sources on feces N utilization observed in the shoots nearly disappeared on both soils. Total urine N utilization was similar on ORG and MIN soils, and was lower than the utilization of mineral N fertilizers.

4.1 ab B

4.4 ab B

ns

3.2.2. Nitrogen uptake from soil

MinN1

MinN2

Soil (S)

Treatment (T) $S \times T$

Source of variation

Significantly more N was taken up from ORG than from MIN soil in all fertilizer treatments (Tab. V). The average difference was 24.7 mg $N \cdot kg^{-1}$ soil and did not differ much between the treatments. The mineral N additions caused a

slight but significant increase in N uptake from soil compared with the unfertilized control, suggesting that mineral N addition had increased mineralization of soil N. In contrast, manure addition reduced Ndfsoil on both soils compared with the controls, indicating that a slight soil N immobilization had been induced [15].

3.3. Temporal pattern of nitrogen uptake

6.1 bc A

5.2 c B

ANOVA

*

80.7 a A

81.2 a A

ns

3.3.1. Total nitrogen uptake and nitrogen uptake from soil

For all fertilizer treatments, more than 50% of the final total N uptake in shoots was taken up by the first cut (Fig. 1). Because no N was subsequently added during the experiment, N conditions became limiting for all fertilizer treatments on both soils after the first cut. The N concentration declined from an overall average of 26.5 g·kg⁻¹ plant DM



Figure 1. Cumulative total N uptake and N taken up from fertilizers (Ndff) over time by the Italian ryegrass shoots under different fertilizer treatments applied to the long-term organically (ORG) or conventionally (MIN) cultivated soil in a pot experiment. Means and SEM (bars) of 4 replicates; consider the different scales of the y axis, and that curves of Ndff for various treatments are almost overlaying. For abbreviations of treatments and components see Table III.

measured for plant material harvested at the first cut to $10.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for the second cut, and was always around $10 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for the following cuts. This is clearly below the $30-42 \text{ g N} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ DM indicated as sufficient for the growth of *Lolium ssp.* [2].

The increased N uptake on ORG soil was for most treatments manifested from the beginning of the experiment. In all cases it was due to greater N uptake from soil N (Ndfsoil). Thus, the evolution of the cumulative total N uptake reflects the uptake of cumulative Ndfsoil (Fig. 1; Tab. VII). This shows that from the second cut onwards, mineralizable soil N reserves determined N uptake by the ryegrass. Fertilizer N remaining in the soil after the first cut contributed, in spite of Nlimiting conditions, very little to plant N uptake during the following cuts.

3.3.2. Nitrogen uptake from fertilizers

As seen for the total fertilizer N taken up by all cuts (Tab. V), the cumulative temporal uptake pattern of N from the fertilizers (Ndff) was not significantly influenced by the soils (Fig. 1). The slightly higher urine N uptake on MIN than ORG soil (Tab. V) was due to the urine N uptake during the first cut, while the increased feces N uptake on ORG compared with MIN soil developed in the course of the experiment in all the treatments containing feces (Fig. 1).

Table VII. Soil N uptake (Ndfsoil) by the Italian ryegrass of the first cut and as sum of the following five cuts in the different fresh fertilizer treatments on the long-term organically (ORG) and exclusively minerally (MIN) fertilized soil. MinN1 and MinN2 = lower and higher level of mineral N treatment.

Treatment	Ndfsoil		
components	mg N⋅kg ⁻¹ soil		
Cut	1st	2nd-6th	
Soil ORG			
Control	72 b A	51 a A	
Feces	63 c A	53 a A	
Slurry	66 c A	51 a A	
MinN1	79 a A	51 a A	
MinN2	82 a A	50 a A	
Soil MIN			
Control	63 b B	35 bc B	
Feces	56 c B	39 a B	
Slurry	49 d B	37 b B	
MinN1	71 a B	35 bc B	
MinN2	76 a B	34 c B	
Source of variation	AN	OVA	
Soil (S)	***	***	
Treatment (T)	***	***	
S×T	***	ns	

*, **, *** Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level respectively. Different lower case letters within a parameter and a soil indicate significantly different values at P < 0.05 level (Tukey's studentized range (HSD) test [30]. Different capital letters denote significant differences at the P < 0.05 level of the respective parameter between the two soils (t-test).

In all treatments, most of the fertilizer N was taken up by the first cut (Fig. 1). For the mineral N, about 80% of the total Ndff was contained in the first cut. For the feces N, this portion was 56% on MIN soil and 47% on ORG soil, indicating that the feces as an organic N fertilizer was effective over a longer period, although at a low N release rate. This was also manifested when the contribution of the various fertilizers to plant nutrition was expressed as the percentage of the Ndff in the total N uptake (%Ndff). The importance of the mineral N fertilizer (initially representing over 40% of the plant N uptake) decreased rapidly with time, whereas feces N continued to contribute to plant N uptake at a low efficiency during the experiment. Slurry, being a mixture of readily and slowly available N components, resulted in the relatively highest Ndff found in the roots, suggesting that this fertilizer continued the longest to deliver N to the grass. As more soil N was taken up by the grass on ORG than MIN soil, the %Ndff was generally lower on ORG than MIN soil.

3.4. Nitrogen-15 balances

As all applied fertilizer treatments except the mineral N added to the fecminN treatment were ¹⁵N labeled, balances could be established to account for the fertilizer N losses occurring during the five-months duration of the experiment. The average ¹⁵N recovery in six cuts, in roots and remaining in the soil at the end of the pot experiment was 96.5%, indicating that only minor losses had occurred (Fig. 2). These



Figure 2. Recoveries of ¹⁵N applied in different fertilizer treatments on the long-term organically (ORG) and conventionally cultivated (MIN) soil. Values are means of 4 replicates. Bars indicate the standard errors of the total recoveries. Means of total N recoveries carrying different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05 within one soil. For abbreviations of treatments and components see Table III.

losses were mainly attributed to denitrification since closed pot bottoms prevented N leaching and ammonia volatilization was minimized by incorporating well the fertilizers into the soils. The total ¹⁵N recovery tended to be greater for the slurry and its components, followed by mineral fertilizers and feces applied alone or with additional mineral N.

Only about 11% of ¹⁵N from the applied mineral fertilizer remained in the soil after the final cut, while this portion was about 60% for feces N, and 50 to 60% for slurry N on MIN and ORG soils, respectively (Fig. 2). The mean ¹⁵N recovery over all treatments was significantly higher for ORG than MIN soil. This was due to a higher recovery of N from the manure treatments, especially as N remaining in soil and contained in roots. Soil aggregate stability was lower in MIN than ORG [32], resulting in a crusted soil surface after the setup of the pot experiment. Therefore, some areas of higher water saturation could have developed temporarily in MIN after watering, thus enhancing denitrification.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Homogeneity of nitrogen-15 labeling in feces

Calculation of N fertilizer value using ¹⁵N labeling is based on the assumption that the fertilizer N is homogeneously labeled [12, 27]. In addition, the highest possible ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of feces N is desirable for reliable detection of ¹⁵N in the subsequently collected plant and soil samples. The amount and distribution of ¹⁵N in ruminant feces depend on the type and ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of the feed, on the length of the ¹⁵N feeding period and on the time elapsed between feeding and collecting the excreta [27, 36]. The feces pooled for use in this study were the portions showing the highest ¹⁵N atomic enrichment, collected 35 and 47 h after the beginning of a one-day ¹⁵N feeding period on labeled hay. Peschke [25] observed the peak in ¹⁵N enrichment in a similar feeding trial after the same period. When cows were fed on ¹⁵N labeled feed for 36 h, the highest ¹⁵N atomic enrichment was detected in feces collected 60 h after the ¹⁵N feeding, and feces were homogeneously labeled at this time [27].

In the feces collected for this study, the ¹⁵N atomic enrichments of the analyzed feces' N fractions (undigested N (UDN), bacterial and endogenous debris N (BEDN), watersoluble N (WSN); Tab. IV) were not identical at any time during the 8-day collecting period (data not shown). However, the ¹⁵N atomic enrichment of the BEDN was similar to the ¹⁵N enrichment of the total feces N throughout the collection period, as the BEDN constituted about three quarters of the total feces N. Assuming that the UDN contributes little to plant-available N because it is most resistant to microbial and metabolic turnover in the digestive tract, it was considered important that the ¹⁵N enrichment of the WSN and the BEDN were similar and well represented by the total feces N enrichment (Tab. IV).

Most urinary N is in the form of urea, and urea N is rapidly hydrolyzed to NH₃. Sørensen and Jensen [34] found the ¹⁵N

enrichment of urinary total N and NH_4 -N produced after urine storage to be similar, indicating that the urine was uniformly labeled with ¹⁵N.

4.2. Fertilizer value of cattle manure on soils of different farming systems

The hypothesis was tested whether freshly applied manure N was mineralized and thus rendered plant-available to a greater extent on a long-term organically (ORG) than a long-term conventionally, exclusively minerally fertilized soil (MIN) due to ORG's higher microbial activity. The results indicate that this applies for slowly mineralizable manures like feces, but only to a limited degree. Great differences were found in the amount of soil N mineralized during the experiment, resulting in significantly higher plant yields and N uptakes on the ORG compared with the MIN soil regardless of the type of fresh fertilization. Application of fresh fertilizers thus seemed to induce a similar response of N turnover processes in both soils whereas the soils differed in the release of soil N.

4.2.1. Nitrogen fertilizer value of freshly applied cattle manures

The N fertilizer values of the different fertilizers, expressed as the utilization of applied fertilizer N by the plants (CUN), were slightly affected by the long-term fertilization history. Response to the different fertilizers was similar for ORG and MIN soils, leading to similar ranking of total N uptakes among the treatments (Tab. V) according to the initial mineral N content of the different fertilizers (Tab. III).

Many studies have been conducted to assess the fertilizer efficiency of cattle slurry, but most of them are based on the difference method since the ¹⁵N labeling of ruminant manures is time-consuming and costly. Reported values range from 30 to 50% of apparent slurry N utilization [11, 31]. Peschke [26] found between 30 and 40% of slurry N utilized by maize based on ¹⁵N techniques, which is congruent with a CUN of 41% for slurry N found in this study (Tab. V).

The CUN of urine N and mineral fertilizer N (Tab. V) were generally high in this study compared with reported values, which might be due to the high N demand of the ryegrass and specific experimental conditions (small pots, N deficiency). The average CUN of feces of 18% was similar to that found (17%) by Rauhe et al. [28] for maize grown in a field experiment and slightly higher than that of sheep feces (12–14%) in a lysimeter experiment growing spring barley [37]. In the presence of additional N as mineral N or urine N, the utilization of the feces N was increased, suggesting an interaction between the N turnovers of fertilizer components. Some N of the additional source might have been immobilized instead of feces N.

The similar responses to fresh fertilization of the two soils suggest that the reactions of the soil microbial biomass to application of a given fertilizer were independent of the size and activity of the microbial biomass initially present in the soils.

4.2.2. Nitrogen supply from soil as affected by the long-term fertilization history

Much more soil N was mineralized and thus taken up by the plants from the long-term organically than from the longterm minerally fertilized soil (Tab. V, Fig. 1). For soil N mineralization, therefore, results obtained in the presence of ryegrass confirm the greater N mineralization capacity [20] and the higher soil respiration [22] measured during incubation experiments without fresh substrate additions in ORG than MIN soils. Thus, ORG soil seems to have a greater mineralizable soil N reserve than MIN, which is probably due to the fertilization history [42], i.e. the repeated organic inputs to the ORG soil amounting to on average 61 kg organic $N \cdot ha^{-1} \cdot yr^{-1}$ (Tab. I). As shown in Figure 2, about 60% of the applied feces N and on average 28% of the urine N remained in the soil at the end of the pot experiment. Under field conditions, the portion contained in the roots would additionally contribute to the fertilizer N remaining in the soil. A portion of this N would become available for subsequent crops. Field studies based on ¹⁵N labeled animal manures showed residual N fertilizer values in the season after manure application of 4 and 3% for sheep feces and urine [12, 37] and of 4 to 7% for cattle slurry [26, 28]. The portions of manure N still remaining in soil two or three years after application reported by these studies range from 60 to 80% for feces and from 40 to 60% for urine. Thus, especially the residual organic substances of feces will accumulate in soils receiving repeated applications of animal manures and become significant [42]. This increase in mineralizable soil N reserves is not significantly reflected in total soil N contents (Tab. II), but differences in organic matter quality are reported for ORG and MIN soils. Microbial biomass C and N as well as their ratios to the total and light fraction C and N pools were higher in ORG than MIN [6]. Furthermore, increased soil N supply in ORG might be due to higher mineralization-immobilizationturnover in ORG than MIN.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Short-term N fertilizer efficiency of manure assessed in a pot experiment was only slightly altered through long-term application of organic fertilizers in organically managed soils compared with long-term minerally fertilized soils. However, the capacity of the soil to sustain plant N nutrition was enhanced by 19 years of organic farming practices. Quantitative and temporal patterns of this different soil N supply should be evaluated under field conditions to determine (i) whether the N release is synchronized with the demand of growing annual crops, and (ii) to which extent soil N release can overcome N limitations. In addition, the potential risk of N losses in different farming systems has to be assessed.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Drs. Hans Leuenberger, Franz Sutter and Andrea Machmüller for advice and support in production and chemical analysis of ¹⁵N labeled cattle manure. We owe thanks to G. Parry of the Saskatchewan Center of Soil Research, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada, for ¹⁵N analysis at the mass spectrometer. Part of this study was carried out at the ETH Research Station Chamau. The study was financed by the Research Grant Division of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Zurich.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alföldi T., Mäder P., Oberson A., Spiess E., Niggli U., Besson J.M., DOK-Versuch: vergleichende Langzeit-Untersuchungen in den drei Anbausystemen biologisch-Dynamisch, Organisch-biologisch und Konventionell: III. Boden: Chemische Untersuchungen, 1. und 2. Fruchtfolgeperiode, Schweiz. Landw. Forsch. 32 (1993) 479–507.
- [2] Bergmann W., Ernährungsstörungen bei Kulturpflanzen. Entstehung, visuelle und analytische Diagnose, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart Germany and New York USA, 1988.
- Besson J.M., Niggli U., DOK-Versuch: vergleichende Langzeit-Untersuchungen in den drei Anbausystemen biologisch-Dynamisch, Organisch-biologisch und Konventionell. I. Konzeption des DOK-Versuchs:
 und 2. Fruchtfolgeperiode, Schweiz. Landw. Forsch. 31 (1991) 79–109.
- [4] Breland T.A., Eltun R., Soil microbial biomass and mineralization of carbon and nitrogen in ecological, integrated and conventional forage, Biol. Fertil. Soils 30 (1999) 193–201.
- [5] Fardeau J.C., Le phosphore assimilable du sol : sa représentation par un modèle fonctionnel à plusieurs compartiments, Agronomie 13 (1993) 317–331.
- [6] Fliessbach A., M\u00e4der P., Microbial biomass and size-density fractions differ between soils of organic and conventional agricultural systems, Soil Biol. Biochem. 32 (2000) 757–768.
- [7] Gunapala N., Scow K.M., Dynamics of soil microbial biomass and activity in conventional and organic farming systems, Soil Biol. Biochem. 30 (1998) 805–816.
- [8] Haney R.L., Hons F.M., Sanderson M.A., Franzluebbers A.J., A rapid procedure for estimating nitrogen mineralization in manured soils, Biol. Fertil. Soils 33 (2001) 100–104.
- [9] Hauck R.D., Bremner J.M., Use of tracers for soil and fertilizer nitrogen research, Adv. Agron. 28 (1976) 219–266.
- [10] Houot S., Chaussod R., Impact of agricultural practices on the size and activity of the microbial biomass in a long-term field experiment, Biol. Fertil. Soils 19 (1995) 309–316.
- [11] Jackson D.R., Smith K.A., Animal manure slurries as a source of nitrogen for cereals; effect of application time on efficiency, Soil Use Manage. 13 (1997) 75–81.
- [12] Jensen B., Sørensen P., Thomsen I.K., Jensen E.S, Christensen B.T., Availability of nitrogen in ¹⁵N-labeled ruminant manure components to successively grown crops, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63 (1999) 416–423.
- [13] Jörgensen R.G., The fumigation extraction method to estimate soil microbial biomass: Calibration of the kEC-factor, Soil Biol. Biochem. 28 (1996) 25–31.
- [14] Jörgensen R.G., Mueller T., The fumigation-extraction method to estimate soil microbial biomass: Calibration of the kEN value, Soil Biol. Biochem. 28 (1996) 33–37.
- [15] Kirchmann H., Nitrogen interactions and crop uptake from fresh and composted ¹⁵N-labelled poultry manure, J. Soil Sci. 41 (1990) 379–385.
- [16] Kreuzer M., Kirchgessner M., Zum Einfluss von Stärkeart und –menge in der Ration auf scheinbare und wahre Verdaulichkeit des Stickstoffs und auf die N-Bilanz beim Schaf, Arch. Tierernähr. 35 (1985) 723–731.
- [17] Kreuzer M., Roth F.X., Kirchgessner M., Mikrobielle Umsetzungen im Enddarm von Sauen bei intracaecaler Infusion hoher Mengen an verschiedenen reinen Substraten, Landw. Forsch. 42 (1989) 72–92.
- [18] Kröber T.F, Külling D.R., Menzi H., Sutter F., Kreuzer M., Quantitative effects of feed protein reduction and methionine on nitrogen use by cows and nitrogen emission from slurry, J. Dairy Sci. 83 (2000) 2941–2951.
- [19] Mason V.C., Some observations on the distribution and origin of the nitrogen in sheep faeces, J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.) 73 (1969) 99–111.

- [20] Meyre S.A., Einfluss der Bewirtschaftungs-Verfahren des DOK-Versuchs auf die Mineralisierung und Verfügbarkeit von Stickstoff im Boden, Ph.D. thesis No. 12405, ETH Zurich, Switzerland, 1997.
- [21] Mulvaney R.L., Mass spectrometry, in: Knowles R., Blackburn T.H. (Eds.), Nitrogen isotope techniques, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1993, pp. 11–57.
- [22] Oehl F., Oberson A., Probst M., Fliessbach A., Roth H.R., Frossard E., Kinetics of microbial P uptake in cultivated soils, Biol. Fertil. Soils 34 (2001) 31–41.
- [23] Oehl F., Oberson A., Tagmann H.U., Besson J.M., Dubois D., Mäder P., Roth H.R., Frossard E., Phosphorus budget and phosphorus availability in soils under organic and conventional farming, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. (2001) (in press).
- [24] Paul J.W., Beauchamp E.G., Soil microbial biomass C, N mineralization, and N uptake by corn in dairy cattle slurry- and urea-amended soils, Can. J. Soil Sci. 76 (1996) 469–472.
- [25] Peschke H., Gezielte ¹⁵N-Anreicherung von organischen Düngemitteln für Versuchszwecke. 2. Mitt.: Herstellung ¹⁵N-markierter Gülle, Isotopenpraxis 18 (1982) 104–106.
- [26] Peschke H., Wirkungsvergleich organischer Dünger mittels ¹⁵N-Tracer, Arch. Acker- Pflanzenbau Bodenkd. 26 (1982) 207–216.
- [27] Powell J.M., Wu Z., Nitrogen-15 labeling of dairy feces and urine for nutrient cycling studies, Agron. J. 91 (1999) 814–818.
- [28] Rauhe K., Fichtner E., Fichtner F., Knappe E., Drauschke W., Quantifizierung der Wirkung organischer und mineralischer Stickstoffdünger auf Pflanze und Boden unter besonderer Berücksichtigung ¹⁵N-markierter tierischer Exkremente, Arch. Acker- Pflanzenbau Bodenkd. 17 (1973) 907–916.
- [29] Reganold J.P., Palmer S.A., Lockhart J.C., Macgregor A.N., Soil quality and financial performance of biodynamic and conventional farms in New Zealand, Science 260 (1993) 344-349.
- [30] SAS Institute, SAS/STAT Guide for personal computers, Version 6, 4th ed. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, 1987.
- [31] Schubiger F.X., Bosshard H.R., Briner H., Lehmann J. Ausnutzung des Güllestickstoffs durch Klee-Gras-Gemenge, Agrarforschung 6 (1999) 425–428.

- [32] Siegrist S., Schaub D., Pfiffner L., M\u00e4der P., Does organic agriculture reduce soil erodibility? The results of a long-term field study on loess in Switzerland, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 69 (1998) 253–264.
- [33] Spiess E., Stauffer W., Niggli U., Besson J.M., DOK-Versuch: vergleichende Langzeit-Untersuchungen in den drei Anbausystemen biologisch-Dynamisch, Organisch-biologisch und Konventionell: IV. Aufwand und Ertrag: Nährstoffbilanzen, 1. und 2. Fruchtfolgeperiode, Schweiz. Landw. Forsch. 32 (1993) 565–579.
- [34] Sørensen P., Jensen E.S. The fate of fresh and stored ¹⁵N-labelled sheep urine and urea applied to a sandy and a sandy loam soil using different application strategies, Plant and Soil 183 (1996) 213–220.
- [35] Sørensen P., Jensen E.S., Nielsen N.E. The fate of ¹⁵N-labelled organic nitrogen in sheep manure applied to soils of different texture under field conditions, Plant and Soil 162 (1994) 39–47.
- [36] Sørensen P., Jensen E.S., Nielsen N.E. Labelling of animal manure nitrogen with ¹⁵N, Plant and Soil 162 (1994) 31–37.
- [37] Thomsen I.K., Kjellerup V., Jensen B. Crop uptake and leaching of ¹⁵N applied in ruminant slurry with selectively labelled faeces and urine fractions, Plant and Soil 197 (1997) 233–239.
- [38] Van Kessel J.S., Reeves J.B., Meisinger J.J. Storage and handling can alter the mineralization characteristics of manure, J. Environ. Qual. 28 (1999) 1984–1990.
- [39] Van Soest P.J., Robertson J.B., Lewis B.A., Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition, J. Dairy Sci. 74 (1991) 3583–3597.
- [40] Vance E.D., Brookes P.C., Jenkinson D.S. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C, Soil Biol. Biochem. 19 (1987) 703–707.
- [41] VSBLO, Richtlinien und Verordnungen zum Biolandbau, in: Bio Suisse (Ed.), Richtlinien für die Erzeugung, Verarbeitung und den Handel von Produkten aus biologischem (ökologischem) Anbau. FiBL, Frick, Switzerland, 1999.
- [42] Whitmore A.P., Schröder J.J., Modelling the change in soil organic C and N and the mineralization of N from soil in response to applications of slurry manure, Plant and Soil 184 (1996) 185–194.
- [43] Witter E., Martensson A.M., Garcia F.V., Size of the soil microbial biomass in a long-term field experiment as affected by different N-fertilizers and organic manures, Soil Biol. Biochem. 25 (1993) 659–669.