

Frobenius vectors, Hilbert series and gluings

Abdallah Assi, Pedro A. García-Sánchez, Ignacio Ojeda

▶ To cite this version:

Abdallah Assi, Pedro A. García-Sánchez, Ignacio Ojeda. Frobenius vectors, Hilbert series and gluings. 2013. hal-00881276

HAL Id: hal-00881276 https://hal.science/hal-00881276

Preprint submitted on 12 Nov 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FROBENIUS VECTORS, HILBERT SERIES AND GLUINGS

A. ASSI, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, AND I. OJEDA

ABSTRACT. Let S_1 and S_2 be two affine semigroups and let S be the gluing of S_1 and S_2 . Several invariants of S are then related to those of S_1 and S_2 ; we review some of the most important properties preserved under gluings. The aim of this paper is to prove that this is the case for the Frobenius vector and the Hilbert series. Applications to complete intersection affine semigroups are also given.

1. On gluins of affine semigroups

In this section we take a quick tour summarizing some of the more relevant results on the gluing of affine semigroups. We also introduce concepts and notations that will be used later on in the paper.

An affine semigroup S is finitely generated submonoid of \mathbb{Z}^m for some positive integer m. If $S \cap (-S) = 0$, that is to say S is reduced, it can be shown that it has a unique minimal system of generators (see for instance [24, Chapter 3]). The cardinality of the minimal generating system of S is known as the *embedding dimension* of S. Recall that each reduced affine semigroup can be embedded into \mathbb{N}^m for some m. In the following we will assume that our affine semigroups are submonoids of \mathbb{N}^m .

Given an affine semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, denote by G(S) the group spanned by S, that is,

$$G(S) = \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S \}.$$

Let A be the minimal generating system of S, and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ be a nontrivial partition of A. Let $S_i = \langle A_i \rangle$ (the monoid generated by A_i), $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $S = S_1 + S_2$. We say that S is the gluing of S_1 and S_2 by **d** if

- $\mathbf{d} \in S_1 \cap S_2$ and,
- $G(S_1) \cap G(S_2) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Z}$.

We will denote this fact by $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$.

There are several properties that are preserved under gluings, and also some invariants of a gluing $S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ can be computed by knowing their values in S_1 and S_2 . We summarize some of them next.

Assume that $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$. The monoid homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^k \to S$ induced by $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \mathbf{a}_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ is an epimorphism (where \mathbf{e}_i is the *i*th row of the $k \times k$ identity matrix). Thus S is isomorphic as a monoid to $\mathbb{N}^k / \ker \varphi$, where $\ker \varphi$ is the kernel congruence of φ , that is, the set of pairs $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = \varphi(\mathbf{b})$. A presentation of S is a system of generators of $\ker \varphi$. A minimal presentation is a presentation such that none of its proper subsets is a presentation. All minimal presentations have the same (finite) cardinality (see for instance [24, Corollary 9.5]). Suppose that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$, with $S_i = \langle A_i \rangle$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ a nontrivial partition of S. We may assume without loss of generality that $S_1 = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$ and $S_2 = \{\mathbf{a}_{l+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$. According to [21, Theorem 1.4], if we know minimal presentations $S_1 = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}$

$$\rho = \rho_1 \cup \rho_2 \cup \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$$

Date: November 12, 2013.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M14 (Primary) 14M10, 11D07, 05A15 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Affine semigroup, gluing, Frobenius vector, Hilbert series.

The first author is partially supported by the project GDR CNRS 2945.

The second author is supported by the projects MTM2010-15595, FQM-343, FQM-5849, and FEDER funds.

The third author is partially supported by the project MTM2012-36917-C03-01, National Plan I+D+I and by Junta de Extremadura (FEDER funds).

is a minimal presentation of S, for every $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = \varphi(\mathbf{b})$, the first l coordinates of \mathbf{b} equal to zero and the last k - l coordinates of \mathbf{a} equal to zero (actually, [21, Theorem 1.4] asserts that this characterizes that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$).

For an affine semigroup S define $\operatorname{Betti}(S)$ as the set of $\mathbf{s} \in S$ for which there exists $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{s})$ such that (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) belongs to a minimal presentation of S. Theorem 10 in [14] states that

$$\operatorname{Betti}(S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2) = \operatorname{Betti}(S_1) \cup \operatorname{Betti}(S_2) \cup \{\mathbf{d}\}.$$

Since several invariants as the catenary degree and the maximum of the delta sets depend on the Betti elements of S ([9] and [8], respectively), the computation of these invariants for $S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ can be performed once we know their values for S_1 , S_2 and \mathbf{d} (see for instance [7, Corollary 4]).

Affine semigroups with a single Betti element can be characterized as a gluing of several copies of affine semigroups with empty minimal presentation (and thus isomorphic to \mathbb{N}^t for some positive integer t) along this single Betti element ([15]).

We say that S is uniquely presented if for every two minimal presentations σ and τ and every $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \sigma$, either $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \tau$ or $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \in \tau$, that is, there is a unique minimal presentation up to rearrangement of the pairs of the minimal presentation. It is known ([14, Theorem 12]) that $S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ is uniquely presented if and only if S_1 and S_2 are uniquely presented and $\pm (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{a}) \notin S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ for every $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Betti}(S_1) \cup \text{Betti}(S_2)$.

It is well known that the cardinality of any minimal presentation of an affine semigroup is greater than or equal to its embedding dimension minus the dimension of the vector space spanned by the semigroup. An affine semigroup is a *complete intersection* affine semigroup if the cardinality of any of its minimal presentations attains this lower bound. It can be shown that an affine semigroup is a complete intersection if and only if it is either isomorphic to \mathbb{N}^t for some positive integer t or it is the gluing of two complete intersection affine semigroups ([12]). This result generalizes [22] which generalizes the classical result by Delorme for numerical semigroups ([11]; actually the definition of gluing was inspired in that paper).

A numerical semigroup is a submonoid of \mathbb{N} with finite complement in \mathbb{N} . It is easy to see that every numerical semigroup is finitely generated (see for instance [25, Chapter 1]) and thus every numerical semigroup is an affine semigroup. Let S be a numerical semigroup. The largest integer not belonging to S is known as its Frobenius number, F(S). By definition $F(S)+1+\mathbb{N}\subseteq S$. This is why the integer F(S)+1 is known as the conductor of S. Delorme in [11] shows that the conductor of a numerical semigroup that is a gluing, say $S_1+_d S_2$, can be computed in terms of the conductors of S_1 , S_2 and S_3 . Thus a formula for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup that is a gluing is easily derived (this idea is exploited in [4] to give a procedure to compute the set of all complete intersection numerical semigroups with given Frobenius number). One of the aims of this paper is to generalize this formula for affine semigroups.

Let S be a numerical semigroup. An element $g \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus S$ is a pseudo-Frobenius number if $g+(S\setminus\{0\}) \subseteq S$. In particular F(S) is always a pseudo-Frobenius number. The cardinality of the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers is known as the (Cohen-Macaulay) type of S, t(S). A numerical semigroup is symmetric if its type is one (there are plenty of characterizations of this property, see for instance [25, Chapter 3]). Delorme in his above mentioned paper [11] also proved that a numerical semigroup that is a gluing $S_1 +_d S_2$ is symmetric if and only if S_1 and S_2 are symmetric. Nari in [19, Proposition 6.6] proved that for a numerical semigroup of the form $S_1 +_d S_2$,

$$t(S_1 +_d S_2) = t(S_1) t(S_2)$$

(actually the definition of gluing for numerical semigroups is slightly different and we have to divide S_1 and S_2 by their greatest common divisors in order to get S_1 and S_2 numerical semigroups; see the paragraph after Theorem 15). This formula can be seen as a generalization of the fact that the gluing of symmetric numerical semigroups is again symmetric, and it also shows that

- the gluing of pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups (the only pseudo-Frobenius numbers are the Frobenius number and its half) cannot be pseudo-symmetric,
- the gluing of two nonsymmetric almost symmetric numerical semigroup is not almost symmetric (S is almost symmetric if the cardinality of $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ equals (F(S) + t(S))/2).

Let S be an affine semigroup, and let $\mathbf{s} \in S \setminus \{0\}$. The Apéry set of \mathbf{s} in S is the set

$$Ap(S, \mathbf{s}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in S \mid \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s} \notin S \}.$$

This set has in general infinitely many elements. If S is a numerical semigroup and $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then Ap(S,s) has exactly s elements (one for each congruent class modulo s). Let m be the least positive integer belonging to S, which is known as the *multiplicity* of S, and assume that S is minimally generated by $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$, with $n_1 < \cdots < n_k$. Clearly, $n_1 = m$ and $Ap(S, m) \subseteq \{\sum_{i=2}^k a_i n_i \mid a_i \le \alpha_i, i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}\}$, with $\alpha_i = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kn_i \in \operatorname{Ap}(S,m)\}$. When the equality holds we say that the Apéry set of S is α -rectangular. Theorem 2.3 in [10] shows that every numerical semigroup with α -rectangular Apéry set other than \mathbb{N} can be constructed by gluing a numerical semigroup with the same property and a copy of

For a given affine semigroup S and a field K, the semigroup ring K[S] is defined as $K[S] = \bigoplus_{s \in S} Kt^s$ with t an indeterminate. Addition is performed componentwise and the product is calculated by using distributive law and $t^s t^{s'} = t^{s+s'}$ for all $s, s' \in S$. If S is a numerical semigroup, then K[S] is a subring of K[t]. Recently ([13]), it has been shown that if for every relative I ideal of $K[S_i]$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ generated by two monomials, $I \otimes_{K[S_i]} I^{-1}$ has nontrivial torsion, then the same property holds for $S_1 +_d S_2$, solving partly a conjecture stated by Huneke and Wiegand (see [13] for details; also the restriction of being generated by just two elements can be removed if we take S_2 as a copy of \mathbb{N}).

If S is a numerical semigroup minimally generated by $\{n_1,\ldots,n_k\}$, then $\mathfrak{m}=(t^{n_1},\ldots,t^{n_k})$ is the unique maximal ideal of the power series ring $R = K[[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_k}]] = K[[S]]$. The Hilbert function of the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ is defined as $n \mapsto \dim_K(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$. In [2] it is shown that if the Hilbert functions of the associated graded rings of $K[[S_1]]$ and $K[[S_2]]$ are nondecreasing, then so is the Hilbert function of the associated graded ring of $K[[S_1 +_d S_2]]$ when the gluing is a "nice" gluing (see [2, Theorem 2.6] for details; this nice gluing has been also exploited in [16]).

Lately, for $T = \langle an_1, an_2, an_3, an_4 \rangle +_{ab} \langle b \rangle$, Barucci and Fröberg have been able to compute the Betti numbers of the free resolution of K[T] in terms of that of K[S], with $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$ ([5]).

2. Gluings and cones

Given an affine semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, denote by $\operatorname{cone}(S)$ the cone spanned by S, that is,

$$cone(S) = \{q \mathbf{a} \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{a} \in S\}.$$

Observe that cone(S) is pointed (the only subspace included in it is $\{0\}$), because S is reduced. Clearly, if A is finite and generates S, then

$$G(S) = \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a} \mid z_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \mathbf{a} \right\} \text{ and } \operatorname{cone}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} q_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a} \mid q_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \mathbf{a} \right\}.$$

We will write aff(S) for the affine span of S, that is,

$$\operatorname{aff}(S) = G(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

As usual we use the notation

$$\langle A \rangle = \{ \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} n_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a} \mid n_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } \mathbf{a} \in A \}$$

(all sums are finite, that is, if A has infinitely many elements, all but a finite number of z_a , q_a and n_a are zero).

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_{k+1}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{cone}(\mathbb{N}^m) \setminus \{0\}$, for some positive integers m and k. If $\text{cone}(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_k) = \mathbf{r}_k$ $cone(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_{k+1})$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) There exist $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $x = q_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q_k\mathbf{r}_k$. (2) There exist $q'_1, \ldots, q'_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $x = q'_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q'_k\mathbf{r}_k + q'_{k+1}\mathbf{r}_{k+1}$.

Proof. Observe that from the hypothesis, $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} \in \text{cone}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k)$, and thus there exists $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = t_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + t_k\mathbf{r}_k$. From this it easily follows (2) implies (1).

Assume that there exist $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\mathbf{x} = q_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q_k\mathbf{r}_k$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $t_i/N < q_i$ (this is possible since $q_i > 0$ for all i). Take $q_i' = q_i - t_i/N$ (which is a positive rational number) for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $q'_{k+1} = 1/N$. Then $q'_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q'_k\mathbf{r}_k + q'_{k+1}\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = q_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q_k\mathbf{r}_k - 1/N\mathbf{r}_{k+1} + 1/N\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}$.

Given $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k \in \text{cone}(\mathbb{N}^m) \setminus \{0\}$, we define the relative interior of $\text{cone}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k)$ by

$$relint(cone(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_k)) = \{q_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + q_k\mathbf{r}_k \mid q_1,\ldots,q_k \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\}.$$

Observe that the relative interior of a cone C is the topological interior of C in its affine span, aff $(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k)$, with the subspace topology.

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, we say that F is a face of cone(A) if $F \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Q}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that

- $F = {\mathbf{x} \in \text{cone}(A) \mid \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0}$ and
- $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} \ge 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \text{cone}(A)$.

An element $\mathbf{a} \in A$ is an extremal ray of cone(A) if $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\mathbf{a}$ is a one dimensional face of cone(A).

Now, according to Lemma 1, if A is the minimal system of generators of an affine semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$, then we can say that $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A))$, even if A contains elements that are not extremal rays. We get also the following consequence.

Proposition 2. Let A be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N}^m , with m a positive integer. Assume that $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ is a nontrivial partition of A. Then $\operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A)) = \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A_1)) + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A_2))$.

Proof. Obviously, if $\mathbf{x}_i \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A_i))$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, then $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A))$. Now, consider $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(A))$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} q_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a}$ with $q_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Thus, by taking $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A_i} q_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a}$, we are done.

Notice that if S is the gluing of S_1 and S_2 by \mathbf{d} , then

 $\mathbf{d} \not\in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \text{ implies } \mathbf{d} \not\in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S_1)) \cap \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S_2)).$

Otherwise, we may take $\mathbf{x}_i = (1/2)\mathbf{d}, i \in \{1, 2\}.$

Proposition 3. Let A be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N}^m , with m a positive integer. Assume that $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ is a nontrivial partition of A. Let F be a face of cone(A). Then every $\mathbf{x} \in F$ can be expressed as $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$ with \mathbf{x}_i in a face of cone (A_i) , $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in F$. Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Q}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} \ge 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \text{cone}(A)$. Notice that $\text{cone}(A) = \text{cone}(A_1) + \text{cone}(A_2)$. Hence there exists $\mathbf{x}_i \in \text{cone}(A_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$. As $\text{cone}(A_i) \subseteq \text{cone}(A)$, $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y}_i \ge 0$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $\mathbf{y}_i \in \text{cone}(A_i)$. Hence $0 = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2$ forces $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 = 0$. We conclude that \mathbf{x}_i is in the face $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q}^n \mid \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} \cap \text{cone}(A_i)$ of $\text{cone}(A_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

We end this section by giving an affine-geometric characterization of gluings.

Proposition 4. Let S be an affine semigroup and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$. If $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ then

$$\operatorname{cone}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{cone}(S_2) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}_{>0}.$$

Proof. By definition, $\mathbf{d} \in S_1 \cap S_2$ and, clearly, $\mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{cone}(S_2)$. If $\mathbf{d}' \in \operatorname{cone}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{cone}(S_2)$, then $\mathbf{d}' = \frac{z_1}{t_1}\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{z_2}{t_2}\mathbf{a}_2$, with $z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbf{a}_i \in S_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence, $t_1t_2\mathbf{d}' \in \operatorname{G}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{G}(S_2) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Z}$, that is, $\mathbf{d}' \in \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

The above result may be also obtained as a consequence of [17, Lemma 4.2].

Observe that the inverse statement is not true as the following simple example shows. Let S be semigroup generated by the columns of the matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \end{array}\right)$$

and let S_1 and S_2 be the semigroups generated by the three first and the three last columns of A, repectively. In this case, $\mathbf{d} := (6,6)^{\top} \in S_1 \cap S_2$ and $\operatorname{cone}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{cone}(S_2) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. However, S_1 and S_2 cannot be glued by \mathbf{d} because $G(S_1) \cap G(S_2)$ has rank 2; indeed, 3(2,2) = 2(3,3) and (0,4) = -2(4,0) + 2(3,1) + (2,2).

Corollary 5. Let S be an affine semigroup minimally generated by A. Let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ be a nontrivial partition of A, and let $S_i = \langle A_i \rangle$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. Set $V = \operatorname{aff}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{aff}(S_2)$. Then, $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$, if and only if $V = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}$ and $S \cap V = (S_1 \cap V) +_{\mathbf{d}} (S_2 \cap V)$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. If $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$, by an argument similar to the given in the proof of Proposition 4, we have that $V = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}$. Now, since $\mathbf{d} \in (S_1 \cap V) \cap (S_2 \cap V)$ and $G(S_1 \cap V) \cap G(S_2 \cap V) = G(S_1) \cap G(S_2) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that $S \cap V$ is the gluing of $S_1 \cap V$ and $S_2 \cap V$ by \mathbf{d} . Conversely, let $V = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Q}$. Since $G(S_1) \cap G(S_2) = G(S_1 \cap V) \cap G(S_2 \cap V) = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{d} \in (S_1 \cap V) \cap (S_2 \cap V) = S_1 \cap S_2$, because $G(S_1) \cap G(S_2) \subset V$, we are done.

Let S be the semigroup generated by the columns of the following matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array}\right)$$

and let S_1 (S_2 , respectively) be the semigroup generated by the three first (last, respectively) columns of A. Clearly, $V = \operatorname{aff}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{aff}(S_2) = (1,1,0)^{\top} \mathbb{Q}$. Now, since $S_1 \cap V \cong 2\mathbb{N}$, $S_2 \cap V \cong 3\mathbb{N}$ and $S \cap V \cong 2\mathbb{N} +_6 3\mathbb{N}$, in the light of the above corollary, we conclude that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$, with $\mathbf{d} = (6,6,0)^{\top}$.

3. Gluings and Frobenius vectors

Let S be an affine semigroup. We say that S has a Frobenius vector if there exists $\mathbf{f} \in G(S) \setminus S$ such that

$$\mathbf{f} + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \cap \operatorname{G}(S) \subseteq S \setminus \{0\} \subseteq S.$$

Notice that $\mathbf{f} + (\operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \cap \operatorname{G}(S)) \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ is equivalent to $(\mathbf{f} + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))) \cap \operatorname{G}(S) \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$, and thus we omit the parenthesis in the above condition.

We are going to prove that if S_1 and S_2 have Frobenius vectors, then so does $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$.

Theorem 6. Let S be an affine semigroup. Assume that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$. If S_1 and S_2 have Frobenius vectors, so does S. Moreover, if \mathbf{f}_1 and \mathbf{f}_2 are respectively Frobenius vectors of S_1 and S_2 , then

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{d}$$

is a Frobenius vector of S.

Proof. Let $G_1 = G(S_1)$, $G_2 = G(S_2)$, and G = G(S). Clearly $G = G_1 + G_2$, since $S = S_1 + S_2$.

We start by proving that $\mathbf{f} \in G \setminus S$. As $\mathbf{f}_1 \in G_1$, $\mathbf{f}_2 \in G_2$ and $\mathbf{d} \in G_1 \cap G_2$, we have $\mathbf{f} \in G$. Assume that $\mathbf{f} \in S$. Then there exist $\mathbf{s}_1 \in S_1$ and $\mathbf{s}_2 \in S_2$ such that $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{s}_2$. Then $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s}_2 - \mathbf{f}_2 \in G_1 \cap G_2 = \mathbf{d}\mathbb{Z}$. So, we can find $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s}_2 - \mathbf{f}_2 = k\mathbf{d}$. If $k \leq 0$, then $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{s}_2 - k\mathbf{d} \in S_2$, a contradiction. If k > 0, then $\mathbf{f}_1 = \mathbf{s}_1 + (k - 1)\mathbf{d} \in S_1$, which is also impossible, and this proves that $\mathbf{f} \not\in S$.

In order to simplify the notation, set $C_1 = \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S_1))$, $C_2 = \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S_2))$ and $C = \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$. Now let us prove that for all $\mathbf{x} \in C \cap G$, we have that $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} \in S$. Since $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} \in G$, there must be $\mathbf{g}_1 \in G_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2 \in G_2$ such that $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2$. In light of Proposition 2, there exists $\mathbf{x}_1 \in C_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 \in C_2$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$. Then $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2$. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\mathbf{s}_1 = t\mathbf{x}_1 \in S_1$ and $\mathbf{s}_2 = t\mathbf{x}_2 \in S_2$. This yields $t\mathbf{f}_1 + t\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{s}_1 - t\mathbf{g}_1 = t\mathbf{g}_2 - t\mathbf{f}_2 - \mathbf{s}_2 = k\mathbf{d}$ for some integer k. Assume that $k \leq 0$. Then $t\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{s}_1 + (t - k)\mathbf{d} = t\mathbf{g}_1$, and thus $\mathbf{f}_1 + (\mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{t - k}{t}\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{g}_1$. Observe that $\mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{t - k}{t}\mathbf{d} \in C_1$, which implies that $\mathbf{g}_1 \in S_1$ because \mathbf{f}_1 is a Frobenius vector for S_1 .

Let n the maximum nonnegative integer such that $\mathbf{g}_1 - nd \in S_1$. Hence $\mathbf{g}_1 - (n+1)\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{t-k}{t}\mathbf{d} - (n+1)\mathbf{d} \notin S_1$, and consequently tn + k > 0, since otherwise $\frac{t-k}{t} - (n+1) \geq 0$ and this would lead to $\mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{t-k}{t}\mathbf{d} - (n+1)\mathbf{d} \in C_1$, yielding $\mathbf{g}_1 - (n+1)\mathbf{d} \in S_1$, a contradiction. Now, $t\mathbf{g}_2 - t\mathbf{f}_2 - \mathbf{s}_2 + tn\mathbf{d} = (tn+k)\mathbf{d}$, which means that $\mathbf{g}_2 + n\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \frac{tn+k}{t}\mathbf{d}$. As $\mathbf{x}_2 + \frac{tn+k}{t}\mathbf{d} \in C_2$, and \mathbf{f}_2 is a Frobenius vector for S_2 , we deduce that $\mathbf{g}_2 + n\mathbf{d} \in S_2$. Finally $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2 = (\mathbf{g}_1 - n\mathbf{d}) + (\mathbf{g}_2 + n\mathbf{d}) \in S_1 + S_2 = S$.

If $k \ge 0$, then $t\mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{s}_2 + t\mathbf{d} - t\mathbf{g}_2 = t\mathbf{g}_1 - t\mathbf{f}_2 - \mathbf{s}_1 = -k\mathbf{d}$, and we repeat the above argument by swapping \mathbf{g}_1 and \mathbf{g}_2 .

If A is a set of positive integers, and $S = \langle A \rangle$, then $T = S/\gcd(A)$ is a numerical semigroup, and $F(T) = \max(\mathbb{N} \setminus T)$. It follows easily that $F(S) = \gcd(A) F(T)$. Recall that the conductor of T is defined as the Frobenius number of T plus one. Hence Theorem 6 generalizes the well known formula for the gluing of two submonoids of \mathbb{N} ([11, Proposition 10 (i)]).

Lemma 7. Let S be an affine semigroup minimally generated by A. If A is a set of linearly independent elements, then $\mathbf{f} = -\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{a}$ is a Frobenius vector for S.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \cap G(S)$. Then $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} q_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a}$, with $q_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $z_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all \mathbf{a} . Since the elements in A are linearly independent, this forces $z_{\mathbf{a}} = q_{\mathbf{a}}$ for all \mathbf{a} ; in particular, $z_{\mathbf{a}} - 1 \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{a} . Hence $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (z_{\mathbf{a}} - 1) \mathbf{a} \in S$.

Since every complete intersection affine semigroup has either no relations (free in the categorical sense, that is, its minimal set of generators is a set of linearly independent vectors) or it is the gluing of two affine semigroups ([12]), we get the following result.

Theorem 8. Let S be a complete intersection affine semigroup. Then S has a Frobenius vector.

Remark 9. Let $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ be the gluing of S_1 and S_2 by \mathbf{d} , and assume that that $S_2 = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbf{d} = \theta \mathbf{v}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $-\mathbf{v}$ is a Frobenius vector for S_2 (Lemma 7), and if S_1 has a Frobenius vector \mathbf{f}_1 , then the formula of Theorem 3 implies that $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{v} + \theta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_1 + (\theta - 1)\mathbf{v}$ is a Frobenius vector of S. More generally let $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_e$ be a set of \mathbb{Q} linearly independent vectors of \mathbb{N}^e . Let $S_0 = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_e \rangle$, and let $\mathbf{v}_{e+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{e+h}$ be a set of vectors of $\mathbb{N}^e \cap \operatorname{cone}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_e)$. Set $S_i = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{e+i} \rangle$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$ and assume that $S_i = S_{i-1} +_{\theta_i \mathbf{v}_i} \langle \mathbf{v}_i \rangle$ (such semigroups are called free semigrous). A Frobenius vector \mathbf{f}_0 of S_0 being $\mathbf{f}_0 = -\sum_{k=1}^e \mathbf{v}_k$ (Lemma 7), it follows that

(1)
$$\mathbf{f}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i (\theta_j - 1) \mathbf{v}_j - \sum_{k=1}^e \mathbf{v}_k$$

is a Frobenius vector of S_i . This formula has also been proved by the first author in [3], and gave the following uniqueness condition: this Frobenius vector \mathbf{f} is minimal with respect to the order induced by $\operatorname{cone}(S)$, that is, for every other Frobenius vector \mathbf{f}' of S, $\mathbf{f}' \in \mathbf{f} + \operatorname{cone}(S)$.

We recall that a reduced affine semigroup S is said to be *simplicial* if there are linearly independent elements $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in S$ such that $\operatorname{cone}(S) = \operatorname{cone}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$. Under this hypothesis, conditions for the existence and conditions for uniqueness of a Frobenius vector of S are given in [1].

The formula (1) is a special case of the following general formula for a Frobenius vector of a complete intersection affine semigroup.

Remark 10. Recall that according to [12], any complete intersection affine semigroup is either generated by a set of linearly independent vectors or it is a gluing of two complete intersection numerical semigroups. Thus, repeating this argument recursively, if S is a complete intersection affine semigroup A, then there exists a partition $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_t = A$ such that A_i are sets of linearly independent vectors and

$$S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}_1} S_2 +_{\mathbf{d}_2} \cdots +_{\mathbf{d}_{t-1}} S_t,$$

with $S_i = \langle A_i \rangle$. From Theorem 6 and Lemma 7, it follows that

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{d}_i - \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{a}$$

is a Frobenius vector for S.

Next we show that this Frobenius vector is unique in the sense defined above.

Proposition 11. Let S be a complete intersection affine semigroup and let \mathbf{f} be defined as in (2). Then for every face F of cone(S), $(\mathbf{f} + F) \cap S$ is empty.

Proof. Since either S is free or the gluing of two complete intersection affine semigroups S_1 and S_2 , we proceed by induction. If S is free, then Lemma 7 asserts that $\mathbf{f} = -\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{a}$, with A the minimal generating set of S. Clearly in this case the assertion is true.

Now assume that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in S_1 \cap S_2$. From Theorem 6, $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{d}$, where \mathbf{f}_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, is also defined by (2). By induction hypothesis, for every face F_i of $\operatorname{cone}(S_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $(\mathbf{f}_i + F_i) \cap S_i = \emptyset$.

Assume to the contrary that there exists $\mathbf{x} \in F$ such that $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{x} \in S$. According to Proposition 3, there exists $\mathbf{x}_i \in F_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, for some face F_i of $\operatorname{cone}(S_i)$. Hence there are $\mathbf{s}_1 \in S_1$ and $\mathbf{s}_2 \in S_2$ such that $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{s}_2$. Then $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s}_2 - \mathbf{f}_2 - \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{x}_2 = k\mathbf{d}$ for some integer k. As by induction hypothesis, $\mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1 \notin S_1$, we deduce k < 0. Therefore $\mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{s}_2 - (k+1)\mathbf{d}$. But $\mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2 \notin S_2$, which forces k+1 > 0, or equivalently $k \ge 0$. But this is in contradiction with k < 0. \square

Theorem 12. Let S be a complete intersection and let \mathbf{f} be as in (2). Assume that \mathbf{f}' is another Frobenius vector of S. Then $\mathbf{f}' \in \mathbf{f} + \text{cone}(S)$.

Proof. Write $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{a}' - \mathbf{b}'$ with $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in S$, and let $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$. Then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{f}' + \mathbf{b}' + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c} \in (\mathbf{f} + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))) \cap (\mathbf{f}' + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S)))$.

Assume that $\mathbf{f}' \notin \mathbf{f} + \operatorname{cone}(S)$. Then the segment joining \mathbf{f}' and \mathbf{x} cuts some face of $\mathbf{f} + \operatorname{cone}(S)$. Denote by $\mathbf{f} + F$ this face and let $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{y}$ be this intersection point $(\mathbf{y} \in F \text{ and } F \text{ is a face of } \operatorname{cone}(S))$. There exists a positive integer k such that $k\mathbf{y}$ is in S, and thus $\mathbf{f} + k\mathbf{y} \in G(S) \cap (\mathbf{f} + F)$. Notice that $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}' + \mathbf{y}'$ for some $\mathbf{y}' \in \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$. As $\mathbf{y} \in F$, $(k-1)\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{cone}(S)$, and consequently $\mathbf{f} + k\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}' + (\mathbf{y}' + (k-1)\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{f}' + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$. Hence $\mathbf{f} + k\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbf{f}' + \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(S))) \cap G(S) \subseteq S$, in contradiction with Proposition 11.

4. Gluings and Hilbert Series

The *Hilbert series* of S is the Hilbert series associated to K[S]: $H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}}$, where for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}} = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_m^{s_m}$. This map is sometimes known in the literature as generating function of S, and it has been shown to be of the form $g(S, \mathbf{x}) / \prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})$, with A the minimal generating set of S (see [6, §7.3]).

The next lemma is a straightforward generalization of (4) in [20].

Lemma 13. Let S be an affine semigroup and let $\mathbf{m} \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Then

(3)
$$H(S,x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^{\mathbf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in Ap(S,\mathbf{m})} x^{\mathbf{w}}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from the definition of $Ap(S, \mathbf{m})$, that for every $\mathbf{s} \in S$, there exist unique $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in Ap(S, \mathbf{m})$ such that $\mathbf{s} = k\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{w}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{H}(S,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathrm{Ap}(S,\mathbf{m})} \mathbf{x}^{k\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}})^{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathrm{Ap}(S,\mathbf{m})} x^{\mathbf{w}}.$$

The proof follows by taking into account that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}})^{\mathbf{k}} = 1/(1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}})$.

The following result can also be understood as a generalization of (4) in [20], since for simplicial affine semigroups that are Cohen-Macaulay the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Ap}(S, \mathbf{v}_i)$, with $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$ a set of extremal rays of S, plays a similar role to the Apéry set of an element in a numerical semigroup (compare [23, Theorem 1.5] and [25, Lemma 2.6]).

Proposition 14. Let S be a simplicial affine semigroup with extremal rays $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$. Then $H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{x})}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1-\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}_i})}$, with $P(\mathbf{x})$ a polynomial.

Proof. Let $Ap = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Ap}(S, \mathbf{v}_i)$. In view of [23, Section 1], this set is finite. Moreover, from [23, Theorem 1.5] we know that every element \mathbf{s} in S can be expressed uniquely as $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{w}$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in Ap$. Arguing as in Lemma 13,

$$H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in Ap} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - x^{\mathbf{v}_i})},$$

which concludes the proof.

Theorem 15. Let S, S_1 and S_2 be affine semigroups, and let $\mathbf{d} \in S$. Assume that $S = S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2$. Then

$$H(S_1 +_{\mathbf{d}} S_2, \mathbf{x}) = (1 - \mathbf{x}^d) H(S_1, \mathbf{x}) H(S_2, \mathbf{x}).$$

Proof. From (3),

$$H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in A_{\mathbf{D}}(S, \mathbf{d})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}.$$

From [21, Theorem 1.4], the mapping

(4)
$$\operatorname{Ap}(S_1, \mathbf{d}) \times \operatorname{Ap}(S_2, \mathbf{d}) \to \operatorname{Ap}(S, \mathbf{d}), \ (x, y) \mapsto x + y$$

is a bijection, and thus $Ap(S, \mathbf{d}) = Ap(S_1, \mathbf{d}) + Ap(S_2, \mathbf{d})$. Hence,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \operatorname{Ap}(S,d)} x^{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}_1 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_1,\mathbf{d})} \sum_{\mathbf{w}_2 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_2,\mathbf{d})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}_1 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_1,\mathbf{d})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1}\right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}_2 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_2,\mathbf{d})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_2}\right).$$

As $H(S_1, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{x}^d} \sum_{\mathbf{w}_1 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_1, \mathbf{d})} x^{\mathbf{w}_1}$ and $H(S_2, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{x}^d} \sum_{\mathbf{w}_2 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S_2, \mathbf{d})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_2}$, we get

$$H(S, \mathbf{x}) = (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}) H(S_1, \mathbf{x}) H(S_2, \mathbf{x}).$$

If S is a numerical semigroup (gcd(S) = 1), and it is a gluing of M_1 and M_2 , then $S_1 = M_1/d_1$ and $S_2 = M_2/d_2$ are also numerical semigroups, with $d_i = \gcd(M_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence $S = d_1S_1 +_{d_1d_2} d_2S_2$ and lcm(d_1, d_2) = d_1d_2 . We say in this setting that S is a gluing of S_1 and S_2 at d_1d_2 .

From the definition of Hilbert series associated to a submonoid M of N, it follows easily that if $k \mid \gcd(M)$, then

(5)
$$H(M/k, x^k) = H(M, x).$$

We get the following corollary.

Corollary 16. Let S be a numerical semigroup. Assume that $S = d_1S_1 +_{d_1d_2} d_2S_2$ is a gluing of the numerical semigroups S_1 and S_2 . Then

$$H(S, x) = (1 - x^{d_1 d_2}) H(S_1, x^{d_1}) H(S_2, x^{d_2}).$$

Example 17. Let $S = \langle a, b \rangle$ with a and b coprime positive integers. Then $S = a\mathbb{N} +_{ab} b\mathbb{N}$. Then by Corollary 16,

$$H(\langle a, b \rangle, x) = (1 - x^{ab}) H(\mathbb{N}, x^a) H(\mathbb{N}, x^b) = \frac{1 - x^{ab}}{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)}.$$

If we do this computation by using the formula $H(\langle a,b\rangle,x)=\frac{1}{1-x^a}\sum_{w\in Ap(\langle a,b\rangle,a)}x^w$, we obtain, $H(\langle a,b\rangle,x)=\frac{1}{1-x^a}\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}x^{kb}=\frac{1}{1-x^a}\frac{1-x^{ab}}{1-x^b}$. Observe that this is a particular case of [20, Proposition 2] (see also [18, Theorem 4] for a relationship with inclusion-exclusion polynomials).

This idea can be generalized to any complete intersection affine semigroup. The base setting is the following.

Lemma 18. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then

$$H(\langle A \rangle, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})}.$$

Proof. Assume that $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$, and write $S = \langle A \rangle$. Notice that the map $\mathbb{N}^k \to S$, $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \mathbf{a}_i$ is a monoid isomorphism. Hence

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s}\in S} x^s = \sum_{n_1\in\mathbb{N},\dots,n_k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{x}^{n_1\mathbf{a}_1+\dots+n_k\mathbf{a}_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (x^{\mathbf{a}_i})^n,$$

and the proof follows easily.

Proposition 19. Let S be a free affine semigroup. Assume that

$$S = (\cdots (\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_e \rangle +_{\theta_{e+1}\mathbf{v}_{e+1}} \langle \mathbf{v}_{e+1} \rangle) +_{\theta_{e+2}\mathbf{v}_{e+2}} \cdots) +_{\theta_{e+h}\mathbf{v}_{e+h}} \langle \mathbf{v}_{e+h} \rangle.$$

Then

$$H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{h} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\theta_{e+i} \mathbf{v}_{e+i}})}{\prod_{i=1}^{e+h} (1 - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathbf{v}})}.$$

This is indeed a particular case of the following theorem.

Theorem 20. Let S be a complete intersection affine semigroup minimally generated by A. Let $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{t-1}$ be as in Remark 10,

$$H(S, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}_i})}{\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})}.$$

Remark 21. Observe that if we substract the degree of the numerator and denominator of the formula given in Theorem 20 we obtain Formula (2).

Example 22. Let $S = \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle = \langle 4, 6 \rangle +_{10} 5\mathbb{N} = (4\mathbb{N} +_{12} 6\mathbb{N}) +_{10} 5\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$H(\langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle, x) = \frac{(1 - x^{10})(1 - x^{12})}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^5)(1 - x^6)}.$$

The Frobenius number of S is 10 + 12 - (4 + 5 + 6) = 7.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of this research was performed while the second author visited the Université d'Angers, and he wants to thank the Département de Mathématiques of this university for its kind hospitality

References

- [1] J. Amos, I. Pascu, V. Ponomarenko, E. Treviño, Y. Zhang. The multidimensional Frobenius problem. Involve 4 (2011), no. 2, 187–197.
- [2] F. Arslan, P. Mete and M. Şahin. Gluings and Hilbert functions of monomial curves. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 2225-2232.
- [3] A. Assi. The Frobenius vector of a free affine semigroup. J. Algebra Appl. 11 (2012), no. 4, 1250065.
- [4] A. ASSI, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. Constructing the set of complete intersection numerical semigroups with a given Frobenius number. Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 24, Issue 2 (2013), 133-148.
- [5] V. Barucci and R. Fröberg. The minimal graded resolution of some Gorenstein rings. arXiv:1211.4747.

- [6] A. BARVINOK AND K. WOODS. Short rational generating functions for lattice point problems. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, 957-979.
- [7] M. BULLEJOS AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. Minimal presentations for monoids with the ascending chain condition on principal ideals. Semigroup Forum 85 (2012), 185-190.
- [8] S. T. CHAPMAN, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, D. LLENA, A. MALYSHEV, D. STEINBERG. On the Delta set and the Betti elements of a BF-monoid. Arab. J. Math. 1 (2012), 53-61.
- [9] S. T. CHAPMAN, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, D. LLENA, V. PONOMARENKO AND J. C. ROSALES. The catenary and tame degree in finitely generated commutative cancellative monoids. Manuscripta Math. 120 (2006), 253-264.
- [10] M. D'Anna, V. Micale, A. Sammartano. Classes of complete intersection numerical semigroups, Semigroup Forum, DOI: 10.1007/s00233-013-9547-y.
- [11] C. DELORME. Sous-monoïdes d'intersection complète de N. Ann. Scient. École Norm. Sup. (4), 9 (1976), 145-154.
- [12] K. G. FISCHER, W. MORRIS, J. SHAPIRO. Affine semigroup rings that are complete intersections. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), no. 11, 3137-3145.
- [13] P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, M.J. LEAMER. Huneke-Wiegand Conjecture for complete intersection numerical semigroup rings. J. Algebra 391 (2013) Pages 114-124.
- [14] P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND I. OJEDA. Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids. Pacific J. Math. 248 (2010), 91-105.
- [15] P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, I. OJEDA AND J. C. ROSALES. Affine semigroups having a unique Betti element. J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013), 1250177.
- [16] R. Jafaro, S. Zarzuela Armengou. On monomial curves obtained by gluing. arXiv:1303.3789.
- [17] N.J. MICHELACAKIS, A. THOMA. On the geometry of complete intersection toric varieties. Arch. Math. (Basel) 87 (2006), no. 2, 113–123.
- [18] P. Moore. Numerical semigroups, cyclotomic polynomials and Bernoulli numbers. preprint.
- [19] H. NARI. Symmetries on almost symmetric numerical semigroups. Semigroup Forum 86 (2013), 140-154.
- [20] J. L. RAMÍREZ ALFONSÍN, Ø. J. RØDSETH. Numerical semigroups: Apéry sets and Hilbert series. Semigroup Forum 79 (2009), no. 2, 323-40.
- [21] J. C. Rosales. On presentations of subsemigroups of \mathbb{N}^n . Semigroup Forum 55 (1997), 152-159.
- [22] J. C. ROSALES AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. On complete intersection affine semigroups. Comm. Algebra 23 (1995), no. 14, 5395-5412.
- [23] J. C. ROSALES AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. On Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein simplicial affine semigroups. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 41 (1998), 517-537.
- [24] J. C. ROSALES AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. Finitely generated commutative monoids. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Commack, NY, 1999.
- [25] J. C. ROSALES AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ. Numerical Semigroups. Developments in Mathematics. 20. Springer, New York, 2009.

Université d'Angers, Département de Mathématiques, LAREMA, UMR 6093, 2 bd Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex 01, France

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb"assiQuniv-angers.fr"$

Departamento de Álgebra, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, España

E-mail address: pedro@ugr.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, E-06071 BADAJOZ, ESPAÑA

E-mail address: ojedamc@unex.es