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# Optical angular momentum conversion in a nanoslit: comment 

Etienne Brasselet<br>Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, LOMA, UMR 5798, F 33400 Talence, France (e.brasselet@loma.u bordeaux1.fr)


#### Abstract

In a recent work [Opt. Lett. 37, 4946 (2012)], the spin to orbital optical angular momentum conversion from a sub wavelength slit having a circular shape has been reported. In particular, the conversion efficiency was claimed to be independent of the slit dichroism. Here, we correct such a statement and demonstrate that dichroism strongly influences the process of optical vortex generation.


OCIS codes: (050.4865) Optical vortices; (050.2555) Form birefringence; (310.6628) Subwavelength structures, nanostructures.

Recently, Chimento and coworkers investigated the effect of a circular subwavelength slit on the orbital angular momentum content of light [1]. A subwavelength slit indeed behaves as a birefringent retarder whose main axes are directed parallel and perpendicular to it [2]. This enables a circular slit to partially convert an incident circularly polarized light field into a contra-circularly polarized one carrying an on-axis optical phase singularity with a topological charge of two [1]. In particular, the efficiency $\eta$ of the polarization conversion process has been claimed to be independent of the slit dichroism [1].

The aim of this Comment is to correct the latter statement. Dichroism indeed strongly influences the process, as demonstrated hereafter in the more general framework of so-called $q$-plates [3], which consist of slabs of inhomogeneous and optically anisotropic slabs of thickness $L$ that have: (i) an azimuthal distribution of the orientation of their optical axis of the form $\psi(\phi)=q \phi+\phi_{0}$ with $q$ integer, $\phi$ the usual azimuthal angle in the $(x, y)$ plane of the slab, and $\phi_{0}$ a constant and (ii) a uniform birefringent phase retardation $\Delta=k_{0}\left(n_{\|}-n_{\perp}\right) L$ with $k_{0}$ the wavenumber in vacuum and $n_{\|, \perp}$ the refractive indices parallel and perpendicular to the optical axis.

Let us consider the case study of an incident circularly polarized plane wave that propagates through a dichroic $q$-plate of thickness $L$ with an input facet located at $z=0$ and with attenuation coefficients $\delta_{\|}=e^{-\alpha_{\|} L}$ and $\delta_{\perp}=e^{-\alpha_{\perp} L}$, where $\alpha_{\|}$and $\alpha_{\perp}$ are the amplitude attenuation constants for the polarizations parallel and perpendicular to the optical axis, respectively. The


Fig. 1. (a) Map of polarization conversion efficiency $\eta$ as a function of birefringent phase retardation $\Delta$ and dichroism parameter $\delta$. (b) $\eta$ versus $\delta$ for $\Delta=0, \pi / 2$, and $\pi$, which corre spond to special cases of full wave, quarter wave, or half wave dichroic plates, respectively.
incident electric field $(z<0)$ is expressed as $\mathbf{E}_{\text {in }}=$ $E_{0} e^{-i\left(\omega t-k_{0} z\right)} \mathbf{c}_{\sigma}$ where $\mathbf{c}_{\sigma}=(\mathbf{x}+i \sigma \mathbf{y}) / \sqrt{ } 2, \sigma= \pm 1$, refers to the orthonormal circular polarization basis. Neglecting diffraction effects, the output light field at $z=L$ is obtained in the laboratory frame by using the Jones formalism,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_{\text {out }}= & E_{0} e^{-i \omega t}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \psi & -\sin \psi \\
\sin \psi & \cos \psi
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{\|} e^{i k_{0} n_{\|} L} & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{\perp} e^{i k_{0} n_{\perp} L}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \psi & \sin \psi \\
-\sin \psi & \cos \psi
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{c}_{\sigma} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing $\mathbf{E}_{\text {out }}$ in the circular polarization basis, one gets, up to a phase factor $e^{-i \omega t+i(\Delta / 2)+i k_{0} n_{\perp} L}$,
$\mathbf{E}_{\text {out }}=\frac{E_{0}}{2}\left[\left(\delta_{\|} e^{i \frac{\Delta}{2}}+\delta_{\perp} e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{2}}\right) \mathbf{c}_{\sigma}+\left(\delta_{\|} e^{i \frac{\Delta}{2}}-\delta_{\perp} e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{2}}\right) e^{i 2 \sigma \psi(\phi)} \mathbf{c}_{-\sigma}\right]$.

By introducing the dichroism parameter $\delta=\delta_{\perp} / \delta_{\|}$, if $\delta_{\perp}<\delta_{\|}$and $\delta=\delta_{\|} / \delta_{\perp}$ if $\delta_{\perp}>\delta_{\|}$, the efficiency $\eta$ is defined as the intensity ratio $\eta=\left(\left|\mathbf{E}_{\text {out }} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{-\sigma}^{*}\right|^{2}\right) /\left|\mathbf{E}_{\text {out }}\right|^{2}$, with the asterisk being a complex conjugation, expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{(1-\delta)^{2}}{2\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)} \cos ^{2}(\Delta / 2)+\frac{(1+\delta)^{2}}{2\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)} \sin ^{2}(\Delta / 2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum conversion thus strongly depends on the dichroism whatever is $q$, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The "usual" formula $\eta=$ $\sin ^{2}(\Delta / 2)$ only applies without dichroism, i.e., $\delta=1$. For the case of $\delta=0$ in Fig. 1, this is a special case of a linear polarizer with an azimuthally varying axis.
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