

Contribution of ambient vibration recordings (Free-field and buildings) for post-seismic analysis: the case of the Mw 7.3 MARTINIQUE (French lesser ANTILLES) earthquake, november 29, 2007

Julie Regnier, Clotaire Michel, Etienne Bertrand, Philippe Gueguen

▶ To cite this version:

Julie Regnier, Clotaire Michel, Etienne Bertrand, Philippe Gueguen. Contribution of ambient vibration recordings (Free-field and buildings) for post-seismic analysis: the case of the Mw 7.3 MAR-TINIQUE (French lesser ANTILLES) earthquake, november 29, 2007. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2013, 50, pp. 162-167. 10.1016/j.soildyn.2013.03.007. hal-00874796

HAL Id: hal-00874796 https://hal.science/hal-00874796v1

Submitted on 18 Oct 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CONTRIBUTION OF AMBIENT VIBRATION RECORDINGS (FREE-FIELD AND BUILDINGS) FOR POST-SEISMIC ANALYSIS: THE CASE OF THE MW 7.3 MARTINIQUE (FRENCH LESSER ANTILLES) EARTHQUAKE, NOVEMBER 29, 2007

5 J. Régnier¹, C. Michel³, E. Bertrand¹ and P. Guéguen²

8 1 ERA "risque sismique", LRPC Nice, CETE Méditerranée

9 2 ISTerre, CNRS/IRD/IFSTTAR, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1

10 3 Swiss Seismological Service, ETH Zürich

1112 Corresponding author:

13 Julie Régnier

14 Tel : 0033 492 008 157

15 Mail: julie.regnier@developpement-durbale.gouv.fr.

16 Address: CETE Méditerranée 56 Bd de Stalingrad 06359 Nice, France

17

7

18 ABSTRACT

Following the Mw 7.3 Martinique earthquake, November 29th, 2007, a post-seismic survey was 19 20 conducted by the Bureau Central Sismologique Français (BCSF) for macroseismic intensities 21 assessment. In addition to the inventories, ambient vibration recordings were performed close to the 22 particularly damaged zones in the free-field and the buildings. The objective of the paper is to show 23 the relevancy of performing ambient vibration recordings for post-earthquake surveys. The analyses of 24 the recordings aim at explaining the variability of the damages through site effects, structure 25 vulnerability or resonance phenomena and to help the characterization of the post-seismic building 26 integrity. In three sites prone to site effects, we suspect damage to be related to a concordance between soil fundamental frequency and building resonance frequency. Besides, the recordings of 27 28 ambient vibrations at La Trinité hospital before and after the earthquake allow us to quantify the 29 damage due to earthquake in terms of stiffness loss.

30

31 HIGHLIGHTS:

- We performed ambient vibration recordings in both soil and structure after a damaging
 earthquake
- We investigate the sources of damage to buildings
- We compare pre-and post earthquake recordings to evaluate damage grade
 - We propose recommendations for the use of such recordings in post seismic survey.

37 <u>KEYWORDS</u>: Ambient vibrations, site effect, resonance, post-earthquake survey, damage, 38 Martinique earthquake.

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41 A large part of knowledge in the fields of earthquake engineering and engineering seismology has 42 been accumulated during post-seismic surveys all around the world. These surveys have many 43 different objectives: (1) estimate the buildings safety right after the earthquake, (2) characterize the 44 ground motion by establishing macroseismic maps, (3) provide feedback for earthquake engineering 45 by studying damage features and, eventually (4) help urban planning in defining zones with ground motion amplification and induced effects (liquefaction, landslides...). However, the knowledge of the 46 47 structural damage causes is prior information necessary to relevantly reach these objectives. For a 48 given deformation capacity, e.g. associated to a building class, damage will only depend on the 49 building response to the ground motion. The building response depends on the incident seismic 50 motion (that can be largely affected by the site response) and its representing parameters (maximal 51 amplitude, frequency content...) with regard to the structure and its dynamic properties (e.g. Clough & 52 Penzien, 1993). Thus, two key parameters among those influencing the seismic demand can be 53 considered: 1) the resonance frequencies of the site and 2) the building resonance frequencies.

54 Seismic noise recordings in free-field and ambient vibration recordings in buildings are robust and low 55 cost methods for estimating the soil and structure low-strain resonance frequencies. Since the 1990s 56 and the widespread studies for site effects based upon the Horizontal to Vertical Noise Spectral Ratio 57 (HVNSR), several papers have shown the relevancy of HVSNR to partially explain damage locations and/or grades (e.g., Anderson et al., 1986; Guéguen et al, 1998; Duval et al, 2006; Theodoulidis, 58 59 2008). However, other studies show that HVNSR alone cannot be directly linked to damage 60 distribution (Mucciarelli et al, 1998, 1999; Trifunac et al, 2000; Tertulliani, A. et al., 2012) and the 61 damage variability can also be related to the building capacity rather than the site characteristics 62 (Chatelain and Guillier, 2008).

Besides, ambient vibration recordings in buildings have gained more and more interest for last decades, for earthquake engineering and civil engineering applications. The elastic fundamental frequency is a key-parameter in earthquake engineering for building response assessment (e.g. Michel et al., 2010a, 2010b) and structural health monitoring (e.g. Carden and Fanning, 2004, Dunand et al., 2004).

The joint approach (i.e. free-field and building investigation) can be relevant for post-seismic evaluation of the origin of the damage variability and building integrity. Gallipoli et al (2004), Gosar et al. (2009) and Mucciarelli et al. (1999, 2010) showed by ambient vibrations applications that soilstructure resonance could play a major role in damage location.

Following the 29th November 2007 Mw=7.3 Martinique earthquake, a post-seismic survey was set up to collect macroseismic data by the Bureau Central Sismologique Français in charge of the definition of the macroseismic intensities after earthquakes (Schlupp et al., 2008). During this survey, the
 authors performed ambient vibration recordings in highly damaged zones.

The scope of this paper is to show a case study of the usefulness of the joint utilisation of ambient vibration recordings in free-field and building to (1) improve the evaluation of the damages, (2) understand the origins of the damage variability by understanding the low-strain response of the soil and building and (3) show the relevancy of these information to complete a macroseismic study such as the one led by the BCSF.

81 DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE STUDY

82 The 29th November 2007 Martinique earthquake occurred at rather great depth (152 km) with a 83 moment magnitude of 7.3 (Guéguen, 2012) located in the northwest at 30 km of the island. The 84 French Accelerometric network (RAP <u>http://www-rap.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr</u>, Pequegnat et al., 2008) recorded ground motions due to the main shock in 34 stations in Martinique (Fig. 1). The horizontal 85 Peak Ground Accelerations (PGA) is ranging from 0.3 to 4 m.s⁻² through the island. The local 86 variability is large, e.g. in Fort-de-France from 0.4 to 2 m.s⁻² over several hundreds meters, indicating 87 88 the importance of local soil conditions. Macroseismic intensities using EMS98 (Grünthal et al., 1998) 89 on the island were estimated between V and VI-VII (Fig. 1). We performed ambient vibration 90 recordings in free-field and in buildings in three sites (Fig. 1), selected for the high level of structural 91 damages compared to the macroseismic intensities estimated in the town.

92

93 Figure 1

94

Site 1. In Le Francois, damage due to the earthquake did not exceed grade 2 (EMS98) except for two school buildings, which suffered damage up to grade 3. The building A of Anne Marc school (Fig. 2) is a two-storey building with reinforced concrete (RC) frames built in 1973 without earthquake-resistant design on ancient mangrove, sedimentary deposit prone to site effects (Guéguen et al., 2011). It exhibits a low lateral stiffness in the longitudinal direction and a soft story at the ground floor. After the earthquake, we observed cracks at the bottom of several columns of the ground floor as well as numbers of cracks in partition walls and falls of mortar (damage grade 3 EMS98).

102

Site 2. In La Trinité, the AFPA buildings (E and H) were strongly damaged. Both structures, built in the 1970s without earthquake-resistant design, were studied but this paper focuses on building E. It is a two-storey building with RC frames and a soft ground floor (Fig. 2). This building is divided by thin filled joints into 4 L-shaped blocks, sensitive to torsion due to the eccentricity of the rigidities. Moreover, infill brick walls are not symmetrically distributed. It suffered slight structural damage (small cracks in columns at the ground floor) and moderate non-structural damage (large cracks in partition walls). According to soil studies during the construction, these buildings are founded on sedimentarydeposits.

111

Site 3. The hospital of La Trinité is a RC infilled frames structure built in 1974. Excluding low-rise aisles, three high-rise blocks (called A, B and C) are respectively 9, 8 and 7 stories above the ground level and separated by 5 cm joints filled by Styrofoam (Fig. 2). After the earthquake, small cracks appeared in the structural system, larger cracks and plaster falls in the infill walls and false ceiling pieces fell down, associated to moderate damage (grade 2).

- 117
- 118

Figure 2

119 EXPERIMENTS, PROCESSING AND RESULTS

120 Ambient vibration recordings in free field and in structures were at least 15 min. long with 121 seismometers (Lennartz 3D 5s and LITE) and a 24-bits Cityshark digitizer (Chatelain et al., 2000) at a 122 sampling frequency of 150 Hz to 200 Hz. The N component of sensors, were oriented in one of the 123 main direction of the studied building. The free-field recordings were analyzed using Horizontal to 124 Vertical Noise Spectral Ratio (HVNSR) method where the Fourier Transforms of at least 30 s windows 125 selected with an anti-triggering STA/LTA (Short Term Averaging, Long Term Averaging) algorithm are 126 averaged and smoothed following Konno and Ohmachi (1998) procedure (b=40). The HVNSR is given by the ratio of the quadratic mean of the horizontal spectra by the vertical one and interpreted 127 128 following the SESAME project recommendations (Bard, 2004). If the SESAME criteria are fulfilled, the 129 frequency of the peak is likely to be related to the fundamental frequency of the site.

130 Depending on the importance of the building, on the complexity of the structure and on the severity of 131 the damages, one must adapt the experimental procedure. Ambient vibrations in buildings were 132 recorded with one or two sensors simultaneously. Several processing techniques were used 133 depending on the number and position of the recording points. For single station recordings at the building top, the Power Spectral Density (PSD) spectra have been estimated (square of the Fourier 134 135 Transform amplitude) using the same procedure as for the ground without smoothing. Interpretation of these spectra in terms of building dynamic properties may be ambiguous and were done with caution. 136 137 For simultaneous recordings at different points, the Frequency Domain Decomposition (FDD, Brincker, 138 2001) is used as in Michel et al. (2010a). Peaks in the first singular values can be interpreted as 139 resonance frequencies and singular vectors as modal shapes. The knowledge of modal shapes is 140 crucial for the interpretation of structural modes, but their quality depends on the number and position 141 of recording points.

For both ground and structure, the resonance frequencies obtained from ambient vibration recordings
are valid for low strains. During strong motion, nonlinear response of the soil (e.g. Régnier et al.,

144 2012), the building (e.g. Michel and Guéguen, 2010) and the soil-structure interaction can temporarily

- make the observed natural frequencies shifting to lower frequencies. Nonetheless, Puglia et al. (2011)
- showed that the frequencies variations due to nonlinear soil behavior were not relevant (during the
- 147 l'Aquila earthquake for which acceleration up to 0.7 g were recorded) from building design standpoint.
- 148 In this article, we study the link between damage and the similarity in the natural linear frequencies of
- 149 the soil and the structure.
- 150

In the Anne Marc School (site 1), both soil and structure recordings were performed to evaluate and compare the soil and structure responses. The analysis of the recordings (Fig. 3) shows that the peak frequency of the HVNSR in free field (1.75 Hz) and the first peaks of the PSD in the structure in both directions (1.6 and 1.8 Hz in the longitudinal and transverse directions, respectively) are very close. It indicates that the structure is sensitive at low strain to the 1D resonance frequency of the soil and, thus, that a resonance between soil and structure eventually occurred during the Martinique earthquake, inducing higher damage.

- 158
- 159 160

Figure 3

In the AFPA building (site 2), the same procedure was followed but with more recording points. Freefield recordings were performed at different ground levels (S1, 3 m from the building at the same level, S2, 15 m from the building downhill, Fig. 2). Frequency peaks are clearly observed at 2.4 and 2.8 Hz in the HVNSR for S1 and S2, respectively (Fig. 4), the difference of the frequencies being certainly due to the variation of the deposit thickness.

In three of the 4 L-shaped blocks of the building (named L1, L2 and L3), we recorded ambient 166 167 vibrations simultaneously at the ground floor, the first and the second stories. The chosen sensor placement, however, did not allow to fully understand the dynamic behaviour of the building. The 168 169 fundamental modes appear between 2.7 and 4.3 Hz and include bending and torsion. These modes are guite close to the fundamental frequency of the ground found previously (2.4 to 2.8 Hz). However, 170 171 the other AFPA building (building H), not detailed here, has higher resonance frequencies (3.5 to 4.5 172 Hz) and was therefore less prone to resonate with the ground but was more damaged than building E (damage grade 3) also with typical damage due to torsion. In this case, the design of the structure 173 174 (lack of symmetry in the load bearing system) was therefore probably the main cause of damage 175 during the earthquake.

176

- 177
- 178
- 179

Figure 4

Finally, in the hospital building (site 3), full-scale ambient vibration recordings have been performed several months before the event. After the Martinique earthquake, we recorded ambient vibrations in the building to analyse the evolution of its dynamic behaviour related to damage.

As illustrated in Fig.5, the soil at his site is prone to site effect with a clear peak at 2.4 Hz. The Fourier transform of the recordings at the top of the block A shows that the building resonance frequencies are close to the HVNSR peak around 2.5 Hz.

186 187

Figure 5

188

Data recorded in 93 points of the structure before the earthquake has been reprocessed using FDD technique (Brincker et al., 2001) (Fig. 6). In this dataset, two close clear peaks carried by the 2 first singular values indicate the presence of 2 modes around 2.5 Hz. The first mode at 2.45±0.03 Hz is the first longitudinal bending mode of the whole building (Fig. 6). The second mode at 2.56±0.03 Hz is the first transverse bending mode of the structure. The modal shape indicates that these modes are partly coupled to torsion but with differences for each block. The amplitudes of the higher modes are lower and are not detailed here.

- 196
- 197 198

Figure 6

199 The PSD of the ambient vibration recordings in the structure at the same position before and after the 200 earthquake have been calculated (Fig. 7). Assuming only a moderate frequency decrease, the 201 knowledge of the pre-earthquake structural behaviour allows interpreting the peaks of the post-202 earthquake recordings. The first longitudinal mode has shifted from 2.45±0.03 Hz to 2.00±0.05 Hz, i.e. 203 18±4% frequency drop. Moreover, the first transverse mode has shifted from 2.56±0.03 Hz to 204 2.15±0.05 Hz, i.e. 16±4% frequency drop. Dunand et al. (2004) already used this technique at a larger 205 scale after the Mw=6.8 Boumerdes, Algeria earthquake (May 21, 2003) and suggests a value of 40% 206 frequency drop as a limit for the building to be impossible to retrofit (difference between orange and 207 red classification). The observed damage is therefore noticeable but not critical as denoted by the 208 assigned damage grade 2 EMS. However, such comparisons are still lacking in the literature to 209 propose a relationship between frequency drop and damage grade.

Ambient vibration recordings in free field were as well performed before and after the earthquake. The soil fundamental frequency at 2.4 Hz is found to be the same. The resonance of the building before the earthquake (2.45 Hz for the first mode) is very close from the soil fundamental frequency. Resonance between the soil and the building response increased the seismic demand of the structure, which explains most probably the damage.

- 215
- 216

218 CONCLUSIONS

Through these examples, we illustrated how to use ambient vibration recordings in soil and structure in post seismic survey. This approach helps to understand the possible causes of damaged zones distribution. Moreover, ambient vibrations recordings are low cost and can be rapidly set up after an earthquake.

With soil recordings, we investigated the possibility of soil to be prone to site effect. Link with damage is however not straightforward: site effect only increases the seismic demand around the soil resonance frequency. However, using both soil and structure recordings, the sensitivity of the structure to the 1D linear soil resonance can be checked. Thus, conclusions can be made on the possibility of having a resonance between soil and structure, which increases the seismic demand in the building and can induce higher damage.

In the three study-sites, the free field ambient vibration recordings indicate the occurrence of site effects. We found similarities between soil and structures resonance frequencies. It appears that resonance played a role in damage distribution.

- In the La Trinité hospital, the fundamental frequency suffered a shift of 15-20% during the earthquake.
 Besides, the permanent frequency shift was related to a loss of stiffness of the structure that can be
 associated to a damage grade 2 EMS 98. To analyse temporary frequency shift, structure permanent
 monitoring is necessary.
- 236

According to this case study, we can make some recommendations for the use of these recordings in post seismic survey. These recommendations should be adapted to the building importance, damage level and the objectives of the recordings. In our experience, such post-seismic survey should be focused on important buildings (importance class III and IV in Eurocode 8).

241

Objective 1: Looking at potential concordance between soil and structure frequencies. In this
 case only one recording at the top of the structure and one on the free field (in the same
 geological context as the soil under the structure) are sufficient. Such measurements are
 interesting to understand the sources of damage. Analysis of such measurements could be
 used as one support (among others) to make decision on whether the building should be
 retrofitted (so as the resonance frequency of the building is different from the soil one).

248

Objective 2: Having the modal shape associated to the predominant frequency. It requires simultaneous recordings at different storeys of the building. Such information could be very useful to constrain the numerical simulation of the dynamic behaviour of the structure and to test retrofitted solutions. Besides it can also be used to evaluate the evolution of the damaged structure behaviour during the aftershock sequences.

- Objective 3: Evaluate the stiffness loss of the structure and evaluate damage grade. It
 requires recordings at the top of the building before and after the earthquake. It is very useful
 in crisis management and is a support to emergency diagnosis of the building and visual
 screening of damages state. It is a quantitative measurement that is complementary to
 expert advises. Such measurements should be performed for high stake buildings of class IV
 in Eurocode 8.
- 261

For risk mitigation and to anticipate post earthquake crisis management, recordings of ambient vibrations should be performed in structures of high importance. Although permanent monitoring has a heavy cost, it should be considered for a small number of typical buildings.

265

266 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the ANR national research agency as part of its RiskNat program (URBASIS project, no. ANR-09-RISK-009). The authors thank Bertrand Pilot and Thierry Vassail (Bureau Veritas) who provided the pre-earthquake recordings in site 3.

271 **REFERENCES**

Anderson, J.G., Bodin, P., Brune, J.N., Prince, J., Singh, S.K., Quaas R., and M. Onate. 1986. Strong
ground motion from the Michoacan, Mexico, earthquake. Science, 233. no. 4768. 1043 – 1049.

Bard P.Y. and SESAME participants (2004). Guidelines for the implementation of the H/V spectral
ratio technique on ambient vibrations: Measurement, processing and interpretation.
http://sesame-fp5.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr.

- Brincker R., L. Zhang, P. Andersen (2001), Modal identification of output-only systems using
 Frequency Domain Decomposition, *Smart Mater. Struct.* 10:441-445.
- 279 Carden PE, Fanning P (2004) Vibration based condition monitoring: a review. Struct Health Monit 3(4):
 280 355–377.
- Chatelain J.L., Guéguen P., Guillier B., Fréchet J., Bondoux F., Sarrault J., Sulpice P. and Neuville
 J.M. 2000, Cityshark: A user-friendly instrument dedicated to ambient noise (microtremor)
 recording for site and building response studies. Seismological Research Letters, 71(6): 698–
 703.
- Chatelain J.L., Guillier B. 2008. False Site Effects: The Anjar Case, following the 2001 Bhuj (India)
 Earthquake. Seismological Research Letters, Vol. 79; n°. 6; 816-819.
- 287 Clough, RW. and Penzien, J. 1993. Dynamics of structures. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Dunand F., Ait Meziane, Y., Guéguen, P., Chatelain, J.L., Guillier, B., Ben Salem, R., Hadid, M.,
 Hellel, M., Kiboua, A., Laouami, N., Machane, D., Mezouer, N., Nour, A., Oubaiche, E.H.,
 Remas, A. 2004. Utilisation du bruit de fond pour l'analyse des dommages des bâtiments de
 Boumerdès suite au séisme du 21 mai 2003, Mém. Serv. Géol. Alg., 12(177-191).
- Duval A.-M., Bertrand, E., Vidal, S. (2006). Combined survey of site effects and damage in Les
 Saintes, Guadeloupe. Third international symposium on the effects of surface geology on
 seismic motion. Grenoble.
- Gallipoli M.R., Mucciarelli, M., Castroc, R.R., Monachesi, G., Contrie, P. 2004. Structure, soil –
 structure response and effects of damage based on observations of horizontal-to-vertical
 spectral ratios of microtremors, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 24, 487–495.
- Gosar A. and Martinec, M. 2009. Microtremor HVSR Study of Site Effects in the Ilirska Bistrica Town
 Area (S.Slovenia), Journal of Earthquake Engineering 13 :1, 50-67.
- Grünthal G., Musson, R.M.W., Schwarz, J., Stucchi, M. 1998. European Macroseismic Scale 1998,
 EMS-98, Luxembourg.

- Guéguen, P. Langlais, M., Foray, P., Rousseau, C. and Maury, J. (2011). A Natural Seismic Isolating
 System: The Buried Mangrove Effects. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 101(3),
 pp.1073–1080.
- Guéguen P., Chatelain J.-L., Guillier B., Yepes H., Egred J. 1998. Site effect and damage distribution
 in Pujili (Ecuador) after the 28 March 1996 earthquake, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake
 Engineering, 17, pp. 329-334.
- Guéguen P. (2012). Experimental analysis of the seismic response of one base-isolation building
 according to different levels of shaking: example of the Martinique earthquake (2007/11/29) Mw
 7.3. Bulletin of earthquake engineering. 10(4), pp.1285-1298
- Konno K. and Ohmachi, T. 1998. Ground motion characteristics estimated from spectral ratio between
 horizontal and vertical components of microtremor. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of
 America, 88 (1), 228–241.
- Michel C., Guéguen P., 2010. "Time–Frequency Analysis of Small Frequency Variations in Civil
 Engineering Structures Under Weak and Strong Motions Using a Reassignment Method",
 Structural Health Monitoring, 9(2), 159-171.
- Michel C., Guéguen P., El Arem S., Mazars J., Kotronis P. 2010a. Full scale dynamic response of a
 RC building under weak seismic motions using earthquake recordings, ambient vibrations and
 modelling. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 39(4) :419-441.
- Michel C., Guéguen P., Lestuzzi. P., 2010b "Comparison between seismic vulnerability models and
 experimental dynamic properties of existing buildings in France", *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 8(6), 1295-1307.
- Mucciarelli M., Monachesi, G. 1998. A quick survey of local amplifications and their correlation with
 damage observed during the Umbro-Marchesan (Italy) earthquake of September 26, 1997,
 Journal of Earthquake Engineering 2: 2, 325-337.
- Mucciarelli M., Monachesi, G. 1999. The Bovec (Slovenia) earthquake, April 1998: Preliminary
 quantitative association among damage, ground motion amplification and building frequencies.
 Journal of Earthquake Engineering 3:3. 317-327.
- Mucciarelli, M., Bianca, M., Ditommaso, R., Gallipoli. M.R. and Masi, A., (2010). Far field damage on
 RC buildings: the case study of Navelli during the L'Aquila (Italy) seismic sequence, 2009.
 Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 9(1), pp.263–283.
- Péquegnat, C., Guéguen, P., Hatzfeld, D., Langlais, M. 2008. The French Accelerometric Network
 (RAP) and National Data Centre (RAP-NDC). Seismological Research Letters, 79(1), 79-89.

- Puglia, R., Ditommaso, R., Pacor, F., Mucciarelli, M., Luzi, L., and Bianca, M. (2011) Frequency
 variation in site response as observed from strong motion data of the L'Aquila (2009) seismic
 sequence
- Régnier, J. Cadet, H., Bonilla, F.-L., Bertrand, E., Semblat, J.-F. (2013) Assessing nonlinear behavior
 of soils in seismic site response: Statistical analysis on KiK-net strong motion data. Bulletin of
 Seismological Society of America, in press.
- Schlupp A., Sira, C., Cara, M., Bazin, S., Michel, C., Régnier, J., Beauval, C., Feuillet, N., De
 Chabalier, J.-B., Barras, A.-V., Auclair, S., Bouin, M.-P., Duclos, C., Granet, M. 2008. Séisme
 de Martinique du 29 novembre 2007, rapport du BCSF: synthèse sismologique et étude
 macrosismique, BCSF2008-R1, 132 p., 266 figures, 3 tableaux, 5 annexes. In French
- Tertulliani, A., Leschiutta, I., Bordoni, P., Milana, G. (2012). Damage Distribution in L'Aquila City
 (Central Italy) during the 6 April 2009 Earthquake. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of
 America, 102(4), pp.1543–1553.
- Trifunac M.D. and Todorovska, M.I. 2000. Long period microtremors, microseisms and earthquake
 damage: Northridge, CA, earthquake of 17 January 1994. Soil Dynamic and Earthquake
 Engineering 19:4. 253-267.
- Theodoulidis N., Cultrera, G., De Rubeis, V., Cara, F., Panou, A., Pagani, M. and Teves-Costa, P.
 2008. Correlation between damage distribution and ambient noise H/V spectral ratio: the
 SESAME project results. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineeringl 6. 109-140.

353 **FIGURES**



354

Figure 1 Map of the macro seismic intensity at the Martinique island after the 28th November 2007 355 earthquake. The circles indicate the position of the RAP stations that recorded the earthquake (size 356 scale is function of the maximal PGA on the three components in cm/s²), and location of the sites that 357 358 were studied.



Hospital (Trinité)

359 360

Figure 2: (a) Site 1- Location of the recordings performed at the Anne-Marc school in Le François. The 361 362 building ambient vibration recording is performed at the second floor half length. (b) Site 2- AFPA 363 Bulding E at the Trinité district. (c) Site 3 - The La Trinité Hospital site (aerial view) with study-blocks A, B and C. The Sensors were oriented in the transverse direction of the buildings (the N component 364 365 of the sensors is called Ns)

366



Figure 3: Site 1 – Top: Normalized Power Spectral Density of the recordings in the structure (solid lines) and the recordings in the soil (dashed lines) in the 3 directions East (E) North (N) and Vertical (Z). Bottom: HVNSR of the free field recording (mean, 16 and 84 percentiles).



Figure 4: Site 2 - Top: Normalized Power Spectral Density of the recordings in the structure (solid lines) and the recordings in the soil (dashed lines) in the 3 directions East (E) North (N) and Vertical (Z). Bottom: HVNSR of the free field recording (mean, 16 and 84 percentiles).



Figure 5: Site 3 - Top: Normalized Power Spectral Density of the recordings in the structure (solid lines) and the recordings in the soil (dashed lines) in the 3 directions East (E) North (N) and Vertical (Z) of the recording at the top of the block A. Bottom: HVNSR of the free field recording the mean, 16 and 84 percentiles.



370





Figure 6: Site 3 - Pre-earthquake modal analysis results. a) FDD spectrum, b) Modal shapes of the
 first transverse and longitudinal modes at 2.56 and 2.45 Hz, respectively.



Figure 7: Site 3 – Pre- and post-earthquake PSD spectra in block A in the longitudinal (left) and transverse (right) directions.