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# STOCHASTIC FLOWS AND AN INTERFACE SDE ON METRIC GRAPHS 

Hatem $\operatorname{Hajri}^{(1)}$ and Olivier Raimond ${ }^{(2)}$

Abstract
We study a stochastic differential equation (SDE) driven by a finite family of independent white noises on a star graph, each of these white noises driving the SDE on a ray of the graph. This equation extends the perturbed Tanaka's equation recently studied by Prokaj [14] and Le Jan-Raimond [9] among others. We prove that there exists a coalescing stochastic flow of mappings solution of this equation. This flow is unique in law and is coalescing. Our proofs involve the study of a reflected Brownian motion in the two dimensional quadrant obliquely reflected at the boundary, with time dependent reflections. Filtering this flow solution of the SDE with respect to the family of white noises yields a Wiener stochastic flow of kernels also solution of this SDE. This Wiener soltution is also unique. Moreover, if $N$ denotes the number of rays in the star graph, the Wiener solution and the coalescing solution coincide if and only if $N=2$. When $N \geq 3$, the problem of classifying all solutions is left open. Finally, we define an extension of this equation on a general metric graph with finite sets of vertices and edges to which we apply our previous results [7]. As a consequence, we get a flow of mappings and a Wiener flow solutions for this SDE.

## 1. Introduction and main results

In [14], Prokaj proved that pathwise uniqueness holds for the perturbed Tanaka's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}^{1}+\lambda d W_{t}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$ where $W^{1}$ and $W^{2}$ are two independent Brownian motions. When $\lambda=1$, after rescaling, setting $W^{+}=\frac{W^{1}+W^{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $W^{-}=\frac{W^{2}-W^{1}}{\sqrt{2}}$, (1) rewrites

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=1_{\left\{X_{t}>0\right\}} d W_{t}^{+}+1_{\left\{X_{t} \leq 0\right\}} d W_{t}^{-} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Using different techniques, the same result in the case of (2) has been obtained also by Le Jan and Raimond [9] (see also [4, 12]) and they proved in addition that (2) generates a stochastic coalescing flow. Intuitively, a solution to (2) is a Brownian motion that follows $W^{+}$on its positive excursions and that follows $W^{-}$on its negative excursions. In this paper, we consider first the analogous problem on a star graph (by a star graph, we mean a metric space consisting of a finite number of pieces of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$in which all origins are identified) and then extend this framework to more general metric graphs.

### 1.1. Notations.

- In all this paper, we fix $N \geq 2$ and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}=1$ and let $G$ be a star graph with origin denoted by 0 and $N$ edges $\left(E_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. Then $G$ is such that $E_{i} \cap E_{j}=\{0\}$ if $i \neq j$ and such that for each $i, E_{i}$ is isometric to $[0, \infty[$ via a mapping $e_{i}:\left[0, \infty\left[\rightarrow E_{i}\right.\right.$. Define $\sim$ the equivalence relation on $G$ by $x \sim y$ if there exists $i$ such that $x$ and $y$ both belong to $E_{i}$, and when it is not the case, we use the notation $x \nsim y$. Let $d$ be the metric on $G$ such that if $x=e_{i}(r)$ then $|x|:=d(x, 0)=r$, if $x \sim y$ then $d(x, y)=||y|-|x||$ and if $x \nsim y, d(x, y)=|x|+|y|$. We equip $G$ with its Borel $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and set $G^{*}=G \backslash\{0\}$. For each $i$, set $E_{i}^{*}=E_{i} \backslash\{0\}$.

We denote by $C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$ the set of all continuous functions $f$ : $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $i \in[1, n], f \circ e_{i}$ is $C^{2}$ on $] 0, \infty[$ with bounded first and second derivatives both with finite limits at 0 . For $f \in C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$ and $x=e_{i}(r) \in G^{*}$, set $f^{\prime}(x)=\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r)$, $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r)$. When $x=0$ define $f^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}(f \circ$ $\left.e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(0+)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0+)$. Set

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{f \in C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right): f^{\prime}(0)=0\right\} .
$$

- The two-dimensional quadrant is the set $\mathcal{Q}:=\left[0, \infty\left[^{2}\right.\right.$. Its boundary is denoted by $\partial \mathcal{Q}:=\partial_{1} \mathcal{Q} \cup \partial_{2} \mathcal{Q}$, where $\partial_{1} \mathcal{Q}=[0, \infty[\times\{0\}$ and $\partial_{2} \mathcal{Q}=\{0\} \times\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$. We also set $\mathcal{Q}^{*}=\mathcal{Q} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.
- For $X$ a continuous semimartingale, we will denote by $L_{t}(X)$ its symmetric local time process at 0 , i.e.

$$
L_{t}(X)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{\left|X_{s}\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}} d\langle X\rangle_{s} .
$$

- For a family of random variables $Z=\left(Z_{s, t}\right)_{s \leq t}$ and a process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, we will use the usual notations
$\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{Z}=\sigma\left(Z_{u, v}, s \leq u \leq v \leq t\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}=\sigma\left(X_{u}, 0 \leq u \leq t\right)$.
- A filtration generated by a finite or infinite family of independent Brownian motions will be called a Brownian filtration.
- The Walsh's Brownian motion on $G$ is the Feller diffusion defined via its Feller semigroup $\left(P_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ as in [1]: Let $\left(T_{t}^{+}, t \geq 0\right)$ be the semigroup of reflecting Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and let $\left(T_{t}^{0}, t \geq 0\right)$ be the semigroup of Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$killed at 0 , then for $f \in C_{0}(G)$ and $x \in E_{i}$, denoting $f_{j}(r)=f \circ e_{j}(r)$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $\bar{f}(r)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j} f_{j}$,

$$
P_{t} f(x)=T_{t}^{+} \bar{f}(|x|)+T_{t}^{0}\left(f_{i}-\bar{f}\right)(|x|) .
$$

- For a filtration $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t}, X$ is a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion if it is adapted to $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$ and if given $\mathcal{G}_{t},\left(X_{t+s}, s \geq 0\right)$ is a Walsh's Brownian motion started at $X_{t}$.
1.2. The interface SDE on a star graph. Our main interest in this paper is the following SDE, we call the interface SDE, which is the natural extension of (2) to star graphs.

Definition 1.1. A solution of the interface $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$ on a star graph $G$ is a pair $(X, W)$ of processes defined on a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ such that
(i) $W=\left(W^{1}, \ldots, W^{N}\right)$ is a standard $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(ii) $X$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-adapted continuous process in $G$;
(iii) For all $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(X_{t}\right)=f\left(X_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d W_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will say it is a strong solution if $X$ is adapted to the filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}\right)_{t}$.

Note that it can easily be seen (by choosing for each $i$ a function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $f(x)=|x|$ if $x \in E_{i}$ ) that on $E_{i}$, away from $0, X$ follows the Brownian motion $W^{i}$. Our first result is the following
Theorem 1.2. For all $x \in G$,
(i) There exists a solution $(X, W)$ with $X_{0}=x$, unique in law, of the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$. Moreover $X$ is a Walsh's Brownian motion.
(ii) The solution of the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$ is a strong solution, if and only if $N=2$.

To prove (ii), when $N=2$, we will prove that pathwise uniqueness holds for $(E)$. Then, this implies that the solution $(X, W)$ is a strong one. The fact that when $N \geq 3,(X, W)$ is not a strong solution is a
consequence of a result of Tsirelson [15] (see Theorem 3.6 below) which states that if $N \geq 3$, there does not exist any $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion on $G$ with $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ a Brownian filtration (see also [3]).

When $N=2$, one can assume $\left.\left.G=\mathbb{R}, E_{1}=\right]-\infty, 0\right]$ and $E_{2}=[0, \infty[$. Applying Itô-Tanaka's formula (or Theorem 3.1 below), we see that $(E)$ is equivalent to the skew Brownian motion version of (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=1_{\left\{X_{t}>0\right\}} d W_{t}^{+}+1_{\left\{X_{t} \leq 0\right\}} d W_{t}^{-}+(2 p-1) d L_{t}(X) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=p_{1}$ (note that when $p=1 / 2$, (2) and (4) coincide).
In this paper a stochastic flow of mappings as defined by Le Jan and Raimond [10] will be called a SFM. We will be interested in SFM's solving $(E)$ in the following sense.

Definition 1.3. On a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\mathcal{W}=\left(W^{i}, 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq N$ ) be a family of independent real white noises (see Definition 1.10 in [10]) and $\varphi$ be a SFM on $G$. We say that $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$ if for all $s \leq t, f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in G$, a.s.
$f\left(\varphi_{s, t}(x)\right)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{s}^{t}\left(1_{E_{i}} f^{\prime}\right)\left(\varphi_{s, u}(x)\right) d W_{u}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{s, u}(x)\right) d u$.
We will say it is a Wiener solution if for all $s \leq t, \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{V}}$.
It will be shown that as soon as $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi}$ for all $s \leq t$ and thus we may just say $\varphi$ solves $(E)$. Note that when $\varphi$ is a Wiener solution, then $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi}=\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}}$ for all $s \leq t$.

Our main result is the following
Theorem 1.4. (i) There exists a SFM $\varphi$ solution of $(E)$. This solution is unique in law.
(ii) The $S F M \varphi$ is coalescing in the sense that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x, y) \in G^{2}$, a.s.,

$$
T_{s}(x, y)=\inf \left\{t \geq s: \varphi_{s, t}(x)=\varphi_{s, t}(y)\right\}<\infty
$$

and $\varphi_{s, t}(x)=\varphi_{s, t}(y)$ for all $t \geq T_{s}(x, y)$.
(iii) The $S F M \varphi$ is a Wiener solution if and only if $N=2$.

Note that (iii) in Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of (ii) in Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi$ be a SFM on $G$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be a family of independent white noises such that $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ is a solution to $(E)$. As $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi}$, Lemma 3.2 in [10] ensures that there exists a stochastic flow of kernels $K^{\mathcal{W}}$ (see [10] for the definition) such that : for all $s \leq t, x \in G$, a.s.

$$
K_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}}(x)=E\left[\delta_{\varphi_{s, t}(x)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}}\right] .
$$

A stochastic flow of kernels will be denoted later on simply by SFK. We will also be interested on SFK's solving $(E)$ in the following sense.

Definition 1.5. Let $K$ be a SFK on $G$ and $\mathcal{W}=\left(W^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\right)$ be a family of independent real white noises. We say that $(K, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$ if for all $s \leq t, f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in G$, a.s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s, t} f(x)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{s}^{t} K_{s, u}\left(1_{E_{i}} f^{\prime}\right)(x) d W_{u}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} K_{s, u} f^{\prime \prime}(x) d u . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will say it is a Wiener solution if for all $s \leq t, \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{K} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}}$.
Since we also have that $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{W} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{K}$, we simply say that $K$ solves $(E)$. Note that when $K=\delta_{\varphi}$, then $K$ solves $(E)$ if and only if $\varphi$ also solves $(E)$. In this case, the SFK $K$ will be called a SFM. We have the following
Proposition 1.6. $K^{\mathcal{W}}$ is the unique (up to modification) Wiener solution of $(E)$.

We do not give a proof of this proposition here. This can be done following Proposition 8 in [6] where this result is proved when all the $W^{i}$ are equal, or following the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [9] where this result is proved in the case of (2).

A consequence of Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.4 (ii) is
Corollary 1.7. $K^{\mathcal{W}}$ is the only SFK solution of $(E)$ if and only if $N=2$.

Proof. When $N=2, \varphi$ is a Wiener solution of $(E)$. Suppose $(K, \mathcal{W})$ is another solution of $(E)$, then $\mathbb{E}[K \mid \mathcal{W}]$ is a Wiener solution of $(E)$. Since the Wiener solution is unique, for all $s \leq t$ and $x \in G$ a.s.

$$
\delta_{\varphi_{s, t}(x)}=E\left[K_{s, t}(x) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}}\right] .
$$

This yields that $\delta_{\varphi_{s, t}(x)}=K_{s, t}(x)$ a.s.
For $N \geq 3$, the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$ may have other SFK's solutions different from $\varphi$ and $K^{\mathcal{W}}$. The problem of a complete classification of the laws of all these flows is left open.
1.3. Brownian motion with oblique reflection. To prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 we shall study a Brownian motion in the two dimensional quadrant, obliquely reflected at the boundary and with time dependent angles of reflections. We now give an application of our methods to the obliquely reflected Brownian motion defined by Varadhan and Williams in [16].

Fix $\left.\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in\right] 0, \frac{\pi}{2}\left[\right.$ and $x>0$. Let $\left(B^{1}, B^{2}\right)$ be a two dimensional Brownian motion and $(X, Y)$ be the reflected Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}$ started from $(x, 0)$ with angles of reflections on $\partial_{1} \mathcal{Q}$ and on on $\partial_{2} \mathcal{Q}$ respectively given by $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, and killed at time $\sigma_{0}$, the hitting time of $(0,0)$. More precisely, for $t<\sigma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d X_{t} & =d B_{t}^{1}-\tan \left(\theta_{1}\right) d L_{t}(X)+d L_{t}(Y), X_{0}=x \\
d Y_{t} & =d B_{t}^{2}+d L_{t}(X)-\tan \left(\theta_{2}\right) d L_{t}(Y), Y_{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $L_{t}=L_{t}(X)+L_{t}(Y)$ the local time accumulated at $\partial \mathcal{Q}$. Then it is known that $\sigma_{0}$ and $L_{\sigma_{0}}$ are finite (see [16] and [17]). Our next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $L_{\sigma_{0}}$ to be integrable with an explicit expression of its expectation.

Proposition 1.8. We have that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]<\infty \text { if and only if } \tan \left(\theta_{1}\right) \tan \left(\theta_{2}\right)>1 .
$$

In this case

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=\frac{x\left(\tan \left(\theta_{2}\right)+1\right)}{\tan \left(\theta_{1}\right) \tan \left(\theta_{2}\right)-1} .
$$

The assumptions on the wedge and the angles considered here are more suitable to our framework but our techniques may be applied to give an expression of $\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]$ in other situations.
1.4. Extension to oriented metric graphs. Instead of considering only star graphs, it is natural to define an analogous version of $(E)$ on more general metric graphs. Let $G$ be a metric graph (see section 2.1 [7] for the definition) and denote by $V$, the set of its vertices, and by $\left\{E_{i} ; i \in I\right\}$ the set of its edges. We suppose that $I$ and $V$ are finite. To each edge $E_{i}$, we associate an isometry $e_{i}: J_{i} \rightarrow \bar{E}_{i}$, with $J_{i}=\left[0, L_{i}\right]$ when $L_{i}<\infty$ and $J_{i}=[0, \infty)$ or $J_{i}=(-\infty, 0]$ when $L_{i}=\infty$. When $L_{i}<\infty$, denote $\left\{g_{i}, d_{i}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}(0), e_{i}\left(L_{i}\right)\right\}$. When $L_{i}=\infty$, denote $\left\{g_{i}, d_{i}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}(0), \infty\right\}$ when $J_{i}=[0, \infty)$ and $\left\{g_{i}, d_{i}\right\}=\left\{\infty, e_{i}(0)\right\}$ when $J_{i}=(-\infty, 0]$. For all $v \in V$, denote $I_{v}^{+}=\left\{i \in I ; g_{i}=v\right\}, I_{v}^{-}=\{i \in$ $\left.I ; d_{i}=v\right\}$ and $I_{v}=I_{v}^{+} \cup I_{v}^{-}$. To each $v \in V$ and $i \in I_{v}$, we associate a transmission parameter $p_{v}^{i}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I_{v}} p_{v}^{i}=1$. Let $G^{*}=G \backslash V$. We denote by $C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$ the set of all continuous functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $i \in I, f \circ e_{i}$ is $C^{2}$ on the interior of $J_{i}$ with bounded first and second derivatives both extendable by continuity to $J_{i}$ and such that for all $v \in V$

$$
\sum_{i \in I_{v}^{+}} p_{v}^{i} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0+}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r)
$$

$$
=\sum_{i \in I_{v}^{-}} p_{v}^{i}\left(\lim _{r \rightarrow L_{i}-}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r) 1_{\left\{L_{i}<\infty\right\}}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 0-}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r) 1_{\left\{L_{i}=\infty\right\}}\right) .
$$

For $f \in C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$ and $x=e_{i}(r) \in G \backslash V$, set $f^{\prime}(x)=\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r), f^{\prime \prime}(x)=$ $\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r)$ and take the following conventions for all $v \in V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(v) & =\sum_{i \in I_{v}^{+}} p_{v}^{i}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(0+) \\
& -\sum_{i \in I_{v}^{-}} p_{v}^{i}\left(\lim _{r \rightarrow L_{i}-}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r) 1_{\left\{L_{i}<\infty\right\}}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 0-}\left(f \circ e_{i}\right)^{\prime}(r) 1_{\left\{L_{i}=\infty\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the analogous convention for $f^{\prime \prime}(v)$ by only replacing the first derivatives with the second ones. Finally set

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{f \in C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right): f^{\prime}(v)=0 \text { for all } v \in V\right\} .
$$

Definition 1.9. (Equation $(E)$ ) On a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\mathcal{W}=\left(W^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a real white noise and $K$ be a stochastic flow of kernels on $G$. We say that $(K, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$ if for all $s \leq t, f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in G$, a.s.

$$
K_{s, t} f(x)=f(x)+\sum_{i \in I} \int_{s}^{t} K_{s, u}\left(1_{E_{i}} f^{\prime}\right)(x) W^{i}(d u)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} K_{s, u} f^{\prime \prime}(x) d u
$$

Suppose there exists a SFM solution of $(E)$ on $G$, then before hitting two distinct vertices, the motion of any point under $\varphi$ is well described by Theorem 1.4 : it is governed by a SFM on a star graph. Then the problem reduces to well concatenate flows on star graphs to get a flow on $G$. Technically, this is not so easy and has been the subject of our previous work [7]. There, a general result is established and applies well here. We state now

Theorem 1.10. (1) There exists a SFM on $G$ solution of $(E)$.
(2) There exists a unique Wiener SFK $K^{\mathcal{W}}$ solution of $(E)$.

Proof. The proof of the existence of these flows is immediate from Theorem 3.2 in [7]. The unicity of the Wiener solution is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 in [7].

From Theorem 4.1 in [7], we can also deduce
Corollary 1.11. $K^{\mathcal{W}}=\delta_{\varphi}$ if and only if each vertex point on $G$ has at most two adjacent edges. This is also the only case when $(E)$ has a unique solution.
1.5. Outline of contents. Let us describe the content of this paper. In section 2, we study a variation of the obliquely reflected Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}$, where the angles of reflections depend on time and which is absorbed when it hits the corner. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4 (i) and (ii), using in particular the results of section 2 .

## 2. BROWNIAN MOTION IN THE QUADRANT WITH TIME DEPENDENT ANGLES OF REFLECTION

In this section, we study a variation of the obliquely reflected Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}$ where the angles of reflections depend on time and which is absorbed when it hits the corner. This process is defined in section 2.2. We will be interested in the following two questions:
(I) Is the hitting time $\sigma_{0}$ of $(0,0)$ finite a.s.?
(II) Is $L_{\sigma_{0}}$, the local time accumulated at $\partial \mathcal{Q}$ at time $\sigma_{0}$, finite a.s.?

In sections 2.3 and 2.4, we prove that, under some assumptions on the sequence of the angles of reflections, the answer to these two questions is positive. The tools used are a scaling property and a precise study, done in section 2.1, of an obliquely reflected Brownian motion on the quadrant started at $(x, 0)$, with $x>0$, and stopped when it hits $\{y=$ $0\}$. Finally in section 2.5 , we calculate $\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]$.

### 2.1. Brownian motion on the half-plane with oblique reflec-

 tion. We fix $\theta \in] 0, \pi / 2[$. Let $Z=(X, Y)$ be the process started from $(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$obliquely reflected at $\{y=0\}$, with angle of reflection given by $\theta$. More precisely,$$
\begin{aligned}
d X_{t} & =d B_{t}^{1}-\tan (\theta) d L_{t}, X_{0}=x \\
d Y_{t} & =d B_{t}^{2}+d L_{t}, Y_{0}=y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B^{1}$ and $B^{2}$ are two independent Brownian motions and $L_{t}$ is the local time at 0 of $Y$. Set $S=\inf \left\{s: X_{s}=0\right\}$. Denote by $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$ the law of $\left(Z_{t} ; t \leq S\right)$ when $y=0$ and $x>0$. Note that for all $t \leq S, Z_{t} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Observe that we have the following scaling property:

Proposition 2.1. For all $x>0$, if the law of $\left(Z_{t} ; t \leq S\right)$ is $\mathbb{P}_{1}^{\theta}$, then the law of $\left(x Z_{x^{-2} t} ; t \leq x^{2} S\right)$ is $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}, \arg (z), \mathcal{R}(z)$ and $\mathcal{I}(z)$ will denote respectively the argument, the real part and the imaginary part of $z$. Following [16], if $f$ is holomorphic on an open set $U$ containing $\mathcal{Q}^{*}$ such that $f(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $z \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$, then $\phi(x, y):=\mathcal{R}\left(f(x+i y) e^{-i \theta}\right)$ is harmonic on $U$.

## Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(\theta) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, 0)=0 \text { for } x>0, \text { where } v_{1}(\theta)=(-\tan (\theta), 1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the fact that $f$ is holomorphic with the condition $f(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $z \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ implies that $f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\left.z \in\right] 0, \infty[$. Thus

$$
\nabla \phi(x, 0)=\left(\mathcal{R}\left(f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i \theta}\right), \mathcal{R}\left(i f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i \theta}\right)\right)=f^{\prime}(x)(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta))
$$

and (6) follows. These properties imply in particular that $\left(\phi\left(Z_{t \wedge S}\right)\right)_{t}$ is a local martingale. For $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(z)=z^{b}$ the function $\phi$ defined above will be denoted $\phi_{b}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\left(Z_{t} ; t \leq S\right)$ be a process of law $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$.
(i) If $0<b<1+2 \theta / \pi$, then for all $a>x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|>a\right) \leq c_{b}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{b},
$$

where $c_{b}=1$ if $b \pi / 2 \leq \theta$ and $c_{b}=\cos (\theta) / \cos (b \pi / 2-\theta)$ otherwise.
(ii) If $0<b<1-2 \theta / \pi$, then for all $a<x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\inf _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|<a\right) \leq c_{b}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{b}
$$

where $c_{b}=\cos (\theta) / \cos (b \pi / 2+\theta)$.
Proof. Using the scaling property we may take $x=1$. For $a \geq 0$, set $\sigma_{a}=\inf \left\{t:\left|Z_{t}\right|=a\right\}$. Recall that for all $b \in \mathbb{R},\left(\phi_{b}\left(Z_{t \wedge S}\right)\right)_{t}$ is a local martingale.

Proof of (i): Fix $a>1$ and $0<b<1+2 \theta / \pi$. For $c_{b}^{0}=\inf \{\cos (\theta), \cos (b \pi / 2-$ $\theta)\}$ and $t \leq S$, we have

$$
c_{b}^{0}\left|Z_{t}\right|^{b} \leq \phi_{b}\left(Z_{t}\right) \leq\left|Z_{t}\right|^{b} .
$$

Moreover

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|>a\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{a}<S\right) .
$$

By the martingale property, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\cos (\theta)=\phi_{b}(1)=\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{b}\left(Z_{t \wedge \sigma_{a} \wedge S}\right)\right]
$$

which is larger than

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{b}\left(Z_{t \wedge \sigma_{a}}\right) 1_{\left\{\sigma_{a}<S\right\}}\right] .
$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, this last term converges using dominated convergence to

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{b}\left(Z_{\sigma_{a}}\right) 1_{\left\{\sigma_{a}<S\right\}}\right] \geq c_{b}^{0} a^{b} \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{a}<S\right) .
$$

This easily implies (i).

The proof of (ii) is similar: Fix $a<1$ and $0<b<1-2 \theta / \pi$. For $c_{b}^{1}=\cos (b \pi / 2+\theta)$ and $t \leq S$,

$$
c_{b}^{1}\left|Z_{t}\right|^{-b} \leq \phi_{-b}\left(Z_{t}\right) \leq\left|Z_{t}\right|^{-b} .
$$

We also have that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\inf _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|<a\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{a}<S\right) .
$$

By the martingale property, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\cos (\theta)=\phi_{-b}(1)=\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{-b}\left(Z_{t \wedge \sigma_{a} \wedge S}\right)\right]
$$

which is larger than

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{-b}\left(Z_{t \wedge \sigma_{a}}\right) 1_{\left\{\sigma_{a}<S\right\}}\right]
$$

and this converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{-b}\left(Z_{\sigma_{a}}\right) 1_{\left\{\sigma_{a}<S\right\}}\right] \geq c_{b}^{1} a^{-b} \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{a}<S\right) .
$$

This easily implies (ii).
Corollary 2.3. Let $\left(Z_{s} ; s \leq S\right)$ be distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$. If $-1+2 \theta / \pi<$ $b<1+2 \theta / \pi$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{b}\right)<\infty .
$$

Proof. To simplify, assume $x=1$. For $b \in] 0,1+2 \theta / \pi\left[\right.$, let $\left.b^{\prime} \in\right] b, 1+$ $2 \theta / \pi[$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{b}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|>a^{1 / b}\right] d a \\
& \leq 1+c_{b} \int_{1}^{\infty} a^{-b^{\prime} / b} d a<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $b \in]-1+2 \theta / \pi, 0\left[\right.$, let $\left.b^{\prime} \in\right]-1+2 \theta / \pi, b[$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{b}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\inf _{s \leq S}\left|Z_{s}\right|<a^{1 / b}\right] d a \\
& \leq 1+c_{b} \int_{1}^{\infty} a^{-b^{\prime} / b} d a<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $\left(Z_{s} ; s \leq S\right)$ be distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$. Let $f$ be an holomorphic function on an open set containing $\mathcal{Q}^{*}$ such that $f(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $z \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$. Assume there exists $\left.C>0, b_{+} \in\right] 0,1+2 \theta / \pi[$ and $\left.b_{-} \in\right] 0,1-2 \theta / \pi[$ with

$$
|f(z)| \leq C\left(|z|^{-b_{-}}+|z|^{b_{+}}\right) \text {for all } z \in \mathcal{Q}^{*} .
$$

then setting $\phi(x, y)=\mathcal{R}\left(f(x+i y) e^{-i \theta}\right)$, we have

$$
E\left[\phi\left(i Y_{S}\right)\right]=\cos (\theta) f(x)
$$

Proof. Recall that $\left(\phi\left(Z_{t \wedge S}\right)\right)_{t}$ is a local martingale (stopped at time $S$ ). Using Corollary 2.3, it is a uniformly integrable martingale. And we conclude using the martingale property.

Note that the functions $f(z)=z^{b}$, for $\left.b \in\right]-1+2 \theta / \pi, 1+2 \theta / \pi[$, $f(z)=\log (z)^{\ell}$ for $\ell>0$ satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 2.4.
Corollary 2.5. Let $\left(Z_{s} ; s \leq S\right)$ be distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$. Then

- $E\left[Y_{S}^{b}\right]=x^{b} \frac{\cos (\theta)}{\cos (\theta-b \pi / 2)}$ for $\left.b \in\right]-1+2 \theta / \pi, 1+2 \theta / \pi[$,
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\log \left(Y_{S}\right)\right]=\log (x)-\frac{\pi}{2} \tan (\theta)$,
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\log \left(x^{-1} Y_{S}\right)\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left(1+2 \tan ^{2}(\theta)\right)$.

Proof. The calculation of $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{S}^{b}\right]$ is immediate. Using the scaling property one only needs to do the next calculations when $x=1$. Now, for all $\ell>0$ and $x=1$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\left(\log \left(Y_{S}\right)+i \pi / 2\right)^{\ell} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]=0
$$

Applying this identity for $\ell=1$, we get the value of $\mathbb{E}\left[\log \left(Y_{S}\right)\right]$. For $\ell=2$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\log \left(Y_{S}\right)\right)^{2}-(\pi / 2)^{2}\right) \cos (\theta)+\pi \log \left(Y_{S}\right) \sin (\theta)\right]=0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\log \left(Y_{S}\right)\right)^{2}\right] & =(\pi / 2)^{2}-\pi \mathbb{E}\left[\log \left(Y_{S}\right)\right] \tan (\theta) \\
& =(\pi / 2)^{2}+2(\pi / 2)^{2}(\tan (\theta))^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2. Brownian motion on the quadrant with time dependent reflections. In all this section, we fix $z=(x, 0)$ with $x>0$, and $\left.\theta_{\text {min }} \in\right] 0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$. Suppose we are given on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ a sequence of random variables $\left(\Theta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and a sequence of processes $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, with $Z^{n}=\left(Z_{t}^{n}=\left(X_{t}^{n}, Y_{t}^{n}\right) ; t \leq S_{n}\right)$, such that:
(i) With probability 1 , for all $\left.n \geq 0, \Theta_{n} \in\right] \theta_{\min }, \frac{\pi}{2}[$.
(ii) Set $U_{0}=x$ and for $n \geq 1, U_{n}=Y_{S_{n}}^{n}$. Set also for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n}=\sigma\left(\left(\Theta_{k}, Z^{k}\right) ; 1 \leq k \leq n\right) \vee \sigma\left(\Theta_{0}\right)
$$

Then given $\mathcal{G}_{n}, Z^{n+1}$ is distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{U_{n}}^{\Theta_{n}}$.

Define for $\theta \in] 0, \pi / 2[$,

$$
v_{1}(\theta)=(-\tan (\theta), 1) \text { and } v_{2}(\theta)=(1,-\tan (\theta)) .
$$

Our purpose in this section and in section 2.3 is to construct a process $Z=(X, Y)$, a reflected Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}$ stopped at time $\sigma_{0}$, the first hitting time of $(0,0)$ by $Z$.

Set $T_{0}=0$ and $T_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{k}$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{t}=\left(X_{t-T_{2 n}}^{2 n+1}, Y_{t-T_{2 n}}^{2 n+1}\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n}, T_{2 n+1}[,\right. \\
& Z_{t}=\left(Y_{t-T_{2 n+1}}^{2 n+2}, X_{t-T_{2 n+1}}^{2 n+2}\right) \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n+1}, T_{2 n+2}[.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this procedure, we have defined a process $\left(Z_{t} ; t<T_{\infty}\right)$, where $T_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}$. Set for $t \geq T_{\infty}, Z_{t}=(0,0)$. Then, by construction, $T_{\infty}=\sigma_{0}$. It will be checked in section 2.3 (see Corollary 2.7) that $Z$ is a continuous process.

Note that there exists $B$ a two-dimensional Brownian motion such that for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d Z_{t}=d B_{t}+v_{1}\left(\Theta_{2 n}\right) d L_{t}^{1} \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n}, T_{2 n+1}[,\right. \\
d Z_{t}=d B_{t}+v_{2}\left(\Theta_{2 n+1}\right) d L_{t}^{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n+1}, T_{2 n+2}[,\right.
\end{array}
$$

with $L^{1}$ and $L^{2}$ being the local times processes of $X$ and $Y$. Define ( $v_{t} ; t<\sigma_{0}$ ) by: for $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{t}=v_{1}\left(\Theta_{2 n}\right) & \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n}, T_{2 n+1}[,\right. \\
v_{t}=v_{2}\left(\Theta_{2 n+1}\right) & \text { for all } t \in\left[T_{2 n+1}, T_{2 n+2}[.\right.
\end{array}
$$

Then for all $t<\sigma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=Z_{0}+B_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} v_{s} d L_{s} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}=(x, 0)$ and $L=L^{1}+L^{2}$ is the accumulated local time at $\partial \mathcal{Q}$ until $t$.

The purpose of the following sections is to answer the questions (I) and (II) addressed in the beginning of section 2.
2.3. The corner is reached. For $a \geq 0$, denote $\sigma_{a}:=\inf \left\{t ;\left|Z_{t}\right|=\right.$ $a\}$. Following [16], we will first prove that $\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{0} \wedge \sigma_{K}<\infty\right)=1$ for all $K>x$. This is the major difficulty we encountered here although the proof when the angles of reflections remain constant on each boundary is quite easy (Lemma 2.1 [16]). The main idea is inspired from [2]. Define for $n \geq 1, V_{n}=\frac{U_{n}}{U_{n-1}}$. Then using the scaling property (Proposition 2.1) and the strong Markov property, we have that for all $n \geq 0$, given $\mathcal{G}_{n}, V_{n+1}$ is distributed as $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{S}}$, where $\left(\left(\tilde{X}_{t}, \tilde{Y}_{t}\right) ; t \leq \tilde{S}\right)$ has law $\mathbb{P}_{1}^{\Theta_{n}}$.

Lemma 2.6. With probability $1, \sum_{n \geq 0} U_{n}$ is finite.
Proof. For all $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$
U_{n}=x \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left(V_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k}}$ the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{k}$. By Corollary 2.5, for all $k \geq 1, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\log \left(V_{k}\right)\right]=-\frac{\pi}{2} \tan \left(\Theta_{k-1}\right)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\left(\log \left(V_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left(1+2 \tan ^{2}\left(\Theta_{k-1}\right)\right)$. Note now that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left(V_{k}\right)=M_{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\log \left(V_{k}\right)\right]
$$

where $M_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\log \left(V_{k}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\log \left(V_{k}\right)\right]\right)$ is a martingale. Denote by $\langle M\rangle_{n}$ its quadratic variation given by

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\left(\log \left(V_{k}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}_{k-1}}\left[\log \left(V_{k}\right)\right]\right)^{2}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left(1+\tan ^{2}\left(\Theta_{k-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus $\langle M\rangle_{\infty}=\infty$ and a.s. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n} / n=0$. Since $\inf _{k \geq 0} \Theta_{k} \geq$ $\theta_{\text {min }}>0$, this easily implies the lemma.

A first consequence of Lemma 2.6 is
Corollary 2.7. With probability $1, \lim _{t \uparrow \sigma_{0}} Z_{t}=0$.
Proof. For $\epsilon>0$ and $n \geq 0$, set

$$
A_{n}^{\epsilon}=\left\{\sup _{s \in\left[T_{n}, T_{n+1}\right]}\left|Z_{s}\right|>\epsilon\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 2.2 (i), with $b=1$, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}^{\epsilon} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n}\right) \leq \sup _{\theta \in] \theta_{\text {min }, \frac{\pi}{2}[ }} \operatorname{cotan}(\theta) U_{n}=\operatorname{cotan}\left(\theta_{\text {min }}\right) U_{n} .
$$

Thus by Lemma $2.6 \sum_{n} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}^{\epsilon} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n}\right)<\infty$ and the corollary follows by applying the conditional Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Lemma 2.6 will be also used to prove
Lemma 2.8. For all $K>x, \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{0} \wedge \sigma_{K}<\infty\right)=1$.
Proof. For all $n \geq 0$ and $t \in\left[0, S_{n+1}\right]$, set

$$
W_{t}^{n+1}=\cos \left(\Theta_{n}\right)\left(X_{t}^{n+1}-U_{n}\right)+\sin \left(\Theta_{n}\right) Y_{t}^{n+1}
$$

Recall $\sigma_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}$. Define the continuous process $\left(W_{t} ; t \leq \sigma_{0}\right)$ such that $W_{0}=0$ and for $n \geq 0$ and $\left.\left.t \in\right] T_{n}, T_{n+1}\right]$, $W_{t}=W_{t-T_{n}}^{n+1}+W_{T_{n}}$. Then, it is straightforward to check that $\left(W_{t} ; t \leq \sigma_{0}\right)$ is a Brownian
motion stopped at $\sigma_{0}$. Since for all $n \geq 0, U_{n} \geq 0$ and $\left.\Theta_{n} \in\right] 0, \pi / 2[$, we get that on the event $\left\{\sigma_{K} \geq T_{n+1}\right\}$,

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[T_{n}, T_{n+1}\right]} W_{t} \leq 2 K+W_{T_{n}} .
$$

Thus, on $\left\{\sigma_{K}=\infty\right\}$, $\sup _{t \leq \sigma_{0}} W_{t} \leq 2 K+\sup _{n \geq 0} W_{T_{n}}$. Now for all $n \geq 0, W_{S_{n+1}}^{n+1}=\sin \left(\Theta_{n}\right) U_{n+1}-\cos \left(\Theta_{n}\right) U_{n} \leq U_{n+1}$. Note that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
W_{T_{n+1}}-W_{T_{n}}=W_{S_{n+1}}^{n+1} .
$$

This implies that on the event $\left\{\sigma_{K}=\infty\right\}, \sup _{t \leq \sigma_{0}} W_{t} \leq 2 K+\sum_{n \geq 0} U_{n}$, which is a.s. finite using Lemma 2.6. This shows that a.s. $\left\{\sigma_{K}=\infty\right\} \subset$ $\left\{\sigma_{0}<\infty\right\}$ and finishes the proof.

And following [16], we can prove
Theorem 2.9. With probability 1 , we have $\sigma_{0}<\infty$.
Proof. Set $b=\frac{4 \theta_{\text {min }}}{\pi}$. Let $\phi(x, y)=\mathcal{R}\left((x+i y)^{b} e^{-i \theta_{\text {min }}}\right)$, then $\phi$ is harmonic on some open set $U$ containing $\mathcal{Q}^{*}$. Using $b=\frac{4 \theta_{\text {min }}}{\pi}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \phi(x, 0)=b x^{b-1}\left(\cos \left(\theta_{\min }\right), \sin \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\right) \\
& \nabla \phi(0, y)=b y^{b-1}\left(\sin \left(\theta_{\min }\right), \cos \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for all $t<\sigma_{0}$ with $Z_{t} \in \partial \mathcal{Q}$, we have $v_{t} . \nabla \phi\left(Z_{t}\right) \leq 0$. It follows by (7) and Itô's formula that for all $0<\epsilon<x<K$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(Z_{\left.t \wedge \sigma_{\epsilon} \wedge \sigma_{K}\right)}\right] \leq \phi(x, 0)\right.
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using dominated convergence, we deduce

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(Z_{\left.\sigma_{\epsilon} \wedge \sigma_{K}\right)}\right] \leq \phi(x, 0)\right.
$$

Obviously $\phi(z) \geq \cos \left(\theta_{\text {min }}\right)|z|^{b}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Q}$. Setting $p_{\epsilon, K}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{\epsilon}<\right.$ $\sigma_{K}$, we get

$$
\cos \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\left(\epsilon^{b} p_{\epsilon, K}+K^{b}\left(1-p_{\epsilon, K}\right)\right) \leq x^{b}
$$

From this, we deduce

$$
p_{\epsilon, K} \geq \frac{\left(K^{b}-x^{b} / \cos \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\right)}{K^{b}-\epsilon^{b}}
$$

As in [16], since $\sigma_{0} \wedge \sigma_{K}<\infty, \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} p_{\epsilon, K}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{K}\right)$, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{K}\right) \geq 1-\frac{x^{b}}{K^{b} \cos \left(\theta_{\text {min }}\right)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$, it comes that $\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{0}<\infty\right)=1$.

Remark 2.10. Using the inclusion $\left\{\sup _{t<\sigma_{0}}\left|Z_{t}\right|>\epsilon\right\} \subset\left\{\sigma_{\epsilon}<\sigma_{0}\right\}$ and (8), we deduce that for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{t<\sigma_{0}}\left|Z_{t}\right|>\epsilon\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact will be used in section 3.
2.4. The local time process. Following Williams [17], we prove in this section that

Theorem 2.11. With probability $1, L_{\sigma_{0}}:=\lim _{t \uparrow \sigma_{0}} L_{t}$ is finite.
Proof. In what follows, we refer to the proof of Theorem 1 in [17] for more details. Let $\tilde{\theta} \in] 0, \theta_{\min } \wedge \pi / 4\left[\right.$ and set $\tilde{b}=\frac{4 \tilde{\theta}}{\pi}$. Le $\tilde{\phi}$ be defined as the function $\phi$ in the proof of Theorem 2.9, with the parameters $\left(b, \theta_{\min }\right)$ replaced by $(\tilde{b}, \tilde{\theta})$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that for all $t$ for which $Z_{t} \in \partial \mathcal{Q}$, we have $v_{t} . \nabla \phi\left(Z_{t}\right) \leq-c\left|Z_{t}\right|^{\tilde{b}-1}$. For each $\gamma>0$, define $f_{\gamma}=e^{-\gamma \phi}$. Then $f_{\gamma}$ is twice continuously differentiable in $\mathcal{Q}^{*}$ and

$$
\Delta f_{\gamma}(z)=\gamma^{2} f_{\gamma}(z)\left(\tilde{b}|z|^{\tilde{b}-1}\right)^{2} \text { for } z \in \mathcal{Q}^{*}
$$

Moreover for all $t$ such that $Z_{t} \in \partial \mathcal{Q}$,

$$
v_{t} \cdot \nabla f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{t}\right)=-\gamma f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{t}\right)\left(v_{t} \cdot \nabla \phi\left(Z_{t}\right)\right)
$$

For $t<\sigma_{0}$, set

$$
A_{t}=-\gamma \int_{0}^{t}\left(v_{s} \cdot \nabla \phi\left(Z_{s}\right)\right) d L_{s}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\tilde{b}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{\tilde{b}-1}\right)^{2} d s
$$

and $A_{\sigma_{0}}=\lim _{t \uparrow \sigma_{0}} A_{t}$. Then

$$
A_{\sigma_{0}} \geq c \gamma \int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{\tilde{b}-1} d L_{s}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}}\left(\tilde{b}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{\tilde{b}-1}\right)^{2} d s
$$

Itô's formula implies that for $t<\sigma_{0}$,

$$
f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{t}\right) e^{-A_{t}}=f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-A_{s}}\left(\nabla f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{s}\right) \cdot d B_{s}\right)
$$

Taking the expectation, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-c \gamma \int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{\tilde{b}-1} d L_{s}\right)\right] \geq f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{0}\right)
$$

This easily implies that for all $r>0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-\gamma c r^{\tilde{b}-1} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}} 1_{\left\{\left|Z_{s}\right| \leq r\right\}} d L_{s}\right)\right] \geq f_{\gamma}\left(Z_{0}\right)
$$

Letting $\gamma \downarrow 0$, we get a.s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}} 1_{\left\{\left|Z_{s}\right| \leq r\right\}} d L_{s}<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{r}=\sup \left\{t \geq 0:\left|Z_{t}\right|>r\right\}$, then by the continuity of $Z, S_{r}<\sigma_{0}$ and thus $L_{S_{r}}<\infty$. By combining this with (10), we get $L_{\sigma_{0}}<\infty$.
2.5. On the integrability of $L_{\sigma_{0}}$. In this section, Proposition 1.8 is proved. We use the notation of section 2.2 in which the process $Z$ is constructed. Note that $L_{\sigma_{0}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{S_{n}}^{n}$, where $L^{n}$ is the local time at 0 of $Y^{n}$ and where $Z^{n}=\left(X^{n}, Y^{n}\right)$. Recall that for $n \geq 0$, given $\mathcal{G}_{n}$, the law of $Z^{n+1}$ is $\mathbb{P}_{U_{n}}^{\Theta_{n}}$, where $U_{0}=x$ and $U_{n}=Y_{S_{n}}^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Let $Z^{0}=\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)_{t \leq S^{0}}$ be a process of law $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{\theta}$. Then, if $L_{t}^{0}=L_{t}\left(X^{0}\right)$, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
Y_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{0}=B_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{2}+L_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{0}
$$

where $\left(B_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{2}\right)_{t}$ is a Brownian motion stopped at time $S^{0}$. Thus

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{0}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[L_{t \wedge S^{0}}^{0}\right] .
$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using Corollary 2.3 leads to $\mathbb{E}\left[L_{S^{0}}^{0}\right]=$ $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{S^{0}}^{0}\right]$. But $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{S^{0}}^{0}\right]=x \operatorname{cotan}(\theta)$ by Corollary 2.5 . This implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{S_{n+1}}^{n+1} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n}\right]=U_{n} \operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{n}\right) .
$$

Consequently

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}\left[U_{n} \operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{n}\right)\right] .
$$

Assume that for all $n, U_{n}$ and $\Theta_{n}$ are independent, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[U_{n} \operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{n}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{n}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[U_{n}\right]=\cdots=x \prod_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{k}\right)\right]
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=x \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{cotan}\left(\Theta_{k}\right)\right] .
$$

This gives a necessary and sufficient condition to get $\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]<\infty$.
Assume that $\left.\Theta_{n}=\theta \in\right] 0, \pi / 2[$ for all $n$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=x \sum_{n \geq 0}(\operatorname{cotan}(\theta))^{n+1}
$$

which is finite if and only if $\theta \in] \pi / 4, \pi / 2[$. In this case

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=\frac{x \operatorname{cotan}(\theta)}{1-\operatorname{cotan}(\theta)}=\frac{x}{\tan (\theta)-1} .
$$

Assume that $\Theta_{2 n}=\theta_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2 n+1}=\theta_{2}$. Set $c_{1}=\operatorname{cotan}\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $c_{2}=\operatorname{cotan}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right] & =x\left(c_{1}+c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& =c_{1}\left(1+c_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& =c_{1}\left(\left(1+c_{2}\right)+\left(1+c_{2}\right) c_{1} c_{2}+\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finite if and only if $c_{1} c_{2}<1$. In this case, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{\sigma_{0}}\right]=\frac{x c_{1}\left(1+c_{2}\right)}{1-c_{1} c_{2}} .
$$

Proposition 1.8 is proved.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 (i) is proved in section 3.1. For the construction of a solution, we will use Freidlin-Sheu formula for the Walsh's Brownian motion (see Theorem 3.1 below). The uniqueness in law of the solution of the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$ will follow from the fact that the Walsh's Brownian motion is the unique solution of a martingale problem.

Theorem 1.2 (ii) is proved in section 3.2. To prove pathwise uniqueness for $(E)$ when $N=2$, we proceed as in [4] using the local times techniques introduced in $[8,13]$. The fact that the solution of $(E)$ is not a strong solution when $N \geq 3$ is a consequence of a Theorem by Tsirelson (see Theorem 3.6 below).

We prove Theorem 1.2 only for $x=0$, the case $x \neq 0$ following easily.
3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i). Let us recall Freidlin-Sheu formula (see [5] and also Theorem 3 in [6]).
Theorem 3.1. [5] Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t>0}$ be a Walsh's Brownian motion on $G$ started from $X_{0}$ and $B_{t}^{X}=|X|_{t}-\left|X_{0}\right|-L_{t}(|X|)$. Then $B^{X}$ is a Brownian motion and for all $f \in C_{b}^{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
f\left(X_{t}\right)=f\left(X_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{X}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d s+f^{\prime}(0) L_{t}(|X|) .
$$

We call $B^{X}$ the Brownian motion associated to $X$.
Remark that in this formula the local martingale part of $f\left(X_{t}\right)$ is always a stochastic integral with respect to $B^{X}$. This is an expected fact since $B^{X}$ has the martingale representation property for $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}\right)_{t}$ (Theorem $4.1[1]$ ). This martingale representation property will be used to prove the uniqueness in law of the solutions to $(E)$. Theorem 1.2 (i) is proved in sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2. Theorem 1.2 (ii) is proved in sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2.
3.1.1. Construction of a solution to $(E)$. Let $X$ be a Walsh's Brownian motion with $X_{0}=0$ and let $B^{X}$ be the Brownian motion associated to $X$. Take a $N$-dimensional Brownian motion $V=\left(V^{1}, \cdots, V^{N}\right)$ independent of $X$. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ denote the filtration generated by $X$ and $V$. For $i \in[1, N]$, define

$$
W_{t}^{i}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d B_{s}^{X}+\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}} d V_{s}^{i}
$$

Then $W:=\left(W^{1}, \cdots, W^{N}\right)$ is a $N$-dimensional $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion by Lévy's theorem and

$$
B_{t}^{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d W_{s}^{i}
$$

Then, using Theorem 3.1, $(X, W)$ solves $(E)$. Denote by $\mu$ the law of ( $X, W$ ).
3.1.2. Uniqueness in law. To prove the uniqueness in law, we will apply the two following lemmas. The first Lemma states that the Walsh's Brownian motion is the unique solution of a martingale problem. The second Lemma gives conditions that ensure that a Walsh's Brownian motion is independent of a given family of Brownian motions.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ be a filtration and let $X$ be a $G$-valued $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ adapted and continuous process such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{f}:=f\left(X_{t}\right)-f(x)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a martingale with respect to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion.

Proof. We exactly follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [1] and only check that with our conventions for $f^{\prime}(0)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ when $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we avoid all trivial solutions to the previous martingale problem (with the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 of [1], the trivial process $X_{t}=0$ is a possible solution of the martingale problem (3.3) in [1]). For $i \in[1, N]$, set $q_{i}=1-p_{i}$ and let $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}(x) & =q_{i}|x| 1_{\left\{x \in E_{i}\right\}}-p_{i}|x| 1_{\left\{x \notin E_{i}\right\}} \\
g_{i}(x) & =\left(f_{i}(x)\right)^{2}=q_{i}^{2}|x|^{2} 1_{\left\{x \in E_{i}\right\}}+p_{i}^{2}|x|^{2} 1_{\left\{x \notin E_{i}\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ are $C^{2}$ on $G^{*}$. We have $f_{i}^{\prime}(x)=q_{i}$ for $x \in E_{i}^{*}, f_{i}^{\prime}(x)=$ $-p_{i}$ for $x \notin E_{i}$ and $f_{i}^{\prime}(0)=0$. Moreover, for all $x \in G, f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$. We also have $g_{i}^{\prime}(x)=2 q_{i}^{2}|x|$ for $x \in E_{i}^{*}, g_{i}^{\prime}(x)=2 p_{i}^{2}|x|$ for $x \notin E_{i}$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}(0)=0$. Moreover, $g_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 q_{i}^{2}$ for $x \in E_{i}^{*}, g_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 p_{i}^{2}$ for $x \notin E_{i}$
and $g_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0)=2 p_{i} q_{i}$. Set $Y_{t}^{i}:=f_{i}\left(Z_{t}\right)$. Although $f_{i}$ is not bounded, by a localization argument, we have that $Y_{t}^{i}$ is a local martingale. Using the function $g_{i}$, we also have that $\left(Y_{t}^{i}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(Z_{s}\right) d s$ is a local martingale. Thus

$$
\left\langle Y^{i}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(q_{i}^{2} 1_{\left\{Z_{s} \in E_{i}^{*}\right\}}+p_{i}^{2} 1_{\left\{Z_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}}+p_{i} q_{i} 1_{\left\{Z_{s}=0\right\}}\right) d s .
$$

Set

$$
U_{t}^{i}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(q_{i}^{-1} 1_{\left\{Y_{s}^{i}>0\right\}}+p_{i}^{-1} 1_{\left\{Y_{s}^{i}<0\right\}}+\left(p_{i} q_{i}\right)^{-1 / 2} 1_{\left\{Y_{s}^{i}=0\right\}}\right) d Y_{s}^{i} .
$$

Then $U_{t}^{i}$ is a local martingale with $\left\langle U^{i}\right\rangle_{t}=t$; that is $U_{t}^{i}$ is a Brownian motion. Let $\phi(y)=q_{i} 1_{\{y>0\}}+p_{i} 1_{\{y<0\}}+\sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}} 1_{\{y=0\}}$. Then $Y_{t}^{i}$ is a solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$
Y_{t}^{i}=Y_{0}^{i}+\int_{0}^{t} \phi\left(Y_{s}^{i}\right) d U_{s}^{i}
$$

As in [1], the solution of this SDE is pathwise unique and following the end of the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [1], we arrive at

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(Z_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=P_{t-s} f\left(Z_{s}\right)
$$

for all $s \leq t$ and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded measurable where $P_{t}$ is the semigroup of Walsh's Brownian motion.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$ be a filtration. Let $X$ be a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion, $B^{X}$ its associated Brownian motion and $B=\left(B^{1}, \cdots, B^{d}\right)$ be a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, with $d \geq 1$. If $B$ and $B^{X}$ are independent, then $X$ and $B$ are independent.

Proof. Let $U$ be a bounded $\sigma(B)$-measurable random variable. Then

$$
U=\mathbb{E}[U]+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s}^{i} d B_{s}^{i}
$$

where $H^{i}$ predictable for the filtration $\mathcal{F}^{B}$ and $E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(H_{s}^{i}\right)^{2} d s\right]<\infty$. Let $U^{\prime}$ be a bounded $\sigma(X)$-measurable random variable. Since $B^{X}$ has the martingale representation property for $\mathcal{F}^{X}$ (Theorem 4.1 [1]), we deduce that

$$
U^{\prime}=\mathbb{E}\left[U^{\prime}\right]+\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s} d B_{s}^{X}
$$

with $H$ predictable for $\mathcal{F}^{X}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(H_{s}\right)^{2} d s\right]<\infty$. Then $H$ and $\left(H^{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ are also predictable for $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$. It is also easy to check that $B^{X}$
is a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[U U^{\prime}\right] & =\mathbb{E}[U] \mathbb{E}\left[U^{\prime}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s}^{i} d B_{s}^{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s} d B_{s}^{X}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}[U] \mathbb{E}\left[U^{\prime}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s}^{i} H_{s} d\left\langle B^{i}, B^{X}\right\rangle_{s}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}[U] \mathbb{E}\left[U^{\prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(X, W)$ be a solution of $(E)$, defined on a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right), \mathbb{P}\right)$, and such that $X_{0}=0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X} \vee \mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}$. For all $f \in \mathcal{D}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d W_{s}^{i}$ is a martingale, and therefore $X$ is a solution to the martingale problem of Lemma 3.2. Thus $X$ is a Walsh's Brownian motion. Let $B$ be a Brownian motion independent of $(X, W)$, denote by $B^{X}$ the Brownian motion associated to $X$ and set $\mathcal{G}_{t}=\mathcal{F}_{t} \vee \mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}$. Note that $B^{X}$ is a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion. For $i \in[1, N]$, define

$$
V_{t}^{i}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}} d W_{s}^{i}
$$

Then $V:=\left(V^{1}, \cdots, V^{N}\right)$ is a $N$-dimensional $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$-Brownian motion independent of $B^{X}$. By the previous Lemma $V$ is also independent of $X$. It is easy to check that for all $i \in[1, N]$,

$$
W_{t}^{i}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \in E_{i}\right\}} d B_{s}^{X}+\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}} d V_{s}^{i} .
$$

This proves that the law of $(X, W)$ is $\mu$.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).

3.2.1. The case $N=2$. To prove that the solution is a strong one, it suffices to prove that pathwise uniqueness holds for $(E)$. Fix $p \in] 0,1[$, and set $\beta=\frac{1-p}{p}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $B^{+}$and $B^{-}$be two independent Brownian motions. Let also $X$ and $Y$ be two continuous processes, with $Y_{t}=\beta X_{t} 1_{\{X \geq 0\}}+$ $X_{t} 1_{\left\{X_{t}<0\right\}}$. Then $\left(X, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$is a solution to $(E)$ or equivalently of

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=1_{\left\{X_{t}>0\right\}} d B_{t}^{+}+1_{\left\{X_{t} \leq 0\right\}} d B_{t}^{-}+(2 p-1) d L_{t}(X) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\left(Y, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$is a solution of the following SDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{t}=\beta 1_{\left\{Y_{t}>0\right\}} d B_{t}^{+}+1_{\left\{Y_{t} \leq 0\right\}} d B_{t}^{-} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose $\left(X, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$solves (12). Set $B_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s}>0\right\}} d B_{s}^{+}+$ $1_{\left\{X_{s} \leq 0\right\}} d B_{s}^{-}$. Then $B_{t}$ is a Brownian motion, and $(X, B)$ is a solution of the SDE $X_{t}=B_{t}+(2 p-1) L_{t}(X)$. It well known (see for example section 5.2 in the survey [11]) that $(Y, B)$ solves

$$
d Y_{t}=\beta 1_{\left\{Y_{t}>0\right\}} d B_{t}+1_{\left\{Y_{t} \leq 0\right\}} d B_{t}
$$

and thus that $\left(Y, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$solves (13). The converse can be proved in the same way.

Proposition 3.5. Pathwise uniqueness holds for $(E)$.
Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies that the proposition holds if pathwise uniqueness holds for (13). Let $\left(X, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$and $\left(Y, B^{+}, B^{-}\right)$be two solutions of (13) with $X_{0}=Y_{0}=0$. Set $\operatorname{sgn}(x)=\mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}$. We shall use the same techniques as in [4] (see also [8] and [13]) and first prove that a.s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(X-Y)}{a} d a<\infty . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the occupation times formula

$$
\int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(X-Y)}{a} d a=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s}-Y_{s}>0\right\}} \frac{d\langle X-Y\rangle_{s}}{X_{s}-Y_{s}} .
$$

It is easily verified that

$$
d\langle X-Y\rangle_{s} \leq C\left|\operatorname{sgn}\left(X_{s}\right)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(Y_{s}\right)\right| d s
$$

where $C=\left(1+\beta^{2}\right) / 2$. Let $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n} \subset C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow$ sgn pointwise and $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in total variation. By Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{j 0,+\infty]} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(X-Y)}{a} d a & \leq C \liminf _{n} \int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s}-Y_{s}>0\right\}} \frac{\left|f_{n}\left(X_{s}\right)-f_{n}\left(Y_{s}\right)\right|}{X_{s}-Y_{s}} d s \\
& \leq C \liminf _{n} \int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s}-Y_{s}>0\right\}}\left|\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(Z_{s}^{u}\right) d u\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Z_{s}^{u}=(1-u) X_{s}+u Y_{s} .
$$

It is easy to check the existence of a constant $A>0$ such that for all $s \geq 0$ and $u \in[0,1], \frac{d}{d u}\left\langle Z^{u}\right\rangle_{s} \geq A^{-1}$. Hence, setting $C^{\prime}=A \times C$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(X-Y)}{a} d a & \leq C^{\prime} \liminf _{n} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(Z_{s}^{u}\right)\right| d\left\langle Z^{u}\right\rangle_{s} d u \\
& \leq C^{\prime} \liminf _{n} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(a)\right| L_{t}^{a}\left(Z^{u}\right) d a d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Now taking the expectation and using Fatou's Lemma, we get
$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{j 0,+\infty]} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(X-Y)}{a} d a\right] \leq C^{\prime} \liminf _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(a)\right| d a \sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}, u \in[0,1]} \mathbb{E}\left[L_{t}^{a}\left(Z^{u}\right)\right]$.
It remains to prove that $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}, u \in[0,1]} \mathbb{E}\left[L_{t}^{a}\left(Z^{u}\right)\right]<\infty$. By Tanaka's formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{t}^{a}\left(Z^{u}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Z_{t}^{u}-a\right|\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Z_{0}^{u}-a\right|\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{sgn}\left(Z_{s}^{u}-a\right) d Z_{s}^{u}\right] \\
& \leq E\left[\left|Z_{t}^{u}-Z_{0}^{u}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that the right-hand side is uniformly bounded with respect to ( $a, u$ ) which permits to deduce (14). Consequently $L_{t}^{a}(X-$ $Y)=0$ and thus by Tanaka's formula, $|X-Y|$ is a local martingale which is also a nonnegative supermartingale, with $\left|X_{0}-Y_{0}\right|=0$ and finally $X$ and $Y$ are indistinguishable.
3.2.2. The case $N \geq 3$. Let $(X, W)$ be a solution to $(E)$. Then $X$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion, where $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X} \vee \mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}$. If $(X, W)$ is a strong solution we thus have that $X$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}\right)$-Walsh's Brownian motion, which is impossible when $N \geq 3$ because of the following Tsirelson's theorem :

Theorem 3.6. [6] There does not exist any $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t}$-Walsh's Brownian motion on a star graph with three or more rays with $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t}$ a Brownian filtration.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.4. We first construct a coalescing SFM solution of $(E)$. To construct this SFM, we will use the following

Theorem 4.1. [10] Let $\left(P^{(n)}, n \geq 1\right)$ be a consistent family of Feller semigroups acting respectively on $C_{0}\left(M^{n}\right)$ where $M$ is a locally compact metric space such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{(2)} f^{\otimes 2}(x, x)=P_{t}^{(1)} f^{2}(x) \text { for all } f \in C_{0}(M), x \in M, t \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a (unique in law) SFM $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{s, t}\right)_{s \leq t}$ defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$
P_{t}^{(n)} f(x)=\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, \varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right]
$$

for all $n \geq 1, t \geq 0, f \in C_{0}\left(M^{n}\right)$ and $x \in M^{n}$.

To apply this Theorem, we construct a consistent family of $n$-point motions (i.e. the Markov process associated to $P^{(n)}$ ) up to their first coalescing times in section 4.1. After associating to the two-point motion an obliquely reflected Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}$ in section 4.2, we prove the coalescing property in section 4.3 and the Feller property in section 4.4. It is then possible to apply Theorem 4.1 and as a result we get a flow $\varphi$. In section 4.4, we also show that $\varphi$ solves $(E)$. Finally, we prove in section 4.5 that $\varphi$ is the unique SFM solving $(E)$.

Note finaly that in the case of Le Jan and Raimond [9], all the angles of reflection of the obliquely reflected Brownian motion associated to the two-point motion are equal to $\pi / 4$. This simplifies greatly the study of section 2 .
4.1. Construction of the $n$-point motion up to the first coalescing time. Fix $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in G$ such that $\left|x_{1}\right|<\cdots<\left|x_{n}\right|$ and let $(X, W)$ be a solution of the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$, with $X_{0}=x_{1}$.

Set, for $t \geq 0, X_{t}^{1,0}=X_{t}$ and for all $j \in[2, n]$, if $x_{j} \in E_{i}$, define

$$
X_{t}^{j, 0}=e_{i}\left(\left|x_{j}\right|+W_{t}^{i}\right)
$$

Set

$$
\tau_{1}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: \exists j \neq 1: X_{t}^{j, 0}=0\right\}
$$

For $t \leq \tau_{1}$, set $X_{t}^{(n)}=\left(X_{t}^{1,0}, \cdots, X_{t}^{n, 0}\right)$.
Assume now that $\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k \leq \ell}$ and $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \tau_{l}}$ have been defined such that a.s.

- $\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq \ell}$ is an increasing sequence of stopping times with respect to the filtration associated to $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \tau_{\tau}}$;
- for all $k$, there exists an integer $j_{k}$ such that $X_{\tau_{k}}^{j_{k}}=0$.

Now introduce an independent solution $(X, W)$ of the $\operatorname{SDE}(E)$, with $X_{0}=0$. Define $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \in\left[\tau_{\ell}, \tau_{\ell+1}\right]}$ by analogy with the construction of $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \in\left[0, \tau_{1}\right]}$ by replacing $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ with $\left(X_{\tau_{l}}^{j_{1}^{\ell}}, \cdots, X_{\tau_{l}}^{j_{n}^{\ell}}\right)$, where $\left(j_{1}^{\ell}, \ldots, j_{n}^{\ell}\right)$ are such that

$$
0=\left|X_{\tau_{l}}^{j_{l}^{\ell}}\right|<\cdots<\left|X_{\tau_{l}}^{j_{n}^{\ell}}\right| .
$$

Thus, we have defined $X_{t}^{(n)}$ for all $t<\tau_{\infty}$, where $\tau_{\infty}:=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{l}$.
We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{(n), 0}$ the law of $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t<\tau_{\infty}}$. Notice that if we denote $X^{(n)}=\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)$, then for all $i,\left(X_{t}^{i}, t \leq \tau_{\infty}\right)$ is a Walsh's Brownian motion stopped at time $\tau_{\infty}$. Note also that a.s. on the event $\left\{\tau_{\infty}<\infty\right\}$, there exist $i \neq j$ such that $X_{\tau_{n}}^{i}=X_{\tau_{n+1}}^{j}=0$ for infinitely many $n$ 's. This implies that a.s. on the event $\left\{\tau_{\infty}<\infty\right\}$, there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\lim _{t \uparrow \tau_{\infty}} X_{t}^{i}=\lim _{t \uparrow \tau_{\infty}} X_{t}^{j}=0$, and thus that $\lim _{t \uparrow \tau_{\infty}} X_{t}^{(n)} \in \Delta_{n}$,
with $\Delta_{n}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in G^{n}: \exists i \neq j, x_{i}=x_{j}\right\}$. Therefore, if we denote by $X_{\tau_{\infty}}^{(n)}$ this limit, then, by construction, $\tau_{\infty}$ coincides with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Delta_{n}}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{t}^{(n)} \in \Delta_{n}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in the particular case $n=2$, on the event $\left\{\tau_{\infty}<\infty\right\}$, a.s. $X_{\tau_{\infty}}^{(2)}=(0,0)$. We will prove in section 4.3 that $\tau_{\infty}<\infty$ a.s.
4.2. An obliquely reflected Brownian motion associated to the 2-point motion. Fix $x \in G$, and let $i$ such that $x \in E_{i}$. Recall the construction of $(X, Y)$ of law $\mathbb{P}_{(x, 0)}^{(2)}$. We have $\tau_{0}=0$ and for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2 k+1} & =\inf \left\{t \geq \tau_{2 k}: X_{t}=0\right\} \\
\tau_{2 k+2} & =\inf \left\{t \geq \tau_{2 k+1}: Y_{t}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n \geq 0$, let $i_{2 n}$ and $i_{2 n+1}$ be in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $X_{\tau_{2 n}} \in E_{i_{2 n}}$ and $Y_{\tau_{2 n+1}} \in E_{i_{2 n+1}}$. Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{t}=e_{i_{2 n}}\left(\left|X_{\tau_{2 n}}\right|+W_{t}^{i_{2 n}}-W_{\tau_{2 n}}^{i_{2 n}}\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\tau_{2 n}, \tau_{2 n+1}[,\right. \\
Y_{t}=e_{i_{2 n+1}}\left(\left|Y_{\tau_{2 n+1}}\right|+W_{t}^{i_{2 n+1}}-W_{\tau_{2 n+1}}^{i_{2 n+1}}\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\tau_{2 n+1}, \tau_{2 n+2}[.\right.
\end{array}
$$

Define, for $i \in[1, N], f^{i}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f^{i}(x)=-|x| \text { if } x \in E_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad f^{i}(x)=|x| \text { if not. }
$$

Define now $\left(U_{t}, V_{t}\right)_{t<\tau_{\infty}}$ such that for $n \geq 0$

$$
\left(U_{t}, V_{t}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\left|X_{t}\right|, f^{i_{2 n}}\left(Y_{t}\right)\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\tau_{2 n}, \tau_{2 n+1}[ \right. \\ \left(f^{i_{2 n+1}}\left(X_{t}\right),\left|Y_{t}\right|\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\tau_{2 n+1}, \tau_{2 n+2}[ \right.\end{cases}
$$

Remark that $\left(U_{t}, V_{t}\right)_{t<\tau_{\infty}}$ is a continuous process with values in $\{(u, v) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}: u+v>0\right\}$ and such that for all $n \geq 0, U_{\tau_{2 n}}>0, V_{\tau_{2 n}}=0$, $U_{\tau_{2 n+1}}=0$ and $V_{\tau_{2 n+1}}>0$. Note that the excursions of this process outside of $\mathcal{Q}$ occur on straight lines parallel to $\{y=-x\}$.

Let, for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\Theta_{n}=\arctan \left(\frac{p_{i_{n}}}{1-p_{i_{n}}}\right) .
$$

Define for $t<\tau_{\infty}$,

$$
A(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{\left(U_{s}, V_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{Q}\right\}} d s=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{X_{s} \nsim Y_{s}\right\}} d s
$$

Set $\gamma(t)=\inf \{s \geq 0: A(s)>t\}$. Set for $n \geq 0, T_{n}=A\left(\tau_{n}\right)$ and $S_{n+1}=T_{n+1}-T_{n}$. Define for $t<T_{\infty}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}$,

$$
\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)=\left(U_{\gamma(t)}, V_{\gamma(t)}\right)
$$

and for $t \geq T_{\infty},\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)=(0,0)$. Note that $T_{2 n+1}=\inf \left\{t \geq T_{2 n}\right.$ : $\left.V_{t}^{r}=0\right\}$ and $T_{2 n+2}=\inf \left\{t \geq T_{2 n+1}: U_{t}^{r}=0\right\}$ and that $\gamma\left(T_{n}\right)=\tau_{n}$.

Lemma 4.2. Given $\Theta_{0}$, the law of $\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)_{t \leq S_{1}}$ is $\mathbb{P}_{|x|}^{\Theta_{0}}$.
The proof of this lemma is given at the end of this section.
Notice that since a.s. $\left|Y_{\tau_{1}}\right|=V_{T_{1}}^{r} \neq 0$, then the sequence $\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k}$ defined above is a.s. strictly increasing. It is also a sequence of stopping times with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\sigma\left(\left(X_{s}, Y_{s}\right) ; s \leq t\right), t \geq 0$.

Define the sequence of processes $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{2 n+1} & =\left(U_{t+T_{2 n}}^{r}, V_{t+T_{2 n}}^{r}\right)_{t \leq S_{2 n+1}}, \\
Z^{2 n+2} & =\left(V_{t+T_{2 n+1}}^{r}, U_{t+T_{2 n+1}}^{r}\right)_{t \leq S_{2 n+2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set also for $n \geq 0, U_{2 n}=U_{T_{2 n}}^{r}$ and $U_{2 n+1}=V_{T_{2 n+1}}^{r}$.
Applying Lemma 4.2 and using the strong Markov property at the stopping times $\tau_{n}$, with the fact that if $(X, Y)$ is distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{(x, y)}^{(2), 0}$, then $(Y, X)$ is distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{(y, x)}^{(2), 0}$, one has the following
Lemma 4.3. For all $n \geq 0$, given $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n}}$, the law of $Z^{n+1}$ is $\mathbb{P}_{U_{n}}^{\Theta_{n}}$.
This lemma shows that the sequences $\left(\Theta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy (i) and (ii) in the beginning of Section 2.2 since for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n}=\sigma\left(\left(\Theta_{k}, Z^{k}\right) ; 1 \leq k \leq n\right) \vee \sigma\left(\Theta_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n}} .
$$

Thus $\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)_{t<T_{\infty}}$ is a Brownian motion in $\mathcal{Q}^{*}$ started from $(|x|, 0)$, with time dependent angle of reflections at the boundaries given by $\left(\Theta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and stopped when it hits $(0,0)$, as defined in section 2. In particular, $\left(U^{r}, V^{r}\right)$ is a continuous process and $\lim _{t \uparrow T_{\infty}}\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)=(0,0)$. We will now denote the process $\left(U^{r}, V^{r}\right)$ by $Z$.
Remark 4.4. Note that $\left(i_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is an homogeneous Makov chain started from $i_{0}=1$ with transition matrix $\left(P_{i, j}\right)$ given by: for $(i, j) \in[1, N]^{2}$, $P_{i, j}=\frac{p_{j}}{\sum_{k \neq i} p_{k}}$. Remark also that given $\mathcal{G}_{n}, Z^{n+1}$ and $i_{n+1}$ are independent and a fortiori $Z^{n+1}$ and $\Theta_{n+1}$ are also independent.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $i$ be such that $x \in E_{i}$. Let $(Y, W)$ be a solution of $(E)$ with $Y_{0}=0$ and define $X_{t}=e_{i}\left(|x|+W_{t}^{i}\right)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \tau_{1}$ where $\tau_{1}=\inf \left\{s \geq 0:|x|+W_{s}^{i}=0\right\}$. Set for $t \geq 0,\left(U_{t}, V_{t}\right):=$ $\left(|x|+W_{t}^{i}, f^{i}\left(Y_{t}\right)\right)$ where $f^{i}(y)=|y| \mathbf{1}_{y \notin E_{i}}-|y| \mathbf{1}_{y \in E_{i}}$. Note that for $t \leq \tau_{1}, U_{t}=\left|X_{t}\right|$.

Since $Y$ is a Walsh's Brownian motion started at 0 , it is well known that $V$ is a skew Brownian motion with parameter $1-p_{i}$. This can be
seen using Freidlin-Sheu formula, which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{V_{s}>0\right\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{V_{s} \leq 0\right\}}\right) d B_{s}^{Y}+\left(1-2 p_{i}\right) L_{t}(V) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $A(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{V_{s} \geq 0\right\}} d s=\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{Y_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}} d s$ and $\gamma(t)=\inf \{s \geq 0$ : $A(s)>t\}$. It is also well known that $V_{t}^{r}:=V_{\gamma(t)}$ is a reflecting Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Set $M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{V_{s}>0\right\}} d V_{s}=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left\{Y_{s} \notin E_{i}\right\}} d B_{s}^{Y}$. Then $B_{t}^{2}:=$ $M_{\gamma(t)}$ is a Brownian motion. We also have that $V_{t} \vee 0=M_{t}+(1-$ $\left.p_{i}\right) L_{t}(V)$, which implies that $V_{t}^{r}=B_{t}^{2}+\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$ and therefore that $L_{t}\left(V^{r}\right)=\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$. Note finally that $L(V)=L(|Y|)$.

Set for $t \geq 0, B_{t}^{1}=\int_{0}^{\gamma(t)} 1_{\left\{V_{s}>0\right\}} d W_{s}^{i}$. By Lévy's theorem $B^{1}$ and $B^{2}$ are two independent Brownian motions. Finally, set $U_{t}^{r}=U_{\gamma(t)}$. Then $\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)_{t \leq \gamma\left(\tau_{1}\right)}$ is equal in law to the process $\left(U_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{r}\right)_{t \leq S_{1}}$ given in the statement of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.2 is a direct consequence of the following.
Lemma 4.5. For all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{t}^{r} & =|x|+B_{t}^{1}-\frac{p_{i}}{1-p_{i}} L_{t}\left(V^{r}\right) \\
V_{t}^{r} & =B_{t}^{2}+L_{t}\left(V^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We closely follow the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [9]. Let $\epsilon>0$ and define the sequences of stopping times $\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon}$ and $\tau_{k}^{\epsilon}$ such that $\tau_{0}^{\epsilon}=0$ and for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} & =\inf \left\{t \geq \tau_{k}^{\epsilon} ; V_{t}=-\epsilon\right\} \\
\tau_{k+1}^{\epsilon} & =\inf \left\{t \geq \sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} ; V_{t}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note first that (17) implies that

$$
\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(V_{\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}-V_{\tau_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}\right)
$$

converges in probability as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ towards $B_{t}^{2}+\left(1-2 p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$. Since $V_{t}^{r}=B_{t}^{2}+\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$, if we set

$$
L_{t}^{\epsilon, r}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(V_{\tau_{k+1}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}-V_{\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}\right),
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, L_{t}^{\epsilon, r}$ converges towards $p_{i} L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$ in probability. Now for $t>0$,

$$
U_{t}^{r}=|x|+\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(U_{\tau_{k+1}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}-U_{\tau_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}\right) .
$$

Set for $t \geq 0$,

$$
B_{t}^{\epsilon, 1}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(W_{\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}^{i}-W_{\tau_{k} \wedge \gamma(t)}^{i}\right) .
$$

Note that $d\left(U_{s}+V_{s}\right)=\sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{Y_{s} \in E_{j}\right\}} d W_{s}^{j}$ and thus when $Y_{s} \in E_{i}^{*}$ (i.e. when $V_{s}$ is negative), $U_{s}+V_{s}$ remains constant, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{t}^{r} & =|x|+\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(U_{\tau_{k+1}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}-U_{\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}\right)+\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(U_{\sigma_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}-U_{\tau_{k}^{\epsilon} \wedge \gamma(t)}\right) \\
& =|x|-L_{t}^{\epsilon, r}+B_{t}^{\epsilon, 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $B_{t}^{\epsilon, 1}$ converges in probability towards $B_{t}^{1}$, we get

$$
U_{t}^{r}=|x|+B_{t}^{1}-p_{i} L_{\gamma(t)}(V)
$$

And we conclude using that $L_{t}\left(V^{r}\right)=\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)$.
4.3. Coalescing property. Our purpose in this section is to prove that $\tau_{\infty}$ defined above is finite a.s. By symmetry and the strong Markov property, it suffices to prove this for $n=2$ and $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=(x, 0)$ for some $x \in G^{*}$. We use the notations of section 4.2.

Proposition 4.6. With probability $1, \tau_{\infty}<\infty$.
Proof. In order to show that $\tau_{\infty}<\infty$ a.s., we prove that a.s. $L_{\tau_{\infty}}(|X|)<$ $\infty$. Since $\left(\left|X_{t}\right|, t<\tau_{\infty}\right)$ is a reflected Brownian motion stopped at time $\tau_{\infty}$, this implies that $\tau_{\infty}<\infty$ a.s.

Denote by $L_{t}^{1}$ and $L_{t}^{2}$ the local times accumulated by $Z$ respectively on $\{u=0\}$ and $\{v=0\}$ up to $t$ and $L_{t}=L_{t}^{1}+L_{t}^{2}$. First, note that for $t \leq S_{1}, L_{t}\left(V^{r}\right)=\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(V)=\left(1-p_{i}\right) L_{\gamma(t)}(|Y|)$. Thus $L_{\tau_{1}}(|Y|)=\frac{L_{S_{1}}\left(V^{r}\right)}{1-p_{i}}$. Note also that $L_{\tau_{1}}(|X|)=0$. Thus

$$
L_{\tau_{1}}(|X|)+L_{\tau_{1}}(|Y|)=\frac{L_{S_{1}}}{1-p_{i}}
$$

By induction, we get that

$$
L_{\tau_{\infty}}(|X|)+L_{\tau_{\infty}}(|Y|)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{L_{T_{n+1}}-L_{T_{n}}}{1-p_{i_{n}}} \leq C L_{T_{\infty}}
$$

with $C=\sup _{\{1 \leq i \leq N\}}\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{-1}$. By Theorem 2.11 a.s. $L_{T_{\infty}}<\infty$, and so $L_{\tau_{\infty}}(|X|)+L_{\tau_{\infty}}(|Y|)<\infty$.

The fact that when $n \geq 3, \tau_{\infty}<\infty$ a.s., with $\tau_{\infty}$ defined in section 4.1, is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6.
4.4. Construction of $\varphi$. Let $\left(P^{(n)}, n \geq 1\right)$ be the unique consistent family of Markovian semigroups such that
(i) $P^{(1)}$ is the semigroup of the Walsh's Brownian motion on $G$.
(ii) The $n$-point motion of $P^{(n)}$ started from $x \in G^{n}$ up to its entrance time in $\Delta_{n}$ is distributed as $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{(n), 0}$.
(iii) The $n$-point motion $\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)$ of $P^{(n)}$ is such that if $X_{s}^{i}=X_{s}^{j}$ then $X_{t}^{i}=X_{t}^{j}$ for all $t \geq s$.
We will prove that all $P^{(n)}$ are Feller and that (15) holds. By Lemma 1.11 [10], this amounts to check the following condition.

Lemma 4.7. Let $(X, Y)$ be the two point motion associated to $P^{(2)}$, then for all positive $\epsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{d(x, y) \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y)}^{(2), 0}\left[d\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)>\epsilon\right]=0
$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.6, we take $y=0$. Then using the same notations, for all positive $\epsilon,\left\{d\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)>\epsilon\right\} \subset\left\{\sup _{t<\sigma_{0}}\left|Z_{t}\right|>\epsilon\right\}$. Now the result of the lemma follows from Remark 2.10.

By Theorem 4.1, a SFM $\varphi$ can be associated to $\left(P^{(n)}\right)_{n}$.
Proposition 4.8. Let $\varphi$ be a SFM associated to $\left(P^{(n)}\right)_{n}$. Then there exists a family of independent white noises $\mathcal{W}=\left(W^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\right)$ such that
(i) $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi}$ for all $s \leq t$ and
(ii) $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$.

Proof. Let $V_{s, \cdot}(x)$ be the Brownian motion associated to $\varphi_{s, \cdot}(x)$. For all $i \in[1, N]$ and $s \leq t$, set

$$
W_{s, t}^{i}=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty, x \in E_{i},|x| \in \mathbb{Q}} V_{s, t}(x) .
$$

For all $i \in[1, N]$ and $s \leq t$, with probability 1 , this limit exists. Indeed if $x, y \in E_{i}$ are such that $|x| \leq|y|$, then a.s. $V_{s, t}(x)=V_{s, t}(y)$ for all $s \leq t \leq \tau_{s}^{x}=\inf \left\{u \geq s ; \varphi_{s, u}(x)=0\right\}$. Moreover $W^{i}=\left(W_{s, t}^{i}, s<t\right)$ is a real white noise. Indeed, $W^{i}$ is centered and Gaussian, and by the flow property of $\varphi$ and using $\varphi_{s, u}(x)=e_{i}\left(|x|+W_{s, u}^{i}\right)$ if $s \leq u \leq \tau_{s}^{x}$ and $x \in E_{i}$, we have $W_{s, u}^{i}=W_{s, t}^{i}+W_{t, u}^{i}$. It is also clear that $W^{i}$ has independent increments with respect to $(s, t)$. Thus, $W^{i}$ is a real white noise. The fact that $\mathcal{W}=\left(W^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\right)$ is a family of independent real white noises easily holds.
For $x \in G$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\left\langle W_{s, \cdot}^{i}, V_{s, \cdot}(x)\right\rangle_{t}=\lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty, y \in E_{i},|y| \in \mathbb{Q}}\left\langle V_{s, \cdot}(y), V_{s, \cdot}(x)\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{s}^{t} 1_{\left\{\varphi_{s, u}(x) \in E_{i}\right\}} d u .
$$

This yields

$$
V_{s, t}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{s}^{t} 1_{\left\{\varphi_{s, u}(x) \in E_{i}\right\}} d W_{u}^{i}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, we deduce that $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ solves $(E)$.
Denote by $\mathbb{P}_{E}$ the law of $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$.
4.5. Uniqueness in law of a SFM solution of $(E)$. In this section, we show that the SFM $\varphi$ constructed in section 4.4 is the only SFM solution of $(E)$. More precisely, we show

Proposition 4.9. Let $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ be a solution of $(E)$, with $\varphi$ a SFM. Then the law of $(\varphi, \mathcal{W})$ is $\mathbb{P}_{E}$.
Proof. We start by showing
Lemma 4.10. For all $x=e_{i}(r) \in G$, we have $\varphi_{s, t}(x)=e_{i}\left(r+W_{s, t}^{i}\right)$ for all $s \leq t \leq \tau_{s}^{x}=\inf \left\{t \geq s: \varphi_{s, t}(x)=0\right\}$. In particular for all $1 \leq i \leq N, s \leq t$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{W^{i}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, t}^{\varphi}$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $f(x)=|x|$ for all $x \in E_{i}$. By applying $f$ in $(E)$, we deduce the first claim. The second claim is then an immediate consequence by taking a sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k} \subset E_{i}$ converging to $\infty$.

With this Lemma and Theorem 1.2 we prove the following
Lemma 4.11. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in G^{n}$. Let $S=\inf \{t \geq 0$ : $\left.\left(\varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, \varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \in \Delta_{n}\right\}$. Then $\left(\varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, \varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)_{t \leq S}$ is distributed like $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{(n), 0}$.
Proof. Suppose $\left|x_{1}\right|<\cdots<\left|x_{n}\right|$. For $k \in[1, n]$, set $Y_{t}^{k}=\varphi_{0, t}\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $Y_{t}^{(n)}=\left(Y_{t}^{1}, \ldots, Y_{t}^{n}\right)$. Set for $i \in[1, N], W_{t}^{i}=W_{0, t}^{i}$ and $W_{t}=$ $\left(W_{t}^{1}, \ldots, W_{t}^{n}\right)$. Note that for all $k \in[1, n],\left(Y^{k}, W\right)$ is a solution of (E). Set

$$
\sigma_{1}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: \exists k \neq 1: Y_{t}^{k}=0\right\}
$$

and for $\ell \geq 1$, set

$$
\sigma_{\ell+1}=\inf \left\{t \geq \sigma_{\ell}: \exists k \in[1, n]: Y_{t}^{k}=0, Y_{\sigma_{\ell}}^{k} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Let $S^{n}=\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\ell}$, then $S^{n}=S=\inf \left\{t: Y_{t}^{(n)} \in \Delta_{n}\right\}$. From Theorem 1.2, the law of $\left(Y^{1}, W\right)$ is uniquely determined. Now, for $k \in[2, n]$ with $x_{k} \in E_{i}$, we have that for $t \leq \sigma_{1}, Y_{t}^{k}=e_{i}\left(\left|x_{k}\right|+W_{t}^{i}\right)$. This shows that $\left(Y_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \sigma_{1}}$ is distributed as $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \tau_{1}}$, constructed in Subsection 4.1. Adapting the previous argument on the time interval $\left[\sigma_{\ell}, \sigma_{\ell+1}\right]$, we show that for all $\ell \geq 1,\left(Y_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \sigma_{\ell}}$ is distributed as $\left(X_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \leq \tau_{\ell}}$. This thus shows the Lemma.

Lemma 4.11 permits to conclude the proof of Proposition 4.9. Indeed, the law of a SFM is uniquely determined by its family of $n$-point motions $X^{(n)}$. Using the fact that $\Delta_{n}$ is an absorbing set for $X^{(n)}$, the strong Markov property at time $T^{n}=\inf \left\{t ; X_{t}^{(n)} \in \Delta_{n}\right\}$ and the consistency of the family of $n$-point motions, we see that the law of a SFM is uniquely determined by its family of $n$-point motions stopped at its first entrance time in $\Delta_{n}$.
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