



HAL
open science

About an even as the sum or the difference of two primes

Jamel Ghannouchi

► **To cite this version:**

Jamel Ghannouchi. About an even as the sum or the difference of two primes. Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 2013, 2 (3), pp.44-52. hal-00862954v1

HAL Id: hal-00862954

<https://hal.science/hal-00862954v1>

Submitted on 17 Sep 2013 (v1), last revised 20 Oct 2014 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

About an even as the sum or the difference of two primes

Jamel Ghanouchi
Ecole Supérieure de Sciences et Techniques de Tunis
6 Rue Khansa 2070 Marsa Tunisie

Abstract

The present algebraic development begins by an exposition of the data of the problem. The definition of the primal radius $r > 0$ is : For all positive integer $x \geq 3$ exists a finite number of integers called the primal radius $r > 0$, for which $x+r$ and $x-r$ are prime numbers. The corollary is that $2x = (x+r) + (x-r)$ is always the sum of a finite number of primes. Also, for all positive integer $x \geq 0$, exists an infinity of integers $r > 0$, for which $x+r$ and $r-x$ are prime numbers. The conclusion is that $2x = (x+r) - (r-x)$ is always an infinity of differences of primes.

Keywords : Goldbach ; De Polignac ; Twin primes ; Algebraic proof

Introduction

There is a similarity between the assertion : “an even number is always the sum of two primes” and the assertion : “an even number is always the difference of two primes”. The present article gives the proof that the two assertions are the consequences of the same concept by the introduction of the notion of the primal radius.

The proof

Let us suppose that exists an integer $x \geq 3$ for which $2x$ is never the sum of two primes, then for all p_1 and p_2 primes, $3 \leq p_2 < p_1$, $2x \neq p_1 + p_2$, or

$$2x = p_1 + p_2 + 2b_{p_1, p_2} = p_1 + p_2 + 2b$$

$$\text{then } x = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b .$$

But for all p_1 , p_2 exists y , for which $y = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b$

Let

$$x_1 = p_1 + 2b, \quad x_2 = p_2 - 2b, \quad x_3 = p_2 + 2b, \quad x_4 = p_1 - 2b$$

We deduce that

$$x = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + x_2}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 + p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + b$$

$$= \frac{p_1 + x_3}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} - b = \frac{x_4 + x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 + x_2}{2} + 3b$$

$$y = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - x_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - b$$

$$= \frac{p_1 - x_3}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 - x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 - x_3}{2} + 3b = \frac{x_4 - x_2}{2} + b$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = p_1 + p_2$$

$$x_1 - x_3 = p_1 - p_2$$

Lemma 1

The following formula

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + x_2}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 + p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + b \\ &= \frac{p_1 + x_3}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} - b = \frac{x_4 + x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 + x_2}{2} + 3b \\ y &= \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - x_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - b \\ &= \frac{p_1 - x_3}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 - x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 - x_3}{2} + 3b = \frac{x_4 - x_2}{2} + b \end{aligned}$$

Imply that exist p_1 and p_2 prime numbers for which $b = 0$

Proof of lemma 1

If x is prime $2x = x + x$ is the sum of two primes, then $p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$

We will suppose firstly that $(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \neq 0$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_1 - x_2}{p_1 - p_2} &= \frac{p_1 - p_2 + 4b}{p_1 - p_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{p_1 - p_2} \\ \frac{p_1 - p_2}{x_1 - x_2} &= \frac{x_1 - x_2 - 4b}{x_1 - x_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{x_1 - x_2} \end{aligned}$$

We pose $k = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}$, $k' = -\frac{2b}{x_1 - x_2}$

$kk' = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$, we have supposed $kk' \neq 0$

$\forall (x, y); \exists \varphi | x = \varphi y$

$x + y = (\varphi + 1)y = x_1 \neq 0$, $x - y = (\varphi - 1)y = p_2 \neq 0$

$\forall (k, k'); \exists \alpha | k = \alpha k'$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} &= -\alpha \frac{2b}{x_1 - x_2} \\ \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 &= -\alpha(p_1 - p_2) \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 - p_1 + p_2 = 4b = -(\alpha + 1)(p_1 - p_2) \\ \Rightarrow b &= -\frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) \\ \Rightarrow x &= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)p_1 + (3 + \alpha)p_2}{4} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - 1} p_2 \\ y &= \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(p_1 - p_2)}{4} = \frac{1}{\varphi - 1} p_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_1 + x_3}{p_1 + p_2} &= \frac{p_1 + p_2 + 4b}{p_1 + p_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{p_1 + p_2} \\ \frac{p_1 + p_2}{x_1 + x_3} &= \frac{x_1 + x_3 - 4b}{x_1 + x_3} = 1 - \frac{4b}{x_1 + x_3} \end{aligned}$$

We pose $m = \frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2}$, $m' = -\frac{2b}{x_1 + x_3}$

$mm' = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$, we have supposed $mm' \neq 0$

$\forall (m, m'); \exists \beta \mid m = \beta m'$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2} = -\beta \frac{2b}{x_1 + x_3}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_3 = -\beta(p_1 + p_2) \Rightarrow x_1 + x_3 - p_1 - p_2 = 4b = -(\beta + 1)(p_1 + p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = -\frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = \frac{(1 - \beta)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

$$y = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = \frac{(1 - \beta)p_1 - (\beta + 3)p_2}{4} = \frac{1}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

$$b = -\frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = -\frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2$$

But, let

$$p_1 = 2p + 1$$

$$p_2 = 2q + 1$$

$$b = \frac{-(\alpha + 1)(p_1 - p_2)}{4} = \frac{-(\alpha + 1)(p - q)}{2} = \frac{-(\beta + 1)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = \frac{-(\beta + 1)(p + q + 1)}{2}$$

$$-(\alpha + 1) = \frac{2b}{p - q} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{q - p - 2b}{p - q}$$

$$-(\beta + 1) = \frac{2b}{p + q + 1} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{-p - q - 1 - 2b}{p + q + 1}$$

$$k = \alpha k' = \frac{(q - p - 2b)k'}{p - q}$$

$$m = \beta m' = \frac{(-p - q - 1 - 2b)m'}{p + q + 1}$$

$$k + k' = -2kk' = \frac{-2bk'}{p - q} = \frac{-2(q - p - 2b)k'^2}{p - q}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2b(2k' + 1) = 2(q - p)k' \Rightarrow b = \frac{(q - p)k'}{2k' + 1}$$

If we suppose $k \neq 0$ then

$$k' = \frac{\alpha}{k} = \frac{(q - p - 2b)}{(p - q)k}$$

$$k + k' = -2kk' = \frac{(p - q)(k^2 - 1) - 2b}{(p - q)k} = \frac{2p - 2q + 4b}{p - q}$$

$$\Rightarrow b = \frac{(p - q)(k^2 - 2k - 1)}{2(2k + 1)} = \frac{(q - p)k'}{2k' + 1}$$

$$\Rightarrow (k^2 - 2k - 1)(2k' + 1) = -2k'(2k + 1)$$

$$= 2k^2k' - 4kk' - 2k' + k^2 - 2k - 1 = -4kk' - 2k'$$

$$\Rightarrow k' = \frac{-k^2 + 2k + 1}{2k^2}$$

$$k + k' = -2kk' = \frac{2k^3 - k^2 + 2k + 1}{2k^2} = \frac{2k^3 - 4k^2 - 2k}{2k^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 3k^2 + 4k + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow k = -1 \Rightarrow k' = -1$$

$$\text{Or } k = \frac{-1}{3} \Rightarrow k' = 1$$

If

$$k = k' = -1 = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} = \frac{-2b}{x_1 - x_2} \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = -p_1 + p_2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 - p_1 + p_2 = 4b = 2p_2 - 2p_1 \Rightarrow 2x = p_1 + p_2 + 2b = 2p_2$$

Impossible ! And if

$$k = \frac{-1}{3} = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}; k' = 1 = \frac{-2b}{x_1 - x_2} \Rightarrow 3x_1 - 3x_2 = p_1 - p_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 3x_1 - 3x_2 - 3p_1 + 3p_2 = 12b = 2p_2 - 2p_1 \Rightarrow 12x = 6p_1 + 6p_2 + 12b = 8p_2 + 4p_1$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{2}{3}p_2 + \frac{1}{3}p_1 \in N \Rightarrow 3 \mid p_1 + 2p_2$$

And it is impossible !

The initial hypothesis is false : k can not be different of zero

$$\Rightarrow k = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

Another proof : $(2k+1)(2k'+1) = 1 \Rightarrow 2kk' + k + k' = 0 \Rightarrow (2k+1)k' = -k$

$$2k+1 = \frac{-k}{k'} = \frac{k+1}{k'+1} = \frac{a(k+1) + a'(2k+1)}{a(k'+1) + a'}$$

$$2k'+1 = \frac{-k'}{k} = \frac{k'+1}{k+1} = \frac{c(k'+1) + c'(2k'+1)}{c(k+1) + c'}, \forall (a, a', c, c')$$

$$\frac{2k+1}{2k'+1} = \frac{((a+2a')k + a + a')(ck + c + c')}{((c+2c')k' + c + c')(ak' + a + a')}$$

$$= \frac{(ac + 2a'c)k^2 + (2ac + ac' + 3a'c + 2a'c')k + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2k+1}{2k'+1} - 1 = (k - k') \frac{2}{2k'+1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(k^2 - k'^2) + 2a'ck^2 - 2ac'k'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(k - k') + 2a'ck - 2ac'k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$\forall (a, a', c, c')$, particularly $(a, a', c, c') \mid ac' = \delta k^2, a'c = \delta k'^2$

$$\Rightarrow (k - k') \frac{2}{2k'+1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(k+k')(k-k') + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(k-k') + 2\delta k k'^2 - 2\delta k^2 k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= (k - k') \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta kk'}{(ac + 2ac')k^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

If $k = k' \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 \Rightarrow x = \frac{(1 - \alpha)p_1 + (3 + \alpha)p_2}{4} = p_2$, trivial solution : it is impossible.

$$k - k' \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{2}{2k' + 1} = \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta kk'}{(ac + 2ac')k^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

Also $(2m + 1)(2m' + 1) = 1 \Rightarrow 2mm' + m + m' = 0 \Rightarrow (2m + 1)m' = -m$

$$2m + 1 = \frac{-m}{m'} = \frac{m + 1}{m' + 1} = \frac{a(m + 1) + a'(2m + 1)}{a(m' + 1) + a'}$$

$$2m' + 1 = \frac{-m'}{m} = \frac{m' + 1}{m + 1} = \frac{c(m' + 1) + c'(2m' + 1)}{c(m + 1) + c'}, \quad \forall (a, a', c, c')$$

$$\frac{2m + 1}{2m' + 1} = \frac{((a + 2a')m + a + a')(cm + c + c')}{((c + 2c')m' + c + c')(am' + a + a')}$$

$$= \frac{(ac + 2a'c)m^2 + (2ac + ac' + 3a'c + 2a'c')m + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2m + 1}{2m' + 1} - 1 = (m - m') \frac{2}{2m' + 1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(m^2 - m'^2) + 2a'cm^2 - 2ac'm'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(m - m') + 2a'cm - 2ac'm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$\forall (a, a', c, c')$, particularly $(a, a', c, c') \mid ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2$, $a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2$

$$\Rightarrow (m - m') \frac{2}{2m' + 1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(m + m')(m - m') + 2(\gamma' - \gamma)m^2m'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(m - m') + 2\gamma'mm'^2 - 2\gamma m^2m'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= (m - m') \frac{-2acmm' + 2(\frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{m - m'})m^2m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2(\frac{\gamma m - \gamma' m'}{m - m'})mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$m = m' \Rightarrow \beta = 1 \Rightarrow x = \frac{(1 - \beta)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = 0$, it is impossible

$$\text{But } \frac{2}{2k' + 1} = \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta kk'}{(ac + 2ac')k^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

For $(a, a', c, c') \mid ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2$, $a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{2m' + 1} = \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{2m' + 1} = \frac{-2acmm' + 2(\frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{m - m'})m^2m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2(\frac{\gamma m - \gamma' m'}{m - m'})mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= \frac{-2acmm' + 2(\frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{m - m'})m^2m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \gamma' \Rightarrow ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2, \quad a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2 = \gamma m'^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\gamma} = \frac{m^2}{k^2} = \frac{m'^2}{k'^2} \Rightarrow \frac{k^2}{k'^2} = (2k+1)^2 = \alpha^2 = \frac{m^2}{m'^2} = (2m+1)^2 = \beta^2$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = -\beta \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = -2\alpha p_1 = 2\beta p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2 = -2p_2 \Rightarrow \alpha = -\beta = \frac{p_2}{p_1}$$

$$b = -(\alpha + 1)\frac{p_1 - p_2}{4} = -(\beta + 1)\frac{p_1 + p_2}{4} = -\frac{(p_1 + p_2)}{4p_1}(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{p_2^2 - p_1^2}{4p_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4bp_1 = p_2^2 - p_1^2 \Rightarrow (4b + p_1)p_1 = p_2^2 \Rightarrow 4b + p_1 = \frac{p_2^2}{p_1} \quad \text{and it is impossible because}$$

$$p_1 \text{ and } p_2 \text{ are primes and } 4b + p_1 = \frac{p_2^2}{p_1} \text{ can not be an integer.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha - \beta = 0 \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2 = -2(1 + \alpha)p_2 = -2(1 + \beta)p_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow b = -\frac{(\alpha + 1)}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = -\frac{(\beta + 1)}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}, \quad y = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}$$

$x + y = p_1$, $x - y = p_2$ are primes $y = r$ is the primal radius. As there is the condition

$p_2 < x < p_1$, there is not an infinity of p_1, p_2

$$\text{If } (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow (x_4 + x_2)(x_4 - x_3) \neq 0$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{x_4 + x_2}{p_1 + p_2} = \frac{p_1 + p_2 - 4b}{p_1 + p_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{p_1 + p_2}$$

$$\frac{p_1 + p_2}{x_4 + x_2} = \frac{x_4 + x_2 + 4b}{x_4 + x_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{x_4 + x_2}$$

$$k = -\frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2}, \quad k' = \frac{2b}{x_4 + x_2}$$

$$\frac{x_4 - x_3}{p_1 - p_2} = \frac{p_1 - p_2 - 4b}{p_1 - p_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{p_1 - p_2}$$

$$\frac{p_1 - p_2}{x_4 - x_3} = \frac{x_4 - x_3 + 4b}{x_4 - x_3} = 1 + \frac{4b}{x_4 - x_3}$$

$$m = -\frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}, \quad m' = \frac{2b}{x_4 - x_3}$$

With the same reasoning and calculus $\Rightarrow b = 0$ But b can not be equal to zero in all cases, it means there is an impossibility related to the fact that the conjecture is undecidable and if it is so, it is true ! Because, we would find in the case it is undecidable and false with the computer the $2x$ different of all sum of primes and it is contradictory !

Now, if we suppose that for all p_1, p_2 primes, exists $x \mid 2x \neq p_1 - p_2$

$$x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b, \quad y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b, \quad \text{with the same reasoning, the same calculus but}$$

replacing x by y and y by x , we prove that $b = 0$, which means that for all positive

integer x , exists p_1, p_2 , for which $x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}$, if we pose $y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}$, $x + y = p_1$,

$y - x = p_2$ primes, y is the primal radius. As there is no condition on x, y, p_1, p_2 ,

there is an infinity of couples of primes (p_1, p_2) . For $x = 1$, p_1 and p_2 are twin primes.

Let us prove it. Let us suppose that exists an integer $x \geq 0$ for which $2x$ is never the difference of two primes, then for all p_1 and p_2 primes, $p_2 < p_1$, $2x \neq p_1 - p_2$, or

$$2x = p_1 - p_2 + 2b_{p_1, p_2} = p_1 - p_2 + 2b, \text{ then } x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b.$$

But for all p_1 , p_2 exists y , for which $y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b$

Let

$$x_1 = p_1 + 2b, x_2 = p_2 - 2b, x_3 = p_2 + 2b, x_4 = p_1 - 2b$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + x_2}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 + p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + b \\ &= \frac{p_1 + x_3}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} - b = \frac{x_4 + x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 + x_2}{2} + 3b \\ x &= \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - x_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - b \\ &= \frac{p_1 - x_3}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 - x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 - x_3}{2} + 3b = \frac{x_4 - x_2}{2} + b \\ x_1 + x_2 &= p_1 + p_2 \\ x_1 - x_3 &= p_1 - p_2 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2

The following formula

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + x_2}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 + p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + b \\ &= \frac{p_1 + x_3}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} - b = \frac{x_4 + x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 + x_2}{2} + 3b \\ x &= \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - x_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - p_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} - b \\ &= \frac{p_1 - x_3}{2} + 2b = \frac{x_1 - x_3}{2} + b = \frac{x_4 - x_3}{2} + 3b = \frac{x_4 - x_2}{2} + b \end{aligned}$$

Imply that exist p_1 and p_2 prime numbers for which $b = 0$

Proof of lemma 2

If x is prime $0 = x - x$ is the sum of two primes, then $p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$

We will suppose firstly that $(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \neq 0$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_1 - x_2}{p_1 - p_2} &= \frac{p_1 - p_2 + 4b}{p_1 - p_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{p_1 - p_2} \\ \frac{p_1 - p_2}{x_1 - x_2} &= \frac{x_1 - x_2 - 4b}{x_1 - x_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{x_1 - x_2} \end{aligned}$$

We pose $k = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}$, $k' = -\frac{2b}{x_1 - x_2}$

$kk' = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$, we have supposed $kk' \neq 0$

$$\forall (x, y); \exists \varphi \mid y = \varphi x$$

$$x + y = (\varphi + 1)x = x_1 \neq 0, \quad y - x = (\varphi - 1)x = p_2 \neq 0$$

$$\forall (k, k'); \exists \alpha \mid k = \alpha k'$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} = -\alpha \frac{2b}{x_1 - x_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = -\alpha(p_1 - p_2) \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 - p_1 + p_2 = 4b = -(\alpha + 1)(p_1 - p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = -\frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)p_1 + (3 + \alpha)p_2}{4} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

$$x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(p_1 - p_2)}{4} = \frac{1}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

Let

$$\frac{x_1 + x_3}{p_1 + p_2} = \frac{p_1 + p_2 + 4b}{p_1 + p_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{p_1 + p_2}$$

$$\frac{p_1 + p_2}{x_1 + x_3} = \frac{x_1 + x_3 - 4b}{x_1 + x_3} = 1 - \frac{4b}{x_1 + x_3}$$

$$\text{We pose } m = \frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2}, \quad m' = -\frac{2b}{x_1 + x_3}$$

$$mm' = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0, \text{ we have supposed } mm' \neq 0$$

$$\forall (m, m'); \exists \beta \mid m = \beta m'$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2} = -\beta \frac{2b}{x_1 + x_3}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_3 = -\beta(p_1 + p_2) \Rightarrow x_1 + x_3 - p_1 - p_2 = 4b = -(\beta + 1)(p_1 + p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = -\frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = \frac{(1 - \beta)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

$$x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} + b = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = \frac{(1 - \beta)p_1 - (\beta + 3)p_2}{4} = \frac{1}{\varphi - 1} p_2$$

$$b = -\frac{\alpha + 1}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = -\frac{\beta + 1}{4}(p_1 + p_2) \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2$$

But, let

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= 2p + 1 \\
p_2 &= 2q + 1 \\
b &= \frac{-(\alpha + 1)(p_1 - p_2)}{4} = \frac{-(\alpha + 1)(p - q)}{2} = \frac{-(\beta + 1)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = \frac{-(\beta + 1)(p + q + 1)}{2} \\
-(\alpha + 1) &= \frac{2b}{p - q} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{q - p - 2b}{p - q} \\
-(\beta + 1) &= \frac{2b}{p + q + 1} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{-p - q - 1 - 2b}{p + q + 1} \\
k &= \alpha k' = \frac{(q - p - 2b)k'}{p - q} \\
m &= \beta m' = \frac{(-p - q - 1 - 2b)m'}{p + q + 1} \\
k + k' &= -2kk' = \frac{-2bk'}{p - q} = \frac{-2(q - p - 2b)k'^2}{p - q} \\
\Rightarrow 2b(2k' + 1) &= 2(q - p)k' \Rightarrow b = \frac{(q - p)k'}{2k' + 1}
\end{aligned}$$

If we suppose $k \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
k' &= \frac{\alpha}{k} = \frac{(q - p - 2b)}{(p - q)k} \\
k + k' &= -2kk' = \frac{(p - q)(k^2 - 1) - 2b}{(p - q)k} = \frac{2p - 2q + 4b}{p - q} \\
\Rightarrow b &= \frac{(p - q)(k^2 - 2k - 1)}{2(2k + 1)} = \frac{(q - p)k'}{2k' + 1} \\
\Rightarrow (k^2 - 2k - 1)(2k' + 1) &= -2k'(2k + 1) \\
&= 2k^2k' - 4kk' - 2k' + k^2 - 2k - 1 = -4kk' - 2k' \\
\Rightarrow k' &= \frac{-k^2 + 2k + 1}{2k^2} \\
k + k' &= -2kk' = \frac{2k^3 - k^2 + 2k + 1}{2k^2} = \frac{2k^3 - 4k^2 - 2k}{2k^2} \\
\Rightarrow 3k^2 + 4k + 1 &= 0 \Rightarrow k = -1 \Rightarrow k' = -1 \\
\text{Or } k &= \frac{-1}{3} \Rightarrow k' = 1
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned}
k = k' = -1 &= \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} = \frac{-2b}{x_1 - x_2} \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = -p_1 + p_2 \\
\Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 - p_1 + p_2 &= 4b = 2p_2 - 2p_1 \Rightarrow 2x = p_1 + p_2 + 2b = 2p_2
\end{aligned}$$

Impossible ! And if

$$k = \frac{-1}{3} = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}; k' = 1 = \frac{-2b}{x_1 - x_2} \Rightarrow 3x_1 - 3x_2 = p_1 - p_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 3x_1 - 3x_2 - 3p_1 + 3p_2 = 12b = 2p_2 - 2p_1 \Rightarrow 12x = 6p_1 + 6p_2 + 12b = 8p_2 + 4p_1$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{2}{3}p_2 + \frac{1}{3}p_1 \in N \Rightarrow 3 \mid p_1 + 2p_2$$

And it is impossible !

The initial hypothesis is false : k can not be different of zero

$$\Rightarrow k = \frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2} = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

Another proof : $(2k+1)(2k'+1) = 1 \Rightarrow 2kk' + k + k' = 0 \Rightarrow (2k+1)k' = -k$

$$2k+1 = \frac{-k}{k'} = \frac{k+1}{k'+1} = \frac{a(k+1) + a'(2k+1)}{a(k'+1) + a'}$$

$$2k'+1 = \frac{-k'}{k} = \frac{k'+1}{k+1} = \frac{c(k'+1) + c'(2k'+1)}{c(k+1) + c'}, \forall (a, a', c, c')$$

$$\frac{2k+1}{2k'+1} = \frac{((a+2a')k + a + a')(ck + c + c')}{((c+2c')k' + c + c')(ak' + a + a')}$$

$$= \frac{(ac + 2a'c)k^2 + (2ac + ac' + 3a'c + 2a'c')k + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2k+1}{2k'+1} - 1 = (k - k') \frac{2}{2k'+1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(k^2 - k'^2) + 2a'ck^2 - 2ac'k'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(k - k') + 2a'ck - 2ac'k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$\forall (a, a', c, c')$, particularly $(a, a', c, c') \mid ac' = \delta k^2, a'c = \delta k'^2$

$$\Rightarrow (k - k') \frac{2}{2k'+1}$$

$$= \frac{ac(k+k')(k-k') + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(k-k') + 2\delta k k'^2 - 2\delta k^2 k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$= (k - k') \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta k k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$k = k' \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 \Rightarrow y = \frac{(1-\alpha)p_1 + (3+\alpha)p_2}{4} = p_2 \text{ it is impossible.}$$

$$k - k' \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{2}{2k'+1} = \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta k k'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

Also $(2m+1)(2m'+1) = 1 \Rightarrow 2mm' + m + m' = 0 \Rightarrow (2m+1)m' = -m$

$$2m+1 = \frac{-m}{m'} = \frac{m+1}{m'+1} = \frac{a(m+1) + a'(2m+1)}{a(m'+1) + a'}$$

$$2m'+1 = \frac{-m'}{m} = \frac{m'+1}{m+1} = \frac{c(m'+1) + c'(2m'+1)}{c(m+1) + c'}, \forall (a, a', c, c')$$

$$\frac{2m+1}{2m'+1} = \frac{((a+2a')m + a + a')(cm + c + c')}{((c+2c')m' + c + c')(am' + a + a')}$$

$$= \frac{(ac + 2a'c)m^2 + (2ac + ac' + 3a'c + 2a'c')m + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \frac{2m+1}{2m'+1} - 1 = (m-m') \frac{2}{2m'+1} \\
&= \frac{ac(m^2 - m'^2) + 2a'cm^2 - 2ac'm'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(m-m') + 2a'cm - 2ac'm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&\forall (a, a', c, c'), \text{ particularly } (a, a', c, c') | ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2, a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2 \\
&\Rightarrow (m-m') \frac{2}{2m'+1} \\
&= \frac{ac(m+m')(m-m') + 2(\gamma' - \gamma)m^2 m'^2 + (2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c')(m-m') + 2\gamma' m m'^2 - 2\gamma m^2 m'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&= (m-m') \frac{-2acmm' + 2\left(\frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{m-m'}\right)m^2 m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\left(\frac{\gamma m - \gamma' m'}{m-m'}\right)mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&m = m' \Rightarrow \beta = 1 \Rightarrow y = \frac{(1-\beta)(p_1 + p_2)}{4} = 0, \text{ it is impossible}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \frac{2}{2k'+1} = \frac{-2ackk' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\delta kk'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'}$$

For $(a, a', c, c') | ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2, a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \frac{2}{2m'+1} = \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&= \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma' mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{2}{2m'+1} = \frac{-2acmm' + 2\left(\frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{m-m'}\right)m^2 m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\left(\frac{\gamma m - \gamma' m'}{m-m'}\right)mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&= \frac{-2acmm' + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')m'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')m' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&= \frac{-2acmm' + 2\left(\frac{\gamma - \gamma'}{m-m'}\right)m^2 m'^2 + 2ac + ac' + a'c + 2a'c' - 2\gamma mm'}{(ac + 2ac')k'^2 + (2ac + 3ac' + a'c + 2a'c')k' + ac + ac' + a'c + a'c'} \\
&\Rightarrow \gamma = \gamma' \Rightarrow ac' = \delta k^2 = \gamma m^2, a'c = \delta k'^2 = \gamma' m'^2 = \gamma m'^2 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\gamma} = \frac{m^2}{k^2} = \frac{m'^2}{k'^2} \Rightarrow \frac{k^2}{k'^2} = (2k+1)^2 = \alpha^2 = \frac{m^2}{m'^2} = (2m+1)^2 = \beta^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = -\beta \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = -2\alpha p_1 = 2\beta p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2 = -2p_2 \Rightarrow \alpha = -\beta = \frac{p_2}{p_1}$$

$$b = -(\alpha + 1) \frac{p_1 - p_2}{4} = -(\beta + 1) \frac{p_1 + p_2}{4} = -\left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{4p_1}\right)(p_1 - p_2) = \frac{p_2^2 - p_1^2}{4p_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4bp_1 = p_2^2 - p_1^2 \Rightarrow (4b + p_1)p_1 = p_2^2 \Rightarrow 4b + p_1 = \frac{p_2^2}{p_1} \text{ and it is impossible because}$$

p_1 and p_2 are primes and $4b + p_1 = \frac{p_2^2}{p_1}$ can not be an integer.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha - \beta = 0 \Rightarrow (\beta - \alpha)p_1 = (-2 - \alpha - \beta)p_2 = -2(1 + \alpha)p_2 = -2(1 + \beta)p_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow b = -\frac{(\alpha + 1)}{4}(p_1 - p_2) = -\frac{(\beta + 1)}{4}(p_1 + p_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}, x = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}$$

$x + y = p_1$, $y - x = p_2$ are primes $y = r$ is the primal radius. As there is no condition,

there is an infinity of p_1, p_2

If $(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_3) = 0 \Rightarrow (x_4 + x_2)(x_4 - x_3) \neq 0$

$$\text{Let } \frac{x_4 + x_2}{p_1 + p_2} = \frac{p_1 + p_2 - 4b}{p_1 + p_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{p_1 + p_2}$$

$$\frac{p_1 + p_2}{x_4 + x_2} = \frac{x_4 + x_2 + 4b}{x_4 + x_2} = 1 + \frac{4b}{x_4 + x_2}$$

$$k = -\frac{2b}{p_1 + p_2}, k' = \frac{2b}{x_4 + x_2}$$

$$\frac{x_4 - x_3}{p_1 - p_2} = \frac{p_1 - p_2 - 4b}{p_1 - p_2} = 1 - \frac{4b}{p_1 - p_2}$$

$$\frac{p_1 - p_2}{x_4 - x_3} = \frac{x_4 - x_3 + 4b}{x_4 - x_3} = 1 + \frac{4b}{x_4 - x_3}$$

$$m = -\frac{2b}{p_1 - p_2}, m' = \frac{2b}{x_4 - x_3}$$

With the same calculus and reasoning, it implies that $b = 0$. But b can not be equal to zero in all cases, it means there is an impossibility related to the fact that the conjecture is undecidable and if it is so, it is true ! Because, we would find in the case it is undecidable and false with the computer the $2x$ different of all sum of primes and it is contradictory !

For $2 = p_1 - p_2$ is a difference of an infinity of couples of primes. There is an infinity of consecutive primes. And for all $x > 2104$, exists $p_1 = p_2 + 2$, p_2 primes for which $2x = p_1 + p_2$

Conclusion

The notion of the primal radius as defined in this study allows to confirm that for all integer $x \geq 3$ exists a number $r > 0$ for which $x + r$ and $x - r$ are primes and that for all integer $x \geq 0$ exists a number $r > 0$ for which $x + r$ and $r - x$ are primes and that exists an infinity of such primes. r is called the primal radius The corollary is the proof of the Goldbach conjecture and de Polignac conjecture which stipulate, the first that an even number is always the sum of two prime numbers, the second that an even number is always the difference between two primes and that there is an infinity of such couples of primes. Another corollary is the proof of the twin primes conjecture which stipulates that there is an infinity of consecutive primes.

References

- [1] J. R. Chen, 2002, On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. Sci. Sinica 16, 157-176.
- [2] D. R. Heath-Brown, J. C. Puchta, 2002, Integers represented as a sum of primes and powers of two. The Asian Journal of Mathematics, 6, no. 3, pages 535-565.

[3] H.L. Montgomery, Vaughan, R. C., 1975, The exceptional set in Goldbach's problem. Collection of articles in memory of Jurii Vladimirovich Linnik. *Acta Arith.* 27, 353–370.

[4] J. Richstein, 2001, Verifying the goldbach conjecture up to $4 \cdot 10^{14}$, *Math. Comp.*, **70**:236 ,1745--1749.

[5] L. E. Dickson, 2005, History of The Theory of Numbers, Vol1, New York Dover.