



$$K_{-i^{loc}}(C), i = 0, 1$$

Nicolae Teleman

► To cite this version:

| Nicolae Teleman.  $K_{-i^{loc}}(C)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . 2013. hal-00860161

**HAL Id: hal-00860161**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00860161>**

Preprint submitted on 10 Sep 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

$$K_i^{loc}(\mathbb{C}), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

NICOLAE TELEMEN

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, UNIVERSITA' POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE  
E-MAIL: TELEMEN@DIPMAT.UNIVPM.IT

## 1. ABSTRACT

In this article we compute the *local algebraic K-theory*,  $i = 0, 1$ , of the algebra of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with the trivial filtration, i.e.  $\mathbb{C}_\mu = \mathbb{C}$ , for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ; *local algebras* and *local algebraic  $K_i^{loc}$ -theory* were introduced in [4].

Theorem 3 states the result.

This case corresponds in the simplest case to the Alexander-Spanier *local K-theory* over the point.

This article is part of a comprehensive program aimed at re-stating the index theorem, see [3]. Other articles in this series are [4], [1], [2].

## 2. INTRODUCTION

We recall the definition of the first two *local algebraic K-groups* introduced in [3]. A *localised algebra*  $A$  is a filtrated associative algebra with unit; the filtration is given by a system of the vector subspaces  $A_\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying certain conditions, see [4] for precise definition.

By definition the group  $K_0^{loc}(A)$  of the quotient space of the space of the Grothendieck completion of the space of idempotent matrices through three equivalence relations: -1) stabilisation  $\sim_s$ , -2) local conjugation  $\sim_l$ , and -3) projective limit with respect to the filtration.

By definition,  $K_1^{loc}(A)$  is the projective limit of the local  $K_1(A_\mu)$  groups. The group  $K_1(A_\mu)$  is by definition the quotient of  $\mathbb{GL}(A_\mu)$  modulo the equivalence relation generated by: -1) stabilisation  $\sim_s$ , -2) local conjugation  $\sim_l$  and -3)  $\sim_{\mathbb{O}(A_\mu)}$ , where  $\mathbb{O}(A_\mu)$  is the submodule generated by elements of the form  $u \oplus u^{-1}$ , for any  $u \in \mathbb{GL}(A_\mu)$ . The class of any invertible element  $u$  modulo conjugation (inner auto-morphisms) is called the *Jordan canonical form* of  $u$ . The equivalence relation  $\sim_{\mathbb{O}(A_\mu)}$  insures existence of opposite elements in  $K_1(A_\mu)$  and  $K_1^{loc}(A)$ .

## 3. NOTATION. PRELIMINARIES.

In [4] we introduced the *local algebraic  $K_i^{loc}(A)$* ,  $i = 0, 1$ , for *localised algebras*.

**Definition 1.** Let  $J_{n,\lambda} \in \mathbb{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $2 \leq n$ , denote the Jordan cell matrix of size  $n$  having the entry  $\lambda$  on the diagonal

$$(1) \quad J_{n,\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix};$$

it is understood that  $J_{1,\lambda} = \lambda \cdot 1_1$ .

Recall that for of any matrix  $A$ ,  $J(A)$  denoted the Jordan canonical form of  $A$ , §9.

Remark 43.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\bar{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

Introduce on  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  the following equivalence relation  $\sim_r$ :  $\lambda \sim_r \lambda'$  if and only if  $\lambda = \lambda'$  or  $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda'$ .

Define

$$(2) \quad \hat{\mathbb{C}} := \bar{\mathbb{C}} / \sim_r.$$

#### 4. THE RESULT AND ITS PROOF.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be endowed with the trivial filtration ( $\mathbb{C}_\mu = \mathbb{C}$  for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Then -i)

$$(3) \quad K_0^{loc}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

-ii)

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}) &= \\ &= \oplus_{1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_2[J_{n,-1}] \oplus \oplus_{2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_2[J_{n,1}] \oplus \\ &\quad \oplus_{1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbb{Z}[J_{n,\lambda}] \end{aligned}$$

The group structure in  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  is provided by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} m_1[J_{n,\lambda}] + m_2[J_{n,\lambda^{-1}}] &= (m_1 - m_2)[J_{n,\lambda}], \quad \text{for any } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ -m[J_{n,\lambda}] &= m[J_{n,\lambda^{-1}}], \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem gives a taste the algebraic structure behind the construction of  $K_0^{loc}$  and  $K_1^{loc}$ .

*Proof.* -i) It is easy to compute  $K_0^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ . Any two idempotent matrices in  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  are conjugated if and only if they have the same rank; this could be seen, for example, by using their Jordan canonical forms. Therefore

$$(5) \quad K_0^{loc}(\mathbb{C}) = K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

-ii) We pass now to compute  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ . We will need a few lemmas.

In the computation of  $K_1^{loc}$ , the Jordan canonical form of the matrix  $J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}$  plays a central role. For this reason we present two proofs of the result, formula (18)-(19). The first proof is based on explicit formulas; the second is more conceptual.

We begin by determining the formula for  $J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}$ .

**Definition 4.** Consider the idempotent matrix

$$(6) \quad M_n := J_{n,\lambda} - \lambda I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for any  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$(7) \quad M_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0\dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1\dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., in this matrix the entries of the  $(k+1)^{th}$  diagonal, above the main diagonal, are equal to 1 while all other entries are equal to 0.

In consecutive powers of  $M_n$  the diagonal of 1's moves towards the upper right end of the matrix. From this it follows that any distinct powers  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , of  $M_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For larger powers of this matrix one has

$$(8) \quad M_n^k = 0, \quad n \leq k.$$

These well known formulas allow us to find  $J(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1})$ . Indeed,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= I - (-\frac{1}{\lambda}M_n)^n = (I - (-\frac{1}{\lambda}M_n)) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (-\frac{1}{\lambda}M_n)^k = \\ &= (\lambda J_{n,\lambda}) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (-\frac{1}{\lambda}M_n)^k, \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$(10) \quad (\lambda J_{n,\lambda})^{-1} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (-\frac{1}{\lambda}M_n)^k,$$

or

$$(11) \quad J_{n,\lambda}^{-1} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} M_n^k.$$

Therefore, for any  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$

$$(12) \quad (J_{n,\lambda}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}I)^l = \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} M_n^k \right)^l = \frac{(-1)^l}{\lambda^{2l}} M_n^l + \text{higher powers of } M_n.$$

These show that ( $2 \leq n$ )

$$(13) \quad 1 \leq \text{Rank} \left( J_{n,\lambda}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}I \right)^l = l-1 \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n-1$$

and

$$(14) \quad \text{Rank} \left( J_{n,\lambda}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}I \right)^n = 0.$$

All eigen-values of  $J_{n,\lambda}$  are equal to  $\lambda$ . The minimum power  $k$  for which

$$(15) \quad 1 \leq \text{Rank}(J_{n,\lambda} - \lambda \cdot 1_n)^k$$

$$(16) \quad (J_{n,\lambda} - \lambda \cdot 1_n)^{k+1} = 0$$

is  $n-1$ . For this reason, by definition, the number  $n$  will be called the *Jordan rank* of the matrix  $J_{n,\lambda}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{JR}(J_{n,\lambda})$ .

Formulas (13), (14) show, on the other side, that

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{JR}(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}) = n = \mathcal{JR}(J_{n,\lambda})$$

Given that there is just one Jordan cell with given Jordan rank and spectrum, this relation says that

$$(18) \quad J(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}) = J_{n,\lambda^{-1}}.$$

The next lemma states the same formula (18), but provides a different proof of it.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $J_{n,\lambda} \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $1 \leq n$ . Then*

$$(19) \quad J(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}) = J_{n,\lambda^{-1}}.$$

*Proof.* This is a second proof of (18) which does not use the explicit formula for  $J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}$  discussed above. As  $J_{n,\lambda} \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

The matrix  $J_{n,\lambda}$  is invertible. We get, for any  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$(20) \quad 1 \leq \text{Rank}(J_{n,\lambda} - \lambda 1_n)^k = \text{Rank}[J_{n,\lambda}^k \cdot (1_n - \lambda \cdot J_{n,\lambda}^{-1})^k] = \text{Rank}(1_n - \lambda \cdot J_{n,\lambda}^{-1})^k = \text{Rank}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} 1_n - J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}\right)^k$$

$$(21) \quad 0 = \text{Rank}(J_{n,\lambda} - \lambda 1_n)^n = \text{Rank}[J_{n,\lambda}^n \cdot (1_n - \lambda \cdot J_{n,\lambda}^{-1})^n] = \text{Rank}(1_n - \lambda \cdot J_{n,\lambda}^{-1})^n = \text{Rank}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} 1_n - J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}\right)^n$$

The spectrum of  $J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}$  consists of the sole value  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Formulas (20) and (21) say that

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{JR}(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}) = n = \mathcal{JR}(J_{n,\lambda}).$$

Given that there is just one Jordan cell with given Jordan rank and spectrum, this relation proves formula (19)

$$J(J_{n,\lambda}^{-1}) = J_{n,\lambda^{-1}}.$$

□

**Lemma 6.** Let  $U = (A \oplus A^{-1}) \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ , any  $1 \leq n$ .

-i) The Jordan canonical form for of  $U$  is

$$(23) \quad U \sim_l J(U) = \oplus_{\sum n_i=n} (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}}) = \sum_{\sum n_i=n} (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} + J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}})$$

with

$$(24) \quad (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} + J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}}) \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

-ii)

$$(25) \quad [J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}}] = -[J_{n_i,\lambda_i}] \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* A consequence of Lemma 5 is that the equivalence relation  $\sim_{\mathbb{O}}$  could produce relations between the Jordan canonical forms with eigen-values  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Inner automorphisms (and therefore the equivalence relation  $\sim_l$ ) do not mix up Jordan canonical forms with different values of the class  $\hat{\lambda}$ . Therefore, the only relations between generators of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  could occur within the Jordan canonical forms with *eigen-values within the same class  $\hat{\lambda}$* , or *separately, within* the Jordan canonical forms with eigen-values  $-1$  and  $+1$ . These cases will be discussed separately.

-i) Recall that the filtration of  $\mathbb{C}$  is trivial. This means any inner auto-morphism in  $\mathbb{GL}(\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}))$  is allowed and there is no limitation on the number of products.

The matrix  $U$  is the direct sum of the matrices  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$ . Although we would be allowed to use any inner auto-morphism of  $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$  to bring the matrix  $U$  to its Jordan canonical form, a direct sum of such inner auto-morphisms acting separately onto the two terms will produce the result.

We use an inner auto-morphisms to bring the matrix  $A$  to its Jordan canonical form

$$(26) \quad A \sim_l \oplus_{\sum n_i=n} J_{n_i,\lambda_i}.$$

We use this decomposition to replace both  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  in the expression of  $U$  and then we use Lemma 5 to get

$$(27) \quad U = A \oplus A^{-1} \sim_l \oplus_{\sum n_i=n} (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i,\lambda_i}^{-1}) \sim_l \oplus_{\sum n_i=n} (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}})$$

in which, any term  $J_{n_i,\lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i,\lambda_i}^{-1} \sim_l J_{n_i,\lambda_i} + J_{n_i,\lambda_i}^{-1} \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

The uniqueness part of the Jordan canonical form theorem assures that the decomposition (27) is precisely (except for permutation of the pairs in the direct sum) the Jordan canonical form of  $U$

$$(28) \quad J(U) = \oplus_{\sum n_i=n} (J_{n_i,\lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i,\lambda_i^{-1}}).$$

Therefore, any element  $A \oplus A^{-1} \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  splits-up in a direct sum of elements in  $\mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ; each of these terms represents the zero element in  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ .

-ii) As  $(J_{n_i, \lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i, \lambda_i}^{-1}) \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ , one has

$$(29) \quad 0 = [J_{n_i, \lambda_i} \oplus J_{n_i, \lambda_i}^{-1}] = [J_{n_i, \lambda_i}] + [J_{n_i, \lambda_i}^{-1}] \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore

$$(30) \quad [J_{n_i, \lambda_i}^{-1}] = -[J_{n_i, \lambda_i}] \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}).$$

□

**Lemma 7.** -i) The Jordan cells are generators of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  subject to the following relations

$$(31) \quad [J_{1,1}] = 0$$

$$(32) \quad 2 [J_{n,-1}] = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(33) \quad 2 [J_{n,1}] = 0 \text{ for any } 2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(34) \quad [J_n, \lambda] + [J_n, \lambda^{-1}] = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lambda \neq -1, 1.$$

-ii) In  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  there no other relations between the classes of Jordan cells besides (31) - (34).

*Proof.* -i) The first relation (31) is a consequence of the stabilisation equivalence relation

$$(35) \quad A \sim_s A + 1_1 \text{ implies } [A] = [A + 1_1] = [A] + [1_1] \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Formulas (32) and (33) are consequences of formula (30) for the only two values of  $\lambda$  which satisfy the condition  $\lambda = \lambda^{-1}$ .

Formula (34) is formula (30) in the remaining cases.

-ii) In what follows we show that between the classes of the Jordan canonical forms, in  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ , there are no other relations besides the relations (31) - (34).

Any generator  $A$  of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e. invertible matrix, decomposes in a direct sum of invertible Jordan cells; this decomposition is unique modulo a permutation of them.

The construction of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ , see Definitions 19 and 20 of [4], say that any element of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  is represented by  $[u]$ , where  $u \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We start with two generic generators in  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$  which represent the same element in this group and we look for all relations which will deem necessary at the level of  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ . Suppose then

$$(36) \quad (u_1 + \xi_1) \sim_l (u_2 + \xi_2) \text{ with } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

(in this formula we have assumed that both sides of the equation were sufficiently stabilised) We get the *necessary relations* between the involved Jordan canonical forms

$$(37) \quad [u_1] = [u_2] \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } [\xi_1] = [\xi_2] = 0.$$

We will show that relations (31) - (34) of this lemma imply formula (37).

Indeed, the equation (36) says that at the level of Jordan canonical forms of both sides

$$(38) \quad J(u_1 + \xi_1) = J(u_2 + \xi_2).$$

The discussion made in the proof of Lemma 6. -i) shows that each of the four elements of the equation (36) may be individually brought to its canonical Jordan form. The uniqueness part of the Jordan canonical form theorem tells that

$$(39) \quad J(u_1) \cup J(\xi_1) = J(u_2) \cup J(\xi_2).$$

Both sides of this formula have to be seen as consisting of the union of the Jordan cells, each cell counted with its multiplicity; i.e. the two sides of the equation (38) (39) consist precisely of the same Jordan cells counted with their multiplicities.

We recall, Definition 2, that  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\} / \sim_r$  where  $\sim_r$  is the equivalence relation  $\lambda \sim_r \lambda'$  if and only if  $\lambda = \lambda'$  or  $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda'$ . Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  be the equivalence class of  $\lambda$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We decompose the set of Jordan cells appearing in the formula (39) in disjoint groups  $J_{n_i, \lambda}$ , according to the size  $n_i$  and eigen-value  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(40) \quad J_{n_i, \lambda}(u_1) := \{ \text{Jordan cells of } u_1 \text{ of size } n_i \text{ and eigen-value } \lambda \}$$

$$(41) \quad J_{n_i, \lambda}(\xi_1) := \{ \text{Jordan cells of } \xi_1 \text{ of size } n_i \text{ and eigen-value } \lambda \}$$

$$(42) \quad J_{n_i, \lambda}(u_2) := \{ \text{Jordan cells of } u_2 \text{ of size } n_i \text{ and eigen-value } \lambda \}.$$

$$(43) \quad J_{n_i, \lambda}(\xi_2) := \{ \text{Jordan cells of } \xi_2 \text{ of size } n_i \text{ and eigen-value } \lambda \}$$

We will analyse first the case in which the class  $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  contains to *distinct* elements  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_0^{-1}$ .

Let  $a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_\alpha)$ , resp.  $a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_\alpha)$ , represent the number of times the Jordan cell  $J_{n_i, \lambda_0}$ , resp.  $J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}$ , appears in  $J_{n_i, \hat{\lambda}}(u_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ .

Analogously, let  $b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_\alpha)$ , resp.  $b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_\alpha)$ , be the number of times the Jordan cell  $J_{n_i, \lambda_0}$ , resp.  $J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}$ , appears in  $J_{n_i, \hat{\lambda}}(\xi_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ .

The formula (39) gives, for the chosen size and eigen-values

$$(44) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1))J_{n_i, \lambda_0} = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2))J_{n_i, \lambda_0}$$

$$(45) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_1))J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}} = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_2))J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}$$

We extract the coefficients from the formulas (44) and (45); we obtain the information

$$(46) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1)) = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2))$$

$$(47) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_1)) = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_2))$$



On the other side, formulas (23), (24), (25) give us the second group of relations between the coefficients

$$(48) \quad b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1) = b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_1)$$

$$(49) \quad b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_2) = b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2).$$

The equations (44)-(46), (45)-(47) and (48), (49) describe the Jordan composition of the the conjugate elements  $\tilde{u}_1 := (u_1 + \xi_1)$ ,  $\tilde{u}_2 := (u_2 + \xi_2)$ .

We pass to the  $K_1^{loc}$  classes of these invertible elements appearing in the formulas (44) - (45). We get

$$(50) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1))[J_{n_i, \lambda}] = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2))[J_{n_i, \lambda}]$$

and

$$(51) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_1))[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}] = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2) + b_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(\xi_1))[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}].$$

We sum up formulas (50), (51). We use the formulas (48), (49) to get

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}] + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1)([J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + [J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}]) = \\ & = a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}] + b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2)([J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + [J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}]) \end{aligned}$$

We take into account formula (34) again to get rid of the terms coming from  $[\xi_1]$  and  $\xi_2$ ; formula (52) becomes

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}] = \\ & = a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

This relation is precisely formula (37).

The formulas (40) to (53) have referred to the case of Jordan canonical forms with  $\lambda^{-1} \neq \lambda$ . We consider the remaining cases in which follows.

We assume again the situation described by equations (36), (38). We need to show that (37) holds in the special case in which the class  $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  contains just one element instead of two.

We use again formulas (40) - (43) and the corresponding coefficients  $a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_\alpha)$ ,  $b_{n_i, \lambda}(u_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ .

Keeping in mind that  $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda$ , one has  $J(J_{n_i, \lambda^{-1}}) = J(J_{n_i, \lambda})$  and hence

$$(54) \quad \xi_\alpha = J_{n_i, \lambda} \oplus J_{n_i, \lambda^{-1}} = 2J_{n_i, \lambda}$$

and

$$(55) \quad [\xi_\alpha] = [J_{n_i, \lambda} \oplus J_{n_i, \lambda}] = 2[J_{n_i, \lambda}] = 0 \in K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$$

With regard to the previous equations (44) - (47), we see that in this special case we do not obtain pairs of such equations, but just one

$$(56) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_1) + 2b_{n_i, \lambda}(\xi_1))J_{n_i, \lambda} = (a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_2) + 2b_{n_i, \lambda}(\xi_2))J_{n_i, \lambda}.$$

We extract the coefficients from the formula (56); the Jordan composition of the invertible matrices of the formulas (36), (38) provides the information

$$(57) \quad a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) + 2b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_1) = a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) + 2b_{n_i, \lambda_0}(\xi_2).$$

Formula (57) gives

$$(58) \quad a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) \cong a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) \pmod{2}.$$

We come back to the formula (56) (which expresses the Jordan composition of the four invertible elements of formula (36)) to look for necessary relations inside  $K_1^{loc}(\mathbb{C})$

$$(59) \quad a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda}] = a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda}].$$

Relation (58) says that the formula (59) is indeed verified if the coefficients  $a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_1)$ ,  $a_{n_i, \lambda}(u_2)$  are taken modulo 2. This is precisely what formulas (32), (33) of the lemma say.

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

We come back to the proof of Theorem 3. Lemma 7 provides most of the information stated by Theorem 3. We only need to explain how the last term of the formula (4) appears. We already established formula (53)

$$(60) \quad a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}] = a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda}] + a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2)[J_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}].$$

We use relation (30) to eliminate  $[J_{n_i, \lambda^{-1}}]$  from formula (60); we get

$$(61) \quad (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_1) - a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_1))[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] = (a_{n_i, \lambda_0}(u_2) - a_{n_i, \lambda_0^{-1}}(u_2))[J_{n_i, \lambda_0}] \in \mathbb{Z}$$

which explains how integer coefficients appear in the formula (4).

This ends the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Teleman N.: *Local*<sup>3</sup> Index Theorem. arXiv: 1109.6095v1 [math.KT], 28 Sep. 2011.
- [2] Teleman N.: *Local* Hochschild Homology of Hilbert-Schmidt Operators on Simplicial Spaces. arXiv hal-00707040, Version 1, 11 June 2012.
- [3] Teleman N.: The *Local* Index Theorem. HAL-00825083, arXiv :1305.5329v2 [math.KT] , 24 May 2013.
- [4] Teleman N.: *Local* Algebraic *K*-Theory. arXiv:1307.7014v2 [math.KT], 29 Jul 2013