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# ON THE HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF OPEN FROBENIUS ALGEBRAS 

HOSSEIN ABBASPOUR


#### Abstract

We prove that the Hochschild homology (and cohomology) of a symmetric open Frobenius algebra $A$ has a natural coBV and BV structure. The underlying coalgebra and algebra structure may not be resp. counital and unital. Moreover we prove that the product and coproduct satisfy the Frobenius compatibility condition i.e. the coproduct on $H H_{*}(A)$ is a map of left and right $H H_{*}(A)$-modules. If $A$ is commutative, we also introduced a natural BV structure on the relative Hochschild homology $\widetilde{H H}_{*}(A)$ after a shift in degree. We anticipate that the product of this BV structure to be related to the Goresky-Hingston product on the cohomology of free loop spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

There have been many attempts in finding an algebraic model for the string topology operations introduced by Chas-Sullivan ([CS, CS04]) and Cohen-Godin ([CG04]). One approach is to use the Hochschild cohomology of (closed) Frobenius algebras [CJ02, Mer04, Tra08, TZ06, Kau07, Kau08, Men09, WW]. In particular Félix-Thomas [FT08] proved that over rationals and for any closed simply connected manifold $M$ the Chas-Sullivan BV-algebra $H_{*}(L M)$ is isomorphic to $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ where $A$ is a finite dimensional model (i.e. Frobenius algebra) for the cochains algebra $M$.

In [KS09] Kontsevich-Soibelman constructed an action of the chains of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces on the Hochschild complex of a Frobenius algebras. This is a special of Constello's theorem [Cos07] for Calabi-Yau categories and induces a natural BV and coBV structure on the the Hochschild homology and the Frobenius compatibility between the BV product and coBV coproduct.

In this paper we assume that $A$ is a symmetric open Frobenius algebra (unital) therefore $A$ is no endowed with a (homotopic) non-degenerate scaler product. Instead $A$ is equipped with a compatible pair of product and coproduct. We prove that the Hochschild homology $H H_{*}(A, A)$ is a coBV (Section 3) and BV algebra (Section 4). As a result, the Hochschild cohomology $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ is also BV and coBV. Moreover, in Section 6 we prove that the product and coproduct satisfy the Frobenius compatibility as well. Such a compatibility was expected in the light of Cohen-Godin work for free loop spaces (of not necessarily closed manifolds).

It is worth mentioning that $H H_{*}(A, A)$ is generally not a unital algebra (or equivalently $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ is not counital), reflecting the fact (in the geometric side) the free loop spaces are infinite dimensional manifolds thus their homology are not conunital. Similarly $H H_{*}(A, A)$ is not counital (or equivalently $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ is not unital) because the underlying manifold of the cochain complex $A$ may not be a closed compact one. We reall that a unit for the Chas-Sullivan algebra on $H *(L M)$ exist if anf only if the underlying manifold is closed manifold in which case the cycle consisting of constant loops is the unit.

We also identify a natural BV-product on the relative Hochschild homology after a shift in degree. We believe that this product should be an algebraic model of the Goresky-Hingston [GH09] product on the relative cohomology $H^{*}(L M, M)$. As far as we know this product was not known even for (closed) Frobenius algebras.

Our results can potentially be used to give an algebraic model for the string topology of not necessarily closed manifolds. That would require generalizing our results to an appropriate homotopic setting.
Convention: Here, for the sake of simplicity, we work over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Readers interested in sign discussion are referred to [WW, Tra08]. Throughout this paper $\mathbf{k}$ is a commutative ring and $A=\mathbf{k} \oplus \bar{A}$ is an augmented unital differential associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra with $\operatorname{deg} d_{A}=+1, \bar{A}=A / \mathbf{k}$ i.e. $\bar{A}$ is the kernel of the augmentation $\epsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to Nathalie Wahl for many helpful communications. I would like to thank Alexandre Quesney who read the first draft and proposed one of the homotopies in Section 5.

## 2. Open Frobenius algebras and BV-Algebras

Definition 2.1. (DG open Frobenius algebra). A differential graded open Frobenius $\mathbf{k}$-algebra of degree $m$ is a triple $(A, \cdot, \delta)$ such that:
(1) $(A, \cdot)$ is a unital differential graded associative algebra whose product has degree zero,
(2) $(A, \delta)$ is differential graded coassociative coalgebra whose coproduct has degree $m$,
(3) $\delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a right and left $A$-module map which using (simplified) Sweedler' notation reads

$$
\sum_{(x . y)}(x . y)^{\prime} \otimes(x y)^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(y)} x \cdot y^{\prime} \otimes y^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(x)}(-1)^{m|x|} x^{\prime} \otimes x^{\prime \prime} \cdot y
$$

Here we have simplified Sweedler's notation for the coproduct $\delta x=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} \otimes x_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, to $\delta x=\sum_{(x)} x^{\prime} \otimes x^{\prime \prime}$ where $(x)$ should be thought of as the index set for $i$ 's. We shall say $(A, \cdot, \delta)$ is symmetric if $\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime} \otimes 1^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime \prime} \otimes 1^{\prime}$.

We recall that an ordinary (DG) Frobenius algebra, sometimes called closed Frobenius $(D G)$ algebra, is a unital associative differential graded algebra equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric inner product $\langle-,-\rangle$ which is invariant i.e

$$
\langle x y, z\rangle=\langle x, y z\rangle .
$$

In particular the inner product allows us to identify $A$ with its dual $A^{\vee}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, \mathbf{k})$ (as right and left $A$-modules) and defines a coproduct on $A$ by

$$
A \simeq A^{\vee \text { dual of product }}(A \otimes A)^{\vee} \simeq A^{\vee} \otimes A^{\vee} \simeq A \otimes A \text {. }
$$

The coproduct is coassociative and satisfies condition (3) of the definition above, in other words a closed Frobenius algebra is also an open Frobenius algebra. Moreover, $\eta: A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ defined by $\eta(x)=\langle x \mid 1\rangle$ is a counit (trace), that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(x)} \eta\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime \prime}=x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence we have the following identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(x)} \eta\left(x^{\prime} y\right) x^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(x)}(-1)^{\left|x^{\prime}\right| m} \eta\left(x^{\prime \prime} y\right) x^{\prime}=x y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example: An important example of symmetric open Frobenius algebra is the cohomology with compact support $H_{c}^{*}(M)$ of an oriented $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ (not necessarily closed). Note that $H_{c}^{*}(M)$ is naturally equipped with the usual cup product and using the Poincaré duality (see [Hat02] Theorem 3.35),

$$
H_{c}^{*}(M) \simeq H_{*-n}(M)
$$

one can transfer the natural coproduct $\Delta$ on $H_{*}(M)$ to $H_{c}^{*}(M)$. Then $\left(H_{c}^{*}(M), \cup, \Delta\right)$ is a symmetric open Frobenius algebra whose differential is identically zero. The Frobenius compatibility condition is satisfied because the Poincaré duality isomorphism above is a map of $H_{c}^{*}(M)$-modules. Over rationals, $H^{*}(M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{c}^{*}(M), \mathbb{Q}\right)$ thus $H^{*}(M)$ is an open Frobenius algebra as well. Therefore lifting this open Frobenius algebra structure to the cochain level and a modification of our work to a homotopic setting, would provide a new algebraic model for the string topology operation on $H_{*}(L M)$. Some works in this direction have been done by Wilson [Wil] and Chen [Che12].

If $M$ is a closed then $H^{*}(M)=H_{c}^{*}(M)$ is indeed a closed Frobenius manifold since $H^{*}(M)$ has a counit given by $\int: H^{*}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be evaluation on the fundamental class of $M$ and the Poincaré duality is given by capping with the fundamental class. The non-degenrate inner product is defined by $\langle x, y\rangle:=\int_{[M]} x \cup y$. Over the rationals it is possible to lift this Frobenius algebra structure to the cochains level. By a result of Lambrechts and Stanley [LS08], there is a connected finite dimensional commutative DG algebra $A$ which is quasi-isomorphic to $C^{*}(M)$ the cochains of a given $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ and is equipped with a bimodule isomorphism $A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ inducing the Poincaré duality $H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H_{*-n}(M)$.

Proposition 2.2. (1) A closed Frobenius algebra is finite dimensional and symmetric.
(2) An open Frobenius algebra with a counit is indeed a closed Frobenius algebra, in particular it is finite dimensional.
(3) A symmetric and commutative open Frobenis algebra is cocommutative.
(4) For any $z$ in a symmetric open Frobenius $A, \sum_{(z)} z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime}$ belongs to the center of $A$.
Proof. 1) The finite dimensionality follows from the identity $x=\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime}\left\langle x \mid 1^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ where $\delta(1)=\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime} \otimes 1^{\prime \prime}$. Being symmetric is a consequence of the inner product being symmetric. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle y, z\rangle=\langle 1, y z\rangle=\sum\left\langle 1^{\prime}, y\right\rangle\left\langle 1^{\prime \prime}, z\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

left hand side being symmetric in terms of $y$ and $z$ implies that $\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime} \otimes 1^{\prime \prime}=$ $\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime \prime} \otimes 1^{\prime}$.
2) The inner product can be defined using the counit by setting $\langle x, y\rangle=\eta(x y)$. It is clearly invariant and the nondegeneracy follows from the identity (2.2) for $x=1$ : If $\langle y, z\rangle=0$ for all $z$, then

$$
y=\sum_{(x)}(-1)^{\left|x^{\prime}\right| m} \eta\left(1^{\prime \prime} y\right) 1^{\prime}=0
$$

3) $\sum x^{\prime} \otimes x^{\prime \prime}=\sum x 1^{\prime} \otimes 1^{\prime \prime}=\sum x 1^{\prime \prime} \otimes 1^{\prime}=\sum 1^{\prime \prime} x \otimes 1^{\prime}=\sum x^{\prime \prime} \otimes x^{\prime}$.
4) 

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \sum_{(z)} z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime} & =\sum_{(z)} x z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime}=\sum_{(1)} x 1^{\prime \prime} z 1^{\prime}=\sum_{(1)} x 1^{\prime} z 1^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(x)} x^{\prime} z x^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime} z 1^{\prime \prime} x \\
& =\sum_{(1)} 1^{\prime \prime} z 1^{\prime} x=\sum_{(z)} z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us explain how the Frobenius algebras give rise to BV-algebra, but before we recall the definition of the BV-algebras and the definition of Hochschild homology and cohomology.

Definition 2.3. (Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra) A BV-algebra is a Gerstenhaber algebra $\left(V^{*}, \cdot,[-,-]\right)$ with a degree one operator $\Delta: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{*+1}$ whose deviation from being a derivation for the product $\cdot$ is the bracket $[-,-]$, i.e.

$$
[a, b]:=(-1)^{|a|} \Delta(a b)-(-1)^{|a|} \Delta(a) b-a \Delta(b)
$$

and $\Delta^{2}=0$.
There are very interesting examples using the differential forms of Riemannian or symplectic manifolds, which are essentially due to Kozsul [Kos85]. The inspiring example for us is the homology of the free loop space $L M:=C^{0}\left(S^{1}, M\right)$ of an oriented manifold [CS] for which an algebraic model can be obtained using Hochschild cohomology of cochains algebras of $M$ [Jon87]. Let us recall the definition of the Hochschild complex.

A differential graded $(A, d)$-module, or $A$-module for short, is a k-complex $(M, d)$ together with an (left) $A$-module structure $\cdot: A \times M \rightarrow M$ such that $d_{M}(a m)=$ $d_{A}(a) m+(-1)^{|a|} a d_{M}(m)$. The multiplication map is of degree zro i.e. $\operatorname{deg}(a m)=$ $\operatorname{deg} a+\operatorname{deg} m$. In particular, the identity above implies that the differential of $M$ has to be of degree 1 .

Similarly for a $\left(M, d_{M}\right)$ a graded differential $(A, d)$ - bimodule, we have

$$
d_{M}(a m b)=d_{A}(a) m b-(-1)^{|a|} a d_{M}(m) b+(-1)^{|a|+|m|} a m d_{A} b
$$

or equivalently, $M$ is a ( $A^{e}:=A \otimes A^{o p}, d_{A} \otimes 1+1 \otimes d_{A}$ ) DG-module where $A^{o p}$ is the algebra whose underlying graded vector space is $A$ with the opposite multiplication of $A$, i.e. $a^{o p} \cdot b=(-1)^{|a| \cdot| | b \mid} b \cdot a$. From now on $\operatorname{Mod}(A)$ denotes the category of (left or right) (differential) $A$-modules and $\operatorname{Mod}\left(A^{e}\right)$ denotes the category of differential $A$ bimodules. All modules considered in this article are differential modules. We will also drop the indices from the differential when there is no possibility of confusion. The (normalized) Hochschild chain complex with coefficients in $M$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{*}(A, M):=M \otimes T(s \bar{A}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and comes equipped with a degree +1 differential $D=d_{0}+d_{1}$. We recall that $T V=\oplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$ denotes the tensor algebra of a k-module $V$.

The internal differential is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{0}\left(m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{\epsilon_{i}} m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, d_{A} a_{i}, \ldots a_{n}\right]  \tag{2.5}\\
& -(-1)^{\epsilon_{n}} d_{M} m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and the external differential is

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1}\left(m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right) & =m a_{1}\left[a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]+ \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{\epsilon_{i}} m\left[a_{1}, \cdots a_{i} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n}\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
& -(-1)^{\epsilon_{n}} a_{n} m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with $\epsilon_{i}=\left|a_{1}\right|+\cdots\left|a_{i}\right|-i$. Note that the degree of $m\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|-n+|m|$.
When $M=A$, by definition $\left(C_{*}(A), D=d_{0}+d_{1}\right):=\left(C_{*}(A, A), D=d_{0}+d_{1}\right)$ is the Hochschild chain complex of $A$ and $H H_{*}(A, A):=\operatorname{ker} D / \operatorname{im} D$ is the Hochschild cohomology of $A$.

Similarly we define the $M$-valued Hochschild cochain of $A$ to be

$$
C^{*}(A, M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(T(s \bar{A}), M)
$$

For a homogenous cochain complex $f \in C^{n}(A, M)$, the degree $|f|$ is defined to be the degree of the linear map $f:(s \bar{A})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M$. In the case of Hochschild cochains, the external differential of $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(s \bar{A}^{\otimes n}, M\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1}(f)\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) & =-(-1)^{\left(\left|a_{1}\right|+1\right)|f|} a_{1} f\left(a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)+  \tag{2.7}\\
& -\sum_{i=2}^{n}(-1)^{\epsilon_{i}} f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1} a_{i}, \cdots a_{n}\right)+(-1)^{\epsilon_{n}} f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right) a_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{i}=|f|+\left|a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{i-1}\right|-i+1$. The internal differential of $f \in C^{*}(A, M)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0} f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=d_{M} f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{\epsilon_{i}} f\left(a_{1}, \cdots d_{A} a_{i} \cdots, a_{n}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $M=A^{\vee}$ the k-dual of $A$, by definition $\left(C^{*}(A), D=d_{0}+d_{1}\right):=\left(C_{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right), D=\right.$ $\left.d_{0}+d_{1}\right)$ is the Hochschild cochain complex of $A$ and $H H^{*}(A, A):=\operatorname{ker} D / \operatorname{im} D$ is the Hochschild cohomology of $A$. Note that $C^{*}(A)$ is k-dual of $C_{*}(A)$.

Gerstenhaber bracket and cup product: When $M=A$, for $x \in C^{m}(A, A)$ and $y \in$ $C^{n}(A, A)$ one defines the cup product $x \cup y \in C^{m+n}(A, A)$ and the Gerstenhaber bracket $[x, y] \in C^{m+n-1}(A, A)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \cup y)\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m+n}\right):=(-1)^{|y|\left(\sum_{i \leq m}\left|a_{i}\right|+1\right)} x\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right) y\left(a_{n+1}, \cdots, a_{m+n}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]:=x \circ y-(-1)^{(|x|+1)(|y|+1)} y \circ x, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left(x \circ_{j} y\right)\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m+n-1}\right)=(-1)^{(|y|+1) \sum_{i \leq j}\left(\left|a_{i}\right|+1\right)} x\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j}, y\left(a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_{j+m}\right), \cdots\right)$.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \circ y=\sum_{j} x \circ_{j} y \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is not an associative product. It turns out that the operations $\cup$ and $[-,-]$ are chain maps, hence they define two well-defined operations on $H H^{*}(A, A)$. Moreover,

Theorem 2.4. (Gerstenhaber [Ger63]) Let $A$ be a differential graded associative algebra. $\left(H H^{*}(A, A), \cup,[-,-]\right)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra that is:
(1) $\cup$ is an associative and graded commutative product,
(2) $[x, y \cup z]=[x, y] \cup z+(-1)^{(|x|-1)|y|} y \cup[x, z]$ (Leibniz rule),
(3) $[x, y]=(-1)^{(|x|-1)(|y|-1)}[y, x]$,
(4) $[[x, y], z]=[x,[y, z]]-(-1)^{(|x|-1)(|y|-1)}[y,[x, z]]$ (Jacobi identity).

The homotopy for the commutativity of the cup product $x \cup y$ is given by $x \circ y$.
The following theorem how a Frobenius algebra gives rise to a BV-algebra.
Theorem 2.5. (Tradler [Tra08]) The Hochschild cohomology $H H^{*}(A, A)$ of a Frobenius algebra $A$ is naturally a $B V$-algebra whose underlying Gerstenhaber structure is the standard one [Ger63]. The BV-operator corresponds to Connes' operator $B^{\vee}$ using the natural isomorphism $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right) \simeq H H^{*}(A, A)$. Dually, the Hochschild homology $H H_{*}(A, A)$ is a coBV-algebra.

The main idea here is that we try to identify the (co)BV-structures directly on $H H_{*}(A, A)$ and it dual theory $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ rather than $H H^{*}(A, A)$.

## 3. coBV structure on Hochschild homology

In this section we present a natural coBV-structure on the Hochschild homology of a symmetric open Frobenius algebra. The natural candidate for the coBV operator on $H H_{*}(A, A)$ the Connes operator $B$, so we just need a coproduct on the Hochshild chains $C_{*}(A, A)$. This is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right), 0 \leq i \leq n}+\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives rise to a product for Hochschild cochains as follows: For $f, g \in$ $C^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}(A \otimes T(s \bar{A}), \mathbf{k})$ we set

$$
(f * g)(x):=\mu(f \otimes g) \theta(x)
$$

where $\mu: \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the multiplication. More explicitly

$$
(f * g)\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right), 0 \leq i<n}+f\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right) g\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)
$$

In the case of a closed Froebnius algbera this product corresponds to the standard cup product on $H H^{*}(A, A)$ using the isomorphism

$$
H H^{*}(A, A) \simeq H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)
$$

induced by the inner product on $A$. More explicitly we identify $A$ with $A^{\vee}$ using the map $a \mapsto\left(a^{\vee}(x):=\langle a, x\rangle\right)$. Therefore to a cochain $f \in C^{*}(A, A), f: A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$, corresponds a cochain $\tilde{f} \in C^{*}(A)=C^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ given by $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(A^{\otimes n}, A^{\vee}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A^{\otimes(n+1)}, \mathbf{k}\right)$,

$$
\tilde{f}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots a_{n}\right):=\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{n}\right), a_{0}\right\rangle .
$$

The inverse of this isomorphism is given by

$$
f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{n}\right):=\sum_{(1)} \tilde{f}\left(1^{\prime}, a_{1}, \cdots a_{n}\right) 1^{\prime \prime} .
$$

For two cochain $f: A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A$ and $g: A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ in $C^{*}(A, A)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f \cup g}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right) & =\left\langle(f \cup g)\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right), a_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p}\right) g\left(a_{p+1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right), a_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p}\right), g\left(a_{p+1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right) a_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)}\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p}\right),\left\langle g\left(a_{p+1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right), a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle a_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)}\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p}\right),\left\langle g\left(a_{p+1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right), a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle a_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)}\left\langle f\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{p}\right), a_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle g\left(a_{p+1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right), a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \\
& =(\tilde{f} * \tilde{g})\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots a_{p+q}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1. For a symmetric open Frobenius algebra $A,\left(H H_{*}(A, A), \theta, B\right)$ is a coBV-algebra. As a consequence $\left(H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right), \theta^{\vee}, B^{\vee}\right)$ is a $B V$-algebra. In particular if $A$ is closed Frobenius algebra, then using the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C C^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right), \theta^{\vee}\right) \simeq\left(C C^{*}(A, A), \cup\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H H^{*}(A, A), \cup\right)$ is naturally a BV-algebra whose BV-operator is image of the Connes operators $B$ under the isomorphism (3.2). This recovers Tradler's [Tra08], Theorem 2.5 result for closed Frobenius algebras.

Proof. To prove the theorem we show that $\left(C_{*}(A, A), \theta, B\right)$ is a homotopy coBV coalgebra. It is a direct check that $\theta$ is co-associative. Just like the computation above, we transfer the homotopy for commutative of the cup product a to $C^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ and then dualize it. It turns out that the obtained formula only depends on the product and coproduct, so it makes also sense for open Frobenius algebras. The homotopy for co-commutativity is given by
$h\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right):=\sum_{(1), 0 \leq i<j \leq n+1}\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i}, 1^{\prime \prime}, a_{j}, \cdots a_{n}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime}\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}\right]\right)$.
where for $j=n+1$ and $i=0$ the correspondings terms are respectively

$$
\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime}\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(a_{0}\left[1^{\prime \prime}, a_{j}, \cdots a_{n}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}\right]\right)
$$

It is a direct check that $h d-(d \otimes 1+1 \otimes d) h=\theta-\tau \circ \theta$ where $\tau: C_{*}(A) \otimes C_{*}(A) \rightarrow$ $C_{*}(A) \otimes C_{*}(A)$ is given by $\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)=+\alpha_{2} \otimes \alpha_{1}$.

To prove that the 7 -term (coBV) relation holds, we use the Chas-Sullivan [CS] idea (see also [Tra08]) in the case of the free loop space adapted to the combinatorial (simplicial) situation. First we identify the Gerstenhaber co-bracket explicitly. Let

$$
S:=h-\tau \circ h
$$

Once proven $S$ is, up to homotopy, the deviation of $B$ from being a coderivation for $\theta$, the 7 -term homotopy coBV relation is equivalent to the homotopy co-Leibniz identity for $S$.

Co-Leibniz identity: The idea of the proof is identical to Lemma 4.6 [CS]. We prove that up to some homotopy we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\theta \otimes i d) S=(i d \otimes \tau)(S \otimes i d) \theta+(i d \otimes S) \theta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a direct check that

$$
(i d \otimes \tau)(h \otimes i d) \theta+(i d \otimes h) \theta=(\theta \otimes i d) h
$$

so to prove (3.4) we should prove that up to some homotopy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i d \otimes \tau)(\tau h \otimes i d) \theta+(i d \otimes \tau h) \theta=(\theta \otimes i d) \tau h \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homotopy is given by $H: C^{*}(A) \rightarrow\left(C^{*}(A)\right)^{\otimes 3}$

$$
H\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{0 \leq l<i \leq j<k} \sum_{(1),(1)}\left(1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{l}, \cdots a_{i-1}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{j}, \cdots a_{k-1}\right]\right)
$$

$$
\bigotimes a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}, 1^{\prime}, a_{i} \cdots a_{j-1}, 1^{\prime}, a_{k}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]
$$

Note that in the sum above the sequence $a_{i} \cdots a_{j-1}$ can be empty. The identity

$$
(d \otimes i d \otimes i d+i d \otimes d \otimes i d+i d \otimes i d \otimes d) H-H d=(i d \otimes \tau)(\tau h \otimes i d) \theta+(i d \otimes \tau h) \theta-(\theta \otimes i d) \tau h
$$

can be checked directly.

Compatibility of $B$ and $S$ : The final step is to prove that $S=\theta B+(B \otimes i d+i d \otimes B) \theta$ up to homotopy. To that end we prove that $h$ is homotopic to $(\theta B)_{2}-(B \otimes i d) \theta$ and similarly $\tau h \simeq(\theta B)_{1}-(i d \otimes B) \theta$ where $\theta B=(\theta B)_{1}+(\theta B)_{2}$, with
$(\theta B)_{1}\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \sum_{(1)}\left(1^{\prime}\left[a_{i}, \cdots, a_{j}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{0} \cdots, a_{i-1}\right]\right)$.
and
$(\theta B)_{2}\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{0<j<i \leq n} \sum_{(1)}\left(1^{\prime}\left[a_{i}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{0}, a_{1} \cdots, a_{j}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}\right]\right)$.
It can be easily checked that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum_{0 \leq k<j \leq i \leq n} \sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)}\left(1\left[a_{i}, \cdots a_{n}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}, 1^{\prime}, a_{j}, \cdots a_{i-1}\right]\right) \\
\bigotimes\left(1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{k+1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a homotopy between $h$ and $(\theta B)_{2}-(B \otimes i d) \theta$. In the formulae describing $H$, the sequence $a_{j}, \cdots, a_{i-1}$ can be empty.

While computing $d H$ we encounter, the terms corresponding to $k=0$ is exactly

$$
(B \otimes 1) \theta\left(a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)=\sum\left(1\left[a_{j}, \cdots, a_{i}, a_{0}^{\prime}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}\right]\right) \bigotimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]\right)
$$

Similarly one proves that $\tau h \simeq(\theta B)_{1}-(i d \otimes B) \theta$.
Remark 3.2. By Félix-Thomas [Fél] theorem, this cup product on $H H^{*}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$ provides an algebraic model for the Chas-Sullivan product on $H_{*}(L M)$ the homology of the free loop space of closed oriented manifold $M$. Here one must work over a field of characteristic zero and for $A$ one can take the closed (commutative) Frobenius algebra provided by Lambreschts-Stanley result [LS08] on the existence of an algebraic model with Poincaré duality for the cochains of a closed oriented maniflold.

## 4. BV structure on Hochschild homology

Although there is no action of the chains of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces on the Hochschild complex of an open Frobenius algebra, some parts of such action in the case of (closed) Frobenius algebras can be formulated using the product and coproduct of the underlying algebra (see also [WW]). Therefore one can write downvarious operations in the Hochschild homology of an open Frobenius algebra, but the desired identities have to be proved directly by giving explicitly the required homotopies. Here is one example.

Theorem 4.1. For $A$ a symmetric open Frobenius algebra, $H H_{*}(A, A)$ can be naturally equipped with a $B V$-structure whose $B V$-operator is Connes' operator and the product at the chain level is given by

$$
a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right] \circ b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n>0  \tag{4.1}\\ a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots b_{m}\right] & \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.2 (4), it is easily checked that o is a chain map. The product $\circ$ is strictly associative. We only have to check this for $x=a[\quad], y=b[\quad]$ and $z=c\left[c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right]$. We have

$$
(x \circ y) \circ z=\sum\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime} c_{0}\left[c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right]=\sum b_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime} c_{0}\left[c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right]
$$

which by Proposition 2.2 (4) is equal to

$$
\sum a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime \prime} b_{0}^{\prime} c_{0}\left[c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right]=x \circ(y \circ z)
$$

Next we prove that the product is commutative up to homotopy. Indeed the homotopy for $x=a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$ and $y=b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]$ is given by

$$
K(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{(a)} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right] \text { if } m=0 \quad \& \quad n \geq 0  \tag{4.2}\\
\sum_{(a)} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{0}^{\prime} a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m},\right] \text { if } m \geq 0 \quad \& \quad n=0 \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof of this fact relies on the following identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n} a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right] & =\sum a_{0} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n} 1^{\prime \prime}\right]=\sum a_{0} 1^{\prime \prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n} 1^{\prime}\right] \\
& =\sum a_{0} b_{n}^{\prime \prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}^{\prime}\right]=\sum a_{0} 1^{\prime \prime} b_{n} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, 1^{\prime}\right]  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\sum a_{0} 1^{\prime} b_{n} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, 1^{\prime \prime}\right]=\sum a_{0}^{\prime} b_{n} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In the particular case of $x=a[\quad]$ and $y=b[\quad]$ and for the external differential we have
$d_{1} K(x, y)=\sum\left(a^{\prime} b a^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b\right)=\sum a 1^{\prime} b 1^{\prime \prime}-x \circ y=\sum a 1^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime}-x \circ y=\sum a b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}-x \circ y$ which by Proposition 2.2 (4) is,

$$
\sum b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} a-x y=y \circ x-x \circ y
$$

Since we have also $d_{0} K(x, y)=K(d x, y)+K(x, d y)$, therefore

$$
d K(x, y)=K(d x, y)+K(x, d y)+y \circ x-x \circ y
$$

The Gerstenhaber bracket is naturally defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y\}:=K(x, y)-K(y, x) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove that the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y\}=\Delta(x \circ y)+\Delta x \circ y+x \circ \Delta y \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds up to homotopy. If $n>0$ and $m>0$ then all the involved are homotopically zero and there is nothing to prove. We prove the claim for the case of $m=0$ and $n>0$, the other case is similar. Since $\Delta x \circ y$ and $x \circ \Delta y$ are respectively zero and homotopique to zero, we only have to show that $\Delta(x \circ y)$ is homotopic to $\{x, y\}$. We have

$$
\Delta(x \circ y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{(a)} 1\left[b_{i}, \cdots, b_{n}, a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots b_{i-1}\right]+1\left[a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots b_{n}\right]
$$

and

$$
\{x, y\}=\sum_{(a)} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]+\sum_{(a)} a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n},\right] .
$$

The desired homotopy is given by

$$
H(x, y):=\sum_{i} \sum_{(a)} 1\left[b_{i} \cdots, a_{0}^{\prime \prime}, a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{i-1}\right] .
$$

Finally we prove the Leibniz identity

$$
\{x \circ y, z\}=x \circ\{y, z\}+\{x, z\} \circ z
$$

holds up to homotopy. Note that the $\{y, z\}$ is either zero or it belongs to $\oplus_{n>1} A^{\otimes n}$ therefore the right hand side is always zero, so we need to prove that the left hand side is always homotopic to zero which is clear if one of $x$ or $y$ belongs to $\oplus_{n>1} A^{\otimes n}$. Using the identity (up to homotopy) (4.5) we know that $\{x \circ y, z\}$ is homotopic to $\Delta(x \circ y \circ z)$ which is homotopic to zero if $z \in \oplus_{n>1} A^{\otimes n}$. So we may assume that $x=a[\quad]$ and $y=b[\quad]$ and $z=c[\quad]$, and we have

$$
\Delta(x \circ y \circ z)=\Delta\left(\left(a^{\prime \prime} a b\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b\right)^{\prime} c\right)=\Delta\left(b^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c\right)
$$

Since $a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}$ is central, we get $\Delta(x \circ y \circ z)=1\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right]$ which is homotopic to zero. The homotopy is given by $H(a, b, c)=1\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right]$ whose boundary is

$$
a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\left[b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right]+1\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right]+b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right] .
$$

In fact $a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\left[b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right]=b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right]$ (up to signs) because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\left[b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} c\right] & =\sum 1^{\prime \prime} a 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime} c\right]=\sum 1^{\prime} a 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime \prime} c\right]=\sum c^{\prime} a b^{\prime}\left[b^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =\sum c 1^{\prime} a 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime \prime}\right]=\sum c 1^{\prime} a b^{\prime}\left[b^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\right]=\sum c 1^{\prime} a b 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =\sum c 1^{\prime \prime} a b 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime}\right]=\sum c a^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right]=\sum c 1^{\prime \prime} b 1^{\prime}\left[1^{\prime \prime} a 1^{\prime}\right] \\
& =\sum c b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right]=\sum b^{\prime \prime} b c^{\prime}\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.2. For a cocommutative open Frobenius algebra the Gerstenhaber bracket defined by (4.4) on $H H_{*}(A, A)$ is identically zero. In particular for a commutative symmetric open Frobenius algebra the Gerstenhaber bracket defined by (4.4) vanishes.
Proof. We know that at the homology level $\{x, y\}=\Delta(x \circ y)$. The latter is zero unless $x=a[\quad]$ and $y=b[\quad]$ for some $a$ and $b$ in $A$, in which case

$$
\Delta(x \circ y)=1\left[a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b\right]=d\left(1\left[a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} b\right]\right) .
$$

Note that the two terms $a^{\prime \prime}\left[a^{\prime} b\right]$ and $a^{\prime} b\left[a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ in $d\left(1\left[a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} b\right]\right)$ cancel each other since

$$
a^{\prime} b\left[a^{\prime \prime}\right]=a^{\prime \prime} b\left[a^{\prime}\right]=(a b)^{\prime \prime}\left[(a b)^{\prime}\right]=(a b)^{\prime}\left[(a b)^{\prime \prime}\right]=a^{\prime}\left[a^{\prime \prime} b\right]=a^{\prime \prime}\left[a^{\prime} b\right] .
$$

## 5. Frobenius compatibilty of the product and coproduct

As we mentionned previously we are inspired by the algebraic structures of the homology of free loop spaces. Cohen-Godin [CG04] result holds even for the manifolds which are not closed. The only difference with the closed case would be that there won't be a counit for the underlying algebra structure. The coalgebra structure generically has no counit, othewise the homology of the free loop space would have the homotopy type of a finite dimensional manifold which is true for very
special kind of aspherical manifolds. Therefore it is natural to expect a Frobenius compatibility condintion (Definition 2.1, (3)) between the product and coproduct.
Theorem 5.1. Let $A$ be a symmetric open Frobenius algebra. The product (4.1) and coproduct (3.1) satisfy the Frobenius compatibility condition, Definition 2.1, (3).

Proof. We only have to prove that $\theta$ is a map of left and right $H H_{*}(A)$-modules. First we consider the right $H H_{*}(A)$-module structure. Let $x=a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$ and $y=b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \in C_{*}(A, A)$. If $m \geq 1$, then $\theta(x \circ y)=0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta x \cdot y & =\left(\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right), 1 \leq k \leq m} a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right] \otimes a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{k+1}, \cdots a_{m}\right]\right) \cdot y \\
& =\left(\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right), 1 \leq k \leq m} a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{k+1}, \cdots a_{m}\right] \circ y\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right),\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right),(1)} a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have to prove the latter is homotopic to zero, in fact the homotopy is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H(x, y) & =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right] \otimes a_{0}^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =\sum_{(1),(1)} 1^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} a_{0} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right]  \tag{5.2}\\
& =\sum_{(1),(1)} 1^{\prime \prime}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime} a_{0} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

If $m=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(x \circ y) & =\theta\left(\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(a_{0}\right),\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}}\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(a_{0}\right),(1) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{(1),(1) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} a_{0} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{(1),(1) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]  \tag{5.3}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{(1),(1) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0} b_{0} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(x) \circ y & =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime}[] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}[] \circ y\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right),\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime}[] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right),(1)} a_{0}^{\prime}[] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]  \tag{5.4}\\
& =\sum_{(1),(1)} a_{0} 1^{\prime}[] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{(1),(1)} a_{0} b_{0} 1^{\prime}[] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

So it remains to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{(1),(1) \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0} b_{0} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is homotopic to zero. Here is the desired homotopy:

$$
\begin{align*}
K(x, y):= & \sum_{\substack{(1),(1) \\
1 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0} b_{0} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_{n}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right]  \tag{5.6}\\
& +\sum_{(1),(1)} a_{0} b_{0} 1^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right] \otimes 1^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}[]
\end{align*}
$$

if $x=a[\quad]$ and $y=b_{0}\left[b_{1} \cdots, b_{m}\right]$; and $K(x, y):=0$ if $x=a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$ with $m>0$.

The left $H H_{*}(A)$-module structure is simpler to prove. The only non trivial case is when $m=0$, otherwise both $x \cdot \theta(y)$ and $\theta(x \circ y)$ are zero. For $x=a_{0}[]$ we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
x \cdot \theta(y) & =\sum_{\substack{\left(b_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}}\left(x \circ b_{0}^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right]\right) \otimes b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(b_{0}\right),\left(a_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(x \circ y) & =\theta\left(\sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(a_{0}\right),\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} 0 b_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}}\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes\left(a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]  \tag{5.8}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(a_{0}\right),\left(b_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq k \leq n}} a_{0}^{\prime \prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right] \otimes b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left[b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. BV structure on the relative Hochschild homology of commutative Frobenius algebras

In this section we exhibit a BV structure on the relative Hochschild homology of a symmetric commutative open Frobenius algebra. In particular we introduce a product on the shifted relative Hochschild homology of symmetric commutative Frobenius algebras whose dual could be an algebraic model for Chas-Sullivan [CS04] / Goresky-Hingston [GH09] coproduct on $H_{*}(L M, M)$. One should mention that Chas-Sullivan worked with an equivariant version of this produc on $H_{*}^{S^{1}}(L M, M)$ which is a generalization of Turavev co-Lie bracket [Tur91].

For a commutative DG-algebra $A$ the relative Hochschild chains are defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{C C}_{*}(A)=\oplus_{n \geq 1} A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

equiped with the Hochschild differential. Since $A$ is commutative, $\widetilde{C C}_{*}(A)$ is stable under the Hochschild differential and fits in the split short exact sequence of complexes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow\left(A, d_{A}\right) \longrightarrow C C_{*}(A, A) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C C}_{*}(A) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homology of $\widetilde{C C}_{*}(A)$ is denoted $\widetilde{H H}_{*}(A)$ and is called the relative Hochschild homology of $A$.
Theorem 6.1. The shifted relative Hochschild homology $\widetilde{H H}_{*}(A)[1-m]$ of a degree $m$ symmetric commutative open Frobenius algebra $A$ is a $B V$ algebra whose $B V$ operator is the Connes operator and the product is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x \cdot y & =\sum_{\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)}+\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\left.a_{0}\right)}+a_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{0}^{\prime \prime} b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]  \tag{6.3}\\
& =\sum_{b_{0}}+a_{0} b_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{0}^{\prime \prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $x=a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$ and $y=b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right] \in \widetilde{C C}_{*}(A)$.
Proof. Note that the identities above hold because $A$ is an open Frobenius algebra. The product defined above is a chain map and strictly associative, but commutative only up to homotopy, and the homotopy being given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1}(x, y)= & \sum_{\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)}+1\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]  \tag{6.4}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)}+1\left[a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

To prove that the 7 -term relation holds, we adapt once again Chas-Sullivan's [CS] idea to a simplicial situation. First we identify the Gerstenhaber bracket directly. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \circ y:=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} b_{0}\left[b_{1}, \cdots b_{i}, a_{0}^{\prime}, a_{1}, \cdots a_{n}, a_{0}^{\prime \prime}, b_{i+1}, \cdots b_{m}\right], \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then define $\{x, y\}:=x \circ y+y \circ x$. Next we prove that the bracket $\{-,-\}$ is homotopic to the deviation of the BV-operator from being a derivation. For that we decompose $\Delta(x \circ y)$ in two pieces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}(x, y):=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)}+1\left[b_{j+1}, \ldots b_{m},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{j}\right], \\
& B_{2}(x, y):=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)}+1\left[a_{j+1}, \ldots a_{n},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m},\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $B=B_{1}+B_{2}$. Then $x \circ y$ is homotopic $B_{1}(x, y)-x . B y$. In fact the homotopy is given by
$H_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\left(a_{0}\right)} 1\left[b_{j+1}, \cdots, b_{i},\left(a_{0}\right)^{\prime}, a_{1}, \cdots a_{n},\left(a_{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}, b_{i+1}, \cdots, b_{m}, b_{0}, \cdots b_{j}\right]$.
Similarly for $y \circ x$ and $B_{2}(x \cdot y)-B x \cdot y$. Therefore we have proved that on $H H_{*}(A, A)$ the following identity holds:

$$
\{x, y\}=B(x \cdot y)-B x \cdot y+x \cdot B y .
$$

Now proving the 7 -term relation is equivalent to prove the Leibniz rule for the bracket and the product, i.e.

$$
\{x, y \cdot z\}=\{x, y\} \cdot z+y \cdot\{x, z\} .
$$

It is a direct check that $x \circ(y \cdot z)=(x \circ y) \cdot z+y \cdot(x \circ z)$. On the other hand $(y \cdot z) \circ x$ is homotopic to $(y \circ x) \cdot z-y \cdot(z \circ x)$ using the homotopy
$H_{3}(x, y, z)=\sum a_{0}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, b_{0}^{\prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, b_{0}^{\prime \prime}, a_{i+1} \ldots, a_{j}, c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right]$.
Here $z=c_{0}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right]$. This proves that the Leibniz rule holds up to homotopy.
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