

Essential norms of weighted composition operators on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series.

Pascal Lefèvre

▶ To cite this version:

Pascal Lefèvre. Essential norms of weighted composition operators on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series. Studia Mathematica, 2009, 191 (1), pp.57-66. hal-00859023

HAL Id: hal-00859023

https://hal.science/hal-00859023

Submitted on 6 Sep 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Essential norms of weighted composition operators on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series.

Pascal Lefèvre

July 12, 2008

Abstract

We estimate the essential norm of a weighted composition operator relatively to the class of Dunford-Pettis operators or the class of weakly compact operators, on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series. As particular cases, we obtain the precise value of the generalized essential norm of a composition operator and of a multiplication operator.

Key Words: composition operator, essential norm, Dirichlet series, weakly compact operator, Dunford-Pettis operators.

AMS classification: 30B50, 46E15, 47B10, 47B33.

0 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate the complete continuity and weak compactness of weighted composition operators on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series. The composition operators were investigated so far in many papers. The monographs [CmC] and [S] are very good survey on this topic. The composition operators are very often investigated on H^p spaces $(1 , but, on <math>H^p$ spaces, their weak compactness and complete continuity are trivial problems (because of reflexivity). The investigation in the setting of Dirichlet series is more recent: see, for example, [B2], [GH] and [Q2].

Let us recall some terminology. We are going to work on some half planes \mathbb{C}_{θ} , with $\theta \geq 0$:

$$\mathbb{C}_{\theta} = \{ s \in \mathbb{C} | Re(s) > \theta \}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ denotes the space of analytic functions on \mathbb{C}_0 . The space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series is

$$\mathcal{H}^{\infty} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}_0) | f \text{ bounded, } f(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s} \text{ on some half plane } \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon} \text{ with } \varepsilon > 0 \}.$$

(In fact, a result of Bohr [Bo] implies that any $\varepsilon > 0$ works in the definition)

The space \mathcal{H}^{∞} is the version of the classical Hardy space H^{∞} in the setting of Dirichlet series.

It is natural to introduce the equivalent to the disk algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} | f \text{ continuous on } \overline{\mathbb{C}_0} \}.$$

Both spaces \mathcal{H}^{∞} and \mathcal{A} are normed by $||f||_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(s)|; s \in \mathbb{C}_0\}.$

Before getting interested in some special properties of composition operators on \mathcal{H}^{∞} , we have to know when they are defined. Actually, the case \mathcal{H}^{∞} is less complicated than the case of general \mathcal{H}^p spaces: An analytic function $\varphi: \mathbb{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}_0$ defines a bounded composition operator $C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ on \mathcal{H}^{∞} if and only if $\varphi(s) = \alpha_0 s + \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n n^{-s}$, with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [B1] after Cor2. p. 217, or [B2] p.65). In the sequel, we shall always assume that φ fulfills this condition. We then have $\|C_{\varphi}\| = 1$.

The characterization of the compactness for composition operators the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of Dirichlet series is due to Bayart [B1]Th.18. Actually, Bayart estimates the (classical) essential norm of a composition operator on \mathcal{H}^{∞} . Let us recall his result:

Theorem. [B1],[B2] Let
$$C_{\varphi}$$
 be a composition operator on \mathcal{H}^{∞} . C_{φ} is compact if and only if $\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

The compactness of weighted composition operators was studied in the classical frame of the disk algebra in [K]. Some extensions of this results are studied in [L], where generalized essentials norms are computed.

We are going to use rather elementary techniques, adapted from [L], to estimate the essential norm, relatively to Dunford-Pettis operators and weakly compact operators, of weighted composition operators on \mathcal{H}^{∞} .

We first precise some terminology:

Definition 0.1 Let X, Y be Banach spaces and \mathcal{I} a closed subspace of operator of the space B(X,Y) of bounded operators from X to Y. The essential norm of $T \in B(X,Y)$ relatively to \mathcal{I} is the distance from T to \mathcal{I} :

$$||T||_{e^{\mathcal{T}}} = \inf\{||T + S||; S \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

This is the canonical norm on the quotient space $B(X,Y)/\mathcal{I}$. If moreover \mathcal{I} is an ideal of the space B(X) then $B(X)/\mathcal{I}$ is an algebra.

The classical case corresponds to the case of compact operators $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{K}(X,Y)$ (in this case, the preceding quotient space is the Calkin algebra). In the sequel, we get interested in the case of weakly compact operators: $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{W}(X,Y)$; and in the case of completely continuous operators (= Dunford-Pettis operators): $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{DP}(X,Y)$. Compact operators are both weakly compact and completely continuous.

Recall that a Banach space X has the Dunford-Pettis property if, for every Banach space Y and every operator $T: X \to Y$ which is weakly compact, T maps a weakly Cauchy sequence in X into a norm Cauchy sequence. A good survey on the subject (until the early eighties) is the paper of Diestel [D]. A Banach space X has the property (V) of Petczyński if, for every Banach space Y and every operator $T: X \to Y$ which is not weakly compact, there exists a subspace X_0 of X isomorphic to c_0 such that $T_{|X_0}$ is an isomorphic embedding.

If the space of Dirichlet series \mathcal{H}^{∞} had both property (V) and the Dunford-Pettis property, then the two ideals $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}, Y)$ and $\mathcal{DP}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}, Y)$ would coincide, for every Banach Y. It turns out that \mathcal{H}^{∞} does not have property (V) and it is unknown whether \mathcal{H}^{∞} has the Dunford-Pettis property.

Claim. \mathcal{H}^{∞} does not have property (V) (we have no reference for this remark). We are going to see this as a consequence of the Bohr inequality. This reads as follows (see [Q1]):

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |a_p| \le ||f||_{\infty} \quad \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$$

where \mathcal{P} stands for the set of prime numbers.

This means that the space $\{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} | f(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} a_p p^{-s} \}$ is a complemented subspace

of \mathcal{H}^{∞} , isomorphic to ℓ^1 . Thus, the underlying projection can neither be weakly compact, nor fix a copy of c_0 . This proves the claim.

Let us point out too that the same remark implies that the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} does not verify the Grothendieck Theorem: the projection (given by the Bohr inequality) from \mathcal{H}^{∞} to ℓ^1 is bounded and cannot be 2-summing.

Given $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and an analytic function φ from \mathbb{C}_0 to \mathbb{C}_0 defining a composition operator, we shall study in the paper the (generalized) essential norm of the weighted composition operator $T_{u,\varphi}$:

$$T_{u,\varphi}(f) = u.(f \circ \varphi)$$
 where $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$.

Of course, when $u = \mathbb{I}$, this operator is the classical composition operator and simply denoted by C_{φ} . When $\varphi = Id_{\mathbb{C}_0}$, this operator is the multiplication operator M_u by u.

Observe that $T_{u,\varphi}$ is always bounded from \mathcal{H}^{∞} to \mathcal{H}^{∞} , with $||T_{u,\varphi}|| = ||u||_{\infty}$, where $||u||_{\infty} = \sup\{|u(s)|; s \in \mathbb{C}_0\}.$

The following quantity plays a crucial role in the estimate of the essential norm: we define

$$n_{\varphi}(u) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \sup \{|u(s)|; s \in \mathbb{C}_0, Re(\varphi(s)) \le r\}$$

which defines a finite number since u is bounded.

If $\inf Re(\varphi) > 0$ then $n_{\varphi}(u) = 0$ (i.e. the supremum over the empty set is taken as 0).

1 Characterization of weak compactness and complete continuity.

We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 Let $(h_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence in the disk algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$, to which we associate the sequence in \mathcal{A} defined by $H_n(s) = h_n(2^{-s})$.

If $(h_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is weakly Cauchy in $A(\mathbb{D})$, then $(H_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is weakly Cauchy in A. Moreover

- i) $(H_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is weakly null if and only if $H_n(ix) \to 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ii) $(H_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is weakly Cauchy if and only if $(H_n(ix))$ is convergent, for every $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First notice that in i) and ii) the "only if" part is obvious since $H \mapsto H(ix)$ clearly defines a linear functional on \mathcal{A} for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that, for every $h \in A(\mathbb{D})$, $H(s) = h(2^{-s})$ defines a function in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, if $h(z) = \sum c_j z^j$ for z in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} , then $H(s) = \sum c_j 2^{-js}$ is convergent for $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$. Moreover H is continuous on $i\mathbb{R}$.

Now, let ξ be a linear functional on \mathcal{A} . We can define a linear functional on $A(\mathbb{D})$ in the following way: $\chi(h) = \xi(H)$, with $H(s) = h(2^{-s})$. The first part of the lemma easily follows: $\xi(H_n)$ converges.

Thus, there is a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{T} such that $\xi(H) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} h d\mu$. We can conclude the "if" part in i) and ii) because $H_n(ix) = h_n(2^{-ix})$ and the dominated convergence Theorem applies.

Now, we can establish the following characterization, which is a generalization of Th.18 [B1].

Theorem 1.2 With the previous notations, the following assertions are equivalent

- 1) $T_{u,\varphi}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is completely continuous.
- 2) $T_{u,\varphi}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is weakly compact.
- 3) $n_{\omega}(u) = 0$.
- 4) $T_{u,\varphi}: \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is compact.

Proof. Obviously 4 implies 1 and 2.

 $1 \Rightarrow 3$. Assume that $\inf Re(\varphi) = 0$ and $n_{\varphi}(u) > \varepsilon_0 > 0$.

Choose any sequence $s_j \in \mathbb{C}_0$ such that $Re(\varphi(s_j))$ converges to 0 and $|u(s_j)| \geq \varepsilon_0$. Extracting a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that $2^{-\varphi(s_j)}$ converges to some a, belonging to the torus. We shall write $a = 2^{-i\alpha}$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we consider the sequence of functions $F_n(s) = f_n(2^{-s})$ where $f_n(z) = 2^{-n}(\bar{a}z+1)^n$ lies in the unit ball of the disk algebra. (F_n) is clearly a weakly Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} thanks to Lemma 1.1.ii. Actually $F_n(s) \to 0$ for every $s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_0} \setminus \{i\alpha\}$ and $F_n(i\alpha) = 1$.

The operator $T_{u,\varphi}$ being a Dunford-Pettis operator, the sequence $(u.F_n \circ \varphi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is norm-Cauchy, hence converging to some $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. But for every fixed $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$, $u(s).F_n \circ \varphi(s)$ converges both to 0 and $\sigma(s)$, so that $\sigma = 0$.

Fixing $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_0} |u(s)F_{n_0} \circ \varphi(s)| \le \varepsilon$. Choosing $s = s_{j_0}$ with j_0 large enough to realize $|F_{n_0} \circ \varphi(s_{j_0})| \ge 1 - \varepsilon$, we have:

$$\varepsilon \ge |u(s_{j_0})|(1-\varepsilon) \ge (1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon_0.$$

As ε is arbitrary, this gives a contradiction.

 $2 \Rightarrow 3$. Assume that $\operatorname{inf} Re(\varphi) = 0$ and $n_{\varphi}(u) > \varepsilon_0 > 0$. In the same way, choose any sequence $s_j \in \mathbb{C}_0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(s_j))$ converges to 0 and $|u(s_j)| \geq \varepsilon_0$. We may assume that $2^{-\varphi(s_j)}$ converges to some $a = 2^{-i\alpha} \in \mathbb{T}$ and we consider the same sequence of functions F_n . The operator $T_{u,\varphi}$ being a weakly compact operator, there exists a sequence on integers (n_k) such that $(u.F_{n_k} \circ \varphi)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly convergent to some $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. Testing

the weak convergence on the point evaluation $\delta_s \in (\mathcal{H}^{\infty})^*$, for each $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$, we obtain that $\sigma = 0$.

By the Mazur Theorem, there exists a convex combination of these functions which is norm convergent to 0:

$$\sum_{k \in I_m} c_k \, u.(F_{n_k} \circ \varphi) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $c_k \ge 0$ and $\sum_{k \in I_m} c_k = 1$.

Now, fixing $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0/2)$, we have for a suitable m_0

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_0} \left| \sum_{k \in I_{m_0}} c_k \, u(s) . F_{n_k}(\varphi(s)) \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

So, for every j

$$\left| \varepsilon_0 \right| \sum_{k \in I_{m_0}} c_k . F_{n_k}(\varphi(s_j)) \right| \le \left| \sum_{k \in I_{m_0}} c_k \, u(s_j) . F_{n_k}(\varphi(s_j)) \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

Letting j tends to infinity, we have $F_{n_k}(\varphi(s_j)) \to F_{n_k}(i\alpha) = 1$, for each $k \in I_{m_0}$, so that

$$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \left| \sum_{k \in I_{m_0}} c_k \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

This gives a contradiction.

 $3 \Rightarrow 4$. Note that $T_{u,\varphi} = M_u \circ C_{\varphi}$.

If inf $Re(\varphi) > 0$ then $\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and C_{φ} is compact thanks to Bayart's Theorem, recalled in the introduction.

If $\operatorname{inf} Re(\varphi) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \to 0^+} \sup \{|u(s)|; s \in \mathbb{C}_0, Re(\varphi(s)) \leq r\} = 0$ then $T_{u,\varphi}$ is compact. Indeed, given a sequence in the unit ball of \mathcal{H}^{∞} , we can extract a subsequence $(f_n)_n$ uniformly converging on every half plane \mathbb{C}_{θ} , with $\theta > 0$. This is due to a version for Dirichlet series of the classical Montel Theorem, proved by Bayart (see [B1] Lemma 18 or Lemme 5.2 [B2]). Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $\theta > 0$ such that, when $\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(s)) \leq \theta$, $|u(s)| \leq \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$||u.(f_n - f_m) \circ \varphi||_{\infty} \le \max \left\{ ||u||_{\infty} \cdot \sup_{\varphi(s) \in \mathbb{C}_{\theta}} |(f_n - f_m) \circ \varphi(s)|; 2\varepsilon \right\}$$

which is less than 2ε , when n, m are large enough.

Corollary 1.3 Let C_{φ} be a composition operator on \mathcal{H}^{∞} . The following assertions are equivalent.

- i) C_{φ} is completely continuous.
- ii) C_{φ} is weakly compact.
- iii) C_{φ} is compact.
- iv) inf $Re(\varphi) > 0$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{inf} Re(\varphi) > 0$, it is actually compact. If C_{φ} is completely continuous (resp. weakly compact) on \mathcal{H}^{∞} then its restriction to \mathcal{A} is as well. The result follows from the preceding theorem in the case $u = \mathbb{I}$.

Remark. We have the same results when the operators act from \mathcal{A} into itself (under the extra assumption that $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$).

We recall that φ^* denotes the (non tangential) boundary values of φ : it is defined almost everywhere on the imaginary axis. From Theorem 1.2, we can deduce

Corollary 1.4 Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$.

- 1) Assume that $E = \{y \in \mathbb{R} | Re(\varphi^*(iy)) = 0\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. Then $T_{u,\varphi}$ is weakly compact or completely continuous if and only if u = 0.
- 2) $M_u: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is weakly compact or completely continuous if and only if u = 0.

Proof. Under the hypothesis of weak compactness or complete continuity of $T_{u,\varphi}$, we have $n_{\varphi}(u) = 0$, due to Theorem 1.2. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take r > 0 such that for every $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$:

$$Re(\varphi(s)) < r \Longrightarrow |u(s)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$. The hypothesis on φ implies that, for almost every $y \in E$ and any sequence $(x_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R}^{*+} :

$$Re(\varphi(s_n)) \longrightarrow Re(\varphi^*(iy)) = 0$$

where $s_n = x_n + iy$ (actually, we could replace s_n by any sequence in \mathbb{C}_0 non tangentially converging to iy).

But for almost every $y \in E$ (let's say for $y \in E_0$ where $E_0 \subset E$ has positive Lebesgue measure): $u(s_n) \longrightarrow u^*(iy)$, the boundary value of u, defined almost everywhere on the imaginary axis.

Therefore, for every $y \in E_0$ and n large enough, we have $Re(\varphi(s_n)) < r$, hence $|u^*(iy)| \le \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary the boundary value of u vanishes on a set of positive Lebesgue measure, so u = 0 everywhere on \mathbb{C}_0 .

The second point is an immediate consequence of the first one.

2 Essential norms.

In the sequel, X denotes either \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{H}^{∞} . We shall adapt techniques of section 1 to compute essential norms. We get a generalization of the Theorem of Bayart in several directions. We first need the following lower estimate

Lemma 2.1 Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}_0$ defining a composition operator. We assume that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{W}(X, \mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \oplus \mathcal{DP}(X, \mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.

Then

$$n_{\varphi}(u) \leq ||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e,\mathcal{I}}.$$

Proof. The proof mixes the one of Theorem 1.2 with the one of [B1] (relying on an idea due to Zheng [Z]) and is very similar to the one given in [L] in the frame of classical Hardy spaces. For sake of completeness, we give a detailed proof. We already know that $||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e,\mathcal{I}} = 0$ if and only if $T_{u,\varphi}$ is completely continuous if and only if $n_{\varphi}(u) = 0$ if and

only if $T_{u,\varphi}$ is compact. We assume now that $T_{u,\varphi}$ is not compact and this implies that inf $Re(\varphi) = 0$.

We choose a sequence $s_j \in \mathbb{C}_0$ such that $Re(\varphi(s_j))$ converges to 0 and $|u(s_j)|$ converges to $n_{\varphi}(u)$. We may assume that $2^{-\varphi(s_j)}$ converges to some $a = 2^{-i\alpha}$.

We introduce the sequence of functions (where $n \geq 2$)

$$H_n(s) = \frac{n\bar{a}2^{-s} - (n-1)}{n - (n-1)\bar{a}2^{-s}},$$

which lies in the unit ball of A.

Obviously, $H_n(s) = h_n(2^{-s})$ where h_n lies in the unit ball of the disk algebra, with $h_n(z) \longrightarrow -1$ for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{a\}$ and $h_n(a) = 1$. So, $H_n(s) \longrightarrow -1$ for every $s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_0 \setminus \{i\alpha\}$ and $H_n(i\alpha) = 1$.

Now, let $S \in \mathcal{I}$. Let us write S = D + W, where W is weakly compact and D is Dunford-Pettis.

As $D \in \mathcal{DP}(X, \mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ and the sequence $(H_n)_n$ is a weakly Cauchy sequence by Lemma 1.1., the sequence $(D(H_n))_n$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence convergent to some $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$.

As $W \in \mathcal{W}(X, \mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, up to an extraction, the sequence $(S(H_n))_n$ is weakly convergent to some $w \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. By the Mazur Theorem, we can find some $c_k \geq 0$ with $\sum_{k \in I_m} c_k = 1$,

where $I_m \subset \mathbb{N}$; and $\sum_{k \in I_m} c_k W(H_k) \to w$. Moreover, we can assume that $\sup_{k \in I_m} I_m < \inf_{k \in I_m} I_{m+1}$.

Introducing $\tilde{H}_m = \sum_{k \in I_m} c_k H_k$, we have: for every $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$, $\tilde{H}_m(s) \to -1$ and for every

 $m, \ \tilde{H}_m(\varphi(s_j)) \to 1$. Clearly, $(D(\tilde{H}_n))_n$ is norm convergent to Δ , so $(S(\tilde{H}_n))_n$ is norm convergent to $\sigma = \Delta + w$.

For every integer n:

$$||(T_{u,\varphi} - S)(\tilde{H}_n)||_{\infty} \ge ||T_{u,\varphi}(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty} - ||S(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty}$$

and we already know that $||S(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty} \longrightarrow 0$.

For every $s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_0 \setminus \{i\alpha\}$, we have $|u(s).\tilde{H}_n \circ \varphi(s) - \sigma(s)| \longrightarrow |\sigma(s) + u(s)|$. If $|\sigma(s_0) + u(s_0)| > n_{\varphi}(u)$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}_0$, then

$$||T_{u,\varphi}-S|| \ge \overline{\lim} ||(T_{u,\varphi}-S)(\tilde{H}_n)||_{\infty} \ge \overline{\lim} |u(s_0).\tilde{H}_n \circ \varphi(s_0) - \sigma(s_0)| = |\sigma(s_0)+u(s_0)| \ge n_{\varphi}(u).$$

If not, then $\|\sigma + u\|_{\infty} \le n_{\varphi}(u)$ and for every $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$, $|\sigma(s) - u(s)| \ge 2|u(s)| - n_{\varphi}(u)$. We have for every $n \ge 2$ and every integer j:

$$||T_{u,\varphi} - S|| \ge |u(s_j).\tilde{H}_n \circ \varphi(s_j) - \sigma(s_j)| - ||S(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty}$$

$$\ge 2|u(s_j)| - n_{\varphi}(u) - |u(s_j)|.|\tilde{H}_n \circ \varphi(s_j) - 1| - ||S(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty}.$$

Letting first j tend to infinity, we obtain $||T_{u,\varphi} - S|| \ge n_{\varphi}(u) - ||S(\tilde{H}_n) - \sigma||_{\infty}$. At last, letting n tend to infinity, we have $||T_{u,\varphi} - S|| \ge n_{\varphi}(u)$.

The conclusion follows.

For the upper estimate, we have

Lemma 2.2 Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}_0$ defining a composition operator. Then

$$||T_{u,\varphi}||_e \le \inf\{2n_{\varphi}(u), ||u||_{\infty}\}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $r \in (0,1)$ such that for every $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$,

$$Re(\varphi(s)) \le r \Longrightarrow |u(s)| \le n_{\varphi}(u) + \varepsilon.$$

Now, fixing $\rho > 0$ for a while, we introduce the operator defined for $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$ by

$$S(f)(s) = u(s).f(\varphi(s) + \rho).$$

In other words, $S = T_{u,\varphi_{\rho}}$ with $\varphi_{\rho} = \varphi + \rho$. By the Theorem of Bayart, S is a compact operator since $\varphi_{\rho}(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\rho}$. We have

$$||T_{u,\varphi} - S|| = \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ ||f||_{\infty} < 1}} \sup_{Re(\varphi(s)) > 0} |u(s)| . |f \circ \varphi(s) - f \circ (\varphi(s) + \rho)|.$$

First observe that

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} < 1}} \sup_{Re(\varphi(s)) \le r} |u(s)|.|f \circ \varphi(s) - f \circ (\varphi(s) + \rho)| \le 2(n_{\varphi}(u) + \varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, we claim that

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} < 1}} \sup_{Re(\varphi(s)) > r} |f \circ \varphi(s) - f \circ (\varphi(s) + \rho)| \xrightarrow{\rho \to 0^{+}} 0$$

Indeed,

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \sup_{Re(\varphi(s)) > r} |f \circ \varphi(s) - f \circ (\varphi(s) + \rho)| \leq \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \sup_{Re(w) > r} |f(w) - f(w + \rho)|$$

and using the analogue for Dirichlet series of the Montel Theorem (cited above), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \sup_{Re(w) > r} \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1}} |f(w) - f(w + \rho)| = 0.$$

So we can choose $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1}} \sup_{Re(\varphi(s)) > r} |f \circ \varphi(s) - f \circ (\varphi(s) + \rho)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Finally,
$$||T_{u,\varphi} - S|| \le \max\{\varepsilon ||u||_{\infty}; 2(n_{\varphi}(u) + \varepsilon)\}.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude $||T_{u,\varphi}||_{\epsilon} \leq 2n_{\varphi}(u)$. This gives the result.

We summarize our results in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}_0$ defining a composition operator. We assume that $\mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{W}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \oplus \mathcal{DP}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.

Then

$$||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e,\mathcal{T}} \approx n_{\varphi}(u).$$

More precisely

$$n_{\varphi}(u) \leq ||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e,\mathcal{I}} \leq \inf\{2n_{\varphi}(u), ||u||_{\infty}\}.$$

As a particular case, when $n_{\varphi}(u) = ||u||_{\infty}$, the equality holds: $||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e,\mathcal{I}} = ||T_{u,\varphi}||_{e} = ||u||_{\infty}$.

We specify two particular cases.

Corollary 2.4 Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}_0$ defining a composition operator. We assume that $\mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{W}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \oplus \mathcal{DP}(X,\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.

1)
$$||M_u||_{e,\mathcal{I}} = ||M_u||_e = ||u||_{\infty}$$
.

2)
$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e,\tau} = 1$$
 if $\inf Re(\varphi) = 0$ and $\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e,\tau} = 0$ if $\inf Re(\varphi) > 0$

Acknowledgement: we wish to thank Prof. Queffélec for stimulating conversations on the topic of Dirichlet series. We also wish to thank the referee for suggesting some simplifications of the previous proofs of Corollary 1.4 and Lemma 2.2.

References

- [B1] F. Bayart, Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators, Monatsh. Math. 136, No. 3, 203-236 (2002).
- [B2] F. Bayart, Opérateurs de composition sur des espaces de séries de Dirichlet et problèmes d'hypercyclicité simultanée, Thèse de l'Université Lille1 (2002).
- [Bo] H. Bohr, Über die gleichmässige Konvergenz Dirichletscher Reihen. (German), J. für Math. 143, 203-211 (1913).
- [CmC] C. Cowen, B. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics (1995)
- [D] J. Diestel, A survey of results related to the Dunford-Pettis property, Contemp. Math., vol. 2 (1980), 15-60.
- [DJT] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press (1995).

- [GH] J. Gordon, H. Hedenmalm, The composition operators on the space of Dirichlet series with square summable coefficients, Mich. Math. J. 46, No.2 (1999), 313-329.
- [K] H. Kamowitz, Compact operators of the form uC_{φ} , Pacific J. Math. 80 (1979), 205-211.
- [L] P. Lefèvre, Generalized essential norm of weighted composition operators on some uniform algebras of analytic functions, submitted.
- [Q1] H. Queffélec, H. Bohr's vision of ordinary Dirichlet series; old and new results, J. Anal. 3, 43-60 (1995).
- [Q2] H. Queffélec, Composition operators in the Dirichlet series setting, Arendt, Wolfgang (ed.) et al., Perspectives in operator theory. Banach Center Publications 75, 261-287 (2007).
- [S] J. Shapiro, Composition Operators, Springer Verlag (1993).
- [Z] L. Zheng, The essential norms and spectra of composition operators on H^{∞} , Pac. J. Math. 203, No. 2, 503-510 (2002).

Université d'Artois. Faculté Jean Perrin rue Jean Souvraz S.P. 18. 62307 Lens cedex France e-mail : lefevre@euler.univ-artois.fr