



HAL
open science

One-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities: Remarks on duality and flows

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, Ari Laptev, Michael Loss

► **To cite this version:**

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, Ari Laptev, Michael Loss. One-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities: Remarks on duality and flows. 2013. hal-00857955v1

HAL Id: hal-00857955

<https://hal.science/hal-00857955v1>

Preprint submitted on 4 Sep 2013 (v1), last revised 1 May 2014 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

One-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities: Remarks on duality and flows

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, Ari Laptev and Michael Loss

ABSTRACT

This paper is devoted to one-dimensional interpolation Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities. We study how various notions of duality, transport and monotonicity of functionals along flows defined by some nonlinear diffusion equations apply.

We start by reducing the inequality to a much simpler dual variational problem using mass transportation theory. Our second main result is devoted to the construction of a Lyapunov functional associated with a nonlinear diffusion equation, that provides an alternative proof of the inequality. The key observation is that the inequality on the line is equivalent to Sobolev's inequality on the sphere, at least when the dimension is an integer, or to the critical interpolation inequality for the ultraspherical operator in the general case. The time derivative of the functional along the flow is itself very interesting. It explains the machinery of some rigidity estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations and shows how eigenvalues of a linearized problem enter in the computations. Notions of gradient flows are then discussed for various notions of distances.

Throughout this paper we shall deal with two classes of inequalities corresponding either to $p > 2$ or to $p < 2$. The algebraic part in the computations is very similar in both cases, although the case $p < 2$ is definitely less standard.

1. Introduction

When studying sharp functional inequalities, and the corresponding best constants and optimizers, one has essentially three strategies at hand:

(a) To use a *direct variational method* where one establishes the existence of optimizers. Then by analyzing the solutions of the corresponding *Euler-Lagrange equations*, one can sometimes obtain explicit values for the optimizers and for the best constants.

(b) It is an old idea that *flows* on function spaces and sharp functional inequalities are intimately related. Sharp inequalities are used to study qualitative and quantitative properties of flows such as decay rates of the solutions in certain norms. A famous example is Nash's inequality that provides exact decay rates for heat kernels [41, 23]. Conversely, flows can be used to prove sharp inequalities and identify the optimizers. A famous example is the derivation of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality by D. Bakry and M. Emery using the heat flow [7, 8, 6]. In that case, the flow relates an arbitrary initial datum to an optimizer of the inequality. The monotonicity of an appropriate functional along the flow provides *a priori* estimates that, in case of critical points, can be related with older methods for proving *rigidity results* in nonlinear elliptic equations. See [28] and references therein for more details.

(c) Another way to look at these problems is to use the *mass transportation theory*. One does not transport a function to an optimizer but instead one transports an arbitrary function to another one leading to a new variational problem. This dual variational problem can be easier

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 26D10 (primary); 46E35; 35K55 (secondary).

J.D. has been partially supported by ANR grants *STAB* and *Kibord*. He thanks the School of Mathematics of the Georgia Institute of Technology for welcoming him. J.D. and M.E. have been partially supported by the ANR grant *NoNAP*. J.D. and M.L. have been supported in part respectively by NSF grant DMS-1301555. A.L. and M.L. thank the Institut Henri Poincaré.

to deal with than the original one. A well-known example of this method has been given by D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret and C. Villani in [22] (also see [3] for a simpler proof). With this approach, they obtained proofs of some of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities.

In this paper we will focus on another family of one-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities that can be written as

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\text{GN}}(p) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^\theta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{if } p \in (2, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\text{GN}}(p) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^\eta \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\eta} \quad \text{if } p \in (1, 2), \quad (1.2)$$

with $\theta = \frac{p-2}{2p}$ and $\eta = \frac{2-p}{2+p}$. See [35, 34, 42] for the original papers. The threshold case corresponding to the limit as $p \rightarrow 2$ is the logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 \log \left(\frac{u^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \log \left(\frac{2}{\pi e} \frac{\|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

derived in [37].

Among Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities, there are only a few cases for which best constants are explicit and optimal functions can be simply characterized. Let us mention Nash's inequality (see [18]) and some interpolation inequalities on the sphere (see [14, 10]). A family for which such issues are known is

$$\|f\|_{L^{2q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K_{\text{GN}}(q, d) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta \|f\|_{L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta},$$

if $q \in (1, \infty)$ when $d = 1$ or 2 , and $q \in (1, \frac{d}{d-2}]$ when $d \geq 3$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K_{\text{GN}}(q, d) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta \|f\|_{L^{2q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta},$$

if $q \in (0, 1)$, with appropriate values of θ . See [38, 24]. Again the logarithmic Sobolev inequality appears as the threshold case corresponding to the limit $q \rightarrow 1$. These inequalities have two important properties:

- There is a nonlinear flow (a fast diffusion flow if $q > 1$ and a porous media flow if $q < 1$) which is associated to them. This flow can be considered as a gradient flow of an *entropy* functional with respect to Wasserstein's distance, as was noticed in [43].
- A duality argument based on mass transportation methods allows to relate these inequalities with much simpler ones, as was observed in [22, 3].

The purpose of our paper is to study the analogue of these properties in case of (1.1) and (1.2). We will apply the methods described in (a), (b) and (c). Method (a) is rather standard (the proof is given in the appendix for completeness) while (b) and (c), although not extremely complicated, are less straightforward. As far as we know, neither (b) nor (c) have been applied yet to (1.1) and (1.2). Method (a) relies on compactness arguments, method (b) relies on *a priori* estimates related to a global flow and method (c) requires the existence of a transport map.

Let us denote by $L_2^1(\mathbb{R})$ the space of the functions $\{G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy < \infty\}$ and define

$$c_p := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p-2}} & \text{if } p \in (2, \infty) \\ 2^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}} & \text{if } p \in (1, 2) \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Based on mass transportation theory, method (c) allows to relate the minimization problem associated with (1.1) and (1.2) to a dual variational problem as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *The following inequalities hold: if $p \in (2, \infty)$, we have*

$$\sup_{G \in L^1_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \frac{p+2}{3^{p-2}} dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{p-2}{3^{p-2}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G dy\right)^{\frac{4}{3^{p-2}}}} = c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3^{p-2}}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p+2)}{3^{p-2}}}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{4p}{3^{p-2}}}}, \quad (1.5)$$

and if $p \in (1, 2)$, we obtain

$$\sup_{G \in L^1_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \frac{2}{4^{4-p}} dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2(4-p)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G dy\right)^{\frac{p+2}{2(4-p)}}} = c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2p}{4-p}}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{p+2}{4-p}}}. \quad (1.6)$$

All variational problems in Theorem 1.1 have explicit extremal functions. The maximization problems is rather straightforward and yields an efficient method for computing $C_{GN}(p)$ in both of the cases corresponding to (1.5) and (1.6). The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Sections 2 and 3.

Next we shall focus on the method (b). In this spirit, let us define on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ the functional

$$\mathcal{F}[v] := \|v'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{4}{(p-2)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - C \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

where C is such that $\mathcal{F}[v_\star] = 0$, with

$$v_\star(x) := (\cosh x)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Notice that $v_\star(x) = (1 - z(x)^2)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$ if $z(x) := \tanh x$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, consider the flow associated with the nonlinear evolution equation

$$v_t = \frac{v^{1-\frac{p}{2}}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \left[v'' + \frac{2p}{p-2} z v' + \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{p-2} v \right]. \quad (1.7)$$

Then \mathcal{F} is monotone non-increasing along the flow defined by (1.7).

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $p \in (2, \infty)$. Assume that $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is positive, such that $\|v_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \|v_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ and the limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{v_0(x)}{v_\star(x)}$ exist. If v is a solution of (1.7) with initial datum v_0 , then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] = 0.$$

Moreover, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] = 0$ if and only if, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_0(x) = v_\star(x - x_0)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

This result deserves a few comments. First of all by proper scaling it yields a proof of (1.5). Further it shows that up to translations, multiplication by a constant and scalings, the function v_\star is the unique optimal function for (1.1), and again allows to compute $C_{GN}(p)$. Indeed we have shown that $\mathcal{F}[v_0] \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] = 0$ for an arbitrary function v_0 satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, but the technical conditions on v_0 can easily be removed at the level of the inequality by a density argument.

At first sight, (1.7) may look complicated. The interpolation inequality (1.5) turns out to be equivalent to Sobolev's inequality on the d -dimensional sphere if $d = \frac{2p}{p-2}$ is an integer, and to the critical interpolation inequality for the ultraspherical operator in the general case. These considerations will be detailed in Section 4.

For completion, let us mention that a *rigidity result* is associated with Theorem 1.2. A statement will be given in Section 5. Although the rigidity result can be obtained directly, the flow approach is simpler to state, at least in the original variables, and provides a clear scheme.

In the case $1 < p < 2$, a result similar to Theorem 1.2 can be proved. In that case the global attractor is defined as

$$v_*(x) = (\cos x)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \quad \text{if } x \in I := \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_*(x) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Then the functional

$$\mathcal{F}[v] := \|v'\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \mathbf{C} \|v\|_{L^p(I)}^2 - \frac{4}{(2-p)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(I)}^2,$$

defined for any $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$, where again \mathbf{C} is chosen such that $\mathcal{F}[v_*] = 0$, is non-increasing along the flow defined by

$$v_t = \frac{v^{1-\frac{p}{2}}}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \left[v'' + \frac{2p}{2-p} y v' + \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{2-p} v \right], \quad (1.8)$$

where $y(x) = \tan x$. More precisely, we have a result that goes exactly as Theorem 1.2 and, up to necessary adaptations due to the fact that optimal functions are compactly supported, there are similar consequences that we will not list here.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$. Assume that $v_0 \in H^1(I)$ is positive, such that $\|v_0\|_{L^p(I)} = \|v_*\|_{L^p(I)}$ and the limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{v_0(x)}{v_*(x)}$ exist. If v is a solution of (1.8) with initial datum v_0 , then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] = 0.$$

Moreover, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}[v(t)] = 0$ if and only if, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_0(x) = v_*(x - x_0)$ for any $x \in I$.

This paper is organized as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2 by implementing the strategy defined in (c). Optimality is checked in Section 3.

In Section 4 we prove inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) following the strategy defined in (b). The flow is easiest to construct after changes of variables which reduce the problem to critical interpolation inequalities involving the ultraspherical operator in case of (1.1) and a similar change of variables in case of (1.2). More details are given in Section 4, e.g., on the set of minimizers of the energy functionals, and some rigidity results are then stated in Section 5.

In Section 6 we study some fast diffusion flows related to the difference of the left- and right-hand sides of inequalities (1.1) and (1.2), showing that sometimes these are gradient flows with respect to well-chosen distances. In section 7 we show that optimal decay rates for those flows can be proved using sharp inequalities like (1.1) and (1.2).

The Appendix A contains some auxiliary computations that are useful for flows and rigidity results, and common to (1.1) and (1.2). In Appendix B, for completeness we give a sketch of the method (a) applied to inequalities (1.1) and (1.2).

2. A duality approach using mass transportation methods

In this section we establish inequalities which relate the two sides of (1.5) and (1.6). We also investigate the threshold case corresponding to $p = 2$.

2.1. Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities with $p > 2$

LEMMA 2.1. For any $p \in (2, \infty)$, we have

$$\sup_{G \in L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\frac{p+2}{3p-2}} dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{p-2}{3p-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G dy\right)^{\frac{4}{3p-2}}} \leq c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p-2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p+2)}{3p-2}}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{4p}{3p-2}}}.$$

Proof. On the line, let F and G be two probability densities and define the convex map φ such that

$$F(x) = G(\varphi'(x)) \varphi''(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us consider the change of variables $y = \varphi'(x)$, so that $dy = \varphi''(x) dx$ and compute, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ to be fixed later, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^\theta dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(\varphi'(x))^\theta \varphi''(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)^\theta (\varphi''(x))^{1-\theta} dx.$$

According to Hölder's inequality, for any $\alpha \in (0, \theta)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)^\theta (\varphi''(x))^{1-\theta} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\theta-\alpha} F^\alpha (\varphi''(x))^{1-\theta} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}} dx \right)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}} \varphi'' dx \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Consider now the last integral and integrate by parts:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}} \varphi'' dx = -\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}-1} F' \varphi' dx = -\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}-\frac{1}{p}} \varphi' \cdot F^{\frac{1}{p}-1} F' dx.$$

If we choose α such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2},$$

then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}} \varphi'' dx = -\frac{\alpha p}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{F} \varphi' \cdot \left(F^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)' dx.$$

We deduce from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}} \varphi'' dx \leq \frac{\alpha p}{1-\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F |\varphi'|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(F^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)' \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F |\varphi'|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy.$$

With $f := F^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we have found that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^\theta dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha p}{1-\theta}\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}} dx\right)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}.$$

If we make the choices $p > 2$ and

$$1 - \frac{\alpha}{\theta} = \frac{2}{p},$$

then we have shown that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^\theta dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha p}{1-\theta}\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 dx\right)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}.$$

with

$$\theta = \frac{p+2}{3p-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{(p-2)(p+2)}{p(3p-2)}.$$

Taking into account the homogeneity, this establishes (1.5), where the infimum is now taken over all non-trivial functions f in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the supremum is taken over all non-trivial non-negative integrable functions with finite second moment. The computation is valid for any $p \in (2, \infty)$. \square

2.2. Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities with $1 < p < 2$

LEMMA 2.2. *For any $p \in (1, 2)$, we have*

$$\sup_{G \in L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\frac{2}{4-p}} dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2(4-p)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G dy\right)^{\frac{p+2}{2(4-p)}}} \leq c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2p}{4-p}}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{p+2}{4-p}}}.$$

Proof. We start as above by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^\theta dy &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}} dx\right)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}} \varphi'' dx\right)^{1-\theta} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}} dx\right)^\theta \left(-\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi' \cdot F^{\frac{1}{2}-1} F' dx\right)^{1-\theta} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}} dx\right)^\theta \left(-\frac{2\alpha}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\theta}-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi' \cdot (\sqrt{F})' dx\right)^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

and choose α and θ such that

$$1 - \frac{\alpha}{\theta} = \frac{p}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\theta} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

for some $p \in (1, 2)$. With $f = \sqrt{F}$ and

$$\theta = \frac{2}{4-p} = 1 - \alpha,$$

the r.h.s. can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^p dx\right)^\theta \left(-\frac{2\alpha}{1-\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{F} \varphi' \cdot f' dx\right)^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{4-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2(4-p)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F |\varphi'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2(4-p)}}, \end{aligned}$$

using a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We also have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} F |\varphi'|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Taking into account the homogeneity, this establishes (1.6) where the infimum is now taken over all non-trivial functions f in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ whose derivatives are square integrable and the supremum is taken over all non-trivial non-negative integrable functions with finite second moment. The computation is valid for any $p \in (1, 2)$. \square

2.3. *The threshold case: logarithmic Sobolev inequality*

We can consider the limit $p \rightarrow 2$ in (1.5). If we take the logarithm of both sides of the inequality, multiply by $\frac{4}{p-2}$ and pass to the limit as $p \rightarrow 2_+$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \log G \, dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \, dy} - \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 G \, dy + 3 \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} G \, dy - 1 \\ & \leq \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 \, dx - \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 \, dx - 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 \log |f|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 \, dx} + \log \left(\frac{4}{e} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we recover the following well-known fact.

LEMMA 2.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{G \in L^1_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} & \left[\log \left(\frac{\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^3}{2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 G \, dy} \right) - 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \log G \, dy}{\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} - 1 \right] \\ & \leq \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \left[\log \left(\frac{2}{\pi e} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right) - 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 \log |f|^2 \, dx}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation can be done based on (1.6). For a direct approach based on mass transportation, we may refer to the result established by D. Cordero-Erausquin in [21].

3. *Optimality and best constants*

A careful investigation of the equality cases in all inequalities used in the computations of Section 2 shows that inequalities in Lemmata 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 can be made equalities for optimal functions. In this section, we investigate the cases of optimality in (1.5) and (1.6), prove the equalities in Theorem 1.1 and compute the values of the corresponding constants $C_{GN}(p)$.

3.1. *Duality in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities: case $p > 2$.*

Let us compute

$$C_1(p) := c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p-2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2(p+2)}{3p-2}}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{4p}{3p-2}}}. \tag{3.1}$$

The infimum is achieved by

$$f_{\star}(x) = \frac{1}{(\cosh x)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which solves the equation

$$-(p-2)^2 f'' + 4f - 2p f^{p-1} = 0.$$

See Appendix B for more details. With the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\star}^2 \, dx &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{p-2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+2}{2(p-2)}\right)}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\star}^p \, dx = \frac{4}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\star}^2 \, dx \\ & \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'_{\star}|^2 \, dx = \frac{4}{(p-2)(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\star}^2 \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

one can check that the r.h.s. in (1.5) can be computed and amounts to

$$C_1(p) = \frac{(p+2)^{\frac{p+2}{3p-2}}}{4^{\frac{4}{3p-2}} (p-2)^{\frac{p-2}{3p-2}}} \left| 2^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p-2}} \right|.$$

On the other hand, the supremum in (1.5) is achieved by

$$G_*(y) = \frac{1}{(1+y^2)^q} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R},$$

with

$$q = \frac{3p-2}{2(p-2)}.$$

Using the function

$$h(q) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dy}{(1+y^2)^q} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(q - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(q)},$$

it is easy to observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_* dy = h(q), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_* |y|^2 dy = \frac{h(q)}{2q-3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_*^{\frac{p+2}{3p-2}} dy = \frac{2(q-1)}{2q-3} h(q),$$

and recover that the l.h.s. in (1.5) also amounts to $C_1(p)$. With Lemma 2.1, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when $p > 2$. This also shows that the best constant in (1.1) is

$$C_{GN}(p) = \left(\frac{C_1(p)}{c(p)} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{4p}}.$$

with $C_1(p)$ and $c(p)$ given by (3.1) and (1.4) respectively.

3.2. Second case in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities: case $1 < p < 2$.

Let us compute

$$C_2(p) := c_p \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2p}{4-p}}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{p+2}{4-p}}}. \quad (3.2)$$

The infimum is achieved by

$$f_*(x) = (\cos x)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \quad \forall x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \quad f_*(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right],$$

which solves the equation

$$-(2-p)^2 f'' - 4f + 2p f^{p-1} = 0.$$

With the formulae

$$J_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_*^2 dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{6-p}{2(2-p)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{4-p}{2-p}\right)}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_*^p dx = \frac{4}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_*^2 dx$$

$$\text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_*'|^2 dx = \frac{4}{(2-p)(2+p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_*^2 dx,$$

one can check that the r.h.s. in (1.6) can be computed and amounts to

$$C_2(p) = 4(2+p)^{-\frac{6-p}{2(4-p)}} (2-p)^{-\frac{2-p}{2(4-p)}} J_2^{\frac{2-p}{4-p}}.$$

On the other hand, the supremum in (1.6) is achieved by

$$G_*(y) = \frac{1}{(1+y^2)^q} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R},$$

with

$$q = \frac{4-p}{2-p}.$$

Using the function $h(q)$ as in the first case, $h(\frac{4-p}{2-p}) = J_2$ and the relations

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_* dy = h(q), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_* |y|^2 dy = \frac{h(q)}{2q-3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_*^{\frac{p+2}{3p-2}} dy = \frac{2(q-1)}{2q-3} h(q),$$

we recover that the l.h.s. in (1.5) also amounts to $C_2(p)$. With Lemma 2.2, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when $p < 2$. This also shows that the best constant in (1.2) is

$$C_{GN}(p) = \left(\frac{C_2(p)}{c(p)} \right)^{\frac{4-p}{2+p}}.$$

with $C_2(p)$ and $c(p)$ given by (3.2) and (1.4) respectively.

3.3. Consistency with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality.

The reader is invited to check that for $p = 2$, we have $\lim_{p \rightarrow 2+} C_1(p) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 2-} C_2(p) = 1$ and

$$4 \lim_{p \rightarrow 2+} \frac{C_1(p) - 1}{p - 2} = 1 + \log(2\pi) = 4 \lim_{p \rightarrow 2-} \frac{1 - C_2(p)}{2 - p}.$$

This is consistent with the fact that we have equality in Lemma 2.3 and can actually write

COROLLARY 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{G \in L^2_+(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \left[\log \left(\frac{\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^3}{2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 G dy} \right) - 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G \log G dy}{\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} - 1 \right] \\ = \inf_{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \left[\log \left(\frac{2 \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\pi e \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right) - 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 \log |f|^2 dx}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The reader is invited to check that equality is realized by

$$G(x) = |f(x)|^2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence we recover not only the logarithmic Sobolev inequality in Weissler's form [47], but also the fact that the equality case is achieved by Gaussian functions.

4. Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities, monotonicity and flows

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, and their consequences.

4.1. The first inequality (case $p > 2$) and the ultraspherical operator

In this section we reduce the problem on the line to a weighted problem on the interval $(-1, 1)$. For $p > 2$, Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities on the line indeed are equivalent to critical interpolation inequalities for the ultraspherical operator (see [13]; these inequalities correspond to the well-known inequalities on the sphere [14, 10, 27] when the dimension is an integer).

In order to make our strategy easier to understand, the proofs have been divided in a series of statements. Some of them go beyond what is required for the proofs of the results in Section 1.

- *The problem on the line is equivalent to the critical problem for the ultraspherical operator.*

Consider the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v'|^2 dx + \frac{4}{(p-2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^2 dx \geq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

With

$$z(x) = \tanh x, \quad v_* = (1 - z^2)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = v_*(x) f(z(x)),$$

so that, as seen in Section 3.1, equality is achieved for $f = 1$, i.e. with

$$C = \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_*|^p dx \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{p}},$$

and, if we let $\nu(z) := 1 - z^2$, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu d\nu_p + \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 d\nu_p \geq \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f|^p d\nu_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \quad (4.1)$$

where $d\nu_p$ denotes the probability measure $d\nu_p(z) := \frac{1}{\zeta_p} \nu^{\frac{2}{p-2}} dz$, $\zeta_p := \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p-2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3p-2}{2})}$. Since

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu d\nu_p = - \int_{-1}^1 f(\mathcal{L}f) d\nu_p \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{L}f := \nu f'' - \frac{2p}{p-2} z f',$$

the problem on the line is equivalent to the problem for the d -ultraspherical operator

$$d = \frac{2p}{p-2} \iff p = \frac{2d}{d-2}.$$

When d is an integer, it is known that the inequality for the ultraspherical operator is equivalent to the inequality on the d -dimensional sphere (see for instance [27] and references therein). We are now interested in the monotonicity of the functional

$$f \mapsto F[f] := \int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu d\nu_p + \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 d\nu_p - \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f|^p d\nu_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

along a well-chosen nonlinear flow.

- *There exists a nonlinear flow along which our functional is monotone non-increasing.*

With the above notations, the problem is reduced to the computation on the d -dimensional sphere in the ultraspherical setting. Here we adapt the strategy of [27] and [28]. We recall (see Proposition A.1 in Appendix A, with $\mathbf{a} = 1$ and $\mathbf{b} = \frac{d}{2} - 1$) that

$$\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{L}u)^2 d\nu_p = \int_{-1}^1 |u''|^2 \nu^2 d\nu_p + d \int_{-1}^1 |u'|^2 \nu d\nu_p$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{L}u) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu d\nu_p = \frac{d}{d+2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \nu^2 d\nu_p - 2 \frac{d-1}{d+2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|u'|^2 u''}{u} \nu^2 d\nu_p.$$

On $(-1, 1)$, let us consider the flow

$$u_t = u^{2-2\beta} \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu \right)$$

and notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 u^{\beta p} d\nu_p = \beta p (\kappa - \beta(p-2) - 1) \int_{-1}^1 u^{\beta(p-2)} |u'|^2 \nu d\nu_p,$$

so that $\bar{u} = \left(\int_{-1}^1 u^{\beta p} d\nu_p \right)^{1/(\beta p)}$ is preserved if $\kappa = \beta(p-2) + 1$. With $\beta = \frac{4}{6-p}$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 \left(|(u^\beta)'|^2 \nu + \frac{d}{p-2} (u^{2\beta} - \bar{u}^{2\beta}) \right) d\nu_p \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 \left(\mathcal{L}u + (\beta-1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu \right) \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu \right) d\nu_p - \frac{d}{p-2} \frac{\kappa-1}{\beta} \int_{-1}^1 |u'|^2 \nu d\nu_p \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 |u''|^2 \nu^2 d\nu_p + 2 \frac{d-1}{d+2} (\kappa + \beta - 1) \int_{-1}^1 u'' \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^2 d\nu_p \\ &\quad - \left[\kappa(\beta-1) + \frac{d}{d+2} (\kappa + \beta - 1) \right] \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \nu^2 d\nu_p \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 \left| u'' - \frac{p+2}{6-p} \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \right|^2 \nu^2 d\nu_p. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of the change of variables $f = u^\beta$ was motivated by the fact that the last term in the above identities is two-homogeneous in u , thus making the completion of the square rather simple. It is also a natural extension of the case that can be carried out with a linear flow (see [27], and [8] for a much earlier result in this direction). In the above computations $p = 6$ seems to be out of reach, but as we see below, this case can also be treated by writing the flow in the original variables.

- *There is no restriction on the range of the exponents.*

With $f = u^\beta$, the problem can be rewritten in the setting of the ultraspherical operator using the flow

$$f_t = f^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left[\mathcal{L}f + \frac{p}{2} (1-z^2) \frac{|f'|^2}{f} \right],$$

and we notice that there is no more singularity when $p = 6$ since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu d\nu_p + \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 d\nu_p - C \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f|^p d\nu_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right] \\ &= -2 \int_{-1}^1 f^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left| f'' - \frac{p}{2} \frac{|f'|^2}{f} \right|^2 \nu^2 d\nu_p. \end{aligned}$$

We get the flow on the line by undoing the change of variables: the function $v(t, x) = v_\star(x) f(t, z(x))$ solves

$$v_t = \frac{v^{1-\frac{p}{2}}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \left[v'' + \frac{2p}{p-2} z v' + \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{p-2} v \right],$$

and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v'|^2 dx + \frac{4}{(p-2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^2 dx - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right] \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^2} \left(\frac{v}{v_\star} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left| v'' - \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{p-2} v \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

- *There exists a one-dimensional family of minimizers for F.*

A function f is in the constant energy manifold if and only if $(f' f^{-p/2})' = 0$, that is, $f(z) = (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}z)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}$. However, none of the elements of that manifold, except the one corresponding to $\mathbf{a} = 1$ and $\mathbf{b} = 0$, are left invariant under the action of the flow and the coefficients \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b}

obey to the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\frac{2p}{p-2}\mathbf{b}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathbf{b}}{dt} = -\frac{2p}{p-2}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}.$$

The reader is invited to check that on the line, such functions are given by

$$v(t, x) = \frac{1}{\cosh(x + x(t))^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with $\mathbf{a}(t) = \cosh(x(t))$ and $\mathbf{b}(t) = \sinh(x(t))$. A straightforward but painful computation provides an explicit expression for $t \mapsto x(t)$.

• *The inequality on the line can be reinterpreted using the stereographic projection and the Emden-Fowler transformation.*

Inequality (4.1) (in ultraspherical coordinates) is

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu \, d\nu_p + \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 \, d\nu_p \geq \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f|^p \, d\nu_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

where $d\nu_p$ denotes the probability measure $d\nu_p(z) := \frac{1}{\zeta_p} \nu^{\frac{2}{p-2}} dz$, $\zeta_p := \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{p-2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} \frac{p-2}{p})}$ and

$$d = \frac{2p}{p-2} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p = \frac{2d}{d-2}.$$

Since $\frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} = \frac{1}{4} d(d-2)$, the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{4}{d(d-2)} \int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu \, d\nu_p + \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 \, d\nu_p \geq \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f|^p \, d\nu_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Assume that

$$f(z) = (1-z)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} u(r) \quad \text{with} \quad z = 1 - \frac{2}{1+r^2} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad r = \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{1-z}}.$$

When d is an integer, this first change of variables corresponds precisely to the *stereographic projection*. Then by direct computation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{d(d-2)} \int_{-1}^1 |f'|^2 \nu \, d\nu_p + \int_{-1}^1 |f|^2 \, d\nu_p &= \frac{4}{d(d-2)} \frac{1}{\zeta_p} \int_0^\infty |u'|^2 r^{d-1} \, dr, \\ \int_{-1}^1 |f|^p \, d\nu_p &= \frac{1}{\zeta_p} \int_0^\infty |u|^p r^{d-1} \, dr, \end{aligned}$$

so that the inequality becomes

$$\int_0^\infty |u'|^2 r^{d-1} \, dr \geq \frac{1}{4} d(d-2) \zeta_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty |u|^p r^{d-1} \, dr \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Let $u(r) := r^{1-\frac{d}{2}} v(x)$ with $x = \log r$. This second change of variables is the *Emden-Fowler transformation*. Then we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v'|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{4} (d-2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{1}{4} d(d-2) \zeta_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Recalling how p and d are related, this means

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v'|^2 \, dx + \frac{4}{(p-2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2} \zeta_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Collecting the two changes of variables, what has been done amounts to the change of variables

$$z(x) = \tanh x, \quad v_* = \nu^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = v_*(x) f(z(x)).$$

This explains why *the problem on the line is equivalent to the critical problem on the sphere* (when d is an integer) or why the problem on the line is equivalent to the critical problem for the ultraspherical operator.

4.2. *The second inequality* ($1 < p < 2$)

The computations for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$ are similar. This is what occurs in the construction of a nonlinear flow. For the convenience of the reader, we also subdivide this section in a series of claims.

- *The interpolation inequality is equivalent to a weighted interpolation inequality on the line.*

The Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality on the line with $p \in (1, 2)$ is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v'|^2 dx - \frac{4}{(2-p)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^2 dx \geq - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_*|^p dx = -C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_*|^p dx. \quad (4.2)$$

Following the computations of Section 3.2, we have

$$C = \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_*|^p dx \right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Assume that v is supported in the interval $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. With $\xi(y) = 1 + y^2$, so that for any $x \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$

$$y(x) = \tan x, \quad v_* = \xi(y)^{-\frac{1}{2-p}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = v_*(x) f(y(x)),$$

the inequality is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 \xi d\xi_p + \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p d\xi_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \geq \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 d\xi_p, \quad (4.3)$$

where $d\xi_p$ denotes the probability measure $d\xi_p(y) := \frac{1}{\zeta_p} \xi^{-\frac{2}{2-p}} dy$ with $\zeta_p := \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2+p}{2(2-p)})}{\Gamma(\frac{2-p}{2})}$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{L} f := \xi f'' - \frac{2p}{2-p} y f'.$$

Notice that $C = \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \zeta_p^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$. Inequality (4.3) is equivalent to the inequality (4.2) and is therefore optimal. We are interested in the monotonicity of the functional

$$f \mapsto F[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 \xi d\xi_p + \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p d\xi_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 d\xi_p$$

along a well-chosen nonlinear flow. We will first establish two identities.

- *There are also two key identities in the case $p \in (1, 2)$.*

As a preliminary observation, we can observe that

$$\left[\frac{d}{dx}, \mathcal{L} \right] u = 2y u'' - \frac{2p}{2-p} u',$$

so that we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{L} u)^2 d\xi_p &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi u' (\mathcal{L} u)' d\xi_p \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi u' (\mathcal{L} u') d\xi_p - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi u' (2y u'' - \frac{2p}{2-p} u') d\xi_p \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi (\xi u')' u'' d\xi_p - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi u' (2y u'' - \frac{2p}{2-p} u') d\xi_p \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u''|^2 \xi^2 d\xi_p + \frac{2p}{2-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{L} u) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi d\xi_p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi u' \left(\frac{|u'|^2 u'}{u^2} \xi - 2 \frac{u' u''}{u} \xi - 2y \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \right) d\xi_p \\
&= \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \xi^2 d\xi_p - \frac{p+2}{2(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2 u''}{u} \xi^2 d\xi_p,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2 u''}{u} \xi^2 d\xi_p &= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'|^2 u')' \frac{1}{u} \xi^{-2 \frac{p-1}{2-p}} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \xi^2 d\xi_p + \frac{4}{3} \frac{p-1}{2-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2 u'}{u} y \xi d\xi_p,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2 u'}{u} y \xi d\xi_p = \frac{3(2-p)}{4(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2 u''}{u} \xi^2 d\xi_p - \frac{2-p}{4(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \xi^2 d\xi_p.$$

Notice that these two identities enter in the general framework which is described in Appendix A with $\xi(y) = 1 + y^2$, $\mathbf{a} = 1$ and $\mathbf{b} = -\frac{2}{2-p}$. Since they are not as standard as the ones corresponding to the ultraspherical operator, we have given a specific proof.

- *There exists a nonlinear flow along which our functional is monotone non-increasing.*

On \mathbb{R} , let us consider the flow

$$u_t = u^{2-2\beta} \left(\mathcal{L} u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi \right),$$

and notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\beta p} d\xi_p = \beta p (\kappa - \beta(p-2) - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\beta(p-2)} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p,$$

so that $\bar{u} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\beta p} d\xi_p \right)^{1/(\beta p)}$ is preserved if $\kappa = \beta(p-2) + 1$. Using the above estimates, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|(u^\beta)'|^2 \xi - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} (u^{2\beta} - \bar{u}^{2\beta}) \right) d\xi_p \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{L} u + (\beta-1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi \right) \left(\mathcal{L} u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi \right) d\xi_p - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \frac{\kappa-1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u''|^2 \xi^2 d\xi_p + \frac{p+2}{2(p-1)} (\kappa + \beta - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} u'' \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi^2 d\xi_p \\
&\quad - \left[\kappa(\beta-1) + \frac{p}{2(p-1)} (\kappa + \beta - 1) \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \xi^2 d\xi_p.
\end{aligned}$$

With

$$\beta = \frac{4}{6-p},$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|(u^\beta)'|^2 \xi - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} (u^{2\beta} - \bar{u}^{2\beta}) \right) d\xi_p = -2\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| u'' - \frac{p+2}{6-p} \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \right|^2 \xi^2 d\xi_p.$$

- *The flow can be rewritten in original variables.*

With $f = u^\beta$, the problem can be rewritten using the flow

$$f_t = f^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left[\mathcal{L} f + \frac{p}{2} \xi \frac{|f'|^2}{f} \right],$$

and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 \xi d\xi_p - \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 d\xi_p + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p d\xi_p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right] \\ = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left| f'' - \frac{p}{2} \frac{|f'|^2}{f} \right|^2 \xi^2 d\xi_p. \end{aligned}$$

We get the flow on $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ by undoing the change of variables: the function $v(t, x) = v_*(x) f(t, y(x))$ solves

$$v_t = \frac{v^{1-\frac{p}{2}}}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \left[v'' + \frac{2p}{2-p} y v' + \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{2-p} v \right],$$

and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |v'|^2 dx - \frac{4}{(2-p)^2} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |v|^2 dx + C \left(\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right] \\ = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+y^2)^2} \left(\frac{v}{v_*} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left| v'' - \frac{p}{2} \frac{|v'|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{2-p} v \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Consequences: monotonicity of the functionals associated to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities along the flows

With the results of Sections 4.1 and 4.2, the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are rather straightforward and left to the reader.

5. Rigidity results

In the case of compact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, rigidity results were established (for instance in [14, 36]) before the role of flows in the monotonicity of the functionals associated to the inequalities was clarified (see in particular [25, 46, 28]). However, such results are of interest by themselves.

5.1. The case of a superlinear elliptic equation.

With the notations of Section 4.1, consider the equation

$$-\mathcal{L} f + \lambda f = f^{p-1}, \tag{5.1}$$

for some $p > 2$. If f is positive and if u is such that $f = u^\beta$, then we notice that the equation can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}u + (\beta - 1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu - \frac{\lambda}{\beta} u + u^\kappa = 0,$$

with $\kappa = \beta(p - 2) + 1$. As in [27], we notice that

$$\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{L}u) u^\kappa d\nu_p = -\kappa \int_{-1}^1 u^{\kappa-1} |u'|^2 d\nu_p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|u'|^2}{u} u^\kappa \nu d\nu_p = \int_{-1}^1 u^{\kappa-1} |u'|^2 d\nu_p,$$

so that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu \right) u^\kappa d\nu_p = 0.$$

Hence, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu \right) \left(\mathcal{L}u + (\beta - 1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu - \frac{\lambda}{\beta} u \right) d\nu_p \\ &= \left(\frac{2p}{p-2} - \lambda \frac{\kappa-1}{\beta} \right) \int_{-1}^1 |u'|^2 \nu d\nu_p + \int_{-1}^1 \left| u'' - \frac{p+2}{6-p} \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \right|^2 \nu^2 d\nu_p. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for any $\lambda < \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2}$, the constant functions are the only solutions. We recover the fact that if f solves (5.1), it is a critical point of $F[f]$ and as a consequence, the time derivative of $F[f]$ along the flow defined in Section 4 has to be identically equal to 0. Rewritten on the line with $z(x) = \tanh x$, the rigidity result can be stated as follows.

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let $p \in (2, \infty)$. Assume that v is a positive solution to the equation*

$$v'' + \frac{2}{p-2} v - \frac{2pz^2}{(p-2)^2} v - \lambda(1-z^2)v + v^{p-1} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

If $\lambda < \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2}$, then v is proportional to v_ . If $\lambda = \frac{2p}{(p-2)^2}$, then v is proportional to $v_*(\cdot + x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. If f is a solution to (5.1), then the function $v = v_* f(z)$ solves (5.2). The discussion is then straightforward. \square

5.2. The case of a sublinear elliptic equation.

With the notations of Section 4.2, consider the equation

$$-\mathcal{L}f - \lambda f + f^{p-1} = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

for some $p \in (1, 2)$. If f is positive and if u is such that $f = u^\beta$, then we notice that the equation can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}u + (\beta - 1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi + \lambda \beta u - u^\kappa = 0,$$

with $\kappa = \beta(p - 2) + 1$. Exactly as in Section 5.1, we notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{L}u) u^\kappa d\xi_p = -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\kappa-1} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u'|^2}{u} u^\kappa \xi d\xi_p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\kappa-1} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi \right) u^\kappa d\xi_p = 0.$$

Hence, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{L}u + \kappa \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi \right) \left(\mathcal{L}u + (\beta - 1) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \xi + \lambda \beta u \right) d\xi_p \\ &= \left(\frac{2p}{p-2} - \lambda \frac{\kappa - 1}{\beta} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^2 \xi d\xi_p + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| u'' - \frac{p+2}{6-p} \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \right|^2 \xi^2 d\xi_p. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for any $\lambda < \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2}$, only the constant functions are solutions. Rewritten on $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with $y(x) = \tan x$, the rigidity result can be stated as follows.

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$. Assume that v is a positive solution on $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ to the equation*

$$v'' + \frac{2}{2-p} v - \frac{2py^2}{(2-p)^2} v + \lambda(1+y^2)v - v^{p-1} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

If $\lambda \leq \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2}$, then v is proportional to v_* .

Proof. If f is a solution to (5.3), then the function $v = v_* f(y)$ solves (5.4). Because the interval $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ is fixed, there is no possibility of translation invariance and hence the case $\lambda = \frac{2p}{(2-p)^2}$ is also covered. \square

6. Further considerations on flows

This section is devoted to the study of various flows associated with (1.5) and (1.6). As we shall see below, fast diffusion flows with several different exponents are naturally associated with left-hand sides, while the heat flow appears as a gradient flow if we introduce an appropriate notion of distance in (1.5) for $p \in (2, 3)$ and in (1.6) for $p \in (1, 2)$.

6.1. Fast diffusion flows.

The l.h.s. in (1.5) is monotone increasing under the action of the flow associated to the fast diffusion flow

$$\partial_t G = \sigma(t) \Delta G^m + \nabla \cdot (y G) \quad (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$m = \frac{p+2}{3p-2},$$

and $\sigma(t)$ is adjusted at every $t \geq 0$ so that $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, y) |y|^2 dy = 0$. The growth rate is determined by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^{2a}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(a) \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^\theta \|u\|_{L^{a+1}(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{with} \quad a := \frac{1}{2m-1} = \frac{3p-2}{6-p}, \quad (6.2)$$

which introduces the restriction

$$2 < p < 6 \iff a \in (1, \infty) \iff m \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right).$$

See [32, 33] for related considerations.

We can also use a more standard framework (see [24, 20]) as follows. For any $m \in (0, 1)$, consider the usual fast diffusion equation in self-similar variables

$$\partial_t G = \Delta G^m + \nabla \cdot (y G) \quad (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$$

for some $m \in (0, 1)$ and define the *generalized entropy* by

$$\mathcal{F}_1[G] := \frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^m dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy.$$

The equation preserves the mass $M := \int_{\mathbb{R}} G dy$ and the entropy converges with an exponential rate towards its asymptotic value which is given by the Barenblatt profile

$$G_{\infty}(y) = \left(C + \frac{1-m}{2m} |y|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$$

with same mass as the solution, i.e. with C such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\infty} dy = M$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}_1[G_{\lambda}] = \frac{\lambda^{m-1}}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^m dy + \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy \quad \text{if } G_{\lambda}(y) := \lambda G(\lambda y),$$

an optimization with respect to the parameter $\lambda > 0$ shows that

$$\mathcal{F}_1[G] \geq \mathcal{F}_1[G_{\lambda}] = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1-m}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^m dy\right)^{\frac{2}{1+m}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G |y|^2 dy\right)^{-\frac{1-m}{1+m}},$$

which again shows that the l.h.s. in (1.5) (raised to the appropriate exponent and multiplied by some well-defined constant) is the optimal value of \mathcal{F} .

Similarly, the l.h.s. in (1.6) is monotone increasing under the action of the flow associated to the fast diffusion flow (6.1) with

$$m = \frac{2}{4-p},$$

and $\sigma(t)$ is again adjusted at every $t \geq 0$ so that $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, y) |y|^2 dy = 0$. The growth rate is determined by (6.2) with $a = \frac{1}{2m-1} = \frac{4}{p} - 1$, $p \in (1, 2)$. Alternatively, we can also consider the entropy functional \mathcal{F} as above.

6.2. Gradient flows, entropies and distances.

6.2.1. *Case $p \in (1, 2)$.* Let us start with a simple computation based on the heat equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0.$$

Since the dimension plays no role, we can simply assume that $d \geq 1$. Under appropriate assumptions on the initial datum, the mass M of a non-negative solution is preserved along the evolution: $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t, x) dx = 0$. A standard computation (see for instance [29]) shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx = -4 \frac{q-1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho^{q/2}|^2 dx. \tag{6.3}$$

With $f = \rho^{q/2}$, $p = 2/q \in (1, 2)$ and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta} \geq C_{GN}(p, d) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\theta = \frac{d(2-q)}{2d-q(d-2)}$, we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx \leq -4 \frac{q-1}{q} \left(\frac{C_{GN}(p, d)}{M^{1-\theta}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2\theta}},$$

which gives an explicit algebraic rate of decay of the entropy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx$.

We will now introduce a notion of *distance* as in [30], which is well-adapted to our setting. We refer to [30] for a rigorous approach and consider the problem at formal level only. First of all one can consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot w = 0 \\ \partial_t w = \Delta w \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx = -4 \frac{q-1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{q-2} \nabla \rho \cdot w dx. \quad (6.4)$$

Let $\alpha = 2 - q$ and define the *action functional* as

$$A_\alpha[\rho, w] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|w|^2}{\rho^\alpha} dx.$$

We recall that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $q \in (1, 2)$ or, equivalently $p = 2/q \in (1, 2)$. The above flow reduces to the heat flow if $w = -\nabla \rho$. If ρ_0 and ρ_1 are two probability densities, we can define a distance d_α between ρ_0 and ρ_1 by

$$d_\alpha^2(\rho_0, \rho_1) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 A_\alpha[\rho_s, w_s] ds : (\rho_s, w_s) \text{ is admissible} \right\},$$

where an admissible path connecting ρ_0 to ρ_1 is a pair (ρ_s, w_s) parametrized by a coordinate s ranging between 0 and 1, so that the endpoint densities are $\rho_{s=0} = \rho_0$ and $\rho_{s=1} = \rho_1$, w_s is vector field and (ρ_s, w_s) satisfies a continuity equation:

$$\partial_s \rho_s + \nabla \cdot w_s = 0.$$

We can also define a notion of *instant velocity* at point $s \in (0, 1)$ along a path $(\rho_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ by

$$|\dot{\rho}_s|^2 := \inf \{ A_\alpha[\rho_s, w] : \partial_s \rho_s + \nabla \cdot w = 0 \}.$$

Consider now a given path $(\rho_t, w_t)_{t>0}$. Using (6.4) and a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we know that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t^q dx \leq q(q-1) \sqrt{A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t] A_\alpha[\rho_t, w_t]},$$

so that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t^q dx \leq q(q-1) \sqrt{A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t]} |\dot{\rho}_t|,$$

if the path is optimal for our notion of distance, i.e. $|\dot{\rho}_t|^2 = A_\alpha[\rho_t, w_t]$. On the other hand, $w_t = -\nabla \rho_t$ defines an admissible path along the heat flow and in that case we know from (6.3) that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t^q dx = q(q-1) A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t].$$

If $(\rho_t)_{t>0}$ is the gradient flow of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx$ with respect to d_α , then on the one hand we have

$$q(q-1) A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t] \leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t^q dx,$$

and on the other hand, using $w_t = -\nabla \rho_t$ as a test function in the definition of $|\dot{\rho}_t|$, we find that $|\dot{\rho}_t|^2 \leq A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t]$, thus showing that

$$|\dot{\rho}_t|^2 = A_\alpha[\rho_t, \nabla \rho_t].$$

This is the desired result: the heat equation is the *gradient flow* of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q dx$ with respect to d_α if $q = 2/p$ and $\alpha = 2 - q$.

6.2.2. *Case $p > 2$.* One can consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot w = 0 \\ \partial_t w = \Delta w \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} dx = -\frac{1}{4} p(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}-2} \nabla \rho \cdot w dx.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}-2} \nabla \rho \cdot w dx \right|^2 \leq A_\alpha[\rho, w] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{p-3} |\nabla \rho|^2 dx,$$

with $\alpha = 3 - p$, it is rather straightforward to see that the equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho^{2-\frac{p}{2}}$$

is such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} dx = -\frac{1}{8} p(p-2)(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dx,$$

and hence can be interpreted as the gradient flow of $\rho \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} dx$ with respect to the distance d_α and optimal descent direction given by $w = -\nabla \rho^{2-p/2}$ if $2 < p < 3$. Recall that conservation of mass holds only if $2 - \frac{p}{2} > 1 - \frac{1}{d}$, which is an additional restriction on the range of p .

6.2.3. Comments The above gradient flow approaches are formal but can be fully justified. See [4] and [30]. Difficulties lie in the fact that paths have to be defined on a space of measures (vector valued measures in case of w) and various regularizations are needed, as well as reparametrizations of the paths. This approach can also be carried out in self-similar variables (the heat equation has then to be replaced by a Fokker-Planck equation) and provides exponential rates of convergence in relative entropy with respect to the stationary solution (or with respect to the invariant measure if one works in the setting of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation: see [29, 31] for details). The precise connection of Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities with W. Beckner's interpolation inequalities [9, 29] in case of a Gaussian measure and M. Agueh's computations in [1, 2] is still to be done.

As a final remark in this section, let us observe that it is crucial for our approach that the action functional $(\rho, w) \mapsto A_\alpha[\rho, w]$ is convex. An elementary computation shows that this implies that α is in the interval $[0, 1]$, where for $\alpha = 1$ (that is, $q = 1$ and $p = 2$), the distance d_1 corresponds to the usual Wasserstein distance according to the Benamou-Brenier characterization in [11], while for $\alpha = 0$ (that is, $q = 2$ and $p = 1$), the distance d_0 corresponds to the usual H^{-1} notion of distance. If we now consider the case $p > 3$, the functional A_α is no longer convex and, although at a formal level the computations are still the same, it is no longer possible to define a meaningful notion of distance. It is therefore an open question to understand whether there is a notion of gradient flow which is naturally associated to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities with $p > 3$ or not.

7. Optimal rates and diffusive flows

It is a classical observation that functional inequalities can be used to derive decay estimates for semi-groups and that optimal constants are equivalent in both approaches. Let us mention a few classical results in this direction: [6, 5, 24, 20, 19]. In this vein, we can use the optimal Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities to express optimal decay rates in well-chosen norms as follows. These results are given for completeness. It is remarkable that, at least in the range of exponents for which it makes sense, the evolution equations under consideration (the heat equation for $p \in (1, 2)$, a fast diffusion with exponent $2 - \frac{p}{2}$ if $p > 2$) are the ones that are associated with the gradient flows of Section 6.2.

7.1. Case $p \in (1, 2)$.

Assume that ρ is a solution to the heat equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

with initial datum $\rho(t=0, \cdot) = \rho_0$, and let us define $f = \rho^{q/2}$, $p = 2/q \in (1, 2)$. On the one hand we get that $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^p \, dx$ is conserved, and on the other hand, using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta} \geq C_{\text{GN}}(p, d) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (7.1)$$

where $\theta = \frac{d(2-p)}{2d-p(d-2)}$ and $C_{\text{GN}}(p, d)$ is the optimal constant, we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q \, dx \leq -K(M, q, d) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$

with

$$\theta = \theta(q, d) = \frac{d(q-1)}{2+d(q-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad K(M, q, d) := 4 \frac{q-1}{q} \left(\frac{C_{\text{GN}}(\frac{2}{q}, d)}{M^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{\theta}},$$

which gives an explicit algebraic rate of decay for the entropy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q \, dx$. By integrating this estimate on $[0, t]$, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^q(t, x) \, dx \leq \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_0^q \, dx \right)^{-\frac{2}{d(q-1)}} + \frac{2K(M, q, d)}{d(q-1)} t \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}(q-1)} \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (7.2)$$

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Assume that $1 < p < 2$. Then the estimate in (7.2) is optimal.*

Proof. If we differentiate (7.2) at $t=0$ we recover (7.1). Improving on $K(M, q, d)$ would automatically improve on $C_{\text{GN}}(p, d)$, which is impossible by definition of $C_{\text{GN}}(p, d)$. \square

 7.2. Case $p > 2$.

Assume that ρ is a solution to the fast diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho^{2-\frac{p}{2}} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

with initial datum $\rho(t=0, \cdot) = \rho_0$, and let us define $f = \sqrt{\rho}$. It is well-known that $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 \, dx$ is conserved if $p < 2(2 + \frac{2}{d})$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} p(p-2)(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \, dx.$$

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta} \geq C_{\text{GN}}(p, d) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (7.3)$$

where $\theta = d \frac{p-2}{2p}$ and $C_{\text{GN}}(p, d)$ is the optimal constant, we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx \geq -K(M, p, d) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

with

$$K(M, p, d) := \frac{1}{2} p(p-2)(4-p) \frac{(C_{\text{GN}}(p, d))^{\frac{2}{\theta}}}{M^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}}}.$$

By integrating this estimate on $[0, t]$, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{2}}(t, x) dx \leq \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_0^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{-\frac{4-p}{p-2}} + \frac{4-p}{p-2} \mathsf{K}(M, p, d) t \right]^{-\frac{p-2}{4-p}} \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (7.4)$$

We have a result similar to the one of Proposition 7.1.

PROPOSITION 7.2. *Assume that $2 < p < \min\{4, 2(2 + \frac{2}{d})\}$. Then the estimate in (7.4) is optimal.*

8. Concluding remarks

Well-chosen *entropy* functionals are exponentially decreasing under the action of the flow defined by the fast diffusion equation and the optimal rate of decay is given by the best constant in a special family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities: see for instance [20, 24, 26, 15, 16]. Moreover, self-similar solutions, the so-called Barenblatt functions, are extremal for the inequalities (see [24, 38]). An explanation for this fact has been given in [43] by F. Otto: the fast diffusion equation is the gradient flow of the entropy with respect to the Wasserstein distance while the entropy (at least in some range of the exponent) is displacement convex. This has been exploited by D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret and C. Villani in [43] in order to provide a proof of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities associated with fast diffusion using mass transportation techniques. Such a method heavily relies on the explicit knowledge of the Barenblatt functions, as well as the reformulation that was given in [3]. A striking point of the method of [43] is a nice duality which relates the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities with a much simpler expression, which again has the Barenblatt functions as optimal functions.

Not so many interpolation inequalities have explicitly known optimal functions. Among Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, the other well-known families are Nash's inequalities and the family which corresponds to the one-dimensional case. This was observed long ago and M. Agueh has investigated in [1, 2] how Barenblatt functions are transformed into optimal functions for the inequalities. We refer to these two papers for an expression of the explicit transport map φ in case of optimal functions. In this paper we have focused our attention on the one-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and established *duality results* which are analogues to the ones in [43]: see Section 2. A remarkable fact is that the dual functional is associated in both cases with an entropy corresponding to a fast diffusion equation.

In [29, 30, 31], some interpolation inequalities associated with $p < 2$ have been studied. We have adapted the methods that can be found there to establish that for some appropriate notion of *distance*, which is not anymore the Wasserstein distance, a notion of *gradient flow* is associated with the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities.

Now let us summarize some aspects of the present paper before listing intriguing issues concerning flows. We have studied the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev interpolation inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) using the three strategies mentioned in the introduction:

- (a) The direct variational approach has been carried out in Appendix B, for completeness.
- (b) The flow method has been studied in Section 4, and summarized in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3; the corresponding rigidity results are stated in Section 5.
- (c) The duality by mass transportation is the subject of Section 2. Optimality has been checked in Section 3.

There is a natural notion of *flow associated with the dual problem* obtained by mass transportation, which is of fast diffusion type; this flow can be seen as a gradient flow with respect to Wasserstein's distance. There is also a notion of *gradient flow* for a well-chosen notion of distance (which is not, in general, Wasserstein's distance), that is studied in Section 6.2 and

for which optimal rates of decay are given by our Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities, but the connection with the mass transportation of Section 2 is still to be clarified.

Method (b) is in a sense surprising. We select a special optimal function and exhibit another *nonlinear diffusion flow*, which is not translation invariant, that forces the solution with any initial datum to converge for large times to the special optimal function we have chosen. The non-negativity of the associated functional is equivalent to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality and the striking property of the flow is that our functional is monotonously non-increasing. The functional is invariant under translations, and any solution corresponding to a translation of the optimal function returns to the initially chosen optimal function, keeping the functional at its minimal level. This is explained by conformal invariance on the sphere and is anything but trivial. This phenomenon, namely that the functional is invariant under translations (which is the same as conformal invariance in other variables) but nevertheless non-increasing under the flow that converges to a single function is at the heart of the competing symmetry approach by E. Carlen and M. Loss in [17]. How this last flow is connected with the other ones is also an open question. At least the computation that shows why the functional decays along the flow clarifies a bunch of existing computations for proving rigidity results for nonlinear elliptic equations written on d -dimensional spheres and for the ultraspherical operator.

Appendix A. *Two useful identities*

On the real interval Ω , let us consider the measure $d\mu_b = \nu^b dx$ for some positive function ν on Ω . We consider the space $L^2(\Omega, d\mu_b)$ endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f_1 f_2 d\mu_b .$$

On $L^2(\Omega, d\mu_b)$, we define the self-adjoint operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{ab} f := \nu^a f'' + \frac{a+b}{a} (\nu^a)' f'$$

which satisfies the identity

$$\langle f_1, \mathcal{L}_{ab} f_2 \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} f_1' f_2' \nu^a d\mu_b .$$

This identity determines the domain of \mathcal{L}_{ab} . We will now establish two useful identities.

PROPOSITION A.1. *Assume that a and b are two reals numbers with $a \neq 0$ and consider a smooth positive function u which is compactly supported in Ω . With the above notations, we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u)^2 d\mu_b = \int_{\Omega} |u''|^2 d\mu_{2a+b} - \frac{a+b}{a} \int_{\Omega} \nu^a (\nu^a)'' |u'|^2 d\mu_b$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^a d\mu_b = \frac{a+b}{2a+b} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b - \frac{a+2b}{2a+b} \int_{\Omega} u'' \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b .$$

Proof. As a preliminary observation, we can observe that

$$\left[\frac{d}{dx}, \mathcal{L}_{ab} \right] f = (\nu^a)' f'' + \frac{a+b}{a} (\nu^a)'' f' ,$$

so that we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u)^2 d\mu_b &= - \int_{\Omega} \nu^a u' (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u)' d\mu_b \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \nu^a u' (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u') d\mu_b - \int_{\Omega} \nu^a u' \left[(\nu^a)' u'' + \frac{a+b}{a} (\nu^a)'' u' \right] d\mu_b \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (\nu^a u')' \nu^a u'' d\mu_b - \int_{\Omega} \nu^a u' \left[(\nu^a)' u'' + \frac{a+b}{a} (\nu^a)'' u' \right] d\mu_b \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |u''|^2 d\mu_{2a+b} - \frac{a+b}{a} \int_{\Omega} \nu^a (\nu^a)'' |u'|^2 d\mu_b.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using an integration by parts, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u'' \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b &= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\Omega} (|u'|^2 u')' \frac{\nu^{2a}}{u} d\mu_b \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b - \frac{2a+b}{3a} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u'|^2 u'}{u} (\nu^a)' \nu^a d\mu_b,
\end{aligned}$$

thus proving that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u'|^2 u'}{u} (\nu^a)' \nu^a d\mu_b = - \frac{3a}{2a+b} \int_{\Omega} u'' \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b + \frac{a}{2a+b} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u'|^4}{u^2} \nu^{2a} d\mu_b.$$

Using the definition of \mathcal{L}_{ab} , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}_{ab} u) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^a d\mu_b = \int_{\Omega} \left(\nu^a u'' + \frac{a+b}{a} (\nu^a)' u' \right) \frac{|u'|^2}{u} \nu^a d\mu_b,$$

thus concluding the proof. \square

From a practical point of view, we will apply Proposition A.1 either to $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $\nu(x) := 1 - x^2$, or to $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu(x) := 1 + x^2$.

Appendix B. The direct variational approach

For completeness, let us give a statement on optimality in (1.1) and (1.2) according to the approach (a) of the introduction. Let us start with the case $p \in (2, \infty)$. We recall that the inequality (1.1) can be written as

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{GN}(p) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\theta} \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

with $\theta = \frac{p-2}{2p}$. By standard results of the concentration-compactness method (see for instance [39, 40]), there exists an optimal function f for (1.1). Because of the homogeneity, $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^d)}$ can be chosen arbitrarily and then, up to a scaling, it is straightforward to check that f can be chosen in order to solve

$$-(p-2)^2 f'' + 4f - 2p|f|^{p-2} f = 0. \quad (B.1)$$

A special solution is given by

$$f_{\star}(x) = \frac{1}{(\cosh x)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

PROPOSITION B.1. *Assume that $p \in (2, \infty)$. For any optimal function f in (1.1), there exists $(\lambda, \mu, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f(x) = \lambda f_{\star}(\mu(x - x_0)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Because of the scaling invariance and the homogeneity in (1.1), it is enough to prove that f_* is the unique solution of (B.1). Since $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we also know that f and f' are exponentially decaying as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$. By multiplying (B.1) by f' and integrating from $-\infty$ to x , we find that

$$E[f] = \frac{1}{2} (p - 2)^2 |f'|^2 + 2 |f|^2 - 2 |f|^p$$

does not depend on x . On the other hand, taking into account the limits as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$, we know that $E[f] = 0$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|f(x_0)| = \max_{\mathbb{R}} |f|$. Up to translation, we may assume that $x_0 = 0$, so that $f'(0) = 0$ and $0 = E[f] = 2 (|f(0)|^2 - |f(0)|^p)$, thus proving that $f(0) = \pm 1$. By the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem, there exists therefore a unique solution f to (B.1) which attains its maximum at $x = 0$ and hence we get that $f = f_*$. \square

Now let us consider the case $p \in (1, 2)$ and turn our attention to (1.2). We recall that the inequality (1.2) can be written as

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{GN}(p) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^\eta \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\eta} \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\eta = \frac{2-p}{2+p}$. By standard results of the concentration-compactness method again, there exists an optimal function f for (1.2). Because of the homogeneity, $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^d)}$ can be chosen arbitrarily and then, up to a scaling, it is straightforward to check that f can be chosen in order to solve

$$-(2-p)^2 f'' - 4f + 2p |f|^{p-2} f = 0. \tag{B.2}$$

A special solution is given by

$$f_*(x) = (\cos x)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \quad \forall x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \quad f_*(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

Moreover by the *compact support principle* (see [12] and [44, 45] for more recent developments), we know that any solution of (B.2) in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ has compact support.

PROPOSITION B.2. *Assume that $p \in (1, 2)$. For any optimal function f in (1.2), there exists $(\lambda, \mu, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f(x) = \lambda f_*(\mu(x - x_0)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Because of the homogeneity and of the scale invariance, finding an optimal function for (1.2) is equivalent to minimizing the functional

$$f \mapsto \mathcal{G}[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p dx - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+2}{6-p}}$$

for some appropriately chosen positive constant C . A unique value of C can indeed be found and computed in terms of $C_{GN}(p)$ so that the minimum of \mathcal{G} is achieved and equal to 0. Let f be the minimizer and assume that $f = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i$ where $(f_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is a family of functions with disjoint compact supports made of bounded intervals. Assume that the number of intervals is larger than 1. Since $\frac{p+2}{6-p} < 1$, by concavity we get that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{G}[f_i] < \mathcal{G}[f] = 0$$

a contradiction. This proves that the support of f is made of a single interval. Then the proof goes as in the case $p > 2$. By considering $E[f] = \frac{1}{2} (2-p)^2 |f'|^2 - 2 |f|^2 + 2 |f|^p$ which again does not depend on x , we get that at its maximum (assumed to be achieved at $x = 0$), we have $f(0) = \pm 1$ and conclude again using a uniqueness argument deduced from the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem that $f = f_*$. \square

© 2013 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

References

1. M. AGUEH, *Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and mass transport theory*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 18 (2006), pp. 1069–1093.
2. M. AGUEH, *Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities involving the gradient L^2 -norm*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 346 (2008), pp. 757–762.
3. M. AGUEH, N. GHOUSSEB, AND X. KANG, *Geometric inequalities via a general comparison principle for interacting gases*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 14 (2004), pp. 215–244.
4. L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, AND G. SAVARÉ, *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
5. A. ARNOLD, P. MARKOWICH, G. TOSCANI, AND A. UNTERREITER, *On convex Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for Fokker-Planck type equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26 (2001), pp. 43–100.
6. D. BAKRY AND M. ÉMERY, *Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 299 (1984), pp. 775–778.
7. D. BAKRY AND M. ÉMERY, *Diffusions hypercontractives*, in Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, vol. 1123 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 177–206.
8. D. BAKRY AND M. ÉMERY, *Inégalités de Sobolev pour un semi-groupe symétrique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 301 (1985), pp. 411–413.
9. W. BECKNER, *A generalized Poincaré inequality for Gaussian measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 105 (1989), pp. 397–400.
10. ———, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. (2), 138 (1993), pp. 213–242.
11. J.-D. BENAMOU AND Y. BRENIER, *A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem*, Numer. Math., 84 (2000), pp. 375–393.
12. P. BENILAN, H. BREZIS, AND M. G. CRANDALL, *A semilinear equation in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 2 (1975), pp. 523–555.
13. A. BENTALEB, *Inégalité de Sobolev pour l'opérateur ultrasphérique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 317 (1993), pp. 187–190.
14. M.-F. BIDAUT-VÉRON AND L. VÉRON, *Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations*, Invent. Math., 106 (1991), pp. 489–539.
15. A. BLANCHET, M. BONFORTE, J. DOLBEAULT, G. GRILLO, AND J.-L. VÁZQUEZ, *Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation via entropy estimates*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 191 (2009), pp. 347–385.
16. M. BONFORTE, J. DOLBEAULT, G. GRILLO, AND J. L. VÁZQUEZ, *Sharp rates of decay of solutions to the nonlinear fast diffusion equation via functional inequalities*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107 (2010), pp. 16459–16464.
17. E. A. CARLEN AND M. LOSS, *Extremals of functionals with competing symmetries*, J. Funct. Anal., 88 (1990), pp. 437–456.
18. ———, *Sharp constant in Nash's inequality*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (1993), pp. 213–215.
19. J. A. CARRILLO, A. JÜNGEL, P. A. MARKOWICH, G. TOSCANI, AND A. UNTERREITER, *Entropy dissipation methods for degenerate parabolic problems and generalized Sobolev inequalities*, Monatsh. Math., 133 (2001), pp. 1–82.
20. J. A. CARRILLO AND G. TOSCANI, *Asymptotic L^1 -decay of solutions of the porous medium equation to self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 49 (2000), pp. 113–142.
21. D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN, *Some applications of mass transport to Gaussian-type inequalities*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 161 (2002), pp. 257–269.
22. D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN, B. NAZARET, AND C. VILLANI, *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Adv. Math., 182 (2004), pp. 307–332.
23. E. B. DAVIES, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, vol. 92 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
24. M. DEL PINO AND J. DOLBEAULT, *Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 81 (2002), pp. 847–875.
25. J. DEMANGE, *Improved Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities on manifolds with positive curvature*, J. Funct. Anal., 254 (2008), pp. 593–611.
26. J. DENZLER AND R. J. MCCANN, *Fast diffusion to self-similarity: complete spectrum, long-time asymptotics, and numerology*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 175 (2005), pp. 301–342.
27. J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, M. KOWALCZYK, AND M. LOSS, *Sharp interpolation inequalities on the sphere: new methods and consequences*, Chin. Ann. Math. Series B, 34 (2013), pp. 1–14.
28. J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, AND M. LOSS, *Nonlinear flows and rigidity results on compact manifolds*. Preprint hal-00784887, 2013.
29. J. DOLBEAULT, B. NAZARET, AND G. SAVARÉ, *On the Bakry-Emery criterion for linear diffusions and weighted porous media equations*, Commun. Math. Sci., 6 (2008), pp. 477–494.

30. J. DOLBEAULT, B. NAZARET, AND G. SAVARÉ, *A new class of transport distances between measures*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 34 (2009), pp. 193–231.
31. J. DOLBEAULT, B. NAZARET, AND G. SAVARÉ, *From Poincaré to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities: A gradient flow approach*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 44 (2012), pp. 3186–3216.
32. J. DOLBEAULT AND G. TOSCANI, *Fast diffusion equations: matching large time asymptotics by relative entropy methods*, Kinetic and Related Models, 4 (2011), pp. 701–716.
33. ———, *Improved interpolation inequalities, relative entropy and fast diffusion equations*, To appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire, (2011).
34. E. GAGLIARDO, *Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili*, Ricerche Mat., 7 (1958), pp. 102–137.
35. ———, *Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili*, Ricerche Mat., 8 (1959), pp. 24–51.
36. B. GIDAS AND J. SPRUCK, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 34 (1981), pp. 525–598.
37. L. GROSS, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, Amer. J. Math., 97 (1975), pp. 1061–1083.
38. J. GUNSON, *Inequalities (Birmingham, 1987)*, vol. 129 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, New York, 1991, ch. Inequalities in mathematical physics, pp. 53–79.
39. P.-L. LIONS, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), pp. 109–145.
40. ———, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), pp. 223–283.
41. J. NASH, *Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations*, Amer. J. Math., 80 (1958), pp. 931–954.
42. L. NIRENBERG, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), 13 (1959), pp. 115–162.
43. F. OTTO, *The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26 (2001), pp. 101–174.
44. P. PUCCI, J. SERRIN, AND H. ZOU, *A strong maximum principle and a compact support principle for singular elliptic inequalities*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 78 (1999), pp. 769–789.
45. J. L. VÁZQUEZ, *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Math. Optim., 12 (1984), pp. 191–202.
46. C. VILLANI, *Optimal transport*, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new.
47. F. B. WEISSLER, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for the heat-diffusion semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 237 (1978), pp. 255–269.

J. Dolbeault and M.J. Esteban
 Ceremade, Université Paris-Dauphine,
 Place de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris
 Cédex 16, France

E-mail: dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr
E-mail: esteban@ceremade.dauphine.fr

A. Laptev
 Department of Mathematics, Imperial
 College London, Huxley Building, 180
 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk

M. Loss
 School of Mathematics, Skiles Building,
 Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta
 GA 30332-0160, USA

E-mail: loss@math.gatech.edu