HAL open science

Foundations of Garside Theory

Patrick Dehornoy, Francois Digne, Eddy Godelle, Daan Krammer, Jean Michel

To cite this version:

Patrick Dehornoy, Francois Digne, Eddy Godelle, Daan Krammer, Jean Michel. Foundations of Garside Theory. 2013. hal-00857685

HAL Id: hal-00857685

https://hal.science/hal-00857685v1

Preprint submitted on 3 Sep 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FOUNDATIONS OF GARSIDE THEORY

Patrick DEHORNOY
with
François DIGNE
Eddy GODELLE
Daan KRAMMER
Jean MICHEL

This text consists of the introduction, table of contents, and bibliography of a long manuscript (703 pages) that is currently submitted for publication. This manuscript develops an extension of Garside's approach to braid groups and provides a unified treatment for the various algebraic structures that appear in this context. The complete text can be found at

`http://www.math.unicaen.fr/~garside/Garside.pdf`.

Comments are welcome.

Introduction

A natural, but slowly emerging program. In his PhD thesis prepared under the supervision of Graham Higman and defended in 1965 [113], and in the article that followed [114], Frank A. Garside (1915–1988) solved the Conjugacy Problem of Artin's braid group B_n by introducing a submonoid B_n^+ of B_n and a distinguished element Δ_n of B_n^+ that he called fundamental and showing that every element of B_n can be expressed as a fraction of the form $\Delta_n^m g$ with m an integer and g an element of B_n^+. Moreover, he proved that any two elements of the monoid B_n^+ admit a least common multiple, thus somehow extending to the non-Abelian groups B_n some of the standard tools available in a torsion-free Abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

In the beginning of the 1970's, it was soon realized by Brieskorn and Saito [32] using an algebraic approach and by Deligne [91] using a more geometric approach that Garside's results extend to all generalized braid groups associated with finite Coxeter groups, that is, all Artin (or, better, Artin–Tits) groups of spherical type.

The next step forward was the possibility of defining, for every element of the braid monoid B_n^+ (and, more generally, of every spherical Artin–Tits monoid) a distinguished decomposition in terms of the divisors of the fundamental element Δ_n: the point is that, if g is an element of B_n^+, then there exists a (unique) greatest common divisor g_1 for g and Δ_n and, moreover $g \neq 1$ implies $g_1 \neq 1$: then g_1 is a distinguished fragment of g (the "head" of g) and, if we repeat the operation with the element g' that satisfies $g = g_1 g'$, we extract the head g_2 of g' and, iterating, we end up with an expression $g_1 \cdots g_p$ of g in terms of divisors of Δ_n. Although Garside was very close to such a decomposition when he proved that greatest common divisors exist in B_n^+, the result does not appear in his work explicitly, and it seems that the first explicit occurrences of such distinguished decompositions, or *normal forms*, goes back to the 1980's in independent work by Adjan [2], El Rifai and Morton [106], and Thurston (circulated notes [207], later appearing as Chapter IX

in the book [108] by Epstein *et al.*). The normal form was soon used to improve Garside's solution of the Conjugacy Problem [106] and, extended from the monoid to the group, to serve as a paradigmatic example in the then emerging theory of automatic groups of Cannon, Thurston, and others. Sometimes called the *greedy normal form*—or *Garside's normal form*, or *Thurston's normal form*—it became a standard tool in the investigation of braids and Artin–Tits monoids and groups from a viewpoint of geometric group theory and representation, essential in particular in Krammer's algebraic proof of the linearity of braid groups [149, 150].

In the beginning of the 1990's, it was realized by one of us that some ideas from Garside's approach to braid monoids can be applied in a different context to analyze a certain "geometry monoid" M_{LD} that appears in the study of the so-called left-selfdistributivity law $x(yz) = (xy)(xz)$. In particular, the criterion used by Garside to establish that the braid monoid B_n^+ is left-cancellative (that is, $gh = gh'$ implies $h = h'$) can be adapted to M_{LD} and a normal form reminiscent of the greedy normal form exists—with the main difference that the pieces of the normal decompositions are not the divisors of some unique element similar to Garside's fundamental braid Δ_n, but they are divisors of elements Δ_t that depend on some object t (actually a tree) attached to the element one wishes to decompose. The approach led to results about the exotic left-selfdistributivity law [67] and, more unexpectedly, about braids and their orderability when it turned out that the monoid M_{LD} naturally projects to the (infinite) braid monoid B_∞^+ [66, 69, 71].

At the end of the 1990's, following a suggestion by Luis Paris, the idea arose of listing the abstract properties of the monoid B_n^+ and of Garside's fundamental braid Δ_n that make the algebraic theory of B_n possible. This resulted in introducing the notions of a *Garside monoid* and a *Garside element* [89]. In a sense, this is just a sort of reverse engineering, and proving results about the existence and the properties of the normal form essentially means checking that no assumption has been forgotten in the definition. However, it soon appeared that a number of new examples were eligible, and, specially after some cleaning of the definitions was completed [74], that the new framework was really more general than the original braid framework. The main benefit was that extending the results often resulted in discovering new improved arguments no longer relying on superfluous assumptions or on specific properties. This program turned out to be rather successful and it led to many developments by a number of different authors [8, 11, 12, 16, 18, 17, 48, 47, 59, 111, 118, 127, 128, 158, 157, 165, 181, 192, ...]. Today the study of Garside monoids is still far from complete, and many questions remain open.

However, in the meanwhile, it soon appeared that, although efficient, the framework of Garside monoids as stabilized in the 1990's is far from optimal. Essentially, several assumptions, in particular Noetherianity conditions, are superfluous and they just discard further natural examples. Also, excluding nontrivial invertible elements appears as an artificial limiting assumption. More importantly, one of us (DK) in a 2005 preprint subsequently published as [152] and two of us (FD, JM) [99], as well as David Bessis in an independent research [10], realized that normal forms similar to those involved in Garside monoids can be developed and usefully applied in a context of categories, leading to what they naturally called *Garside categories*. By the way, similar structures are already implicit in the 1976 paper [93] by Deligne–Lusztig, as well as in the above mentioned example of M_{LD} [69, 71], and in EG's PhD thesis [123].

It was therefore time around 2007 for the development of a new, unifying framework that would include all the previously defined notions, remove all unneeded assumptions, and allow for optimized arguments. This program was developed in particular during a series of workshops and meetings between 2007 and 2012, and it resulted in the current text. As suggested in the above account, the emphasis is put on the normal form and its mechanism, and the framework is that of a general category with only one assumption, namely left-cancellativity. Then the central notion is that of a *Garside family*, defined to be any family that gives rise to a normal form of the expected type. Then, of course, every Garside element Δ in a Garside monoid provides an example of a Garside family, namely the set of all divisors of Δ, but many more Garside families may exist—and they do, as we shall see in the text. Note as, in a sense, our current generalization is the ultimate one since, by definition, no further extension may preserve the existence of a greedy normal form. However, different approaches might be developed, either by relaxing the definition of a greedy decomposition (see the Notes at the end of Chapter III) or, more radically, by putting the emphasis on other aspects of Garside groups rather than on normal forms. Typically, several authors, including J. Crisp, J. McCammond and one of us (DK) proposed to view a Garside group mainly as a group acting on a lattice in which certain intervals of the form $[1, \Delta]$ play a distinguished role, thus paving the way for other types of extensions.

Our hope—and our claim—is that the new framework so constructed is quite satisfactory. By this, we mean that most of the properties previously established in more particular contexts can be extended to larger contexts. It is *not* true that all properties of, say, Garside monoids extend to arbitrary categories equipped with a Garside family but, in most cases, addressing the question in an extended framework helps improving the arguments and really capturing the essential features. Typically, almost all known properties of Garside monoids do extend to categories that admit what we call a bounded Garside family, and the proofs cover for free all previously considered notions of Garside categories.

It is clear that a number future developments will continue to involve particular types of monoids or categories only: we do not claim that our approach is universal... However, we would be happy if the new framework—and the associated terminology—could become a natural reference for further works.

About this text. The aim of the current text is to give a state-of-the-art presentation of this approach. Finding a proper name turned out to be not so obvious. On the one hand, "Garside calculus" would be a natural title, as the greedy normal form and its variations are central in this text: although algorithmic questions are not emphasized, most constructions are effective and the mechanism of the normal form is indeed a sort of calculus. On the other hand however, many results, in particular those of structural nature, exploit the normal form but are not reducible to it, making a title like "Garside structures" or "Garside theory" more appropriate. But such a title is certainly too ambitious for what we can offer: no genuine structure theory or no exhaustive classification of, say, Garside families is to be expected at the moment. What we do here is to develop a framework that, we think and hope, can become a good base for a still-to-come theory. Another option could have been "Garside categories", but it will be soon observed that no notion with that name is introduced here: in view of the subsequent developments, a reasonable meaning could be "a cancellative category that admits a Garside map", but a

number of variations are still possible, and any particular choice could become obsolete soon—as is, in some sense, the notion of a Garside group. So, finally, our current title, "Foundations of Garside Theory", may be the one that reflects the current content in the best way: the current text should be seen as an invitation for further research, and does not aim at being exhaustive—reporting about all previous results involving Garside structures would already be very difficult—but concentrates on what seems to be the core of the subject.

There are two parts. Part A is devoted to general results, and it offers a very careful treatment of the bases. Here complete proofs are given, and the results are illustrated with a few basic examples. By contrast, Part B consists of essentially independent chapters explaining further examples or families of examples that are in general more elaborate. Here some proofs may be omitted, and the discussion is centered around what can be called the Garside aspects in the considered structures.

Our general scheme will be to start from an analysis of normal decompositions and then to introduce Garside families as the framework guaranteeing the existence of normal decompositions. Then the three main questions we shall address and a chart of the corresponding chapters looks as follows:

- **How** do Garside structures work? (mechanism of normal decomposition)
  - Chapter III (domino rules, geometric aspects)
  - Chapter VII (compatibility with subcategories)
  - Chapter VIII (connection with conjugacy)

- **When** do Garside structures exist? (existence of normal decomposition)
  - Chapter IV (recognizing Garside families)
  - Chapter VI (recognizing Garside germs)
  - Chapter V (recognizing Garside maps)

- **Why** consider Garside structures? (examples and applications)
  - Chapter I (basic examples)
  - Chapter IX (braid groups)
  - Chapter X (Deligne–Luzstig varieties)
  - Chapter XI (selfdistributivity)
  - Chapter XII (ordered groups)
  - Chapter XIII (Yang–Baxter equation)
  - Chapter XIV (four more examples)

Above, and in various places, we use "Garside structure" as a generic and informal way to refer to the various objects occurring with the name "Garside": Garside families, Garside groups, Garside maps, *etc.*

The chapters. To make further reference easy, each chapter in Part A begins with a summary of the main results. At the end of each chapter, exercises are proposed, and a note section provides historical references, comments, and questions for further research.

Chapter I is introductory and lists a few examples. The chapter starts with some classical examples of Garside monoids, such as free Abelian monoids or classical and dual braid monoids, and it continues with some examples of structures that are not Garside monoids but nevertheless possess a normal form similar to that

of Garside monoids, thus providing a motivation for the construction of a new, extended framework.

Chapter II is another introductory chapter in which we fix some terminology and basic results about categories and derived notions, in particular connected with divisibility relations that play an important rôle in the sequel. A few general results about Noetherian categories and groupoids of fractions are established. The final section describes an general method called reversing for investigating a presented category. As the question is not central in our current approach (and although it owes much to Garside's methods), some proofs of this section are deferred to an appendix at the end of the book.

Chapter III is the one where the theory really starts. Here the notion of a normal decomposition is introduced, as well as the notion of a Garside family, abstractly introduced as a family that guarantees the existence of an associated normal form. The mechanism of the normal form is analyzed, both in the case of a category ("positive case") and in the case of its enveloping groupoid ("signed case"): some simple diagrammatic patterns, the domino rules, are crucial, and their local character directly implies various geometric consequences, in particular a form of automaticity and the Grid Property, a strong convexity statement.

Chapter IV is devoted to obtaining concrete characterizations of Garside families, hence, in other words, to describing assumptions that guarantee the existence of normal decompositions. In this chapter, one establishes external characterizations, meaning that we start with a category $\mathcal{C}$ and look for conditions ensuring that a given subfamily $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is a Garside family. Various answers are given, in a general context first, and then in particular contexts where some conditions come for free: typically, if the ambient category $\mathcal{C}$ is Noetherian and admits unique least common right-multiples, then a subfamily $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is a Garside family if and only if it generates $\mathcal{C}$ is is closed under least common right-multiple and right-divisor.

Chapter V investigates particular Garside families that are called bounded. Essentially, a Garside family $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded is there exists a map Δ (an element in the case of a monoid) such that $\mathcal{S}$ consists of the divisors of Δ (in some convenient sense). Not all Garside families are bounded, and, contrary to the existence of a Garside family, the existence of a bounded Garside family is not guaranteed in every category. Here we show that a bounded Garside family is sufficient to prove most of the results previously established for a Garside monoid, including the construction of Δ-normal decompositions, a variant of the symmetric normal decompositions used in groupoids of fractions.

Chapter VI provides what can be called internal (or intrinsic) characterizations of Garside families: here we start with a family $\mathcal{S}$ equipped with a partial product, and we wonder whether there exists a category $\mathcal{C}$ in which $\mathcal{S}$ embeds as a Garside family. The good news is that such characterizations do exist, meaning that, when the conditions are satisfied, all properties of the generated category can be read inside the initial family $\mathcal{S}$. This local approach turns to be very useful to construct examples and, in particular, it can be used to construct a sort of unfolded, torsion-free version of convenient groups, typically braid groups starting from Coxeter groups.

Chapter VII is devoted to subcategories. Here one investigates natural questions such as the following: if $\mathcal{S}$ is a Garside family in a category $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, then is $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}_1$ a Garside family in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and, if so, what is the connection

between the associated normal decompositions? Of particular interest are the results involving subgerms, which somehow provide a possibility of reading inside a given Garside family $\mathcal{S}$ the potential properties of the subcategories generated by the subfamilies of $\mathcal{S}$.

Chapter VIII addresses conjugacy, first in the case of a category equipped with an arbitrary Garside family, and then, mainly, in the case of a category equipped with a bounded Garside family. Here again, most of the results previously established for Garside monoids can be extended, including the cycling, decycling, and sliding transformations which provide a decidability result for the Conjugacy Problem whenever convenient finiteness assumptions are satisfied. We also extend the geometric methods of Bestvina to describe periodic elements in this context.

Part B begins with Chapter IX devoted to (generalized) braid groups. Here we show how both the reversing approach of Chapter II and the germ approach of Chapter VI can be applied to construct and analyze classical and dual Artin–Tits monoids. We also briefly mention the braid groups associated with complex reflection groups, as well as several exotic Garside structures on B_n. The applications of Garside structures in the context of braid groups are too many to be described exhaustively, and we just list some of them in the Notes section.

Chapter X is a direct continuation of Chapter IX. It reports about the use of Garside-type methods in the study of Deligne–Lusztig varieties, an ongoing program that aims at establishing by a direct proof some of the consequences of the Broué Conjectures about finite reductive groups. Several questions in this approach directly involve conjugacy in generalized braid groups, and the results of Chapter VIII are then crucial.

Chapter XI is an introduction to the Garside structure hidden in the above mentioned algebraic law $x(yz) = (xy)(xz)$, a typical example where a categorical framework is needed (or, at the least, the framework of Garside monoids is not sufficient). Here a promising contribution of the Garside approach is a natural program possibly leading to the so-called Embedding Conjecture, a deep structural result that resisted all attempts so far.

Chapter XII develops an approach to ordered groups based on divisibility properties and Garside elements, resulting in the construction of groups with the property that the associated space of orderings contains isolated points, which answers one of the natural questions of the area. Braid groups are typical examples, but considering what we call triangular presentations leads to a number of different examples.

Chapter XIII is a self-contained introduction to set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and the associated structure groups, which make an important family of Garside groups. The exposition is centered on the connection between the RC-law $(xy)(xz) = (yx)(yz)$ and the right-complement operation on the one hand, and what is called the geometric I-structure on the other hand. Here the Garside approach both provides a specially efficient framework, in particular for reproving results about the RC-law, and leads to new results.

Chapter XIV presents four unrelated topics involving interesting Garside families: divided categories and decompositions categories with two applications, then an extension of the framework of Chapter XIII to more general RC-systems, then what is called the braid group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, a sort of analog of Artin's braid group in which permutations of $\{1, ..., n\}$ are replaced with linear orderings of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and, finally, an introduction to groupoids of cell decompositions that arise when the mapping class

group approach to braid groups is extended by introducing sort of roots of the generators σ_i.

The final Appendix contains the postponed proofs of some technical statements from Chapter II for which no complete reference exists in literature.

Thanks. We primarily wish to thank David Bessis, who was part of the crew at an early stage of the project, but then quitted it for personal reasons.

Next, we thank all the colleagues and students who participated in the various meetings dedicated to the project and who contributed by their questions and suggestions to this text. A (certainly non-exhaustive) list includes Marc Autord, Serge Bouc, Michel Broué, Matthieu Calvez, Ruth Corran, Jean Fromentin, Volker Gebhardt, Tomas Gobet, Juan González-Meneses, Tatiana Ivanova–Gateva, Jean-Yves Hée, Eric Jespers, Eon-Kyung Lee, Sang-Jin Lee, Jon McCammond, Ivan Marin, Jan Okniński, Luis Paris, Matthieu Picantin, Maya Van Campenhout, Bert Wiest. Also, special thoughts for Joan Birman and Hugh Morton, whose support and interest in the subject has always been strong.

Let us mention that the project was supported partly by the ANR grant TheoGar ANR-08-BLAN-0269-02.

Caen, Amiens, Warwick, Paris, June 2013

Patrick Dehornoy
François Digne
Eddy Godelle
Daan Krammer
Jean Michel

What remains to be done?

• Add a few more examples in the text, typically involving the wreathed free Abelian monoid $\widetilde{\mathbb{N}}_n$ in addition to the standard ones involving the braid group B_n in order to show how the results and algorithms look like when there are nontrivial invertible elements.

• Uniformize the visual aspect of all pictures (same style of arrows, same linewidth, etc.);

• Post the solutions of the exercises (which are written but not printed here) on a dedicated website;

• Maybe : transform some secondary statements that are not subsequently referred to into exercises.

Contents

Introduction vii

PART A. General theory 1

I. Some examples 3
1. Classical examples 3
   1.1. Free Abelian monoids 3
   1.2. Braid groups and monoids 5
   1.2. Dual braid monoids 9
2. Garside monoids and groups 11
   2.1. The notion of a Garside monoid 11
   2.2. More examples 13
3. Why a further extension? 15
   3.1. Infinite braids 15
   3.2. The Klein bottle group 16
   3.3. Wreathed free Abelian groups 18
   3.4. Ribbon categories 19

Exercises 22
Notes 22

II. Preliminaries 27
1. The category context 29
   1.1. Categories and monoids 29
   1.2. Subfamilies and subcategories 31
   1.3. Invertible elements 33
   1.4. Presentations 37
2. Divisibility and Noetherianity 40
   2.1. Divisibility relations 41
   2.2. Lcms and gcds 42
   2.3. Noetherianity conditions 46
   2.4. Height 53
   2.5. Atoms 57
3. Groupoids of fractions 60
   3.1. The enveloping groupoid of a category 60
   3.2. Groupoid of fractions 62
   3.3. Ore subcategories 65
   3.4. Torsion elements in a groupoid of fractions 66
4. Working with presented categories 67
   4.1. A toolbox 67
   4.2. Right-reversing: definition 71
   4.3. Right-reversing: termination 75
   4.4. Right-reversing: completeness 79

Exercises 87
Notes 90

III. Normal decompositions 93
1. Greedy decompositions 95
1.1. The notion of an $\mathcal{S}$-greedy path 96
1.2. The notion of an $\mathcal{S}$-normal path 100
1.3. The notion of a Garside family 105
1.4. Recognizing Garside families 107
1.5. The second domino rule 114
2. Symmetric normal decompositions 118
2.1. Left-disjoint elements 118
2.2. Symmetric normal decompositions 121
2.3. Uniqueness of symmetric normal decompositions 126
2.4. Existence of symmetric normal decompositions 123
2.5. More domino rules 132
Appendix: existence of symmetric normal decompositions, general case of a left-cancellative category 136
3. Geometric and algorithmic properties 139
3.1. Geodesics 140
3.2. The Grid Property 141
3.3. The Fellow Traveller Property 146
3.4. The Garside resolution 152
3.5. Word Problem 161
Exercises 164
Notes 165

IV. Garside families 169
1. The general case 172
1.1. Closure properties 172
1.2. Characterizations of Garside families 180
1.3. Special Garside families 183
1.4. Head functions 187
2. Special contexts 191
2.1. Solid families 191
2.2. Right-Noetherian categories 194
2.3. Categories that admit right-mcms 200
2.4. Categories with unique right-lcms 207
2.5. Finite height 209
3. Geometric and algorithmic applications 211
3.1. Presentations 211
3.2. Isoperimetric inequalities 215
3.3. Word Problem 216
3.4. Case of categories that admit lcms 219
Exercises 225
Notes 227

V. Bounded Garside families 231
1. Right-bounded Garside families 234
1.1. The notion of a right-bounded Garside family 234

1.2. Right-Garside maps 237
1.3. The Garside functor ϕ_Δ 240
1.4. Powers of a right-bounded Garside family 244
1.5. Preservation of normality 247

2. Bounded Garside families 250
2.1. The notion of a bounded Garside family 251
2.2. Powers of a bounded Garside family 254
2.3. The case of a cancellative category 256
2.4. Garside maps 259
2.5. Existence of lcms and gcds 262

3. Delta-normal decompositions 266
3.1. The positive case 266
3.2. The general case 270
3.3. Symmetric normal decompositions 277
3.4. Co-normal decompositions 279

Exercises 282
Notes 283

VI. Germs 287

1. Germs 290
1.1. The notion of a germ 290
1.2. The embedding problem 292
1.3. Atoms in a germ 295
1.4. Garside germs 297

2. Recognizing Garside germs 300
2.1. The families $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{S}$ 300
2.2. Greatest $\mathcal{I}$-functions 307
2.3. Noetherian germs 310
2.4. An application: germs derived from a groupoid 313

3. Bounded germs 319
3.1. Right-bounded germs 319
3.2. Bounded germs 321
3.3. An application: germs from lattices 324

Exercises 326
Notes 327

VII. Subcategories 329

1. Subcategories 332
1.1. Closure under quotient 332
1.2. Subcategories that are closed under $=^\times$ 336
1.3. Head-subcategories 338
1.4. Parabolic subcategories 344

2. Compatibility with a Garside family 345
2.1. Greedy paths 346
2.2. Compatibility with a Garside family 347
2.3. Compatibility, special subcategories 351
2.4. Compatibility with symmetric decompositions 354

3. Subfamilies of a Garside family 357
3.1. Subgerms 358
3.2. Transfer results 361
3.3. Garside subgerms 365
3.4. Head-subgerms 371
4. Subcategories associated with functors 372
4.1. Subcategories of fixed points 372
4.2. Image subcategory 374
Exercises 379
Notes 381

VIII. Conjugacy 385
1. Conjugacy categories 387
1.1. General conjugacy 387
1.2. Cyclic conjugacy 392
1.3. Twisted conjugacy 396
1.4. An example: ribbon categories 400
2. Cycling, sliding, summit sets 409
2.1. Cycling and decycling 409
2.2. Sliding circuits 417
3. Conjugacy classes of periodic elements 429
3.1. Periodic elements 429
3.2. Geometric methods 431
3.3. Conjugates of periodic elements 438
Exercises 443
Notes 443

PART B. Specific examples 447

IX. Braids 449
1. The classical Garside structure on Artin–Tits groups 449
1.1. Coxeter groups 450
1.2. Artin–Tits groups, reversing approach 455
1.3. Artin–Tits groups, germ approach 461
2. More Garside structures on Artin–Tits groups 463
2.1. The dual braid monoid 463
2.2. The case of the symmetric group 465
2.3. The case of finite Coxeter groups 469
2.4. Exotic Garside structures on B_n 470
3. Braid groups of well-generated complex reflection groups 473
3.1. Complex reflection groups 473
3.2. Braid groups of complex reflection groups 475
3.3. Well-generated complex reflection groups 476
3.4. Tunnels 477
3.5. The Lyashko–Looijenga covering and Hurwitz action on decompositions of δ 479
Exercises 482

Notes 482

X. Deligne–Lusztig varieties 487

1. Finite linear groups as reductive groups 487
   1.1. Reductive groups 487
   1.2. Some important subgroups 488
   1.3. $\boldsymbol{G}^F$-conjugacy 489
2. Representations 491
   2.1. Complex representations of $\boldsymbol{G}^F$ 491
   2.2. Deligne–Lusztig varieties 492
   2.3. Modular representation theory 493
3. Geometric Broué Conjecture, torus case 494
   3.1. The geometric approach 495
   3.2. Endomorphisms of Deligne–Lusztig varieties 496
4. Geometric Broué Conjecture, the general case 499
   3.1. The parabolic case 500
   3.2. The really general case 503

Notes 506

XI. Left self-distributivity 509

1. Garside sequences 510
   1.1. Partial actions 510
   1.2. Right-Garside sequences 513
   1.3. Derived notions 515
2. LD-expansions and the category $\mathcal{LD}_0$ 518
   2.1. Free LD-systems 518
   2.2. LD-expansions 520
   2.3. The category $\mathcal{LD}_0$ 522
   2.4. Simple LD-expansions 524
3. Labelled LD-expansions and the category $\mathcal{LD}$ 524
   3.1. The operators Σ_α 525
   3.2. The monoid M_{LD} 526
   3.3. The category $\mathcal{LD}$ 529
   3.4. The Embedding Conjecture 532
4. Connection with braids 536
   4.1. The main projection 536
   4.2. Reproving braid properties 538
   4.2. Hurwitz action of braids on LD-systems 542

Exercises 544

Notes 545

XII. Ordered groups 549

1. Ordered groups and monoids of O-type 549
   1.1. Orderable and bi-orderable groups 550
   1.2. The space of orderings on a group 553
   1.3. Two examples 556
2. Construction of isolated orderings 558

2.1. Triangular presentations 558
2.2. Existence of common multiples 561
2.3. More examples 564
2.4. Effectivity questions 566
3. Further results 568
3.1. Dominating elements 569
3.2. Right-ceiling 570
3.3. The specific case of braids 571
Exercises 574
Notes 575

XIII. Set-theoretic solutions of YBE 579
1. Several equivalent frameworks 579
1.1. Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation 580
1.2. Involutive biracks 582
1.3. RC- and RLC-quasigroups 584
2. Structure monoids and groups 589
2.1. Structure monoids and groups 590
2.2. RC-calculus 593
2.3. Every structure monoid is a Garside monoid 598
2.4. A converse connection 600
3. Monoids of I-type 603
3.1. The I-structure 603
3.2. Monoids of I-type 606
3.3. Coxeter-like groups 610
Exercises 615
Notes 615

XIV. More examples 619
1. Divided and decomposition categories 619
1.1. Divided categories 620
1.2. Decomposition categories 625
2. Cyclic systems 632
2.1. Weak RC-systems 632
2.2. Units and ideals 635
2.3. The structure category of a weak RC-system 638
3. The braid group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ 644
3.1. Ordering orders 644
3.2. Lexicographic orders of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ 645
3.3. A lattice ordering on $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ 647
4. Cell decompositions of a punctured disk 649
4.1. Braid groups as mapping class groups 649
4.2. Cell decompositions 651
4.3. The group B_ℓ and the category $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ 652
4.4. Flips 654
4.5. A bounded Garside family 658
Exercises 659

Notes 660

Appendix: Some missing proofs for Chapter II 663

1. Groupoid of fractions 663
   1.1. Ore's theorem 663
   1.2. Ore subcategories 668
2. Working with presented categories 669
   2.1. Right-reversing: termination 669
   2.2. Right-reversing: completeness 670

Exercises 676

Bibliography 677

Index 685

References

[1] S.I. Adyan, *Defining relations and algorithmic problems for groups and semigroups*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., vol. 85, Amer. Math. Soc. (english translation), 1966.

[2] ———, *Fragments of the word Delta in a braid group*, Mat. Zam. Acad. Sci. SSSR **36** (1984), no. 1, 25–34, (Russian); English translation in *Math. Notes of the Acad. Sci. USSR* **36** (1984), no. 1, p. 505-510.

[3] I. Anshel, M. Anshel, and D. Goldfeld, *An algebraic method for public-key cryptography*, Math. Research Letters **6** (1999), 287–291.

[4] E. Artin, *Theorie der Zöpfe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **4** (1925), 47–72.

[5] M. Autord, *Comparing Gröbner bases and word reversing*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **33** (2009), 639–663.

[6] E. Bannai, *Fundamental groups of the spaces of regular orbits of the finite unitary reflection groups of dimension 2*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), 447–454.

[7] D. Bessis, *Finite complex reflection arrangements are $K(\pi, 1)$*, arXiv:0610777.

[8] ———, *Garside categories, periodic loops and cyclic sets*, arXiv:0610778.

[9] ———, *Zariski theorems and diagrams for braid groups*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 487–507.

[10] ———, *The dual braid monoid*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **36** (2003), 647–683.

[11] ———, *A dual braid monoid for the free group*, J. Algebra **302** (2006), 55–69.

[12] D. Bessis and R. Corran, *Non-crossing partitions of type (e, e, r)*, Adv. in Math. **202** (2006), 1–49.

[13] D. Bessis and V. Reiner, *Cyclic sieving of noncrossing partitions for complex reflection groups*, arXiv:0701792, to appear in Annals of Combinatorics.

[14] M. Bestvina, *Non-positively curved aspects of Artin groups of finite type*, Geom. Topol. **3** (1999), 269–302.

[15] J. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 82, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

[16] J. Birman, V. Gebhardt, and J. González-Meneses, *Conjugacy in Garside groups I: cyclings, powers and rigidity*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **1** (2007), 221–279.

[17] ———, *Conjugacy in Garside groups III: periodic braids*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), 746–776.

[18] ———, *Conjugacy in Garside groups II: structure of the ultra summit set*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **2** (2008), 16–31.

[19] J. Birman, K.H. Ko, and S.J. Lee, *A new approach to the word problem in the braid groups*, Advances in Math. **139** (1998), no. 2, 322–353.

[20] ———, *The infimum, supremum and geodesic length of a braid conjugacy class*, Advances in Math. **164** (2001), 41–56.

[21] C.F. Bödigheimer and B. Visy, *Factorable groups and their homology*, 10.41717OWR/2010/32, 2010.

[22] A. Borel, *Algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 126, Springer Verlag, 1991.

[23] S. Bouc, *Homologie de certains ensembles de 2-sous-groupes des groupes symétriques*, Journal of Algebra **150** (1992), 158–186.

[24] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres IV, V, VI*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.

[25] S. Boyer, C. McA. Gordon, and L. Watson, *On L-spaces and left-orderable fundamental groups*, to appear in Math. Annalen.

[26] S. Boyer, D. Rolfsen, and B. Wiest, *Orderable 3-manifold groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), 243–288.

[27] T. Brady and C. Watt, *A partial order on the orthogonal group*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 3749–3754.

[28] ———, *Non-crossing partition lattices in finite real reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 1983–2005.

[29] C. Brav and H. Thomas, *Braids groups and Kleinian singularities*, Math. Ann. **351** (2011), 1005–1017.

[30] E. Brieskorn, *Die Fundamentalgruppe des Raumes der regulären Orbits einer endlichen komplexen Spiegelungsgruppe*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 57–61.

[31] ———, *Automorphic sets and braids and singularities*, Braids, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 78, American Mathematical Society, 1988, pp. 45–117.

[32] E. Brieskorn and K. Saito, *Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen*, Invent. Math. **17** (1972), 245–271.

[33] B. Brink and R. B. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups*, Inventiones Math. **136** (1999), 323–351.

[34] M. Broué and G. Malle, *Théorèmes de Sylow génériques pour les groupes réductifs sur les corps finis*, Mathematische Annalen **292** (1992), 241–262.

[35] M. Broué, G. Malle, and R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Mathematik **500** (1998), 127–190.

[36] M. Broué and J. Michel, *Sur certains éléments réguliers des groupes de Weyl et les variétés de Deligne-Lusztig associées*, Progress in Math., vol. 141, pp. 73–139, Birkhauser, 1996.

[37] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer Verlag, 1982.

[38] S. Burckel, *The well-ordering on positive braids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **120** (1997), no. 1, 1–17.

[39] M. Calvez and B. Wiest, *A fast solution to the conjugacy problem in the 4-strand braid group*, arXiv:1204.6507.

[40] J.W. Cannon, W.J. Floyd, and W.R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*, Enseign. Math. **42** (1996), 215–257.

[41] L. Carlucci, P. Dehornoy, and A. Weiermann, *Unprovability statements involving braids*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **102** (2011), no. 1, 159–192.

[42] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.

[43] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Wiley, 1985.

[44] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński, *Braces and the Yang–Baxter equation*, arXiv:1205.3587.

[45] R. Charney, *Artin groups of finite type are biautomatic*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), no. 4, 671–683.

[46] ———, *Geodesic automation and growth functions for Artin groups of finite type*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), no. 2, 307–324.

[47] R. Charney and J. Meier, *The language of geodesics for Garside groups*, Math. Zeitschr. **248** (2004), 495–509.

[48] R. Charney, J. Meier, and K. Whittlesey, *Bestvina's normal form complex and the homology of Garside groups*, Geom. Dedicata **105** (2004), 171–188.

[49] R. Charney and D. Peifer, *The $K(\pi,1)$-conjecture for the affine braid groups*, Comm. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 584–600.

[50] F. Chouraqui, *Garside groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), no. 12, 4441–4460.

[51] F. Chouraqui and E. Godelle, *Finite quotients of groups of I-type*, arXiv:1301.3707.

[52] ———, *Folding of set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Algebra Represent. Th. **15** (2012), 1277–1290.

[53] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups, volume 1*, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys, vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., 1961.

[54] A.M. Cohen and D.B. Wales, *Linearity of Artin groups of finite type*, Israel J. Math. **131** (2002), 101–123.

[55] R. Corran, *A normal form for a class of monoids containing the singular braid monoids*, J. Algebra **223** (2000), 256–282.

[56] ———, *On monoids related to braid groups*, PhD. Thesis, University of Sydney, 2000.

[57] R. Corran and M. Picantin, *A new Garside structure for braids groups of type (e,e,r)*, J. London Math. Soc. **84** (2011), no. 3, 689711.

[58] J. Crisp, *Symmetric subgroups of Artin groups*, Adv. Math. **152** (2000), 159–177.

[59] J. Crisp and L. Paris, *Representations of the braid group by automorphisms of groups, invariants of links, and Garside groups*, Pac. J. Maths **221** (2005), 1–27.

[60] F. Digne D. Bessis and J. Michel, *Springer theory in braid groups and the Birman-Ko-Lee monoid*, Pacific J. Math. **205** (2002), 287–309.

[61] M. Dabkovska, M. Dabkowski, V. Harizanov, J. Przytycki, and M. Veve, *Compactness of the space of left orders*, J. Knot Th. and Ramifications **16** (2007), 267–256.

[62] P. Dehornoy, *Coxeter-like groups for groups of set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation*, arXiv:1305.3900.

[63] ———, *Monoids of O-type, subword reversing, and ordered groups*, arXiv:1204.3211.

[64] ———, Π^1_1*-complete families of elementary sequences*, Ann. P. Appl. Logic **38** (1988), 257–287.

[65] ———, *Free distributive groupoids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **61** (1989), 123–146.

[66] ———, *Deux propriétés des groupes de tresses*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **315** (1992), 633–638.

[67] ———, *Preuve de la conjecture d'irréflexivité pour les structures distributives libres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **314** (1992), 333–336.

[68] ———, *Structural monoids associated to equational varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), no. 2, 293–304.

[69] ———, *Braid groups and left distributive operations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), no. 1, 115–151.

[70] ———, *Groups with a complemented presentation*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **116** (1997), 115–137.

[71] ———, *Braids and self-distributivity*, Progress in Math., vol. 192, Birkhäuser, 2000.

[72] ———, *On completeness of word reversing*, Discrete Math. **225** (2000), 93–119.

[73] ———, *The fine structure of LD-equivalence*, Advances in Math. **155** (2000), 264–316.

[74] ———, *Groupes de Garside*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4e série **35** (2002), 267–306.

[75] ———, *Study of an identity*, Algebra Universalis **48** (2002), 223–248.

[76] ———, *Complete positive group presentations*, J. of Algebra **268** (2003), 156–197.

[77] ———, *The group of fractions of a torsion free lcm monoid is torsion free*, J. of Algebra **281** (2004), 303–305;.

[78] ———, *Geometric presentations of Thompson's groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **203** (2005), 1–44.

[79] ———, *Combinatorics of normal sequences of braids*, J. Combinatorial Th. Series A **114** (2007), 389–409.

[80] ———, *Alternating normal forms for braids and locally Garside monoids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 11, 2416–2439.

[81] ———, *Efficient solutions to the braid isotopy problem*, Disc. Appl. Math. **156** (2008), 3094–3112.

[82] ———, *Left-Garside categories, self-distributivity, and braids*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **16** (2009), 189–244.

[83] ———, *The word reversing method*, Intern. J. Alg. and Comput. **21** (2011), 71–118.

[84] ———, *Tamari Lattices and the symmetric Thompson monoid*, Associahedra, Tamari lattices, and Related Structures (F.Mueller-Hoissen, J.Pallo, J.Stasheff, and H.O.Walther, eds.), Trends in mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2012.

[85] P. Dehornoy, F. Digne, and J. Michel, *Garside families and Garside germs*, J. of Algebra **380** (2013), 109–145.

[86] P. Dehornoy and V. Gebhardt, *Algorithms for Garside calculus*, arXiv:1301.3277.

[87] P. Dehornoy and E. Godelle, *A conjecture about Artin–Tits groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), 741–756.

[88] P. Dehornoy and Y. Lafont, *Homology of Gaussian groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 2, 1001–1052.

[89] P. Dehornoy and L. Paris, *Gaussian groups and Garside groups, two generalisations of Artin groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **79** (1999), no. 3, 569–604.

[90] P. Dehornoy, with I. Dynnikov, D. Rolfsen, and B. Wiest, *Ordering braids*, Math. Surveys and Monographs vol. 148, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.

[91] P. Deligne, *Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés*, Invent. Math. **17** (1972), 273–302.

[92] ———, *Action du groupe des tresses sur une categorie*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 159–175.

[93] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 103–161.

[94] F. Deloup, *Palindromes and orderings in Artin groups*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **19** (2010), no. 2, 145162.

[95] F. Digne, *On the linearity of Artin braid groups*, J. Algebra **268** (2003), no. A, 39–57.

[96] ———, *Présentations duales pour les groupes de tresses de type affine* $\widetilde{A}$, Comm. Math. Helvetici **8** (2008), 23–47.

[97] ———, *A Garside presentation for Artin-Tits groups of type* $\tilde{C}_n$, Ann. Inst. Fourier **62** (2012), no. 2, 641–666.

[98] F. Digne, I. Marin, and J. Michel, *The center of pure complex braid groups*, J. Algebra **347** (2011), 206–213.

[99] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Garside and locally Garside categories*, arXiv:math.GR/0612652.

[100] ———, *Parabolic Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, arXiv:1110.4863 [math.GR].

[101] ———, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Math. Soc. student texts, no. 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

[102] ———, *Endomorphisms of Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Nagoya Math. J. **183** (2006), 35–103.

[103] F. Digne, J. Michel, and R. Rouquier, *Cohomologie des variétés de Deligne-Lusztig*, Advances in Math. **209** (2007), 749–822.

[104] V. Drinfeld, *On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory*, Quantum Groups, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1510, Springer Verlag, 1992, pp. 1–8.

[105] T. Dubrovina and N. Dubrovin, *On braid groups*, Sbornik Math. **192** (2001), 693–703.

[106] E.A. El-Rifai and H.R. Morton, *Algorithms for positive braids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **45** (1994), no. 2, 479–497.

[107] D. Epstein, *Curves on 2-manifolds and isotopies*, Acta Math. **115** (1966), 83–107.

[108] D. Epstein, with J.W. Cannon, D.F. Holt, S.V.F. Levy, M.S. Paterson, and W.P. Thurston, *Word processing in groups*, Jones and Bartlett Publ., 1992.

[109] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, and A. Soloviev, *Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), no. 2, 169–209.

[110] R. Fenn and C.P. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension 2*, J. Knot Th. and its Ramifications **1** (1992), 343–406.

[111] N. Franco and J. González-Meneses, *Conjugacy problem for braid groups and Garside groups*, J. Algebra **266** (2003), 112–132.

[112] J. Fromentin, *Every braid admits a short sigma-definite expression*, J. Europ. Math. Soc. **13** (2011), 1591–1631.

[113] F.A. Garside, *The theory of knots and associated problems*, PhD. Thesis, Oxford University, 1965.

[114] F.A. Garside, *The braid group and other groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **20** (1969), 235–254.

[115] T. Gateva-Ivanova, *Garside structures on monoids with quadratic square-free relations*, Algebra Represent. Th. **14** (2011), 779–802.

[116] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh, *Semigroups of I-type*, J. of Algebra **206** (1998), 97–112.

[117] S. Gaussent, Y. Guiraud, and P. Malbos, *Coherent presentations of Artin groups*, arXiv:1203.5358.

[118] V. Gebhardt, *A new approach to the conjugacy problem in Garside groups*, J. Algebra **292** (2005), 282–302.

[119] ———, *Computing growth functions of braid monoids and counting vertex-labelled bipartite graphs*, J. Combinat. Th., Series A **120** (2013), no. 1, 232–244.

[120] V. Gebhardt and J. González-Meneses, *The cyclic sliding operation in Garside groups*, Math Z. **265** (2010), 85–114.

[121] ———, *Solving the conjugacy problem in Garside groups by cyclic sliding*, J. Symb. Computation **45** (2010), 629–656.

[122] E. Godelle, *Garside subgroups of Garside groups*, unpublished notes.

[123] ———, *Normalisateurs et centralisateurs des sous-groupes paraboliques dans les groupes d'artin-tits*, PhD. Thesis, Université d'Amiens, 2001.

[124] ———, *Normalisateurs et groupes d'Artin-Tits de type sphérique*, J. Algebra **269** (2003), 263–274.

[125] ———, *Parabolic subgroups of Artin groups of type FC*, Pacific J. Math. **208** (2003), 243–254.

[126] ———, *Quasi-centraliser of LCM-homomorphisms*, Comm. in Algebra **34** (2006), 3167–3181.

[127] ———, *Parabolic subgroups of Garside groups*, J. Algebra **317** (2007), 1–16.

[128] ———, *Parabolic subgroups of Garside groups II: ribbons*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 2044–2062.

[129] E. Godelle and L. Paris, *PreGarside monoids and groups, parabolicity, amalgamation, and FC property*, arXiv:1204.5672.

[130] J. González-Meneses, *The nth root of a braid is unique up to conjugacy*, Alg. and Geom. Topology **3** (2003), 1103–1118.

[131] J. González-Meneses and B. Wiest, *On the structure of the centralizer of a braid*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup **37** (2004), no. 5, 729–757.

[132] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston, *A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 3, 221–237.

[133] X. He and S. Nie, *Minimal length elements of finite coxeter groups*, arXiv:1108.0282 [math.RT].

[134] F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Sur une conjecture de Dehornoy*, Comptes-Rendus Math. **346** (2008), 375–378.

[135] J.G. Hocking and G.S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1961.

[136] J.E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 21, Springer Verlag, 1975.

[137] T. Ito, *Construction of isolated left-orderings via partially central cyclic amalgamation*, arXiv:1107.0545.

[138] E. Jespers and J. Okninski, *Monoids and groups if I-type*, Algebra and Represent. Th. **8** (2005), 709–729.

[139] ———, *Noetherian semigroup algebras*, Algebra and Applications, vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[140] M. Jimbo, *Introduction to the Yang–Baxter equation*, Int. J. of Modern Physics A **4** (1989), no. 15, 3759–3777.

[141] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots: the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **23** (1982), 37–65.

[142] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer Verlag, 1994.

[143] C. Kassel and V. Turaev, *Braid groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer Verlag, 2008.

[144] K.H. Ko, S. Lee, J.H. Cheon, J.W. Han, J. Kang, and C. Park, *New public-key cryptosystem using braid groups*, Proc. Crypto 2000, Lecture notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 1880, Springer Verlag, 2000, pp. 166–184.

[145] Y. Kobayashi, *Complete rewriting systems and homology of monoid algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **65** (1990), 263–275.

[146] A.I. Kokorin, V.M. Kopyutov, and N.Ya. Medvedev, *Right-Ordered Groups*, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 1996.

[147] D. Krammer, *An asymmetric generalisation of Artin monoids*, to appear in Groups, Complexity Cryptology.

[148] ———, *Garside theory*, homepages.warwick.ac.uk/∼masbal/index_files/gt080128.pdf.

[149] ———, *The braid group B_4 is linear*, Invent. Math. **142** (2000), 451–486.

[150] ———, *Braid groups are linear*, Ann. of Math. **155** (2002), no. 1, 131–156.

[151] ———, *The braid group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **76** (2007), 293–312.

[152] ———, *A class of Garside groupoid structures on the pure braid group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 4029–4061.

[153] D. Kuske, *Divisibility monoids: presentation, word problem, and rational languages*, FCT01, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2138, Springer Verlag, 2001, pp. 227–239.

[154] Y. Lafont, *A new finiteness condition for monoids presented by complete rewriting systems (after Craig C. Squier)*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **98** (1995), 229–244.

[155] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer Verlag, 1998.

[156] R. Laver, *The left distributive law and the freeness of an algebra of elementary embeddings*, Adv. in Math. **91** (1992), no. 2, 209–231.

[157] E.K. Lee and S.J. Lee, *A Garside-theoretic approach to the reducibility problem in braid groups*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 783–820.

[158] S.J. Lee, *Garside groups are strongly translation discrete*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), 594–609.

[159] G.I. Lehrer and J. Michel, *Invariant theory and eigenspaces for unitary reflection groups*, Comptes-Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **336** (2003), 795–800.

[160] G.I. Lehrer and T. A. Springer, *Reflection subquotients of unitary reflection groups*, Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), 1175–1193.

[161] A. Levy, *Basic set theory*, Springer Verlag, 1979.

[162] G. Lusztig, *On certain varieties attached to a Weyl group element*, Bull.Inst.Math.Acad.Sinica **6** (2011), 377–414.

[163] J. Mairesse and F. Matheus, *Growth series for Artin groups of dihedral type*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **16** (2006), 1087–1107.

[164] S.V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Math. Sbornik **119** (1982), no. 1-2, 78–88.

[165] J. McCammond, *An introduction to Garside structures*, http://www.math.ucsb.edu/∼mccammon/papers/intro-garside.pdf.

[166] ———, *Dual Euclidean Artin groups and the failure of the lattice property*, http://www.math.ucsb.edu/ jon.mccammond/papers/lattice-failure.pdf; Talk given in Cap Hornu Garside meeting, May 2012.

[167] J. Michel, *Sigma-divisbilité*, Talk at Journées Tresses, Marne-la-Vallée, November 16-18, 2000.

[168] ———, *The GAP-part of the CHEVIE system*, GAP3 package avilable for download from http://people.math.jussieu.fr/ jmichel/chevie.

[169] D. Morris, *Amenable groups that act on the line*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 2509–2518.

[170] F. Mueller-Hoissen, J.Pallo, J.Stasheff, and H.O.Walther (eds), *Associahedra, Tamari lattices, and Related Structures*, Trends in mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2012.

[171] A. Navas, *On the dynamics of (left) orderable groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **60** (2010), 1685–1740.

[172] ———, *A remarkable family of left-ordered groups: central extensions of Hecke groups*, J. Algebra **328** (2011), 3142.

[173] S. Orevkov, *Quasipositivity Problem for 3-Braids*, Turk. J. Math. **28** (2004), 89–93.

[174] V. Ozornova, *Factorability, Discrete Morse Theory and a Reformulation of the $K(\pi,1)$-Conjecture*, PhD Thesis, Universität Bonn, 2013, http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2013/3117/3117.pdf.

[175] L. Paris, *Centralizers of parabolic subgroups of Artin groups of type A_l, B_l, and D_l*, J. Algebra **196** (1997), no. 2, 400–435.

[176] ———, *Parabolic subgroups of Artin groups*, J. Algebra **196** (1997), no. 2, 369–399.

[177] ———, *Artin monoids embed in their groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 3, 609–637.

[178] M. Picantin, *Tree products of cyclic groups and HNN extensions*, arXiv:1306.5724.

[179] ———, *Petits groupes gaussiens*, PhD Thesis, Université de Caen, 2000.

[180] ———, *The center of thin Gaussian groups*, J. Algebra **245** (2001), no. 1, 92–122.

[181] ———, *The conjugacy problem in small Gaussian groups*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 3, 1021–1038.

[182] ———, *Automatic structures for torus link groups*, J. Knot Th. Ramifications **12** (2003), no. 6, 833–866.

[183] ———, *Garside monoids vs divisibility monoids*, Math. Struct. Comp. Sci. **15** (2005), no. 2, 231–242.

[184] J.H. Remmers, *On the geometry of positive presentations*, Advances in Math. **36** (1980), 283–296.

[185] C. Rivas, *Left-orderings on free products of groups*, J. Algebra **350** (2012), 318–329.

[186] W. Rump, *A decomposition theorem for square-free unitary solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), 4055.

[187] ———, *Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. of Algebra **307** (2007), 153–170.

[188] ———, *Classification of cyclic braces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), 671–685.

[189] M. Salvetti, *Topology of the complement of real hyperplanes in $\mathbf{C}^N$*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 3, 603–618.

[190] V. Sergiescu, *Graphes planaires et présentations des groupes de tresses*, Math. Zeitschr. **214** (1993), 477–490.

[191] G. Shephard and J. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Canad. J. Math. **6** (1954), 274–304.

[192] H. Sibert, *Extraction of roots in Garside groups*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 6, 2915–2927.

[193] ———, *Tame Garside monoids*, J. Algebra **281** (2004), 487–501.

[194] A.S. Sikora, *Topology on the spaces of orderings of groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 519–526.

[195] L. Solomon, *A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group*, J. Algebra **41** (1976), 255–268.
[196] T. A. Springer, *Regular elements of finite reflection groups*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974), 159–198.
[197] ———, *Algebraic groups, 2nd Edition*, Progress in Mathematics, no. 9, Birkhaüser, 1998.
[198] C. Squier, *A finiteness condition for rewriting systems, revision by F. Otto and Y. Kobayashi*, Theoret. Compt. Sci. **131** (1994), 271–294.
[199] ———, *The homological algebra of Artin groups*, Math. Scand. **75** (1994), 5–43.
[200] R. Steinberg, *Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 392–400.
[201] V.B. Stychnev, *Izvlechenie kornya v grupe koc*, Seria Matematichevskaya **42** (1978), no. 5, 1120–1131.
[202] J. Szép, *On the structure of groups which can be represented as the product of two subgroups*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **12** (1950), 57–61.
[203] D. Tamari, *The algebra of bracketings and their enumeration*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde **10** (1962), 131–146.
[204] V. Tararin, *On the theory of right orderable groups*, Matem. Zametki **54** (1993), no. 2, 96–98, Translation to english in Math. Notes 54 (1994), 833-834.
[205] J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, *Homological properties of Sklyanin algebras*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 619–647.
[206] K. Tatsuoka, *An isoperimetric inequality for Artin groups of finite type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 2, 537–551.
[207] W. Thurston, *Finite state algorithms for the braid group*, Circulated notes, 1988.
[208] B. Visy, *Factorable groups and their homology*, PhD Thesis, Universität Bonn, 2010, http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2011/2559/2559.pdf.
[209] B. Wajnryb, *An elementary approach to the mapping class group of a surface*, Geometry and Topology **3** (1999), 405–466.
[210] B. Wiest, *How to read the length of a braid from its curve diagram*, Groups, Geometry and Dynamics **5** (2011), no. 3, 673–681.
[211] G. Zappa, *Sulla costruzione dei gruppi prodotto di due dati sottogruppi permutabili traloro*, Atti Secondo Congresso Un. Mat. Ital., Edizioni Cremonense, Rome, 1942, pp. 119–125.

Addresses of the authors:

PD: Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Université de Caen, 14032 Caen, France
`patrick.dehornoy@unicaen.fr` `www.math.unicaen.fr/∼dehornoy`

FD: Laboratoire Amiénois de Mathématique Fondamentale et Appliquée, CNRS UMR 7352, Université de Picardie Jules-Verne, 80039 Amiens, France
`digne@u-picardie.fr` `www.mathinfo.u-picardie.fr/digne/`

EG: Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Université de Caen, 14032 Caen, France
`godelle@math.unicaen.fr` `www.math.unicaen.fr/∼godelle`

DK: Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom
`D.Krammer@warwick.ac.uk` `www.warwick.ac.uk/∼masbal/`

JM: Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, CNRS UMR 7586, Université Denis Diderot Paris 7, 75205 Paris 13, France
`jmichel@math.jussieu.fr` `www.math.jussieu.fr/∼jmichel/`