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# THE NECESSITY OF WHEELS IN UNIVERSAL QUANTIZATION FORMULAS 

GIUSEPPE DITO


#### Abstract

In the context of deformation quantization, we provide an elementary argument showing that any universal quantization formula necessarily involves graphs with wheels.


## 1. Notations

Throughout this paper, we set $X=\mathbb{R}^{d}(d \geq 2)$ and $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ designates a coordinate system on $X$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a smooth Poisson bivector on $X$. The Poisson bracket of two smooth functions $f, g$ is given by

$$
\{f, g\}=\langle\mathfrak{p}, d f \wedge d g\rangle=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \mathfrak{p}^{i j} \partial_{i} f \partial_{j} g
$$

where $\partial_{k}$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to $x^{k}$. It is graphically represented by

$$
\{f, g\}=\overbrace{f}^{\prime} \ddots_{\mathrm{u}}
$$

Let $A=C^{\infty}(X)$ be the algebra of smooth functions on $X$ with product $m_{0}: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, i.e., the usual product of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The Hochschild complex $\mathscr{C} \cdot(A, A)=\oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathscr{C}^{m}(A, A)$ consists of polydifferential operators on $X$. One requires that for $m \geq 1$, these polydifferential operators are vanishing on constants. The Hochschild differential is $\delta=\left[m_{0}, \cdot\right]_{G}$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{G}$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket.
1.1. Deformation quantization. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a Poisson bivector on $X$. Let $c_{\hbar}=m_{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} \hbar^{k} c_{k}$ be an associative deformation of $\left(A, m_{0}\right)$ with antisymmetric part of $c_{1}$ being equal to $\mathfrak{p}$. The associativity of $c_{\hbar}$ is equivalent to the Maurer-Cartan equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta c_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a, b \geq 1}}\left[c_{a}, c_{b}\right]_{G}=0, \text { for } k=1,2 \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A deformation $c_{\hbar}$ is said to define an associative deformation up to order $r$, if (1) is satisfied for $k=1,2, \ldots, r$.

Following [1], we consider a family of graphs (with a slight departure from the conventions of [1]).
Definition 1. The set $\mathscr{K}$ consists of simple directed graphs $\Gamma$ satisfying:
(1) The set of vertices $V_{\Gamma}$ is finite and is a disjoint union of nonempty sets: $V_{\Gamma}=V_{\Gamma}^{1} \sqcup V_{\Gamma}^{2}$. Vertices belonging to $V_{\Gamma}^{1}$ (resp. $V_{\Gamma}^{2}$ ) are said of type 1 (resp. 2);
(2) Each vertex of type 1 is of outdegree 2;
(3) Each vertex of type 2 is of indegree at least 1.

We denote by $\mathscr{K}_{n, m}$ for $m, n \geq 1$ the subset of $\mathscr{K}$ consisting of graphs having $n$ vertices of type 1 and $m$ vertices of type 2.

Following [1], to a Poisson bivector $\mathfrak{p}$ and a graph $\Gamma \in \mathscr{K}_{n, m}$ is associated a cochain in $\mathscr{C}^{m}(A, A)$, denoted $B_{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{p}}$. Kontsevich associates to $\mathfrak{p}$ an associative deformation of $\left(A, m_{0}\right)$

$$
c_{\hbar}=m_{0}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^{n} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{K}_{n, 2}} w(\Gamma) B_{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

$w(\Gamma)$ being Kontsevich's weight of the graph $\Gamma$.

## 2. UNIVERSAL 2-COCYCLES

We say that a simple directed graph is with wheel (or contain a wheel) if it has a subgraph that is a directed cycle.

Definition 2. A cochain $C \in \mathscr{C}^{m}(A, A), m \geq 1$, is called $\mathfrak{p}$-universal if it is a finite $\mathbb{R}$-linear combination of polydifferential operators associated to $g r a p h s$ in $\mathscr{K}_{n, m}$, i.e., $C$ is a finite sum of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{K}_{n, m}} a_{\Gamma} B_{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad a_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3. A cochain $C \in \mathscr{C}^{m}(A, A), m \geq 1$, is called $\mathfrak{p}$-universal without wheels if all the graphs $\Gamma$ appearing in (2) have no wheels.

Notice that the Hochschild coboundary of a $\mathfrak{p}$-universal cochain is $\mathfrak{p}$-universal and similarly for $\mathfrak{p}$ universal cochains without wheels.

An associative deformation $c_{\hbar}=m_{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} \hbar^{k} c_{k}$ is a universal quantization formula if the $c_{k}$ are $\mathfrak{p}$ universal 2-cochains.

Kontsevich formula [1] for a star-product is an example of universal quantization formula with wheels (wheels appear already at order 2 in $\hbar$.)

Example 1. In [2], Penkava and Vanhaecke showed that there is a universal quantization formula without wheels up to order 3 denoted here by $m_{\hbar}=m_{0}+\hbar m_{1}+\hbar^{2} m_{2}+\hbar^{3} m_{3}$, where

$$
\left.m_{1} f, g\right)=\ddots_{f}^{\prime}
$$

$$
m_{2}(f, g)=\frac{1}{2} \prod_{f}^{\bullet} \ddots_{g}^{\prime}
$$

$$
m_{3}(f, g)=\frac{1}{6} \text {, }
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{6} \underset{f}{\square}+\frac{1}{6} \overbrace{g}
$$

Moreover, it was shown in [2] that $m_{\hbar}$ cannot be extended to a 4th order associative deformation. These terms can be also obtained by using a kind pseudo-BCH formula.

Lemma 1. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph in $\mathscr{K}_{n, 1}$ with $n \geq 2$, then $\Gamma$ has at least a wheel.
Proof. Let us call $f$ the vertex of type 2 in $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is a simple graph, edges ending at $f$ must originate from different vertices of type 1. By removing the vertex $f$ and all the edges ending at $f$ we get a simple directed graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Each vertex in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is of outdegree at least 1 , hence it contains a wheel.

We have excluded the case $n=1$ in this Lemma since $\mathscr{K}_{1,1}$ is empty.
Lemma 2. Let $\Lambda \in \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{2} T X\right) \subset \mathscr{C}^{2}(A, A)$ be a bivector. If $\Lambda$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-universal without wheels, then $\Lambda=a \mathfrak{p}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. We have $\Lambda=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{K}_{n, 2}} a_{\Gamma} B_{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{p}}$, where each graph appearing in this sum is a graph without wheels. Let $\Gamma$ be such a graph. If $\Gamma \in \mathscr{K}_{1,2}$, then $B_{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{p}}= \pm \mathfrak{p}$. A direct application of Lemma 1 shows that for $n \geq 2$ there is no $\Gamma$ without wheels in $\mathscr{K}_{n, 2}$ giving rise to a bivector.
Lemma 3. Let $T \in \mathscr{C}^{1}(A, A)$ be such that its coboundary $\delta T$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-universal without wheels. Then $\delta T=0$.
Proof. By getting rid of an irrelevant derivation, one can consider that $T$ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-universal 1-cochain. Then the result easily follows from Lemma 1.

By the HKR theorem, any cocycle $C \in \mathscr{C}^{m}(A, A)$ is a sum $C=\Lambda+\delta C^{\prime}$, where $\Lambda \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{m} T X\right)$ and $C^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}^{m-1}(A, A)$. As an immediate consequence we have:
Corollary 1. Let $C \in \mathscr{C}^{2}(A, A)$ be a Hochschild cocycle which is $\mathfrak{p}$-universal without wheels, then $C=a \mathfrak{p}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 3. No-GO THEOREM

Here we show that the terms up to order 3 in $\hbar$ of any universal quantization formula without wheels are, up to a change of the deformation parameter, given by the $m_{i}$ 's in Example 1 and hence get a contradiction.

Theorem 1. Any universal quantization formula involves graphs with wheels.
Proof. We proceed by contradiction assuming that $s_{\hbar}=m_{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} \hbar^{k} s_{k}$ is a universal quantization formula without wheels.

Associativity implies $\delta s_{1}=0$ and Corollary 1 gives that $s_{1}=a_{1} \mathfrak{p}$ for some $a_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. The normalization of the star-product forces $a_{1}=1$, hence $s_{1}=m_{1}=\mathfrak{p}$.

At second order in $\hbar$, the associativity of $s_{\hbar}$ implies

$$
\delta s_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[s_{1}, s_{1}\right]_{G}=0, \text { i.e., } \delta s_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[m_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{G}=0
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\left[m_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{G}=-\delta m_{2}$, we find that $s_{2}-m_{2}$ is a 2-cocycle and it is $\mathfrak{p}$-universal without wheels. By Corollary 1 we have $s_{2}=m_{2}+a_{2} m_{1}$ for some real number $a_{2}$.

At third order in $\hbar$, we have

$$
\delta s_{3}+\left[s_{2}, s_{1}\right]_{G}=0, \text { i.e., } \delta s_{3}+\left[m_{2}+a_{2} m_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{G}=0
$$

From $\left[m_{2}, m_{1}\right]_{G}=-\delta m_{3}$ and $\left[m_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{G}=-2 \delta m_{2}$ we deduce that $s_{3}-m_{3}-2 a_{2} m_{2}$ is a p-universal 2cocycle without wheels. Using Corollary 1 again, we find that $s_{3}=m_{3}+2 a_{2} m_{2}+a_{3} m_{1}$ for some real number $a_{3}$.

Therefore the first terms in $s_{\hbar}$ are necessarily of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=m_{1} \\
& s_{2}=m_{2}+a_{2} m_{1} \\
& s_{3}=m_{3}+2 a_{2} m_{2}+a_{3} m_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The change of parameter $\hbar \rightarrow \hbar-a_{2} \hbar^{2}-\left(a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right) \hbar^{3}$ in $s_{\hbar}$ gives us a new universal quantization formula $s_{\hbar}^{\prime}$ such that $s_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$. But $m_{0}+\hbar m_{1}+\hbar^{2} m_{2}+\hbar^{3} m_{3}$ is an associative deformation up to order 3 that cannot be extended to order 4 (cf. Example 1). Hence we have reached a contradiction.
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