



HAL
open science

Error estimates in periodic homogenization with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition.

Georges Griso

► **To cite this version:**

Georges Griso. Error estimates in periodic homogenization with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition.. 2013. hal-00851967

HAL Id: hal-00851967

<https://hal.science/hal-00851967>

Preprint submitted on 19 Aug 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Error estimates in periodic homogenization with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition.

G. Griso

Laboratoire J.-L. Lions–CNRS, Boîte courrier 187, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,
4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France, Email: griso@ann.jussieu.fr

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the homogenization problem with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition. Our aim is to give error estimates with boundary data in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. The tools used are those of the unfolding method in periodic homogenization.

1 Introduction

We consider the following homogenization problem:

$$\phi^\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega), \quad -\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi^\varepsilon) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \phi^\varepsilon = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.1)$$

where A_ε is a periodic matrix satisfying the usual condition of uniform ellipticity and where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)^1$. We know (see e.g. [4], [10], [13]) that the function ϕ^ε weakly converges in $H^1(\Omega)$ towards the solution Φ of the homogenized problem

$$\Phi \in H^1(\Omega), \quad -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \Phi) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \Phi = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the homogenized matrix (see (4.4) and (4.5)). Using the results in [10] we can give an approximation of ϕ^ε belonging to $H^1(\Omega)$ and we easily obtain

$$\phi^\varepsilon - \Phi - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega)$$

where \mathcal{Q}_ε is the *scale-splitting operator* (see [10] or Subsection 2.4) and where the χ_i are the correctors (see (4.2)).

One of the aim of this paper is to give error estimates for this homogenization problem. Obviously, if we have $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and the appropriate assumptions on

¹The homogenization problem with L^p boundary data is investigated in [3].

the boundary of the domain then we can apply the results in [4], [13], [14], [15], [16] and [22] to deduce error estimates. All of them require that the function Φ belongs at least to $H^2(\Omega)$. Here, the solution Φ of the homogenized problem (1.2) is only in $H^1(\Omega) \cap H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$. In this paper we have to deal with this lack of regularity; this is the main difficulty.

The tools of the unfolding method in periodic homogenization to obtain error estimates (see [14], [15] and [16]) are the projection theorems. This is why we prove two new projection theorems; the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Here, both theorems concern the functions $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\nabla\phi/\rho \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\rho(x)$ is the distance between x and the boundary of Ω . In the first one we give the distance between $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)$ (see [10] or Subsection 2.4.1 for the definition of the unfolding operator \mathcal{T}_ε) and the space $L^2(\Omega; H_{per}^1(Y))$ in terms of the L^2 norms of ϕ/ρ and $\nabla\phi/\rho$ and obviously ε . In the second one we prove an upper bound for the distance between $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla\phi)$ and the space $\nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus \nabla_y L^2(\Omega; H_{per}^1(Y))$; again in terms of the L^2 norms of ϕ/ρ and $\nabla\phi/\rho$ and ε (see Section 3). This last theorem is partially a consequence of the first one. In this paper we derive the new error estimates from the second projection theorem and those obtained in [16].

Different results are known about the global H^1 error estimate regarding the classical homogenization problem (1.1) (see e.g. [4], [13]). Those with the minimal assumptions are given in [15]; if the solution of the homogenized problem (1.2) belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ -see Proposition 4.3 in [15]- (respectively $H^{3/2}(\Omega)$; see Theorem 3.3 in [16]) then the H^1 global error is of order $\varepsilon^{1/2}$ (resp. $\varepsilon^{1/4}$) while if this solution belongs to $H_{loc}^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ($p > 2$) the obtained H^1 global error is smaller and depends on p (see Proposition 4.4 in [15])². Here, with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition belonging only to $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ we do not obtain a global H^1 error estimate. The L^2 global error estimate only requires a boundary of Ω sufficiently smooth (of class $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$) or a convex open set. Obviously if it is possible to make use of a global H^1 error estimate, the L^2 global error will be better (the reader will be able to compare the Theorem 3.2 in [16] with the Theorem 6.3). The H^1 local error estimate is always linked to the L^2 global error and never needs more assumption (see Theorem 3.2 in [16] or the proof of Theorem 6.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce a few general notations, then we give some reminds³ on lemmas, definitions and results about the unfolding method in periodic homogenization (see [10]), then we prove some new results involving the main operators of this method. Section 3 is devoted to the new projection theorems. In Section 4, we recall the main results on the classical homogenization problem. In Section 5 we introduce an operator which allows to lift the distributions belonging to $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ in functions belonging to $L^2(\Omega)$; this lifting operator will play an important role in the case of strongly oscillating boundary data. In Section 6 we derive the error estimates results (Theorems 6.1 and 6.3) with a non-homogenous Dirichlet condition. We

²These propositions or theorem are proved with a Dirichlet condition, with a non-homogenous Dirichlet condition belonging to $H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ the results are obviously the same.

³We want to simplify the reading to a non-familiar reader with the unfolding method

end the paper by investigating a case where the boundary data are strongly oscillating (see Theorem 7.1 in Section 7). A forthcoming paper will be devoted to homogenization problems with other strongly oscillating boundary data.

As general references on the homogenization theory we refer to [1], [4] and [13]. The reader is referred to [10], [12] and [13] for an introduction of the unfolding method in periodic homogenization. The following papers [5], [6], [7], [8], [11], [19], [24] give various applications of the unfolding method in periodic homogenization. As far as the error estimates are concerned, we refer to [2], [4], [14], [15], [16], [20], [22] and [23].

Keywords: periodic homogenization, error estimate, non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition, periodic unfolding method.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35B27, 65M15, 74Q15.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

- The space \mathbb{R}^k ($k \geq 1$) is endowed with the standard basis $(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k)$; the euclidian norm is denoted $|\cdot|$.
- We denote by Ω a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a Lipschitz boundary.⁴ Let $\rho(x)$ be the distance between $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the boundary of Ω , we set

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma = \{x \in \Omega \mid \rho(x) < \gamma\} \quad \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}_\gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho(x) < \gamma\} \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{*+}.$$

- There exist constants a , A and γ_0 strictly positive and $M \geq 1$, a finite number N of local euclidian coordinate systems $(O_r; \mathbf{e}_{1r}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{nr})$ and mappings $f_r : [-a, a]^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Lipschitz continuous with ratio M , $1 \leq r \leq N$, such that (see e.g. [17] or [18])

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{r=1}^N \left\{ x = x'_r + x_{nr} \mathbf{e}_{nr} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x'_r \in \Delta_a \text{ and } x_{nr} = f_r(x'_r) \right\},$$

$$\text{where } x'_r = x_{1r} \mathbf{e}_{1r} + \dots + x_{n-1r} \mathbf{e}_{n-1r}, \quad \Delta_a = \left\{ x'_r \mid x_{ir} \in]-a, a[, i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \right\}$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}_{\gamma_0} \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^N \Omega_r \subset \Omega, \quad \Omega_r = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x'_r \in \Delta_a \text{ and } f_r(x'_r) < x_{nr} < f_r(x'_r) + A \right\}$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}}_{\gamma_0} \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^N \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x'_r \in \Delta_a \text{ and } f_r(x'_r) - A < x_{nr} < f_r(x'_r) + A \right\}$$

$$\forall r \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_r \quad \text{we have} \quad \frac{1}{2M}(x_{nr} - f_r(x'_r)) \leq \rho(x) \leq x_{nr} - f_r(x'_r). \quad (2.1)$$

⁴In Section 5 and those which follow, we will assume that Ω is a bounded domain of class $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ or an open bounded convex set.

- We set

$$Y =]0, 1[^n, \quad \Xi_\varepsilon = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \varepsilon(\xi + Y) \subset \Omega\},$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon = \text{interior}\left(\bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi_\varepsilon} \varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y})\right), \quad \Lambda_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon,$$

where ε is a strictly positive real.

- We define

$$\star H_\rho^1(\Omega) = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \rho \nabla \phi \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\},$$

$$\star L_{1/\rho}^2(\Omega) = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \phi/\rho \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},$$

$$\star H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega) = \left\{ \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \nabla \phi/\rho \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

We endow $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$) with the norm

$$\forall \phi \in H_\rho^1(\Omega), \quad \|\phi\|_\rho = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\rho \nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$(\text{ resp. } \forall \phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega), \quad \|\phi\|_{1/\rho} = \|\nabla \phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

Note that if ϕ belongs to $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ then the function $\psi = \rho\phi$ is in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and vice versa if a function ψ belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ then $\phi = \psi/\rho$ is in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ since we have (see [9] or [21])

$$\forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \|\psi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Below we recall a classical extension lemma which is proved for example in [15] or which can be proved using the local charts (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary, there exist $c_0 \geq 1$ (which depends only on the boundary of Ω) and a linear and continuous extension operator \mathcal{P} from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which also maps $H^1(\Omega)$ into $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\forall \phi \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{P}(\phi)|_\Omega = \phi, \quad \|\mathcal{P}(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$\|\mathcal{P}(\phi)\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_{c_0\gamma})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_{c_0\gamma})}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover we have

$$\forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{P}(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From now on, if need be, a function ϕ belonging to $L^2(\Omega)$ (resp. $H^1(\Omega)$) will be extended to a function belonging to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$) using the above lemma. The extension will be still denoted ϕ .

2.2 A characterization of the functions belonging to $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$

The two first projection theorems (see [15]) regarded the functions belonging to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ while those in [16] regarded the functions in $H^1(\Omega)$. In this paper we prove two

new projection theorems which involve the functions in $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$; this is why we first give a simple characterization of these functions in the Lemma 2.2 below.

Observe first that if a function ϕ satisfies $\phi/\rho \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ then ϕ belongs to $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$. The reverse is true.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary, we have*

$$\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega) \iff \phi/\rho \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Furthermore there exists a constant which depends only on $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\forall \phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega) \quad \|\phi/\rho^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi/\rho\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Step 1. Let ϕ be in $H^1(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[)$ ($a, A > 0$) satisfying $\frac{1}{x_n} \nabla \phi(x) \in L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[)$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for a.e. x in $]-a, a[^{n-1} \times \{0\} \cup]-a, a[^{n-1} \times \{A\}$.

We have

$$\int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{|\phi(x)|^2}{x_n^4} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{|\nabla \phi(x)|^2}{x_n^2} dx. \quad (2.5)$$

To prove (2.5), we choose $\eta > 0$ and we integrate by parts $\int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{|\phi(x)|^2}{(\eta + x_n)^4} dx$, then thanks to the identity relation $2bc \leq b^2 + c^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{|\phi(x)|^2}{(\eta + x_n)^4} dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{1}{(\eta + x_n)^2} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n}(x) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[} \frac{|\nabla \phi(x)|^2}{x_n^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit ($\eta \rightarrow 0$) it leads to (2.5).

Step 2. Let h be in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &\in [0, 1], \\ \forall x \in \Omega, \quad h(x) &= 1 \quad \text{if } \rho(x) \geq \gamma_0, \\ h(x) &= 0 \quad \text{if } \rho(x) \leq \gamma_0/2. \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ be in $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$. The function $\phi h/\rho^4$ belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$, therefore as a consequence of the Poincaré's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x)h(x)|^2}{\rho(x)^4} dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\phi(x)h(x)}{\rho(x)^4} \right) \right|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \phi(x)|^2 + |\phi(x)|^2) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \phi(x)|^2}{\rho(x)^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Then using the local chart of Ω_r given by (2.1), the inequality (2.5) and thanks to a simple change of variables we get

$$\int_{\Omega_r} \frac{|\phi(x)(1-h(x))|^2}{\rho(x)^4} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_r} \frac{|\nabla(\phi(x)(1-h(x)))|^2}{\rho(x)^2} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_r} \frac{|\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + |\phi(x)|^2}{\rho(x)^2} dx.$$

Since $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ the function ϕ/ρ belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$ and we have (2.2). Hence, adding these inequalities ($r = 1, \dots, N$) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x)(1-h(x))|^2}{\rho(x)^4} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla\phi(x)|^2}{\rho(x)^2} dx. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally $\phi/\rho^2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (2.6)-(2.7) lead to $\|\phi/\rho^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}$ and then (2.4). \square

2.3 Two lemmas

In the Lemma 2.3 we give sharp estimates of a function on the boundary and in a neighborhood of the boundary of Ω . The second estimate in (2.8) is used to obtain the L^2 global error.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary, there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$ (see Subsection 2.2) such that for any $\gamma \in]0, \gamma_0]$ and for any $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &\leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{1/2}} (\|\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma)} + \gamma \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma; \mathbb{R}^n)}), \\ \|\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma)} &\leq C(\gamma^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \gamma \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma; \mathbb{R}^n)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The constants do not depend on γ .

Proof. Let ψ be in $H^1(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, A[)$. For $\eta \in]0, A[$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times \{0\})}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta} \|\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, \eta])}^2 + C\eta \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, \eta[; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, \eta])}^2 &\leq C\eta \|\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times \{0\})}^2 + C\eta^2 \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(]-a, a[^{n-1} \times]0, \eta[; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The constants do not depend on η . Now, let ϕ be in $H^1(\Omega)$. We use the above estimates, the local charts of $\tilde{\Omega}_{\gamma_0}$ given by (2.1) and a simple change of variables to obtain (2.8). \square

In this second lemma we show that a function in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ can be approached by functions vanishing close to the boundary of Ω . Among other things this lemma is used to give an approximation of ϕ via the scale-splitting operator \mathcal{Q}_ε (see Lemma 2.6) and it is also used in the main projection theorem (Theorem 3.2).

Lemma 2.4. *Let ϕ be in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, there exists $\phi_\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\varepsilon(x) &= 0 && \text{for a.e. } x \notin \tilde{\Omega}_{6\sqrt{n\varepsilon}}, \\ \|\phi - \phi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}, && \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, if $\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ then we have

$$\|(\phi - \phi_\varepsilon)/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla\phi\|_{1/\rho}, \quad \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{1/\rho} \leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \quad (2.10)$$

The constant C is independent of ε .

Proof. Let ϕ be in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. We define ϕ_ε by

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\rho(x) - 6\sqrt{n\varepsilon})^+}{\rho(x)} \phi(x) & \text{for a. e. } x \in \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{for a. e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

where $\delta^+ = \max\{0, \delta\}$. The above function ϕ_ε belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies $\phi_\varepsilon = 0$ outside $\tilde{\Omega}_{6\sqrt{n\varepsilon}}$. Then due to the fact that ϕ/ρ belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$ and verifies $\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}$ we obtain the estimates in (2.9). If $\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ we use the estimate (2.4) to obtain (2.10). \square

2.4 Reminds and complements on the unfolding operators

In the sequel, we will make use of some definitions and results from [10] concerning the periodic unfolding method. Below we remind them briefly.

2.4.1 Some reminds

For almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists an unique element in \mathbb{Z}^n denoted $[x]$ such that

$$x = [x] + \{x\}, \quad \{x\} \in Y.$$

- *The unfolding operator \mathcal{T}_ε .*

For any $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$, the function $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi) \in L^1(\Omega \times Y)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon y\right) & \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \hat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \times Y, \\ 0 & \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \Lambda_\varepsilon \times Y. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}}$, using Proposition 2.5 in [10] we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx - \int_{\Omega \times Y} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x, y) dx dy \right| \leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |\phi(x)| dx \leq \|\phi\|_{L^1(\tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}})} \quad (2.12)$$

For $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.13)$$

We also have (see Proposition 2.5 in [10]) for $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi\|_{L^2(\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \times Y)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ (\text{resp. } \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\psi) - \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega \times Y)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

• *The local average operator \mathcal{M}_ε*

For $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) = \int_Y \phi\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon y\right) dy \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.15)$$

The value of $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)$ in the cell $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ ($\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) will be denoted $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi)$. In [10] we proved the following results:

For $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (2.16)$$

and for $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi) - \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ (\text{resp. } \|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi\|_{L^2(\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

• *The scale-splitting operator \mathcal{Q}_ε .*

★ For $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi) H_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x) &= H\left(\frac{x - \varepsilon\xi}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{with} \\ H(z) &= \begin{cases} (1 - |z_1|)(1 - |z_2|) \dots (1 - |z_n|) & \text{if } z \in [-1, 1]^n, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus [-1, 1]^n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Below, we remind some results about \mathcal{Q}_ε proved in [10] and [16].

★ For $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) \longrightarrow \phi \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

★ For $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|\phi - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) \longrightarrow \phi \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.20)$$

★ For $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\chi \in L^2(Y)$ we have $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}, \\ \|\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}})} &\leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{3\sqrt{n\varepsilon}})} \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Moreover, if $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi))\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}, \\ \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}, \\ \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}}; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{3\sqrt{n\varepsilon}}; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

2.4.2 Some complements

In this subsection, we extend some results given above to functions belonging to $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$. These technical complements intervene in the proofs of the projection theorems and in the Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 2.5. *For $\phi \in H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \|\rho(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_\rho, \\ \|\rho(\phi(\cdot + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - \phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_\rho, \\ \|\rho(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\cdot + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

For $\phi \in L_{1/\rho}^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi\|_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega))'} \leq C\varepsilon \|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.24)$$

The constants do not depend on ε .

Proof. Step 1. We prove (2.23)₁.

Let ϕ be in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ and let $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ be a cell included in Ω .

Case 1: $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \geq 2\sqrt{n\varepsilon}$.

In this case, observing that

$$1 \leq \frac{\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\}}{\min_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\}} \leq 3$$

and thanks to the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi) - \phi(x)|^2 dx &\leq \left[\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\} \right]^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi) - \phi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left[\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\} \right]^2 C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \leq 2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$.

In this case we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi) - \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} |\phi(x)|^2 dx.$$

The cases 1 and 2 lead to

$$\int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} ([\rho(x)]^2 |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + |\phi(x)|^2) dx. \quad (2.25)$$

Since $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$ and due to Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{c_0\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}} |\phi(x)|^2 dx$$

which in turn with (2.25) gives (2.23)₁. Proceeding in the same way we obtain (2.23)₂ and (2.23)₃.

Step 2. We prove (2.24).

Let ϕ be in $L^2_{1/\rho}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in H^1_\rho(\Omega)$. We have

$$\int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\psi = \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi) - \psi)\phi.$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\psi - \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi) - \psi)\phi \right| &\leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\psi| + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi) - \psi)\phi| \\ &\leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon)} + \|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{L^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon)})\|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$, the fact that $\phi \in L^2_{1/\rho}(\Omega)$ and the estimates (2.3)₁-(2.23)₁ lead to

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\psi \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\psi\|_\rho.$$

Hence (2.24) is proved. \square

Lemma 2.6. For $\phi \in H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|\rho(\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_\rho \quad (2.26)$$

For $\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ and ϕ_ε given by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)\|_{1/\rho} &\leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}, & \|(\phi - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon))/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}, \\ \forall \mathbf{i} = i_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + i_n\mathbf{e}_n, & (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n & & \\ \|(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\cdot + \varepsilon\mathbf{i}) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon))/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. & & \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

For $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\chi \in L^2(Y)$

$$\|(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\rho\phi) - \rho\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi))\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}. \quad (2.28)$$

For $\phi \in H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ and $\chi \in L^2(Y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi))\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_\rho\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}, \\ \|\rho\nabla\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)\chi\left(\left\{\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\|\phi\|_\rho\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

The constants do not depend on ε .

Proof. Step 1. Let ϕ be in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$. We first prove

$$\|\rho(\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_\rho. \quad (2.30)$$

To do that, we proceed as in the proof of (2.23)₁. Let $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ be a cell included in Ω .

Case 1: $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \geq 3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$.

In this case we have

$$1 \leq \frac{\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)}\{\rho(z)\}}{\min_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+2Y)}\{\rho(z)\}} \leq 4 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \frac{\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+2Y)}\{\rho(z)\}}{\min_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)}\{\rho(z)\}} \leq \frac{5}{2}.$$

By definition of $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi)|^2 dx &\leq \left[\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\} \right]^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left[\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\} \right]^2 C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \leq 3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. Then again by definition of $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)$ we get

$$\int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\varepsilon\xi)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} |\phi(x)|^2 dx.$$

As a consequence of both cases we get

$$\int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} ([\rho(x)]^2 |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + |\phi(x)|^2) dx. \quad (2.31)$$

Furthermore we have

$$\int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} [\rho(x)]^2 |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} |\phi(x)|^2 dx$$

which with (2.31) lead to (2.30). Then as a consequence of (2.23)₁ and (2.30) we get (2.26).

Step 2. We prove (2.27)₁.

Let ϕ be in $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ and ϕ_ε given by Lemma 2.4. Due to the fact that $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_{6\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$, hence $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$ such that $\rho(x) \leq 4\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. Again we take a cell $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ included in Ω such that $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \geq 3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. The values taken by $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)$ in the cell $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ depend only on the values of ϕ_ε in $\varepsilon(\xi + 2Y)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x)|^2 dx &\leq \frac{C}{[\min_{x \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(x)\}]^2} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \frac{[\max_{x \in \varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \{\rho(x)\}]^2}{[\min_{x \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(x)\}]^2} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Adding all these inequalities gives

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{4\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$ such that $\rho(x) \leq 4\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$, we get $\|\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)\|_{1/\rho} \leq C\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{1/\rho}$. We conclude using (2.10)₂.

Step 3. Now we prove (2.27)₂. Again we consider a cell $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ included in Ω such that $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \geq 3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) - \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx &\leq \frac{C}{[\min_{x \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(x)\}]^2} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+Y)} |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) - \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{[\min_{x \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(x)\}]^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \{0,1\}^n} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+\mathbf{i}+Y)} |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon\mathbf{i}) - \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \frac{[\max_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \{\rho(z)\}]^2}{[\min_{z \in \varepsilon(\xi+Y)} \{\rho(z)\}]^2} \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon(\xi+2Y)} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{4\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) - \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{[\rho(x)]^2} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx$$

The above estimate and the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(x) - \phi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ such that $\rho(x) \leq 4\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$ yield $\|(\phi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon))/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{1/\rho}$. We conclude using both estimates in (2.10).

Proceeding as in the Steps 2 and 3 we obtain (2.27)₃, (2.28) and (2.29). \square

3 Two new projection theorems

Theorem 3.1. *Let ϕ be in $H^1_{1/\rho}(\Omega)$. There exists $\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in H^1_{per}(Y; L^2(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \|\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^n}\} \\ \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi) - \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; (H^1_\rho(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon(\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

The constants depend only on n and $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Here, we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [15]. We first reintroduce the open sets $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i}$ and the "double" unfolding operators $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}$. We set

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i} = \widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon \cap (\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i), \quad K_i = \text{interior}(\overline{Y} \cup (\mathbf{e}_i + \overline{Y})), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}$ from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega \times K_i)$ is defined by

$$\forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\psi)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \psi\left(\varepsilon\left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right]_Y + \varepsilon y\right) & \text{for } x \in \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i} \text{ and for a.e. } y \in K_i, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i} \text{ and for a.e. } y \in K_i. \end{cases}$$

The restriction of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\psi)$ to $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i} \times Y$ is equal to $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\psi)$.

Step 1. Let us first take $\phi \in L^2_{1/\rho}(\Omega)$. We set $\psi = \frac{1}{\rho}\phi$ and we evaluate the difference $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)$ in $L^2(Y; (H^1_\rho(\Omega))')$. For any $\Psi \in H^1_\rho(\Omega)$ a change of variables gives for a. e. $y \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(x, y + \mathbf{e}_i)\Psi(x)dx &= \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i}} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x + \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i, y)\Psi(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i} + \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(x, y)\Psi(x - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain for a. e. $y \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Omega \{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y)\}\Psi - \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i}} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\cdot, y)\rho\{\Psi(\cdot - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i) - \Psi\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i}} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\cdot, y)(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \rho)\{\Psi(\cdot - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_i) - \Psi\} \right| + C\|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})}\|\Psi\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (2.23)₂ leads to

$$\|\rho(\Psi(\cdot - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon,i})} \leq C\varepsilon \|\Psi\|_{\rho} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\rho) - \rho\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

The above inequalities imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y), \Psi \rangle_{(H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))', H_{\rho}^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \{ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(x, y + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(x, y) \} \Psi(x) dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \|\Psi\|_{\rho} \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})} \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for a.e. $y \in Y$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, y)\|_{(H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))'} \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)(\cdot, y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})}$$

which leads to the following estimate of the difference between $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)|_{\Omega \times Y}$ and one of its translated :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)\|_{L^2(Y; (H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))')} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon})} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The constant depends only on the boundary of Ω .

Step 2. Let $\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$. The above estimate (3.3) applied to ϕ and its partial derivatives give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)\|_{L^2(Y; (H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))')} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\nabla \phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\nabla \phi)\|_{[L^2(Y; (H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))')^n]} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

which in turn lead to (we recall that $\nabla_y(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)) = \varepsilon \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\nabla \phi)$).

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon,i}(\phi)\|_{H^1(Y; (H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{1/\rho}).$$

From these inequalities for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we deduce the estimate of the difference of the traces of the function $y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)(\cdot, y)$ on the faces $Y_i \doteq \{y \in \bar{Y} \mid y_i = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{e}_i + Y_i$

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)(\cdot, \cdot + \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{H^{1/2}(Y_i; (H_{\rho}^1(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{1/\rho}). \quad (3.4)$$

These estimates ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) give a measure of the periodic defect of the function $y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)(\cdot, y)$ (see [15]).

Then we decompose $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)$ into the sum of an element belonging to $H_{per}^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$ and one to $(H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega)))^\perp$ (the orthogonal of $H_{per}^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$ in $H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$), see [15]

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi) = \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon + \overline{\phi}_\varepsilon, \quad \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in H_{per}^1(Y; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \overline{\phi}_\varepsilon \in (H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega)))^\perp. \quad (3.5)$$

The function $y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)(\cdot, y)$ takes its values in a finite dimensional space,

$$\overline{\phi}_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot) = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi_\varepsilon} \overline{\phi}_{\varepsilon, \xi}(\cdot) \chi_{\varepsilon, \xi}(\cdot)$$

where $\chi_{\varepsilon, \xi}(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of the cell $\varepsilon(\xi + Y)$ and where $\overline{\phi}_{\varepsilon, \xi}(\cdot) \in (H^1(Y))^\perp$ (the orthogonal of $H_{per}^1(Y)$ in $H^1(Y)$), see [15]. The decomposition (3.5) is the same in $H^1(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')$ and we have

$$\|\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\overline{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))}^2 = \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\phi)\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq C\{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^n}\}^2.$$

It gives the first inequality in (3.1) and the estimate of $\overline{\phi}_\varepsilon$ in $H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$. From Theorem 2.2 in [15] and (3.4) we obtain a finer estimate of $\overline{\phi}_\varepsilon$ in $H^1(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')$

$$\|\overline{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon(\|\phi/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}).$$

It is the second inequality in (3.1). □

Theorem 3.2. *For $\phi \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$, there exists $\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in H_{per}^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))} &\leq C\|\nabla\phi\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^n}, \\ \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla\phi) - \nabla\phi - \nabla_y\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{[L^2(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')]^n} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The constants depend only on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Let ϕ be in $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ and $\psi = \phi/\rho \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. The function ϕ is extended by 0 outside of Ω . We decompose ϕ as

$$\phi = \Phi + \varepsilon\underline{\phi}, \quad \text{where } \Phi = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\phi} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\phi - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)\right)$$

where ϕ_ε is given by Lemma 2.4. We have Φ and $\underline{\phi} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and due to (2.27) we get the following estimates:

$$\|\Phi\|_{1/\rho} + \varepsilon\|\underline{\phi}\|_{1/\rho} + \|\underline{\phi}/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \quad (3.7)$$

The projection Theorem 3.1 applied to $\underline{\phi} \in H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$ gives an element $\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon$ in $H_{per}^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; L^2(\Omega))} &\leq C\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}, \\ \|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\underline{\phi}) - \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now we evaluate $\|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla\Phi) - \nabla\Phi\|_{[L^2(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')]^n}$.

From (2.24), (2.27)₁ and (3.7) we get

$$\|\nabla\Phi - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\nabla\Phi)\|_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))'} \leq C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_{1/\rho}. \quad (3.9)$$

We set

$$H^{(1)}(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - |z_2|)(1 - |z_3|) \dots (1 - |z_n|) & \text{if } z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in [-1, 1]^n, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus [-1, 1]^n. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \left\{ \mathbf{i} \mid \mathbf{i} = i_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + i_n \mathbf{e}_n, \quad (i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1} \right\}$$

For $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and for every $(x, y) \in \varepsilon(\xi + Y) \times Y$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x, y) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i}))}{\varepsilon} H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i})$$

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\varepsilon\xi) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i}))}{\varepsilon}.$$

Now, let us take $\psi \in H_\rho^1(\Omega)$. We recall that $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_{6\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$, hence $\Phi(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_{3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$; as a first consequence $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right) = 0$ in Λ_ε .

For $y \in Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\cdot, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right), \psi \rangle_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega))', H_\rho^1(\Omega)} &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x) \right\} \psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x) \right\} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Besides we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(x) dx &= \varepsilon^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\varepsilon\xi) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^n}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i}))}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^n}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon(\xi - \mathbf{e}_1)) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi)}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(x, y) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(x) dx \\
&= \varepsilon^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i}))}{\varepsilon} \right] H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i}) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi) \\
&= \varepsilon^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon(\xi - \mathbf{e}_1)) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi)}{\varepsilon} H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i}) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i}))
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \widetilde{\Omega}_{6\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}$, in the above summations we only take the ξ 's belonging to Ξ_ε and satisfying $\rho(\varepsilon\xi) \geq 3\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\cdot, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right), \psi \rangle_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega))', H_\rho^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \varepsilon^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon(\xi - \mathbf{e}_1)) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi)}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left[H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i}) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right] \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i})).
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the identity relation $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left[H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i}) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right] = 0$ we obtain that

$$\left| \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left[H^{(1)}(y - \mathbf{i}) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right] \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i})) \right| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon\xi) \right|.$$

Taking into account the last equality and inequality above we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\cdot, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right), \psi \rangle_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega))', H_\rho^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \varepsilon^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon(\xi - \mathbf{e}_1)) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi)}{\varepsilon} \right| \left| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon(\xi + \mathbf{i})) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon\xi) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\cdot - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_1) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi) \right| \left| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\cdot + \varepsilon\mathbf{i}) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left\| \rho(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)(\cdot - \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_1) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\psi)) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\| \frac{1}{\rho} (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)(\cdot + \varepsilon\mathbf{i}) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon)) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.23)₃ and (2.27)₃ we finally get

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right)(\cdot, y) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right), \psi \rangle_{(H_\rho^1(\Omega))', H_\rho^1(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{1/\rho} \|\psi\|_\rho.$$

It leads to

$$\left\| \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_1}\right) \right\|_{L^\infty(Y; (H_\rho^1(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{1/\rho}. \quad (3.10)$$

Besides we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \underline{\phi}(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}(x) dx \leq C \|\underline{\phi}/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{\rho} \leq C \|\phi\|_{1/\rho} \|\psi\|_{\rho}.$$

Hence $\left\| \varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{(H^1_{\rho}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))'}$ $\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{1/\rho}$. This last estimate with (2.10)₂, (3.9) and (3.10) yield

$$\left\| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1} \right) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(Y; (H^1_{\rho}(\Omega))')} \leq C\varepsilon \|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{1/\rho}.$$

In the same way we prove the estimates for the partial derivatives of Φ with respect to x_i , $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Hence we get $\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \Phi) - \nabla \phi\|_{[L^{\infty}(Y; (H^1_{\rho}(\Omega))')^n]}$ $\leq C\varepsilon \|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{1/\rho}$. Then thanks to (3.8) the second estimate in (3.6) is proved. \square

4 Reminds about the classical periodic homogenization problem

We consider the homogenization problem

$$\phi^{\varepsilon} \in H^1_0(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla \psi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi(x) dx, \quad \forall \psi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \quad (4.1)$$

where

- $A_{\varepsilon}(x) = A\left(\left\{\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where A is a square matrix belonging to $L^{\infty}(Y; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ and satisfying the condition of uniform ellipticity $c|\xi|^2 \leq A(y)\xi \cdot \xi$ for a.e. $y \in Y$, with c a strictly positive constant,
- $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

We showed in [10] that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \phi^{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \nabla \Phi + \nabla_y \widehat{\phi} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $(\Phi, \widehat{\phi}) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega; H^1_{per}(Y))$ is the solution of the problem of unfolding homogenization

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (\Psi, \widehat{\psi}) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega; H^1_{per}(Y)) \\ \int_{\Omega} \int_Y A(y) \{ \nabla \Phi(x) + \nabla_y \widehat{\phi}(x, y) \} \{ \nabla \Psi(x) + \nabla_y \widehat{\psi}(x, y) \} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \Psi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The correctors χ_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, are the solutions of the variational problems

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i \in H^1_{per}(Y), \quad \int_Y \chi_i = 0, \\ \int_Y A(y) \nabla_y (\chi_i(y) + y_i) \nabla_y \psi(y) dy = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in H^1_{per}(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

They allow to express $\widehat{\phi}$ in terms of the partial derivatives of Φ

$$\widehat{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \chi_i \quad (4.3)$$

and to give the homogenized problem satisfied by Φ

$$\Phi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla \Phi(x) \nabla \Psi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \Psi(x) dx, \quad \forall \Psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad (4.4)$$

where (see [10])

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \int_Y a_{kl}(y) \frac{\partial(y_j + \chi_j(y))}{\partial y_l} \frac{\partial(y_i + \chi_i(y))}{\partial y_k} dy. \quad (4.5)$$

5 An operator from $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$

From now on, Ω is a bounded domain with a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary or an open bounded convex set.

In this section we first introduce a lifting operator \mathbf{T} (defined by (5.1)) from $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^1(\Omega)$. This operator and the estimate (5.2) are in fact sufficient to obtain the error estimates with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition (Theorem 6.3); one of the aim of this paper. Then we extend this operator. The extension of \mathbf{T} from $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^1(\Omega)$ is essential in order to get a sharper estimate (6.3) than (6.2)₁. In Theorem 7.1 we give an application based on (6.3), in this theorem we investigate a first case of strongly oscillating boundary data.

Let g be in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists one $\phi_g \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \phi_g) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \phi_g = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (5.1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the matrix given by (4.5). We have

$$\|\phi_g\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (5.2)$$

We denote by \mathbf{T} the operator from $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^1(\Omega)$ which associates to $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ the function $\phi_g \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Now, let (ψ, Ψ) be a couple in $[\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})]^2$, integrating by parts over Ω gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla \psi(x) \nabla \Psi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi)(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi(x) (\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi)(x) dx \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma.$$

The space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ being dense in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$, hence the above equality holds true for any $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$ and any $\Psi \in H^2(\Omega)$. Hence, for $\Psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and ϕ_g defined by (5.1) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi_g(x) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi)(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x) (\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi)(x) \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma. \quad (5.3)$$

Under the assumption on Ω the function $\Psi(g)$ defined by

$$\Psi(g) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi(g)) = \phi_g \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$\|\Psi(g)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\phi_g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Taking $\Psi = \Psi(g)$ in the above equality (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\phi_g(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x) (\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi(g)(x)) \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma \leq \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \Psi(g)) \cdot \nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|\Psi(g)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\|\phi_g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Due to (5.4), the operator \mathbf{T} admits an extension (still denoted \mathbf{T}) from $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$ and we have

$$\forall g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad \|\mathbf{T}(g)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

For $g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, we also denote $\phi_g = \mathbf{T}(g)$. This function is the "very weak" solution of the problem

$$\phi_g \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \phi_g) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \phi_g = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

or the solution of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g &\in L^2(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} \phi_g(x) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi(x)) dx &= \langle g, (\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi) \cdot \nu \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \\ \forall \psi &\in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Lemma 5.1. *The operator \mathbf{T} is a bicontinuous linear operator from $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ onto*

$$\mathbf{H} = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \phi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \right\}.$$

There exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\forall g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad \frac{1}{C} \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{T}(g)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Let ϕ be in \mathbf{H} we are going to prove that there exists an element $g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{T}(g) = \phi$. To do that, we consider a continuous linear lifting operator \mathbf{R} from $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ into $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying for any $h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(h) &\in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{A}^T \nabla \mathbf{R}(h)|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \nu &= h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \|\mathbf{R}(h)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \|h\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The map $h \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \mathbf{R}(h))$ is a continuous linear form defined over $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Thus, there exists $g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \mathbf{R}(h)) = \langle g, h \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (5.7)$$

Since $\phi \in \mathbf{H}$, we deduce that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have $\int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi) = 0$. Therefore, for any $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ we have $\int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi) = 0$. Taking into account (5.7) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi) = \langle g, (\mathcal{A}^T \nabla \psi) \cdot \nu \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \quad \forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

It yields $\phi = \phi_g$ and then (5.6). \square

Remark 5.2. *It is well known (see e.g. [18]) that every function $\phi \in \mathbf{H}$ also belongs to $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ and verifies*

$$\|\phi\|_\rho \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.8)$$

6 Error estimates with a non-homogeneous Dirichlet condition

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(\phi^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a sequence of functions belonging to $H^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi^\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (6.1)$$

Setting $g_\varepsilon = \phi^\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\phi_{g_\varepsilon} = \mathbf{T}(g_\varepsilon) \in H^1(\Omega)$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq C \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, & \|\phi^\varepsilon - \phi_{g_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \\ \left\| \rho \left(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon - \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover we have

$$\|\phi^\varepsilon\|_\rho \leq C (\varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}). \quad (6.3)$$

The χ_i 's are the correctors introduced in Section 4 and \mathbf{T} is the operator defined in Section 5.

Proof. Step 1. We prove the first estimate in (6.2). From Section 5 we get

$$\|\phi_{g_\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \quad \|\phi_{g_\varepsilon}\|_\rho \leq C\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (6.4)$$

We write (6.1) in the following weak form:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\varepsilon &= \check{\phi}_\varepsilon + \phi_{g_\varepsilon}, \quad \check{\phi}_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \int_\Omega A_\varepsilon \nabla \check{\phi}_\varepsilon \nabla v &= - \int_\Omega A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} \nabla v \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

The solution $\check{\phi}_\varepsilon$ of the above variational problem satisfies

$$\|\check{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence, from (6.4)₁ and the above estimate we get the first inequality in (6.2).

Step 2. We prove the second estimate in (6.2).

For every test function $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_\Omega A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi^\varepsilon \nabla v = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Now, in order to obtain the L^2 error estimate we proceed as in the proof of the Theorem 3.2 in [16]. We first recall that for any $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ we have (see Lemma 2.3) for every $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \doteq \gamma_0/3\sqrt{n}$

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{3c_0\sqrt{n\varepsilon}})} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Let U be a test function belonging to $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$. The above estimate yields

$$\|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{3c_0\sqrt{n\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^n})} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \quad (6.7)$$

which in turn with (2.12)-(2.13)-(2.14)₁ and (6.2)₁-(6.6) lead to

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A(y) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon)(x, y) \nabla U(x) dx dy \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (6.8)$$

The Theorem 2.3 in [16] gives an element $\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega; H_{per}^1(Y))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon) - \nabla \phi^\varepsilon - \nabla_y \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon\|_{[L^2(Y; (H^1(\Omega))')]^n} &\leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\nabla \phi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

The above inequalities (6.8) and (6.9) yield

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon + \nabla_y \widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon) \nabla U \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (6.10)$$

We set

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \inf \left\{ 1, \frac{\rho(x)}{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Now, we take $\bar{\chi} \in H_{per}^1(Y)$ and we consider the test function $u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ defined for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ by

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon \rho_\varepsilon(x) \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) (x) \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Due to (2.21)₂ and (6.7) we get

$$\left\| \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^n})} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)} \quad (6.11)$$

Then by a straightforward calculation and thanks to (2.21)₂-(2.22)₂ and (6.7)-(6.11) we obtain

$$\left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)}$$

which in turn with again (6.11) give

$$\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_{\sqrt{n\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^n})} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)} \quad (6.12)$$

and then with (2.22)₁ they lead to

$$\left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)}.$$

In (6.6) we replace ∇u_ε with $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right)$; we continue using (2.12)-(2.13) and (6.2)₁-(6.12) to obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A(y) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon)(x, y) \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right) (x) \nabla_y \bar{\chi}(y) dx dy \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)}$$

which with (2.17)₂ and then (6.9) give

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A(y) (\nabla \phi^\varepsilon(x) + \nabla_y \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon(x, y)) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i}(x) \nabla_y \bar{\chi}(y) dx dy \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{H^1(Y)}. \quad (6.13)$$

As in [16] we introduce the adjoint correctors $\bar{\chi}_i \in H_{per}^1(Y)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, defined by

$$\int_Y A(y) \nabla_y \psi(y) \nabla_y (\bar{\chi}_i(y) + y_i) dy = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in H_{per}^1(Y). \quad (6.14)$$

From (6.13) we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon + \nabla_y \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon) \nabla_y \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \bar{\chi}_i \right) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

and from the definition (4.2) of the correctors χ_i we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \nabla_y \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} \bar{\chi}_j \right) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \nabla_y \left(\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \chi_i \right) \nabla_y \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} \bar{\chi}_j \right) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

and thanks to (6.14) we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \nabla_y \left(\widehat{\phi}_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \chi_i \right) \nabla U \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

The above estimate, (6.10) and the expression (4.5) of the matrix \mathcal{A} yield

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla \phi^\varepsilon \nabla U \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, since we have $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} \nabla v = 0$ for any $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$\forall U \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla (\phi^\varepsilon - \phi_{g_\varepsilon}) \nabla U \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|U\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Now, let $U_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the solution of the following variational problem:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla v \nabla U_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} v (\phi^\varepsilon - \phi_{g_\varepsilon}), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Under the assumption on the boundary of Ω , we know that U_ε belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\|U_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\phi^\varepsilon - \phi_{g_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (the constant do not depend on ε). Therefore, the second estimate in (6.2) is proved.

Step 3. We prove the third estimate in (6.2) and (6.3). The partial derivative $\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\mathcal{A} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Thus, from Remark 5.8 and estimate (6.4)₂ we get

$$\left\| \rho \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (6.15)$$

Now, let U be in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, the function ρU belongs to $H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)$. Applying the Theorem 3.2 with the function ρU , there exists $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega; H_{per}^1(Y))$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla(\rho U)) - \nabla(\rho U) - \nabla_y \widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Y; (H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))')} \leq C \varepsilon \|\rho U\|_{H_{1/\rho}^1(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon \|U\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \quad (6.16)$$

The above estimates (6.15) and (6.16) lead to

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \left(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla(\rho U)) - \nabla(\rho U) - \nabla_y \widehat{u}_\varepsilon \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|U\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$$

By definition of the correctors χ_i we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \nabla_y \widehat{u}_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Besides, from the definitions of the function ϕ_{g_ε} and the homogenized matrix \mathcal{A} we have

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A} \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} \nabla(\rho U) = \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \nabla(\rho U).$$

The above inequality and equalities yield

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla(\rho U)) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (6.17)$$

We have

$$\nabla(\rho U) = \rho \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right).$$

Then since $U/\rho \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\|U/\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}$ and due to (3.2) we get

$$\left\| \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla(\rho U)) - \rho \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\varepsilon \left\| \nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\varepsilon \|U\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

From (6.17) and the above inequalities we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\rho \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \rho \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We recall that $\rho \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, hence from (2.14)₂, (2.17)₁ and (6.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\rho \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \rho \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\Omega \times Y} A \left(\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\rho \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \left(\rho \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \right) \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then transforming by inverse unfolding we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\widehat{\Omega}_\varepsilon} A_\varepsilon \left(\rho \nabla \phi_{g_\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \left(\rho \frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Now, thanks to (2.28) and (6.15) we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon} \rho \left(\nabla \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}}}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \left(\nabla U + \nabla \rho \frac{U}{\rho} \right) \right| \leq C \varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Then using (2.29)₁ it leads to

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon} \left(\nabla \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}}}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \nabla(\rho U) \right| \leq C \varepsilon \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We recall that $\int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} \nabla(\rho U) = 0$. We choose $U = \rho \left(\phi^{\varepsilon} - \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right)$ which belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Due to the second estimate in (6.2), the third one in (6.2) follows immediately.

The estimate (6.3) is the consequence of (2.29)₂, (6.2)₂, (6.2)₃, (6.4)₂ and (6.15). \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let $(\phi^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon > 0}$ be a sequence of functions belonging to $H^1(\Omega)$ and satisfying (6.1). We set $g_{\varepsilon} = \phi_{\partial\Omega}^{\varepsilon}$, if we have*

$$g_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup g \quad \text{weakly in } H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{\varepsilon} &\rightharpoonup \phi_g \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega), \\ \phi^{\varepsilon} - \phi_g - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_g}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H_{\rho}^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Moreover, if

$$g_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow g \quad \text{strongly in } H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \quad (6.19)$$

then we have

$$\phi^{\varepsilon} - \phi_g - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_g}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega). \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. Thanks to (6.2)₁ the sequence $(\phi^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$. Then due to Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.8 we get

$$\|\phi_g - \phi_{g_{\varepsilon}}\|_{\rho} \leq C \|g - g_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$$

which with (6.2)₂ (resp. (6.2)₃) give the convergence (6.18)₁ (resp. (6.18)₂).

Under the assumption (6.19), we use (5.2) and we proceed as in the proof of the Theorem 6.1 of [10] in order to obtain the strong convergence (6.20). \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let ϕ^ε be the solution of the following homogenization problem:*

$$-\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi^\varepsilon) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \phi^\varepsilon = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^\varepsilon - \Phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\{\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\}, \\ \left\| \rho \left(\nabla \phi^\varepsilon - \nabla \Phi - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla_y \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\{\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\} \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is the solution of the homogenized problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \Phi) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \Phi = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Moreover we have

$$\phi^\varepsilon - \Phi - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega). \quad (6.21)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon$ be the solution of the homogenization problem

$$\tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad -\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla \tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and $\tilde{\Phi}$ the solution of the homogenized problem

$$\tilde{\Phi} \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A} \nabla \tilde{\Phi}) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The Theorem 3.2 in [16] gives the following estimate:

$$\|\tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon - \tilde{\Phi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \rho \nabla \left(\tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon - \tilde{\Phi} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (6.22)$$

while the Theorem 4.1 in [15] gives

$$\left\| \tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon - \tilde{\Phi} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (6.23)$$

The function $\phi^\varepsilon - \tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla (\phi^\varepsilon - \tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \phi^\varepsilon - \tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Thanks to the inequalities (6.2) and (6.22) we deduce the estimates of the theorem. The strong convergence (6.21) is a consequence of (6.23) and the strong convergence (6.20) after having observed that $\Phi - \tilde{\Phi} = \phi_g$. \square

Remark 6.4. *In Theorem 6.3, if $g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ then in the estimates therein, we can replace $\varepsilon^{1/2}\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$ with $\varepsilon\|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)}$. Moreover we have the following H^1 -global error estimate:*

$$\left\| \phi^\varepsilon - \Phi - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \{ \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \}.$$

7 A first result with strongly oscillating boundary data

In this section we consider the solution ϕ^ε of the homogenization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla \phi^\varepsilon) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \phi^\varepsilon &= g_\varepsilon & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where $g_\varepsilon \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. As a consequence of the Theorem 6.1 we obtain the following result:

Theorem 7.1. *Let ϕ^ε be the solution of the problem (7.1). If we have*

$$g_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup g \quad \text{weakly in } H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} g_\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \quad (7.2)$$

then

$$\phi^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \phi_g \quad \text{weakly in } H_\rho^1(\Omega). \quad (7.3)$$

Furthermore, if we have

$$g_\varepsilon \longrightarrow g \quad \text{strongly in } H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

then

$$\phi^\varepsilon - \phi_g - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H_\rho^1(\Omega). \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. Due to (6.3) the sequence $(\phi^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is uniformly bounded in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$. From the estimates (6.2)₃ and (6.4)₂ we get

$$\left\| \phi^\varepsilon - \phi_{g_\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{H_\rho^1(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Then using the variational problem (5.5) and estimate (6.4)₂ we obtain

$$\phi_{g_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \phi_g \quad \text{weakly in } H_\rho^1(\Omega).$$

Since the sequence $\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right)$ is uniformly bounded in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$ and strongly converges to 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have $\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{g_\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right) \chi_i \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon} \right) \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_\rho^1(\Omega)$. Therefore the weak convergence (7.3) is proved.

In the case $g_\varepsilon \longrightarrow g$ strongly in $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, the estimates (5.4) and (5.8) lead to

$$\|\phi_{g_\varepsilon} - \phi_g\|_{H_\rho^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|g_\varepsilon - g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Hence with (2.29)₂ they yield (7.4). □

In a forthcoming paper we will show that in both cases (weak or strong convergence of the sequence $(g_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ towards g in $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$) the assumption (7.2) is essential in order to obtain at least (7.3).

References

- [1] G. Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23, 1992, 1482-1518.
- [2] G. Allaire and M. Amar. Boundary layer tails in periodic homogenization, *ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calc. of Variations*, 4, 1999, 209-243.
- [3] M. Avellaneda and F.-H. Lin. Homogenization of elliptic problems with L^p boundary data, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 15, 1987, 93-107.
- [4] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions and G. Papanicolaou. *Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [5] D. Blanchard, A. Gaudiello and G. Griso. Junction of a periodic family of elastic rods with a thin plate. II, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 88, 2007, 149-190.
- [6] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Microscopic effects in the homogenization of the junction of rods and a thin plate *Asymptot. Anal.*, 56, 1, 2008, 1-36.
- [7] A. Blasselle and G. Griso. Mechanical modeling of the skin, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 74, 3-4, 2011, 167-198.
- [8] A. Bossavit, G. Griso, and B. Miara. Modeling of periodic electro-magnetic structures. *Bianisotropic materials with memory effects*, *J.M.P.A.*, 84, 2005, 819-850.
- [9] H. Brezis. *Analyse fonctionnelle. Théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [10] D. Cioranescu, A. Dalmlamian and G. Griso. The periodic unfolding method in homogenization, *SIAM J. of Math. Anal.*, 40 (4), 2008, 1585-1620.
- [11] D. Cioranescu, A. Dalmlamian, G. Griso and D. Onofrei. The periodic unfolding method for perforated domains and Neumann sieve models, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 89, 2008, 248-277.
- [12] D. Cioranescu, A. Dalmlamian, P. Donato, G. Griso and R. Zaki. The periodic unfolding method in domains with holes, *SIAM J. of Math. Anal.*, 44 (2), 2012, 718-760.
- [13] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato. *An Introduction to Homogenization*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, 1999.

- [14] G. Griso. Estimation d'erreur et éclatement en homogénéisation périodique. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335, 2002, 333-336.
- [15] G. Griso. Error estimate and unfolding for periodic homogenization, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 40, 2004, 269-286.
- [16] G. Griso. Interior error estimates for periodic homogenization, *Analysis and Applications*, 4, 2006, 61-79.
- [17] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of structures made of plates, *Analysis Appl.*, 3 (4), 2005, 325-356.
- [18] G. Griso. Decomposition of displacements of thin structures. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 89, 2008, 199-233.
- [19] G. Griso, E. Rohan. On the homogenization of a diffusion-deformation problem in strongly heterogeneous media. *Ricerche di Matematica*, 56 (2), 2007, 161-188.
- [20] S. Kesavan. Homogenization of elliptic eigenvalue problems: Part 1. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 5 (1), 1979, 153-167.
- [21] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes*, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [22] S. Moskow and M. Vogelius. First-order corrections to the homogenised eigenvalues of a periodic composite medium. A convergence proof. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edimburgh*, 127A, 1997, 1263-1299.
- [23] D. Onofrei, B. Vernescu. Error estimates for periodic homogenization with non-smooth coefficients, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 54, 2007, 103-123.
- [24] O. Ouchetto, S. Zouhdi, A. Bossavit, G. Griso, B. Miara, A. Razek. Homogenization of structured electromagnetic materials and metamaterials. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 181 (1), 2007, 225-229.