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#### Abstract

We obtain necessary conditions expressed in terms of Wiener type tests involving Hausdorff or Bessel capacities for the existence of large solutions to equations (1) $-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-1=0$ or (2) $-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q}=0$ in a bouded domain $\Omega$ when $q>p-1>0$. We apply our results to equations (3) $-\Delta_{p} u+|\nabla u|^{q}+b u^{p-1}=0$, (4) $-\Delta u+|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{q}=0$ and $-\Delta u+u^{-q}=0$ with $q>0$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ and $1<p \leq N$. We consider the question of existence of solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+g(u) & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), \rho(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ and $g$ is a continuous nondecreasing function vanishing at 0 ; most often $g(u)$ is either $e^{u}-1$ or $|u|^{q-1} u$ with $q>p-1$. A solution to problem (1.1) is called a large solution. When the domain is regular in the sense that the Dirichlet problem with continuous boundary data $\phi$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+g(u) & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =\phi & & \text { in } \partial \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]admits a solution, it is clear that problem (1.1) admits a solution. It is known that a necessary and sufficient condition for such a result is the so called Wiener criterion (for $p=2$ see [17]), for $p \neq 2$ see [10], [6])
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{1, p}\left(B_{t}(x) \cap \Omega^{c}\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t}=\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $C_{1, p}$ denotes the capacity associated to the space $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ : the existence of a large solution is guaranted for a large class of nondecreasing nonlinearities $g$ satisfying the Vazquez condition [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{G^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}<\infty \quad G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

a variant of the Keller-Osserman estimate [7], [12], which is the above relation when $p=2$. If for $R>\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ there exists a function $v$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} v+g(v) & =0 & & \text { in } B_{R} \backslash\{0\} \\
v & =0 & & \text { on } \partial B_{R}  \tag{1.5}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} v(x) & =\infty, & &
\end{align*}
$$

then it is easy to see that the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+g(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a large solution, without any assumption on the regularity of $\partial \Omega$, provided (1.4) is satisfied. However the existence of a (radial) solution to problem (1.5) needs the fact that equation (1.6) admits solutions with isolated singularities, which is usually not true if the growth of $g$ is too strong since Vazquez and Véron proved [15] that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|r| \rightarrow \infty}|r|^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}} \operatorname{sign}(r) g(r)>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

isolated singularities of solutions of (1.6) are removable. Conversely, if $p-1<q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}$, Friedman and Véron [4] characterize the behavior of positive singular solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an isolated singularities. In 2003, Labutin [8] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition in order the following problem be solvable

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u+|u|^{q-1} u & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{C_{2, q^{\prime}}\left(B_{t}(x) \cap \Omega^{c}\right)}{t^{N-2}} \frac{d t}{t}=\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2, q^{\prime}}$ is the capacity associated to the Sobolev space $W^{2, q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$. Notice that this condition is always satisfied if $q$ is subcritical, i.e. $q<N /(N-2)$. Concerning the exponential case of problem (1.1) nothing is known, even in the case $p=2$, besides the simple cases already mentionned.

In this article we give sufficient conditions, expressed in terms of Wiener tests, in order problem (1.1) be solvable in the two cases $g(u)=e^{u}-1$ and $g(u)=|u|^{q-1} u, q>p-1$. For $1<p<N$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}(E)$ the Hausdorff capacity of a set $E$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{j} h^{N-p}\left(B_{j}\right): E \subset \bigcup B_{j}, \operatorname{diam}\left(B_{j}\right) \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $B_{j}$ are balls and $h^{N-p}\left(B_{r}\right)=c_{N} r^{N-p}$. Our main result concerning the exponential case is the following
Theorem 1. Let $N \geq 2$ and $1<p<N$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(\Omega^{c} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $u \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-1 & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence we obtained a sufficient condition for the existence of a large solution in the power case expressed in terms of some $C_{s, r}$ Bessel capacity in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ associated to the Besov space $B^{s, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Theorem 2. Let $N \geq 2,1<p<N$ and $q_{1}>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}}\left(\Omega^{c} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $p-1<q<\frac{p q_{1}}{N}$, there exists $u \in C_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Labutin's theorem this last result is not optimal in the case $p=2$, since the involved capacity is $C_{2, q_{1}^{\prime}}$ with $q_{1}^{\prime}$ and thus there exists a solution to

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q_{1}} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

with $q_{1}>q$.
At end we apply the previous theorems to quasilinear viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We prove that if $p-1<q<p, b<b^{*}$ for some $b^{*}>0$ depending on $p, q, \Omega$, and (1.12) holds, there exists a solution to

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+|\nabla u|^{q}+b u^{p-1} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, we prove that if for some $q>1$, there exists a solution to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta u+|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{q-1} u & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty, & \tag{1.18}
\end{array}
$$

then necessarily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{C_{2, s}\left(\Omega^{c} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{N-2}} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s>1$. This condition holds also if for some $p>0$ there exists $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}), u>0$ in $\Omega$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u+u^{-p}=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Morrey classes and Wolff potential estimates

In this section $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We also denote by $B_{r}(x)$ the open ball of center $x$ and radius $r$ and $B_{r}=B_{r}(0)$. We also recall that a solution of (1.4) belongs to $C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$, and is more regular (depending on $g$ ) on the set $\{x \in \Omega:|\nabla u(x)| \neq 0\}$.

Definition 2.1 1 - A function $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ belongs to the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{s}(\Omega), 1 \leq s \leq \infty$, if there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{r}(x)}|f| d y \leq K r^{\frac{N}{s^{\prime}}} \quad \forall r>0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm is defined as the smallest constant $K$ that satisfies this inequality; it is denoted by $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{s}(\Omega)}$.
2- A function $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ belongs to the weak $L^{s}$-space $M^{s}(\Omega), 1 \leq s \leq \infty$, if there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E}|f| d y \leq K|E|^{\frac{1}{s^{\prime}}} \quad \forall E \subset \Omega, \text { EBorel. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quasi-norm is defined as the smallest constant $K$ that satisfies this inequality; it is denoted by $\|f\|_{M^{s}(\Omega)}$

Clearly $L^{p}(\Omega) \subset M^{p}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$.
Definition 2.2 Let $R \in(0, \infty]$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{+}(\Omega)$, the set of positive Radon measures in $\Omega$. If $\alpha>0$ and $1<p<\alpha^{-1} N$, we define the ( $R$-truncated) Wolff potential of $\mu$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{p}^{R}[\mu](x)=\int_{0}^{R}\left(\frac{\mu\left(B_{t}(x)\right.}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $1<p<N$, the ( $R$-truncated) fractional maximal potential of $\mu$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{p, R}[\mu](x)=\sup _{0<t<R} \frac{\mu\left(B_{t}(x)\right)}{t^{N-p}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the measure is extended by 0 in $\Omega^{c}$.

For $k \geq 0$, we set $T_{k}(u)=\operatorname{sign}(u) \min \{k,|u|\}$.
Definition 2.3 Assume $f \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$. We say that a Borel function $u$ defined in $\Omega$ is a renormalized supersolution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+f=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if for any $k>0, T_{k}(u) \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}(\Omega),|\nabla u|^{p-1} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ and there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla T_{k}(u)\right|^{p-2} \nabla T_{k}(u) \nabla \varphi+f \varphi\right) d x \geq 0 \quad(r e s p . \leq 0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with compact support in $\Omega$ and such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq k-T_{k}(u)$, and if $-\Delta_{p} u+f:=\mu$ is a positive (resp. negative) distribution in $\Omega$.

The following result is proved in [11, Theorem 4.35].
Theorem 2.4 Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(\Omega)$ for some $\epsilon \in(0, p)$, $u$ is a nonnegative supersolution of (2.5) and set $\mu:=-\Delta_{p} u+f$. Then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\varepsilon}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq c_{1} W_{1, p}^{\frac{r}{4}}[\mu](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text { s.t. } B_{r}(x) \subset \Omega, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{1}$ depending only on $N, p, \varepsilon, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$.
Concerning renormalized solutions (see [3] for the definition) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=f+\mu \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\mu$ is a Radon measure, we have
Corollary 2.5 Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{+}^{b}(\Omega)$, the set of positive and bounded Radon measures in $\Omega$. If $u$ is a nonnegative renormalized solution to (2.8), then there exists a positive constant $c_{2}$ depending only on $N, p, \varepsilon, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\varepsilon}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq c_{2} W_{1, p}^{\frac{d(x, \partial \Omega)}{4}}[\mu](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.6 For $1 \leq s, q<\infty$, let $L^{s, q}(\Omega)$ denote the Lorentz space endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{s, q}}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{q}{s}}\left(f^{* *}(t)\right)^{q} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f^{* *}(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(t) d t
$$

with $f^{*}(t)=\inf \{s>0:|\{x \in \Omega:|f(x)|>s\}| \leq t\}$. The dual space of $L^{s, q}(\Omega)$ is the space $L^{-s, q}(\Omega)$ and it is naturally endowed with the dual norm.

The following result is proved in [2].

Theorem 2.7 Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{+}^{b}(\Omega)$. Assume that $u$ is a nonnegative renormalized solution to equation (2.13). If $\mu \in L^{-p, \frac{q}{p-1}}$ for some $q>p-1$, then $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|\mu\|_{L^{-p, \frac{q}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\varepsilon}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some a positive constant $C$ depending only on $N, p, q, \varepsilon, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$.
Conversely, if $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)$, then for any compact set $K \subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant $C_{K}$ depending only on $N, p, q, \varepsilon, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(K, \partial \Omega)$ such that $\chi_{K} \mu \in L^{-p, \frac{q}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{K} \mu\right\|_{L^{-p, \frac{q}{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \leq C_{K}\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{N}{p-\varepsilon}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for any Borel set $E \subset \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p, \frac{q}{q+1-p}}(E)=0 \Longrightarrow \mu(E)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall [2, Theorem 3.8].

Theorem 2.8 There exists a positive constant $c_{1}$ such that if $u$ is a renormalized solution to $-\Delta_{p} u=\mu$ in $\Omega$ and $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then for any $x \in \Omega$

$$
|u(x)| \leq c_{1} W_{1, p}^{2 \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}[\mu](x)
$$

The next statement is proved in [2, Theorem 2.4], and in [5] for a variant.
Theorem 2.9 There exist positive constants $c_{2}, c_{3}$ such that

$$
\int_{2 B} \exp \left(c_{2} W_{1, p}^{R}\left[\mu_{B}\right]\right) \leq c_{3} r^{N}
$$

for all $B=B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, 2 B=B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right), R>0$ such that $\left\|\mathbf{M}_{p, R}[\mu]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq 1$.

## 3 Estimates from below

If $G$ is any domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a compact boundary and $g$ is nondecreasing, $g(0)=g^{-1}(0)=0$ and satisfies (1.7), there always exists a maximal solution to (1.4) in $G$. It is constructed as the limit, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, of the solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+g\left(u_{n}\right) & =0 & & \text { in } G_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \operatorname{dist}\left(x, G^{c}\right)>\frac{1}{n}\right\} \\
\lim _{\rho_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0} u_{n}(x) & =\infty & &  \tag{3.1}\\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x) & =0 & & \text { if } G \text { is unbounded },
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{n}(x):=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial \Omega_{n}\right)$. Our main estimates are the following.
Theorem 3.1 Let $K \subset B_{1 / 4} \backslash\{0\}$ be a compact set and let $U_{j} \in C_{l o c}^{1}\left(K^{c}\right), j=1,2$, be the maximal solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-1=0 \quad \text { in } K^{c} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $U_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } K^{c} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $U_{2}$, where $p-1<q<\frac{p q_{1}}{N}$. Then there exist constants $C_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$, depending on $N, p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}(0) \geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}(0) \geq-C_{3}+C_{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Step 1. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $r_{j}=2^{-j}$ and $S_{j}=\left\{x: r_{j} \leq|x| \leq r_{j-1}\right\}, B_{j}=B_{r_{j}}$. Fix a positive integer $J$ such that $K \subset\left\{x: r_{J} \leq|x|<1 / 8\right\}$. Consider the sets $K \cap S_{j}$ for $j=3, \ldots, J$. By [13, Theorem 3.4.27], there exists $\mu_{j} \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \subset K \cap S_{j}$,

$$
c^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap S_{j}\right) \leq \mu_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \leq c \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap S_{j}\right) \forall j
$$

and

$$
\left\|\mathbf{M}_{p, 1}\left[\mu_{j}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1
$$

Now, we will show that for $\varepsilon$ small enough, there holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}} \exp \left(\frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \varepsilon \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \leq C \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the constant in Theorem 2.8, and $C$ does not depend on $J$.
Indeed, we have
$A:=\int_{B_{1}} \exp \left(\frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \varepsilon \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(\frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x$.
Since
$\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \mu_{k}\right](x) \leq \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\}\left(\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\right](x)+\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\right](x)+\sum_{k=\max \{j-1,3\}}^{j+1} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right)$
and

$$
\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{5} \exp \left(5 a_{i}\right) \quad \forall a_{i}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=\max (j-1,3)}^{j+1} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \\
& :=A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $A_{3}$ : We apply Theorem 2.9 for $\mu=\mu_{k}$ and $B=B_{k-1}$,

$$
\int_{2 B_{k-1}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \leq c_{3} r_{k}^{N}
$$

with $5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} K \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \in\left(0, c_{2}\right]$. In particular,

$$
\int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} K \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x \leq 4 c_{3} r_{k}^{N} \quad k=j-1, j, j+1
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3} \leq c_{4} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} 4 c_{3} r_{j}^{N}=c_{5}<\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate of $A_{1}$ : Since

$$
\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)=0 \quad \forall x \in S_{j}, t \in\left(0, r_{j+1}\right)
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_{r_{j+1}}^{1}\left(\frac{\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t}\right) d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\left(S_{k}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{j+1}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mu_{k}\left(S_{k}\right) \leq \mu_{k}\left(B_{r_{k-1}}(0)\right) \leq r_{k-1}^{N-p}$, which leads to

$$
\left(\sum_{k \geq j+2} \mu_{k}\left(S_{k}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{j+1}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \leq\left(\sum_{k \geq j+2} r_{k-1}^{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{j+1}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}=\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}^{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}=\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) d x \\
& =\exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)\left|B_{1}\right|=c_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $A_{2}$ : for $x \in S_{j}$,

$$
\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\right](x)=\int_{r_{j-1}}^{1}\left(\frac{\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \int_{r_{i}}^{r_{i-1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Since $r_{i}<t<r_{i-1}, \sum_{k \leq i-2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)=0, \forall i=1, \ldots, j-1$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\right](x) & =\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \int_{r_{i}}^{r_{i-1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i-1 \leq k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\left(B_{t}(x)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \int_{r_{i}}^{r_{i-1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i-1 \leq k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\left(S_{k}\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(\sum_{i-1 \leq k \leq j-2} \mu_{k}\left(S_{k}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(\sum_{i-1 \leq k \leq j-2} r_{k-1}^{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{p-1}{N-p} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(\sum_{i-1 \leq k \leq j-2} r_{k-1-i}^{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{p-1}{N-p} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(\sum_{k \geq i-1} r_{k-1-i}^{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& =\frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{4^{N-p}}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(j-1) \\
& \leq \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{4^{N-p}}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{4^{N-p}}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} j\right) d x \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{7} r_{j}^{N} \exp \left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{4^{N-p}}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} j\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{7} \exp \left(\left(5 \max \left\{1,5^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right\} \frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{N-p}\left(\frac{4^{N-p}}{1-2^{-(N-p)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-N \log (2)\right) j\right) \\
& =c_{8} \text { for } \varepsilon \text { small enough. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $A \leq C:=c_{6}+c_{8}+c_{5}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\exp \left(c_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \varepsilon \mu_{k}\right]\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{2 N}{p}}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq c_{10}\left(\int_{B_{1}(0)} \exp \left(\frac{2 N}{p} c_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \varepsilon \mu_{k}\right](x)\right) d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2 N}} \leq c_{11} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c_{11}$ does not depend on $J$. Set $B=B_{\frac{1}{4}}$. For $\varepsilon$ small enough, it follows from [2], 3.6 and Theorem 2.8, that there exists a renormalized solution $u$ to equation

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-1 & =\varepsilon \sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j} & & \text { in } B  \tag{3.9}\\
u & =0 & & \text { in } \partial B .
\end{align*}
$$

By standard regularity theory, $u \in C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}(B \backslash K)$. From Corollary 2.5 and estimate (3.8), we have

$$
u(0) \geq-c_{12}+c_{13} W_{1, p}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j}\right](0)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(0) & \geq-c_{12}+c_{13} \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j}\right](0)=-c_{12}+c_{13} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_{r_{i+1}}^{r_{i}}\left(\frac{\sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j}\left(B_{t}(0)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \geq-c_{12}+c_{13} \sum_{i=2}^{J-2} \int_{r_{i+1}}^{r_{i}}\left(\frac{\mu_{i+2}\left(B_{t}(0)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t}=-c_{12}+c_{13} \sum_{i=2}^{J-2} \int_{r_{i+1}}^{r_{i}}\left(\frac{\mu_{i+2}\left(S_{i+2}\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \geq-c_{12}+c_{14} \sum_{i=2}^{J-2}\left(\mu_{i+2}\left(S_{i+2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}=-c_{12}+c_{14} \sum_{i=2}^{J-2}\left(\mu_{i+2}\left(S_{i+2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
& \geq-c_{12}+c_{15} \sum_{i=2}^{J-2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap S_{i+2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}=-c_{12}+c_{16} \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap S_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap S_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \frac{1}{\max \left(1,2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \forall i
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(0) & \geq-c_{12}+c_{16} \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\max \left(1,2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
& =-c_{12}+c_{16}\left(\frac{1}{\max \left(1,2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)} \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}-\sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right) \\
& =-c_{12}+c_{16}\left(\frac{2^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}}{\max \left(1,2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)} \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i-1}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}-\sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right) \\
& \geq-c_{12}+c_{16}\left(\frac{2^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}}{\max \left(1,2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)}-1\right) \sum_{i=4}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{i}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r_{i}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
& \geq-c_{17}+c_{18} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{t}(0)\right)}{t^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d t}{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $U_{1}$ is the maximal solution in $K^{c}, u$ satisfies the same equation in $B \backslash K$ and $U_{1} \geq u=0$ on $\partial B$, it follows that $U_{1}$ dominates $u$ in $B \backslash K$. Then $U_{1}(0) \geq u(0)$ and we derive (3.4).

Step 2. Fix a positive integer $J$ such that $K \subset\left\{x: r_{J} \leq|x|<1 / 8\right\}$. Consider the sets $K \cap S_{j}$ for $j=3, \ldots, J$. By [13, Theorem 2.5.3], there exists $\mu_{j} \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\mu_{j}\left(K \cap S_{j}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(G_{p}\left[\mu_{j}\right](x)\right)^{q_{1}} d x=C_{p, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}}\left(K \cap S_{j}\right) .
$$

We have, for any $a_{k} \geq 0$,

$$
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}\right)^{r} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{k, r} a_{k}^{r}
$$

where $\theta_{k, r}$ has the following expression with $\theta>0$,

$$
\theta_{k, r}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } r \in(0,1] \\ \left(\frac{\theta+1}{\theta}\right)^{r-1}(\theta+1)^{k r} & \text { if } r>1\end{cases}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1}(0)}\left(\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \mu_{k}\right](x)\right)^{q_{1}} d x & \leq \sum_{k=3}^{J} \theta_{k, \frac{1}{p-1}}^{q_{1}} \theta_{k, q_{1}} \int_{B_{1}(0)}\left(\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right)^{q_{1}} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{k=3}^{J} \theta_{k, \frac{1}{p-1}}^{q_{1}} \theta_{k, q_{1}} \int_{R}\left(\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right)^{q_{1}} d x \\
& \leq c_{19} \sum_{k=3}^{J} \theta_{k, \frac{1}{p-1}}^{q_{1}} \theta_{k, q_{1}} \int_{R}\left(G_{p}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x)\right)^{q_{1}} d x \\
& =c_{19} \sum_{k=3}^{J} \theta_{k, \frac{1}{p-1}}^{q_{1}} \theta_{k, q_{1}} C_{p, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}}\left(K \cap S_{k}\right) \\
& \leq c_{20} \sum_{k=3}^{J} \theta_{k, \frac{1}{p-1}}^{q_{1}} \theta_{k, q_{1}} 2^{-k\left(N-\frac{p q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{21} \text { for } \theta \text { small enough, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $c_{21}$ does not depend on $J$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\frac{q_{1}}{q}}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq c_{22}\left\|\mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{J} \mu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{q_{1}}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \leq c_{23} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{23}$ is independent of $J$. Take $B=B_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Note that $\frac{q_{1}}{q}>\frac{N}{p}$. By [2], 3.10, Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 2.8, there exists a renormalized solution $u$ to equation

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q} & =\sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j} & & \text { in } B  \tag{3.11}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial B .
\end{align*}
$$

It belongs to $C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}(B \backslash K)$ and

$$
u(0) \geq-c_{24}+c_{25} W_{1, p}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\sum_{j=3}^{J} \mu_{j}\right](0)
$$

As above, we also get that

$$
u(0) \geq-c_{26}+c_{27} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} .
$$

Since $U_{2}$ is the maximal solution in $U_{2}$ in $B \backslash K$, it dominates the solution $u$ in $B \backslash K$, and thus $U_{2}(0) \geq u(0)$. Therefore, we get (3.5).

## 4 Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $u$ be the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-1=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. We can assume that $x_{0}=0$. Let $\delta \in(0,1 / 12)$. For $z_{0} \in \bar{B}_{\delta} \cap \Omega$. Set $K=\Omega^{c} \cap \overline{B_{1 / 4}\left(z_{0}\right)}$. Let $U_{1} \in C^{1}\left(K^{c}\right)$ be the maximal solution of (3.2). We have $u \geq U_{1}$ in $\Omega$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) & \geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{\delta}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)\right.}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \\
& \left.\geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{\delta}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{r-\left|z_{0}\right|}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \quad\left(\text { since } B_{r-\left|z_{0}\right|} \subset B_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{2 \delta}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{\frac{r}{2}}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \\
& \geq-C_{1}+C_{2}^{\prime} \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\inf _{B_{\delta} \cap \Omega} u \geq \inf _{B_{\delta} \cap \Omega} U_{1} \geq-C_{1}+C_{2}^{\prime} \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-p}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $u$ be the maximal solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+u^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. We can assume that $x_{0}=0$. Let $\delta \in(0,1 / 12)$. For $z_{0} \in \bar{B}_{\delta} \cap \Omega$. Set $K=\Omega^{c} \cap \overline{B_{1 / 4}\left(z_{0}\right)}$. Let $U_{2} \in C^{1}\left(K^{c}\right)$ be the maximal solution of (3.3). We have $u \geq U_{2}$
in $\Omega$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) & \geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{\delta}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p}, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\left(K \cap B_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \\
& \left.\geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{\delta}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p}, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\left(K \cap B_{r-\left|z_{0}\right|}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r}\left(\text { since } B_{r-\left|z_{0}\right|} \subset B_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \geq-C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{2 \delta}^{1}\left(\frac{C_{p}, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\left(K \cap B_{\frac{r}{2}}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \\
& \geq-C_{1}+C_{2}^{\prime} \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{C_{p}, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\inf _{B_{\delta} \cap \Omega} u \geq \inf _{B_{\delta} \cap \Omega} U \geq-C_{1}+C_{2}^{\prime} \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{C_{p}, \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}-p+1}\left(K \cap B_{r}\right)}{r^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d r}{r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

## 5 Large solutions of quasilinear Hamilton-Jacobi equations

In this section we used our previous results to give sufficient conditions for existence of solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
-\Delta_{p} u+|\nabla u|^{q}+b u^{p-1} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5.1}\\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty, &
\end{array}
$$

where $b$ is a real number and $p-1<q<p$.
Lemma 5.1 The maximal solution of (4.1) is a large solution if and only if for any $a>0$ and $b<b_{a}:=\theta_{1} a^{1-p}$ the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} v+e^{a v}+b=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a large solution, where $\theta_{1}$ is a positive constant depending on $N, p$ and $\Omega$.
Proof. Since monotonicity and Vazquez' condition (1.4) hold, it is sufficient to exhibit a large subsolution (i.e. tending to infinity on the boundary) in order to conclude on the existence of a large solution to (5.2).
Assume $u:=u_{1,-1}$ is a large solution of (4.1), then for any $\Lambda \geq 1$

$$
-\Delta_{p} u_{1,-1}+e^{u_{1,-1}}-\Lambda=1-\Lambda \leq 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega,
$$

thus $u_{1,-1}$ is a subsolution of the corresponding solution and there exists a larger solution which is necessarily a large solution $u_{1,-\Lambda}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{u}-\Lambda=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\min \left\{u_{1,1}(x): x \in \Omega\right\}=\theta>0$. then, for any $c \in(0,1)$ and $d \geq 0$ there holds

$$
e^{u_{1,-1}}-1 \geq m_{\theta} e^{c u_{1,-1}} \geq m_{\theta} e^{c u_{1,-1}}-d \quad \text { on }[\theta, \infty)
$$

with $m_{\theta}=e^{(1-c) \theta}-e^{-c \theta}$. This implies that $-\Delta_{p} u_{1,-1}+m_{\theta} e^{c u_{1,-1}}-d \leq 0$, therefore $v:=$ $u_{1,-1}+c^{-1} \ln m_{\theta}$ satisfies $-\Delta_{p} u_{1,-1}+e^{c u_{1,-1}}-d \leq 0$. Therefore there exists a large solution $u_{c,-d}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{c u}-d=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, set $u_{c,-d}=\alpha w+\beta$, then $-\Delta_{p} w+\alpha^{1-p} e^{\beta c} e^{\alpha c w}-d \alpha^{1-p}=0$. If we take $\beta=\frac{p-1}{c} \ln \alpha$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} w+e^{\alpha c w}-d \alpha^{1-p}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha>0$ and $d \geq 0$ are arbitrary, we see that for any $a>0$ and $b \geq 0$, there exists a large solution $u=u_{a,-b}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+e^{a u}-b=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can notice that since $u_{a, 0}=a^{-1} u_{1,0}+(1-p) a^{-1} \ln a$, the minimum $\theta:=\theta_{a}$ of $u_{a, 0}$ satisfies $\theta_{a}=a^{-1} \theta_{1}+(1-p) a^{-1} \ln a$. For $\epsilon>0$, there holds

$$
e^{a u_{a, 0}}-\epsilon e^{a u_{a, 0}}=(1-\epsilon) e^{a u_{a, 0}} \geq(1-\epsilon) \theta_{1} a^{1-p}
$$

Therefore $-\Delta_{p} u_{a, 0}+\epsilon e^{a u_{a, 0}}+(1-\epsilon) \theta_{1} a^{1-p} \leq 0$. Thus $v=u_{a, 0}-a^{-1} \ln \epsilon$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{p} v+e^{a v}+(1-\epsilon) \theta_{1} a^{1-p} \leq 0
$$

which implies that there exists a large solution to the corresponding equation. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, it follows that for any $b<b_{a}:=\theta_{1} a^{1-p}$, there exists a large solution $u=u_{a, b}$ to (5.2).

Theorem 5.2 Assume $p-1<q<p$ and (1.12) holds. Then there exists $b^{*}=b^{*}(p, q, N, \Omega)>0$ such that for any $b \in\left(-\infty, b^{*}\right)$, problem (5.1) admits a solution.
Proof. If (1.12) holds, for any $a>0$ and $b<b_{a}$, there exists a large solution $u$ to (5.2). We set $u=\alpha \ln w$ with $\alpha>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} w+(p-1) \frac{|\nabla w|^{p}}{w}+\alpha^{1-p} w^{\alpha a+p-1}+b \alpha^{1-p} w^{p-1}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$
(p-1) \frac{|\nabla w|^{p}}{w} \geq|\nabla w|^{q}-\frac{p-q}{p}\left(\frac{q}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} w^{\frac{q}{p-q}}
$$

therefore

$$
-\Delta_{p} w+|\nabla w|^{q}+\alpha^{1-p} w^{\alpha a+p-1}+b \alpha^{1-p} w^{p-1}-\frac{p-q}{p}\left(\frac{q}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} w^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \leq 0
$$

Since $q>p-1, \frac{q}{p-q}>p-1$. We choose $\alpha$ and $a$ such that

$$
\alpha a+p-1=\frac{q}{p-q} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha^{1-p}=\frac{p-q}{p}\left(\frac{q}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}
$$

Therefore $w$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{p} w+|\nabla w|^{q}+b \alpha^{1-p} w^{p-1} \leq 0
$$

This implies that there exists a large solution to (5.1).

Theorem 5.3 Let $q>1$ and assume that there exists a solution to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta u+|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{q-1} u & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\lim _{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} u(x) & =\infty . & \tag{5.8}
\end{array}
$$

Then for any $s>1$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{C_{2, s}\left(B_{r}(x) \cap \Omega^{c}\right)}{r^{N-2}} \frac{d r}{r}=\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\delta>0$ set $\Omega_{\delta}:=\{x \in \Omega: \rho(x)<\delta\}$. There exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that $u(x)>1$ in $\Omega_{\delta_{0}}$. For $\sigma>0$ we set $u=v^{\sigma}$, therefore

$$
-\Delta v-(\sigma-1) \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{v}+\sigma v^{\sigma-1}|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{\sigma} v^{(q-1) \sigma+1}=0
$$

Since $v>1$ in $\Omega_{\delta_{0}}$, it follows

$$
-\Delta v+\frac{1}{\sigma} v^{(q-1) \sigma+1}=\sigma \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{v}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-v^{\sigma}\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\delta_{0}}
$$

For $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$ and $m>\inf \left\{u^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(x): x \in \partial \Omega_{\delta_{0}}\right\}$ we denote by $v_{m, \delta}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v+\frac{1}{\sigma} v^{(q-1) \sigma+1} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega_{\delta, \delta_{0}}:=\left\{x \in \Omega: \delta<\rho(x)<\delta_{0}\right\} \\
v & =m & & \text { in } \partial \Omega_{\delta_{0}} \\
\lim _{\left(x, \partial \Omega_{\delta}\right) \rightarrow 0} v(x) & =\infty . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $v_{\delta} \geq u^{\frac{1}{s}}$ and $v_{\delta^{\prime}}<v_{\delta}$ if $0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta$. Thus $v_{m}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} v_{m, \delta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v+\frac{1}{\sigma} v^{(q-1) \sigma+1} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega_{\delta_{0}} \\
v & =m & & \text { in } \partial \Omega_{\delta_{0}} \\
\lim _{\rho(x)) \rightarrow 0} v(x) & =\infty . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that, since $\partial \Omega_{\delta_{0}}$ is Lipschitz, the boundary data is preserved in the approximation process. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using the monotonicity of $\left\{v_{m}\right\}$, it implies that that there exists a large solution to

$$
-\Delta v+\frac{1}{\sigma} v^{(q-1) \sigma+1}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\delta_{0}}
$$

By Labutin's result it implies in particular (5.9) with $s=\frac{(q-1) \sigma+1}{(q-1) \sigma}$ and $s>1$ is arbitrary.

Remark. If we set $v=e^{u}$ in (5.8), then $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+e^{(q+1) v}=|\nabla v|^{2}\left(1-e^{v}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, we can construct a large solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+e^{(q+1) v}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\delta_{0}} \backslash \Omega_{\delta} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It would be interesting to see what Wiener type criterion the existence a such a large solution implies. We conjecture that this condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-2}\left(B_{r}(x) \cap \Omega^{c}\right)}{r^{N-2}} \frac{d r}{r}=\infty \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.4 Assume that for some $p>0$ there exists a function $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u+u^{-p} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for any $s>1$ (5.9) holds.
Proof. We set $v=e^{-v}$, then $v$ is a large solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+|\nabla v|^{2}+e^{(p+1) v}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we conclude using the preceding theorem (and the remark hereafter).
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