



HAL
open science

Laboratory test methods for polishing asphalt surfaces and predicting their skid resistance

Minh Tan Do, Malal Kane, Véronique Cerezo

► To cite this version:

Minh Tan Do, Malal Kane, Véronique Cerezo. Laboratory test methods for polishing asphalt surfaces and predicting their skid resistance. TRB 92nd Annual Meeting (Transportation Research Board), Jan 2013, France. 16p, ill., schémas, bibliogr. <hal-00851150>

HAL Id: hal-00851150

<https://hal.science/hal-00851150v1>

Submitted on 12 Aug 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 LABORATORY TEST METHODS FOR POLISHING ASPHALT SURFACES AND
2 PREDICTING THEIR SKID RESISTANCE
3
4

5 Minh-Tan Do (Corresponding Author)

6 IFSTTAR

7 Department Infrastructures and Mobility

8 Route de Bouaye, CS4

9 44344 Bouguenais, France

10 Ph. (33) 2 40 84 57 95

11 Fax. (33) 2 40 84 59 92

12 Email. minh-tan.do@ifsttar.fr
13
14

15 Malal Kane

16 IFSTTAR

17 Department Infrastructures and Mobility

18 Route de Bouaye, CS4

19 44344 Bouguenais, France

20 Ph. (33) 2 40 84 58 39

21 Fax. (33) 2 40 84 59 92

22 Email. malal.kane@ifsttar.fr
23
24

25 Veronique Cerezo

26 CETE Lyon

27 25, avenue François Mitterrand

28 69674 Bron, France

29 Ph. (33) 4 72 14 32 01

30 Fax. (33) 4 72 14 33 43

31 Email. veronique.cerezo@developpement-durable.gouv.fr
32
33

34 Word count: $4463 + 11 \cdot 250 + 1 \cdot 250 = 7463$ words

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 In this paper, laboratory test methods reproducing phenomena affecting pavement skid
3 resistance evolution are presented. Polishing tests are performed by Wehner/Schulze machine
4 to simulate the polishing induced by traffic and the binder removal phase (typical for
5 bituminous asphalt concrete). Accelerated ageing tests are performed by a weatherometer,
6 operating conditions being adjusted according to local weather conditions, to simulate the
7 binder ageing responsible for friction increase at early age. With respect to seasonal
8 variations, a new test was developed to evaluate the effect of pollutants deposited on the road
9 and the washing effect of rainfalls. Description of specimens and test procedures is given.
10 Simulations are compared to observations to check their relevance.

11 A model is developed to combine, in a physical way, laboratory test results and give
12 place to a prediction of the friction-polishing duration curve. Conversions are done to predict
13 actual skid resistance variation from laboratory polishing curve. Predictions are compared to
14 road data and results are discussed.

15

16

17 **Key words: friction, evolution, polishing, ageing, modeling.**

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Skid resistance of asphalt pavement continuously evolves due to traffic actions and climatic
3 conditions. For infrastructure authorities and road companies, it would be valuable to dispose
4 of tools to forecast such variation for whether new construction or existing road, in order to
5 anticipate maintenance and optimize material use respectively. Long-term skid-resistance is
6 usually assessed by means of PSV value of coarse aggregates (1). This solution is not
7 economical, since all quarries cannot provide this aggregate quality. In addition, performance
8 of new mix design (for instance, sustainable solutions mixing aggregates whether of different
9 PSV values or using recycled aggregates) cannot be assessed by means of the PSV. Without
10 any appropriate laboratory test method, large-scale experiments are conducted to assess the
11 feasibility of alternative solutions. This approach is expensive and time consuming and, by
12 this fact, the number of solutions eligible for testing is limited.

13 Researches have been conducted at IFSTTAR since 2002 to see how a combination of
14 quick laboratory tests and modeling can help to overcome the drawbacks listed above and to
15 move toward a prediction of the variation of friction coefficient with time and traffic. This
16 paper presents a state of progress after ten years of investigation. Results are extracted mainly
17 from two Ph.D. theses (2)(3) and some related publications (4)(5)(6).

18 BACKGROUND

19 Phenomena Affecting Skid Resistance Evolution

20 Skid resistance variation vs. time for a wearing course is due to at least four phenomena. They
21 are listed below in the decreasing order of knowledge and understanding:

- 22 - Polishing. Tourenq and Fourmaintraux (7) demonstrated that two mechanisms can
23 arise: “general polishing”, which removes materials and smoothes off coarse-
24 aggregate edges, and “differential polishing”, which regenerates relief on aggregate
25 faces between soft and hard minerals, the first ones being more attacked by wear than
26 the second ones.
- 27 - Binder removal. Skid resistance of newly placed asphalt wearing courses is not high
28 due the binder layer masking the road surface microtexture. As traffic gradually
29 removes the binder, the skid-resistance increases and reaches a maximum when the
30 binder is fully removed.
- 31 - Seasonal variations. Skid resistance generally records its lowest value at the end of
32 summer and its highest during winter, with the variation approximating a sinusoidal
33 function (8). The actual cause of this variation is not well understood. According to
34 the most widespread explanation, during the summer, it is presumed that dust is
35 trapped between the tire and the road surface and accelerates the polishing, and during
36 the winter, rainfall cleans the road surface and makes it rougher by microtexture
37 recovering or chemical reactions.
- 38 - Ageing of the bitumen. This ageing phenomenon is due to climatic actions
39 (temperature variations, oxidation, acid rain). The consequence of the ageing is not
40 well documented.

41 Most developments of laboratory testing methods are dedicated to the simulation of
42 polishing actions. In the following section, existing machines are briefly reviewed.

43 Polishing tests

44 Simulation of polishing action was first developed for aggregates in the 1950s by Transport
45 Research Laboratory (TRL). The test method is now standardized and widely known as the
46 PSV test (Polished Stone Value) (1). The curve shape of PSV specimens make them
47 unsuitable for asphalt testing. Other machines are then developed to polish asphalt specimens.
48 The polishing principle is based mostly on the friction between rubber (wheels or pads) and
49
50

1 asphalt surface. Two friction modes are employed: the sliding friction by pads, or the rolling
 2 friction by wheels, or equivalent supports, equipped with tires. References (8)(9) give two
 3 examples of machines applying respectively the two friction modes. Machines usually are
 4 prototypes and few results are found regarding the link between laboratory results and actual
 5 road observations.

7 **Models Predicting Skid Resistance Evolution**

8 Some prediction models are listed in Table 1. The main difference between Huschek model
 9 and the two other is that Huschek model does not display the aggregate PSV as an
 10 explanatory variable and the variation of friction coefficient depends on the sign of constant
 11 (b). It can be seen later that the direction of friction variation with time and traffic depends on
 12 the phenomena listed above and therefore its prediction needs a more comprehensive model.

13 **TABLE 1 Models Providing Skid Resistance Evolution And Long Term Value**

Authors	Model
Diringer et al. (10)	$\mu_{\min} = c_0 \cdot (1 - e^{c_1 \cdot PV}) + c_2 \cdot PV$ where: PV = friction coefficient measured on PSV specimen after more than 10 hours of testing; and c_0, c_1, c_2 = constants to be obtained by fitting.
Roe et al. (11)	$\mu_{\min} = a \cdot PSV - b \cdot \ln(CVD) + c$ where: PSV = friction coefficient measured once the standardized test procedure is completed; CVD = number of light vehicles per day and per lane; and a, b, c = constants to be obtained by fitting.
Huschek (12)	$\mu = a \cdot (t + 1)^b$ where: t = pavement age expressed in years; a = friction coefficient measured just after road construction; and b = constant to be obtained by fitting.

17 **RESEARCH NEEDS**

18 With respect to laboratory testing, there is a need to dispose of methods to simulate actions of
 19 phenomena affecting skid resistance evolution. Laboratory results have then to be linked to
 20 road data to see how simulations are close to the real world. As testing alone cannot
 21 reproduce the complexity of involved phenomena, mainly their interaction, modeling has to
 22 be performed in parallel to transform test results in predictions.

23 Researches carried out at IFSTTAR have been dedicated to the following aspects:

- 24 - polishing test for road materials;
- 25 - laboratory tests to better understand the effect of binder ageing and seasonal
 26 variations;
- 27 - predictive model.

28 Progresses achieved respectively in the three aspects, mainly the way they are linked
 29 one to the other, are reported the following sections.

31 **POLISHING TEST FOR ROAD MATERIALS**

32 Details on the development of the polishing test can be found in (2)(4). The following
 33 sections highlight the main findings.

35 **Testing Machine**

36 Polishing tests are performed by the Wehner/Schulze machine (Fig. 1) developed in Germany
 37 40 years ago. The choice of this machine is based on visual observation of surface of polished

specimens which looks like road surface subjected to traffic (surface covered by a black layer composed probably of tire wear debris, road debris and dust).

Specimens are circular discs of 225mm in diameter, thickness of which varying between 15mm and 45mm. They can be whether taken from pavements or laboratory-made slabs, or made of resin with the upper face composed of a coarse-aggregate mosaic (this type of specimens is dedicated to aggregate testing).

The machine has two rotary heads dedicated respectively to polishing and friction tests. Polishing is performed by means of three rubber cones (Fig. 1a) mounted on one rotary head and rolling on the specimen surface (rotation frequency: 500 rotations per minute; nominal pressure: 0.4 N/mm^2). A mix of water and abrasives is projected on the specimen surface during the test. The polished part is a circle of approximately 16cm in diameter and 6cm in width (Fig. 1b).



FIGURE 1 Wehner/Schulze machine (a: view of the machine and the two rotary heads; b: specimen and illustration of the polished part).


Friction test is performed by means of three rubber pads mounted on the second rotary head (Fig. 1a) (surface of each pad: 4 cm^2 ; nominal pressure: 0.2 N/mm^2). The rotary head is accelerated until a speed of 100km/h is reached, the water-plus-abrasive mix being projected at 90km/h. The motor is then stopped and the head is lowered until the rubber pads touch the specimen surface. A braking curve is recorded from 100km/h until complete stop. Value of friction coefficient at 60km/h, expressed as μ_{WS} , is extracted for analyses. The speed value was chosen according to that of SCRIM devices, which are currently used for road monitoring in France.

Testing procedure

Polishing is stopped every 1,000 passes until 15,000 passes. Then stops are done at 20,000-50,000-90,000-180,000 passes; the end of the test procedure is conventionally fixed at 180,000 passes. Friction is measured at each stop. One single braking is performed to get the

1 value of friction coefficient at a given polishing state. Example of results, expressed as the
 2 evolution of friction coefficient with number of passes, is shown in figure 3.

3



4

5 **FIGURE 3 Friction evolution plot resulting from Wehner/Schulze polishing test.**

6

7 Results for asphalt specimen clearly shows the two phenomena cited in the
 8 background section: binder removal induces an increase of friction coefficient from the
 9 original value to a maximum, then polishing induces a decrease of friction coefficient; this
 10 observation corroborates those made on actual roads. The aggregate plot starts logically from
 11 a maximum then joins, for this example, the asphalt plot.

12 Polishing test using Wehner/Schulze machine can replicate two major evolution
 13 mechanisms induced by mechanical actions. To deal with skid resistance variation in a
 14 comprehensive manner, other tests reproducing time-dependent evolutions have to be
 15 developed.

16


17 **CONSIDERATION OF OTHER PHENOMENA**

18

18 **Binder ageing**

19 Friction test performed on specimens left outside and not subjected to any mechanical actions
 20 shows a significant increase of friction coefficient (gain of 0.2 compared with the original
 21 value) with time (Fig. 4). This evolution is attributed to changes of binder properties and has
 22 to be taken into account for an accurate prediction. The graph in figure 4 shows that with
 23 natural ageing, one year at least is needed before the friction coefficient stabilizes. An
 24 accelerated laboratory test has then to be developed to simulate the ageing process. This work
 25 had been done extensively in (3) and synthesized hereafter.

26




1
2 **FIGURE 4 Evolution of friction coefficient due to natural ageing.**

3
4 *Ageing machine*

5 The weatherometer (apparatus in which specimen materials can be subjected to artificial and
6 accelerated tests which simulate natural weathering) SUNTEST XXL is used to accelerate the
7 bitumen ageing process (Fig. 5). It is also possible to control the relative humidity and
8 simulate rainfall with a wetting system.

9 The lighting system consists of three irradiators equipped with xenon lamps, specially
10 designed to simulate natural sunlight. The radiation is absorbed by a quartz filter and is
11 distributed by a curved reflector. A black panel with a temperature range of 45°C to 100°C, is
12 used to control the temperature at the specimen surface. The humidification system allows
13 varying the air relative humidity in the chamber between 20% and 95%. The watering system,
14 present inside the chamber, can wet the specimens with an adjustable flow rate of up to 0.3
15 liters per minute.



17 a)
18 **FIGURE 5 Ageing test (a: weatherometer for accelerated test; b: specimens artificially
19 and naturally aged).**

20
21 *Accelerated ageing test procedure*

22 The main goal of accelerated ageing tests is to bring answers to the following questions:

- 1 - Is the test representative of actual conditions of natural ageing?
- 2 - What is the equivalence between the durations of accelerated ageing and natural
- 3 ageing?


4 Weather conditions in Nantes region (data from Meteo France from 1961 to 1990) are
 5 used as reference to define the operating parameters of the machine. According to available
 6 data, one year of rainfalls in Nantes generates 820mm of water; the machine water flow is
 7 then set at 0.110 mm/min (12 wetting hours in total). Humidity of 81% is chosen (annual
 8 average humidity in Nantes). With respect to solar radiation (one of the main causes of
 9 ageing), in order to reach 215 MJ/m² in one year (average annual radiation in Nantes), the
 10 duration of exposure is 995 hours.

11 From the above estimates, 500 cycles of 2h are applied. Each cycle consists of 2
 12 minutes of wetting and 118 minutes of drying under irradiation. Friction is measured every
 13 24h using the Wehner-Schulze machine.


15 *From laboratory accelerated ageing test to natural ageing prediction*

16 Figure 6 shows comparisons of the evolution of friction coefficient for respectively naturally
 17 (Fig. 6b) and artificially (Fig. 6b) aged asphalt specimens. Characteristics of specimens can be
 18 found in (3). For the natural sample ageing process, the samples were left outside (Fig. 5b,
 19 bottom) so as to expose them to the various climatic conditions.

20 It can be seen that for both forms of ageing, the friction coefficients of the three
 21 samples are very close. These observations clearly confirm the ability of reproducing the
 22 effect of natural ageing on pavement by the accelerated ageing protocol.



24 a)



1 b)

2 **FIGURE 6 Comparison of evolution of friction coefficient due to natural/accelerated**
 3 **ageing.**

4
 5 To make a prediction of the evolution of friction coefficient, it is now necessary to
 6 establish a relationship between the "accelerated time" T_a , in days, and "natural time" T_n , in
 7 months. Simple linear relationship is used:

8

9 (1) $T_a = k_v T_n$


10

11 where: $k_v =$ constant to be determined.


12

13 Determination of (k_v) can be done by fitting the graphs of figures 6a and 6b. Couples
 14 (T_a, T_n) for which difference between respective μ_{WS} values is less than 0.005 are plotted;
 15 figure 7a shows an example of $1/T_a - 1/T_n$ plot. The slope of the regression line gives k_v . Figure
 16 7b shows the evolution of friction coefficient by artificial/natural ageing after X-axis
 17 conversion with k_v . The quality of the superposition proves that the developed accelerated
 18 ageing test can reproduce natural ageing process.

19



20 a)



1 b)
 2 **FIGURE 7 Determination of (k_v) constant (a) and resulting superposition of accelerated**
 3 **and natural ageing plots (b).**
 4

5 To provide a physical explanation of binder ageing, another conversion method is
 6 developed in (3) and summarized hereafter. The degree of binder oxidation is used as a basis
 7 for comparison of the accelerated and natural ageing tests. Indeed, two chemical entities result
 8 from binder oxidation during the ageing process: sulfoxide and carbonyl. The increase in
 9 these chemical functions reflects the fact that ageing is accompanied by binder hardening. By
 10 measuring these chemical functions in samples subjected to both forms of ageing, the
 11 conversion factor k_v can be determined. A non-destructive technique was developed to
 12 determine the carbonyl index. For each asphalt specimen, two bitumen coated aggregates are
 13 extracted and immersed in a solvent. The mineral part is then separated from the organic –
 14 bitumen – part by centrifugation. The infrared absorption spectrum is then measured directly
 15 on the mixture solvent + bitumen from which the carbonyl index can be estimated (3). Value
 16 of (k_v) can be determined from the following formula:

$$17 \quad (2) \quad I_{ca} = k_{v,chem} I_{cn}$$

18 where: I_{ca} = carbonyl index of bitumen subjected to artificial ageing; I_{cn} = carbonyl index of
 19 bitumen subjected to natural ageing; and $k_{v,chem}$ = constant k_v determined by chemical-based
 20 method.
 21
 22
 23

24 It was proved in (3) that k_v and $k_{v,chem}$ values are similar. Even if the chemical-based
 25 method is more complex to use, it provides a satisfactory explanation of the binder ageing
 26 process and constitutes a relevant complement of the curve-fitting based method (formula
 27 (1)).
 28

29 **Seasonal Variations**

30 In addition to the classical follow-up of friction coefficient (measurements performed every
 31 month), attempts are made to investigate the effect of pollutants deposited on the road (tire
 32 wear debris, road debris, dust, etc.) that might contribute to seasonal variations of skid
 33 resistance. Actually, in addition to temperature effect, low friction values during summer, or
 34 after long dry periods, can be due to the viscous lubricant created by a mix between pollutant


1 particles and the very first water drops. During autumn or winter, rainfalls induce a washing
 2 effect and friction coefficient should increase and retrieve the value (minus probably a
 3 decrease induced in the meantime by polishing effect) before the pollutant deposit.

4 A test method is then developed to check the validity of the above explanation (3).

5 Sediments from runoff water are first collected directly at storage basins. Specimens are dried
 6 in laboratory and sieved; only particles smaller than $200\mu\text{m}$ are conserved. The test procedure
 7 is the following:

- 8 - mix sediment particles with water;
- 9 - pour the mix on the specimen surface and wait until drying is complete. Uniform
 10 distribution of sediments can be obtained by means of this method;
- 11 - perform friction measurements by means of the British Pendulum. For each run, fixed
 12 quantity of water – increment – is poured on the specimen surface; weighing is
 13 performed to determine the exact quantity (in grams). Friction coefficient is recorded
 14 from one swing.

15 Three water increments are tested (0.3g, 1.5g, 3g) to simulate respectively increasing
 16 rainfall intensities. Figure 9a shows an example of specimen prepared specifically for this
 17 test. The waterproof sheet is slightly raised, compared with the specimen surface, to retain the
 18 water-plus-sediment mix. Figure 9b shows the variation of friction coefficient with added
 19 water, expressed by weight.
 20



21 a) b)

22 **FIGURE 9 Test to evaluate the combined actions of pollutants and water (a: specimen;**
 23 **b: test results).**

24
 25 Friction drop as soon as water is added confirms the first assumption regarding the
 26 viscous nature of the water-plus-sediment mix. This drop is probably at the origin of the
 27 widely known “black ice” phenomenon in summertime. The slight increase ($\Delta\mu_0 = 0.05$, Fig.
 28 9b)) confirms the washing effect. For 1.5g and 3g water increments, friction coefficient drops
 29 to a value lower than the “dry” one and remains stable afterward. The fact that friction
 30 coefficient stabilizes after a given quantity of poured water (2g) regardless of water increment
 31 values proves that once the sediments are washed out, the surface retrieves its skid resistance.

32 Protocol of the test above needs further improvement. However, this first attempt
 33 provides already further insight in the mechanisms causing seasonal variations. Beside the
 34 widely known temperature effect, care should be taken with respect to the presence of
 35 pollutants.
 36

1 FROM TESTING TO PREDICTION

2 As it was said in “Research needs” section, laboratory tests help to understand and quantify
3 effects of various phenomena. Results from these tests cannot be used separately to predict
4 skid resistance variation. One approach can be using these results as explanatory variables in
5 a statistical model. Approach adopted at IFSTTAR is different: the predictive model is
6 developed from consideration of physical phenomena and the model parameters are
7 determined from the laboratory tests described in previous sections. Details of theoretical
8 development can be found in (2)(6) and summarized hereafter.

10 Predictive model

11 The friction coefficient measured on an asphalt surface can be written as:

$$13 \quad (3) \quad \mu = (1-d) \cdot \mu_B + d \cdot \mu_G$$

14
15 Where: μ = friction coefficient; μ_B = friction coefficient measured on the binder-covered part;
16 μ_G = friction coefficient measured on the binder-removed part; and d = factor varying
17 between 0 and 1.

18
19 Physically, the weight factor (d) represents the binder-removed surface fraction. The
20 μ_B component is time dependent due to the time dependency of bitumen properties. Since the
21 binder removal is traffic and climate dependent, the weight factor (d) varies with the number
22 of vehicles and time. Actually, aggregates also evolve over time but, compared with the
23 pavement lifetime (between ten and twenty years), their characteristics can be assumed to
24 remain constant. Therefore, μ_G depends only on the number of vehicles.

25 The predictive model is written as:

$$27 \quad (4) \quad \mu = e^{\left(\frac{-N}{N_0}\right)} \cdot \left[\mu_0 + \mu_1 \cdot \left(1 - e^{\left(\frac{-t}{t_0}\right)} \right) \right] + \left(1 - e^{\left(\frac{-N}{N_0}\right)} \right) \cdot a(N+b)^c$$


28
29 where μ_0 = friction coefficient of new asphalt road; μ_1 = friction gain due to ageing; and t_0 ,
30 N_0 , a , b , c = parameters to be determined by data fitting.

31
32 Individual functions ($\mu_B(t)$, $\mu_G(N)$ and $d(t,N)$) are easily identifiable by matching
33 formulae (3)(4). Despite its rather complex form, due to the inclusion of three influential
34 phenomena (polishing, binder removal and binder ageing), the model parameters can be
35 simply identified by means of laboratory polishing tests on asphalt cores (6). Indeed:

- 36 - μ_1 and t_0 are deduced from accelerated ageing test (in (6), values were determined
37 from fitting);
- 38 - five parameters are deduced from polishing Wehner/Schulze test: μ_0 is the starting
39 point of $\mu_{WS}-N$ plot; a , b and c are deduced from fitting of the μ_G function to the
40 decreasing part of $\mu_{WS}-N$ plot; and N_0 is deduced from a transformation involving μ ,
41 μ_0 and μ_G .

42
43 The most innovative point of this model is that it incorporates the binder-removal
44 phase and mainly the climate effect, both responsible for the friction increase at early age.
45 Most of existing models, as shown in Table 1, reproduce only the polishing process and
46 theoretical curves describe only the decreasing part of the friction evolution.

1 Figure 10 shows a comparison between Wehner/Schulze polishing test data and
 2 theoretical predictions. Specimen was taken from newly constructed road (see (4) for details
 3 on experimental sites) and subjected to polishing test.
 4



5
 6 **FIGURE 10 Comparison between Wehner/Schulze polishing curve and theoretical**
 7 **predictions.**

8 9 From laboratory to road

10 The model presented in the section above is the first step toward the prediction. Skid
 11 resistance evolution is usually expressed as LFC/SFC-T, where: LFC/SFC = respectively
 12 longitudinal and side friction coefficients measured by friction monitoring devices; and T =
 13 traffic measurement expressed, in France, as the cumulated number of trucks. To make the
 14 prediction method complete, it is necessary to convert the laboratory μ_{ws} -N plot into the road
 15 LFC/SFC-T one. The simplest way is to perform two conversions: N into T, and μ_{ws} into
 16 LFC/SFC.

17 *Relationship between laboratory polishing duration and road traffic*

18 Since there is no literature about the relationship between the polishing duration (expressed as
 19 the number of passes) “N” and the traffic (expressed as the cumulated number of trucks) “T”,
 20 the simplest relationship is investigated in this study, meaning that:
 21

$$22 \quad (5) \quad N = k.T$$

23
 24 The factor “k” is determined by minimizing (least-square method) the difference between
 25 μ_{ws} -N and μ_{ws} -T plots. These plots are obtained on the one hand from cores taken from the
 26 road side (not subjected to traffic) and subjected to Wehner/Schulze polishing test, giving
 27 μ_{ws} -N, and on the other hand from cores taken from trafficked lanes – together with traffic
 28 data at the moment where cores are taken – and subjected to Wehner/Schulze friction test,
 29 giving μ_{ws} -T. Within the scope of (2), the best (k) value for all experimental sites is 0.024.
 30

31 *Relationship between Wehner/Schulze machine and other friction measuring devices*

32 Few studies deal with the relationship between μ_{ws} and LFC/SFC. One reason is that before
 33 the regained interest for Wehner/Schulze machine in the 2000’s, the last paper related to this
 34 machine was published in 1990 (13). The only formula found in the literature is that proposed
 35 by Huschek (12):
 36

1
2 (6) $LFC (80 \text{ km/h}) = 1.04 \mu_{ws} - 0.01$

3
4 where: LFC = wet-friction coefficient measured by the German Stuttgart Friction Tester
5 device travelling at 80 km/h and using a patterned tire.

6
7 Recent studies conducted at IFSTTAR test track by Cerezo (14) have produced the
8 following relationship:

9
10 (7) $LFC (40 \text{ km/h}) = 1.15 \mu_{ws} - 0.01$

11
12 where: LFC = friction coefficient measured by the French ADHERA device (locked wheel,
13 PIARC blank tire).


14
15 In the following sections, formula (7) is used as ADHERA was used as friction
16 monitoring device.

17
18 *Comparison road data/predictions*

19 Data from roads followed-up during ten years (1997 to 2006) are used for the validation of the
20 proposed prediction method. For each site:

- 21 - LFC/SFC measurements are collected, as well as traffic measurements (converted into
22 equivalent cumulated number of trucks);
23 - cores are taken from the road side for Wehner/Schulze polishing tests; data are used to
24 calibrate the model;
25 - friction coefficient-number of passes curve is calculated and converted into LFC-
26 traffic curve using respectively formulae (7) and (5).

27 Example of comparison between road data and predictions is shown in figure 11.
28



29
30 **FIGURE 11 Comparison prediction/measurement of skid-resistance evolutions.**
31

32 Since roads of the database were constructed long time ago (more than 15 years), it is
33 not possible to evaluate the ageing effect by means of the accelerated test presented in
34 previous sections. The (μ_B) term is then reduced to μ_0 (see formula (4)). The continuous line
35 represents predictions without consideration of ageing effect. It can be seen that the predicted
36 friction coefficient decreases and predictions are reasonable except at the first 500,000 truck

1 passes. Attempts are then made to include the ageing effect: data obtained from new roads
 2 using roughly the same asphalt mix as that of figure 11, for which friction gain due to ageing
 3 effect can be quantified, are incorporated in the model to better estimate the (μ_B) term; results
 4 are shown in figure 11 (dotted line). It can be seen that predictions with/without ageing effect
 5 are identical, except at the early age where the consideration of ageing effect gives place to a
 6 slight increase of friction coefficient. However, the predicted binder removal phase (during
 7 which friction increases) is much shorter than the observed one.

8 The tentative introduction of ageing effect in the model for old roads is not very
 9 successful. However, it emphasizes the need to take this effect into account if the prediction
 10 of early-age skid resistance is sought.

11 CONCLUSIONS

12 In this paper, laboratory test methods reproducing phenomena affecting pavement skid
 13 resistance evolution are presented. Polishing tests using Wehner/Schulze machine can
 14 simulate the polishing induced by traffic as well as the binder removal phase (typical for
 15 bituminous asphalt concrete). Binder ageing, responsible for friction increase at early age, can
 16 be reproduced by weatherometer, operating conditions being adjusted according to local
 17 weather conditions; equivalence between accelerated (in day) and natural (in month) ageing
 18 times is established. With respect to seasonal variations, a simple test was developed to
 19 evaluate the effect of pollutants deposited on the road and the washing effect of rainfalls.
 20 Friction variation (drop due to water-plus-pollutant mix, then increase due to subsequently
 21 added water) reflects actual observations.

22 A model is developed to incorporate, beside the polishing, the binder-removal phase
 23 and mainly the climate effect, both responsible for friction variation at early age. The model
 24 can be calibrated by means of laboratory tests and used as a prediction tool. Simple
 25 conversions are performed on the one hand between polishing duration and real traffic, and on
 26 the other hand between laboratory and road friction measuring devices. Comparison between
 27 measurements and predictions is fair.

30 REFERENCES

- 31 (1) NF EN. *Tests for Mechanical and Physical Properties of Aggregates – Determination*
 32 *of the Polished Stone Value*. NF EN Standard, n° 1097-8, 2000.
- 33 (2) Tang, Z. *Polishing and Skid-Resistance of Road Pavements*. PhD Thesis (in French),
 34 LCPC, 2007.
- 35 (3) Dan, Z. *Evolution of Road Skid Resistance: Effect of Materials, Ageing, Traffic and*
 36 *Seasonal Variations*. PhD Thesis (in French), IFSTTAR, 2011.
- 37 (4) Do, M. T., Tang, Z., Kane, M., and F. de Larrard. Pavement Polishing - Development
 38 of a Dedicated Laboratory Test and Its Correlation with Road Results, *Wear*, vol. 263,
 39 2007, pp. 36-42.
- 40 (5) Do, M.T., Tang, Z., Kane, M., and F. de Larrard. *Laboratory Test Method for the*
 41 *Prediction of the Evolution of Road Skid-Resistance with Traffic*. 6th Symposium on
 42 Pavement Surface Characteristics, Portoroz, Slovenia, October 20-22 2008.
- 43 (6) Do, M.T., Kane, M., Tang, Z., and F. de Larrard. Physical Model for the Prediction of
 44 Pavement Polishing, *Wear*, vol. 267, 2009, pp. 81-85.
- 45 (7) Tourenq C., and D. Fourmaintraux. Propriétés des Granulats et Glissance Routière.
 46 *Bulletin de Liaison des Laboratoires des Ponts et Chaussées*, n° 51, 1971, pp.61-69.
- 47 (8) Henry, J.J., and W.E. Meyer. Prediction of aggregate and pavement polishing. *Bulletin*
 48 *of the International Association of Engineering Geology*, n° 29, 1984, pp 365-368.
- 49 (9) Wilson, D. (to be completed)

- 1 (10) Diringer, K. T., and R. T. Barros. *Predicting the Skid Resistance of Bituminous*
2 *Pavements Through Accelerated Laboratory Testing of Aggregates*. Surface
3 Characteristics of Roadways: International Research and Technologies, ASTM STP
4 1031, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1990, pp. 61-76.
- 5 (11) Roe, P.G., and S.A. Hartshorne. *The Polished Stone Value of Aggregates and In-*
6 *Service Skidding Resistance*. TRL Report N°322, Transportation Road Laboratory, UK,
7 28 pages, 1998.
- 8 (12) Huschek, S. (2004). Experience with skid resistance prediction based on traffic
9 simulation. *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Surface Characteristics*,
10 SURF, Toronto, Canada, June 2004.
- 11 (13) Dames, J. (1990). *The influence of polishing resistance of sand on skid resistance of*
12 *asphalt concrete*. Surface Characteristics of Roadways: International Research and
13 Technologies, ASTM STP 1031, American Society for Testing and Materials,
14 Philadelphia, 1990, pp. 14-29.
- 15 (14) Cerezo, V., and M.T. Do. *Correlation between friction measurements devices –*
16 *experimentation CETE of Lyon / IFSTTAR*. Research Report (in French), Research
17 Program 11S103, 2012, 24 pages.