



HAL
open science

On the resurgent approach to Ecalle-Voronin's invariants, II

Artem Dudko, David Sauzin

► **To cite this version:**

Artem Dudko, David Sauzin. On the resurgent approach to Ecalle-Voronin's invariants, II. 2013. hal-00849401v1

HAL Id: hal-00849401

<https://hal.science/hal-00849401v1>

Preprint submitted on 30 Jul 2013 (v1), last revised 2 Jul 2014 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the resurgent approach to Écalle-Voronin's invariants, II

Artem Dudko, David Sauzin

Abstract

Given a holomorphic germ at the origin of \mathbb{C} with a simple parabolic fixed point, the Fatou coordinates have a common asymptotic expansion whose formal Borel transform is resurgent. We show how to use Écalle's alien operators to study the singularities in the Borel plane and relate them to the horn maps, providing each of Écalle-Voronin's invariants in the form of a convergent numerical series. The proofs are original and self-contained, with ordinary Borel summability as the only prerequisite.

Keywords: Complex dynamics, Ecalle-Voronin invariants, Resurgent functions.

This article is the continuation of [DS13]. We first present an extension of the classical Borel-Laplace summation theory and then show how it applies to the formal Fatou coordinates of a simple parabolic germ.

1 Alien operators for simple $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent series

We denote by \mathbb{C}_{\log} the Riemann surface of the logarithm viewed as the universal cover of \mathbb{C}^* with base-point at 1. For $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \beta_1, \operatorname{Re} \beta_2 > -1$ and $\hat{\phi}_1 \in \zeta^{\beta_1} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, $\hat{\phi}_2 \in \zeta^{\beta_2} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, we extend the usual convolution and define $\hat{\phi}_1 * \hat{\phi}_2 \in \zeta^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ by

$$\hat{\phi}_1 * \hat{\phi}_2(\zeta) := \int_0^1 \hat{\phi}_1((1-t)\zeta) \hat{\phi}_2(t\zeta) \zeta dt \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}_{\log} \text{ with } |\zeta| \text{ small enough.}$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \beta > -1$, we extend the classical formal Borel transform by defining

$$\mathcal{B}: \tilde{\phi} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^{-n-\beta-1} \in z^{-\beta-1} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \mapsto \hat{\phi} := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{\zeta^{n+\beta}}{\Gamma(n+\beta+1)} \in \zeta^\beta \mathbb{C}[[\zeta]].$$

Observe that if $\mathcal{B}\tilde{\phi}_1 = \hat{\phi}_1 \in \zeta^{\beta_1} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ and $\mathcal{B}\tilde{\phi}_2 = \hat{\phi}_2 \in \zeta^{\beta_2} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ then $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\phi}_1 \tilde{\phi}_2) = \hat{\phi}_1 * \hat{\phi}_2$.

Definition 1.

- Given $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \beta > -1$, if $\hat{\phi} \in \zeta^\beta \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ converges for any ζ on \mathbb{C}_{\log} with $|\zeta| < 2\pi$ and extends analytically along any path of $\mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ issuing from 1, then $\hat{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \mathcal{B}^{-1}\hat{\phi}$ are said to be $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent.
- Given $\omega \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ and a path Γ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ going from 1 to $\omega + 1$, if $\hat{\phi}$ is $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent and if its analytic continuation along Γ , which is a holomorphic germ $\operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}$ at $\omega + 1$, takes the form

$$\operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta) = \frac{c}{2\pi i \zeta} + \hat{\chi}(\zeta) \frac{\log \zeta}{2\pi i} + \hat{R}(\zeta) \quad \text{for } \zeta > 0, \quad (1)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\hat{\chi}, \hat{R} \in \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, then $\hat{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \mathcal{B}^{-1}\hat{\phi}$ are said to be (ω, Γ) -simple.

- In the above situation, we set $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\phi} := \hat{\chi}$, which is the monodromy of $\zeta \mapsto \operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta)$ around 0, and $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\phi} := c + \mathcal{B}^{-1}\hat{\chi} \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$. The operator $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma$ thus defined on the space of all (ω, Γ) -simple formal series is called the *alien operator associated with* (ω, Γ) .

(Observe that $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\phi}$ is itself $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent because $\text{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta)$ extends analytically along any path of $\mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$.)

Definition 2. Let $\hat{\phi}$ be $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent. We say that $\hat{\phi}$ is of *finite exponential type in non-vertical directions* if, for any path γ of $\mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ going from 1 to $\zeta_* \in i\mathbb{R}$ and any $\delta_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$, there exist $C_0, R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \text{cont}_\gamma \hat{\phi}(\zeta_* + t e^{i\theta}) \right| \leq C_0 e^{R_0 t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \theta \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \cup [\pi - \delta_0, \pi + \delta_0]. \quad (2)$$

We omit the proof of the following technical statement.

Lemma 1. Let $\tilde{\phi}_0 \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ be $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent with $\hat{\phi}_0 := \mathcal{B}\tilde{\phi}_0$ of finite exponential type in non-vertical directions. Let $\hat{\phi} := z^{-\alpha}\tilde{\phi}_0 \in z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Re } \beta > -1$. Then $\hat{\phi} := \mathcal{B}\tilde{\phi} \in \zeta^\beta \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$. Moreover, for any simple curve $\gamma: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ of the form $\gamma(t) = tu_0$ for $t < t_0$ and $\gamma(t) = c + tu_1$ for $t > t_1$, with $|u_0| = |u_1| = 1$, $u_1 \neq \pm i$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < t_0 < t_1$, and for any lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ in \mathbb{C}_{\log} , the germ $\hat{\phi}$ admits analytic continuation along $\tilde{\gamma}$ and

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-z\zeta} \hat{\phi}(\zeta) d\zeta = z^{-\alpha} \int_\gamma e^{-z\zeta} \hat{\phi}_0(\zeta) d\zeta \quad (3)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\log}$ with $\arg z \in (-\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, -\theta + \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\text{Re}(ze^{i\theta})$ large enough, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the argument of u_1 which determines the asymptotic direction of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{L}^\theta \hat{\phi}(z) := \int_0^{e^{i\theta}\infty} e^{-z\zeta} \hat{\phi}(\zeta) d\zeta$ is well defined and coincides with $z^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}^\theta \hat{\phi}_0(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\log}$ as above.

Lemma 2. Let $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})$ and denote by \mathcal{H}_θ a θ -rotated Hankel contour, i.e. a contour in \mathbb{C}_{\log} which goes along a ray from $e^{i(\theta-2\pi)}\infty$ to $e^{i(\theta-2\pi)}\varepsilon$ (with $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$), circles counterclockwise 0 and then follows the ray from $e^{i\theta}\varepsilon$ to $e^{i\theta}\infty$. Suppose that $\hat{\phi}$ is (ω, Γ) -simple and $\zeta \mapsto \text{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta)$ has at most exponential growth along \mathcal{H}_θ , then

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}_\theta} e^{-z\zeta} \text{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta) d\zeta = c + \mathcal{L}^\theta \hat{\chi}(z),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \mathcal{B}^{-1} \hat{\phi} = c + \mathcal{B}^{-1} \hat{\chi}$, $\arg z \in (-\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, -\theta + \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\text{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) \gg 0$.

Proof. The term $\frac{c}{2\pi i\zeta}$ contributes c , the remainder contributes $\int_{e^{i\theta}\varepsilon}^{e^{i\theta}\infty} e^{-z\zeta} \hat{\chi}(\zeta) d\zeta + O(\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|)$. \square

Lemma 3. If $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent, then the formal series $b_0\tilde{\phi}$ and $C_{\text{id}+b_0}\tilde{\phi} := \tilde{\phi} \circ (\text{id} + b_0)$ are $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent. If moreover $\tilde{\phi}$ is (ω, Γ) -simple, then they are also (ω, Γ) -simple, with

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma(b_0\tilde{\phi}) = b_0 \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\phi}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma C_{\text{id}+b_0}\tilde{\phi} = e^{-\omega b_0} C_{\text{id}+b_0} \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\phi}.$$

Idea of the proof. Start with $b_0 \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}$, hence $\hat{b}_0(\zeta)$ is entire; $\tilde{\psi}_1 := b_0\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_2 := C_{\text{id}+b_0}\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}$ have Borel images

$$\hat{\psi}_j(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta K_j(\xi, \zeta) \hat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}_{\log} \text{ with } |\zeta| < 2\pi, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (4)$$

where $K_1(\xi, \zeta) = \hat{b}_0(\zeta - \xi)$ and $K_2(\xi, \zeta) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} \hat{b}_0^{*k}(\zeta - \xi)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 2 in [DS13]). The analytic continuation of $\hat{\psi}_1$ or $\hat{\psi}_2$ along a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ is thus given by the same integral as (4), but integrating on the concatenation $[0, \gamma(0)] + \gamma + [\gamma(1), \zeta]$. It is then possible to analyze the singularities of $\hat{\psi}_1$ and $\hat{\psi}_2$ at ω . We omit the details. \square

The above is inspired by Écalle's resurgence theory. In fact, $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent series are stable under multiplication, and so are the (ω, Γ) -simple ones, but we shall not require these facts in this article, nor the far-reaching extension of the framework which allows to define alien operators or alien derivations in much more general situations.

2 The singular structure of the formal Borel transform of the formal Fatou coordinate

We keep the same notations as in [DS13]: $f = (\text{id} + b) \circ (\text{id} + 1) = z + 1 - \rho z^{-1} + O(z^{-2})$ is a simple parabolic germ at ∞ and the equation

$$C_{\text{id}-1}\tilde{\varphi} = C_{\text{id}+b}\tilde{\varphi} + b_*, \quad b_*(z) := b(z) + \rho \log \frac{1+z^{-1}b(z)}{1-z^{-1}} \in z^{-2}\mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\} \quad (5)$$

has a unique solution $\tilde{\varphi} \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, which was shown to be $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent and Borel summable, giving rise to two normalized Fatou coordinates $v_*^\pm = \text{id} + \rho \text{Log}^\pm + \mathcal{L}^\pm \tilde{\varphi}$, where $\hat{\varphi} := \mathcal{B}\tilde{\varphi}$, and a pair of normalized lifted horn maps

$$h_*^{\text{up}}(Z) = Z + \sum_{m \geq 1} A_m e^{2\pi i m Z}, \quad h_*^{\text{low}}(Z) = Z - 2\pi i \rho + \sum_{m \geq 1} A_{-m} e^{-2\pi i m Z}.$$

(see [DS13]). We are interested in the Écalle-Voronin invariants A_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.

Let $\omega \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ and Γ be as above. In general $\tilde{\varphi}$ is not (ω, Γ) -simple, but we shall directly prove that, up to a linear combination of monomials, $z^{\rho\omega}\tilde{\varphi}$ is (ω, Γ) -simple. More precisely, we shall prove the (ω, Γ) -simplicity of $\tilde{\Phi} := z^{\rho\omega}\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N$, with the notation

$$\tilde{\varphi} = [\tilde{\varphi}]_N + \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N, \quad [\tilde{\varphi}]_N \in \text{Span}(z^{-1}, z^{-2}, \dots, z^{-N}), \quad \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N \in z^{-N-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]],$$

where $N \geq \max\{0, -2\text{Re } \alpha\}$ is integer and $\alpha := -\rho\omega$. Observe that $\tilde{\Phi} \in z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ with $\beta := \alpha + N$ and $\text{Re } \beta \geq 0$. We shall also compute $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}$ and relate it to the lifted horn maps of f .

We first introduce an auxiliary sequence of formal series, using the same operator E as in [DS13], but replacing B with $B^\omega: \tilde{\psi} \mapsto e^{-\omega b_*} C_{\text{id}+b}\tilde{\psi} - \tilde{\psi} = e^{-\omega b_*} B\tilde{\psi} + (e^{-\omega b_*} - 1)\tilde{\psi}$.

Proposition. *The formal series $\tilde{\Psi}_k = (EB^\omega)^k 1 \in z^{-k}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ yield a formally convergent series $\sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\Psi}_k = e^{-\omega\tilde{\varphi}}$. For each $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{\Psi}_k$ is $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent and, for any $\varepsilon, L > 0$, there exist $C_0, M > 0$ such that*

$$|\text{cont}_\gamma \mathcal{B}\tilde{\Psi}_k| \leq C_0 \frac{M^k}{k!}, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, L},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, L}$ is the set of all paths of length $< L$ issuing from 1 and staying at distance $> \varepsilon$ from $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $c^\omega := e^{-\omega b_*} - 1$. The estimates are obtained by adapting the proof of Lemma 2 of [DS13], with a new kernel function $K^\omega(\xi, \zeta) = \tilde{c}^\omega(\zeta - \xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} \hat{b}^{*k}(\zeta - \xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} (\tilde{c}^\omega * \hat{b}^{*k})(\zeta - \xi)$. The formal series $\tilde{\Psi} := \sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\Psi}_k$ is the unique solution with constant term 1 of $C_{\text{id}-1}\tilde{\Psi} = e^{-\omega b_*} C_{\text{id}+b}\tilde{\Psi}$ —so is $e^{-\omega\tilde{\varphi}}$, as can be seen by exponentiating (5). \square

Since $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq 0$, the operator $C_{\operatorname{id}-1} - \operatorname{Id}$ maps $z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ to $z^{-\beta-2}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ bijectively; we denote by E_β the inverse, whose Borel counterpart is the multiplication operator $\hat{E}_\beta: \hat{\phi}(\zeta) \in \zeta^{\beta+1}\mathbb{C}[[\zeta]] \mapsto \frac{1}{e^\zeta-1}\hat{\phi}(\zeta) \in \zeta^\beta\mathbb{C}[[\zeta]]$. We introduce an operator

$$B_\alpha: \tilde{\phi} \in z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \mapsto c_\alpha C_{\operatorname{id}+b}\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi} \in z^{-\beta-2}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]],$$

with $c_\alpha := \left(\frac{1+z^{-1}b}{1-z^{-1}}\right)^\alpha \in 1 + z^{-1}\mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $b_\alpha := z^{-\alpha}(1-z^{-1})^{-\alpha}b_N$, with $b_N := b_* - C_{\operatorname{id}-1}[\tilde{\varphi}]_N + C_{\operatorname{id}+b}[\tilde{\varphi}]_N$.*

- *The formal series $\tilde{\Phi}_k(z) := (E_\beta B_\alpha)^k E_\beta b_\alpha \in z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, $k \geq 0$, are (ω, Γ) -simple, and so is $z^{-\alpha}\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N$.*
- *For any $\varepsilon, L > 0$, there exist $C_0, \Lambda > 0$ with $\Lambda < 1$ such that, for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, L}$, $|\operatorname{cont}_\gamma \mathcal{B}\tilde{\Phi}_k| \leq C_0 \Lambda^k$. Moreover $\hat{\Phi} := \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{B}\tilde{\Phi}_k$ coincides with the formal Borel transform of $z^{-\alpha}\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N$.*
- *There exist complex numbers $S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma$, $k \geq 0$, which are $O(\Lambda^k)$ for some $\Lambda < 1$ and satisfy*

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} S_{\omega, k_1}^\Gamma \tilde{\Psi}_{k_2} \quad \text{for each } k \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma (z^{-\alpha}\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N) = S_\omega^\Gamma e^{-\omega\tilde{\varphi}} \quad \text{where } S_\omega^\Gamma = \sum_{k \geq 0} S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma. \quad (7)$$

Theorem 2. *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, let Γ_m denote the line segment going from 1 to $2\pi im + 1$. Then the Écalle-Voronin invariants of f are given by*

$$A_{-m} = S_{2\pi im}^{\Gamma_m} e^{-4\pi^2 m\rho} \quad \text{if } m > 0, \quad A_{-m} = -S_{2\pi im}^{\Gamma_m} \quad \text{if } m < 0.$$

Remark 1. When $\alpha \neq 0$, the series of formal series $\sum \tilde{\Phi}_k$ is not convergent for the topology of the formal convergence (but it converges for the product topology $z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \simeq \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$) and, unless $-\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ or $S_\omega^\Gamma = 0$, the singularity at ω of $\operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \mathcal{B}\tilde{\varphi}$ is not “simple”: it is rather of the form $\operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \mathcal{B}\tilde{\varphi}(\omega + \zeta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} S_\omega^\Gamma \zeta^{-\alpha-1} (1 + O(|\zeta|)) + O(1)$ if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{(-1)^\alpha \alpha!}{2\pi i} S_\omega^\Gamma \zeta^{-\alpha-1} (1 + O(|\zeta|))$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 can be extracted from [Eca81], which gives detailed proofs only for the case $\rho = 0$, and also the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_m$ of (7) with the equivalent formulation $\Delta_{\omega_m}^+ \tilde{\varphi} = A_m z^{-\rho\omega_m} e^{-\omega_m \tilde{\varphi}}$ (“Bridge equation”), where $\omega_m = 2\pi im$. The name “resurgence” evokes the fact that the singular behaviour near ω_m of the analytic continuation of a germ at 0 like $\hat{\Phi}$ can be explicitly expressed in terms of $\hat{\Phi}$ itself.

Remark 3. The representation of $\hat{\Phi} = \mathcal{B}(z^{-\alpha}\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N)$ as the explicit convergent series $\sum \hat{\Phi}_k = \sum \mathcal{B}((E_\beta B_\alpha)^k E_\beta b_\alpha)$ and the computation of the action of the alien operator $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma$ in (6)–(7) are new. The point is that $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}_k = S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma + O(z^{-1})$, so Equation (6) says that

$$\operatorname{cont}_\Gamma \hat{\Phi}_k(\omega + \zeta) = \frac{S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma}{2\pi i \zeta} + \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}_k(\zeta) \frac{\log \zeta}{2\pi i} + \text{regular germ}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2=k, k_2 \geq 1} S_{\omega, k_1}^\Gamma \hat{\Psi}_{k_2},$$

and according to (7), each coefficient S_ω^Γ , and in particular each Écalle-Voronin invariant, can be obtained as the convergent series of the “residua” $S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma$.




Figure 1: Deformation of the contour.

Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2. For all $m \geq 1$ we set $\omega_m := 2\pi im$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} := z^{-\alpha_m} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_{N_m}$ with $\alpha_m := -\rho\omega_m$ and $N_m := \max\{0, -2\operatorname{Re} \alpha_m\}$. By [DS13] we know that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is of finite exponential type in non-vertical directions; we fix $\theta^+ \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $\theta^- \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ and $Q_R^{\text{low}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}_{\log} \mid \arg z \in (-\theta^+ - \frac{\pi}{2}, -\theta^- + \frac{\pi}{2}), \operatorname{Re}(z e^{i\theta^+}) > R, \operatorname{Re}(z e^{i\theta^-}) > R\}$, with R large enough. Identifying $z \in Q_R^{\text{low}}$ with its projection in \mathbb{C} , we have $\operatorname{Im} z < 0$ and $\operatorname{Log}^+ z = \log z = \operatorname{Log}^- z - 2\pi i$; for $m_0 \geq 1$, deforming the contour of integration (see Figure 1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_*^+(z) - v_*^-(z) &= -2\pi i \rho + (\mathcal{L}^+ - \mathcal{L}^-) \hat{\varphi}(z) \\ &= -2\pi i \rho + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \int_{\gamma_m} + \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{m_0}} \right) e^{-z\zeta} \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) d\zeta = -2\pi i \rho + \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} I_m + O(e^{(2\pi m_0 + \pi) \operatorname{Im} z}), \end{aligned}$$

with $I_m = \int_{\gamma_m} e^{-z\zeta} \operatorname{cont}_{\Gamma_m} \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{\gamma_m} e^{-z\zeta} \operatorname{cont}_{\Gamma_m} \{\hat{\varphi}(\zeta)\}_{N_m} d\zeta$. Since each γ_m can be expressed as the difference of two paths to which Lemma 1 applies, we have

$$I_m = z^{\alpha_m} \int_{\gamma_m} e^{-z\zeta} \operatorname{cont}_{\Gamma_m} \hat{\Phi}^{(m)}(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Denoting by \mathcal{H}^- a θ^- -rotated Hankel contour, we get $I_m = z^{\alpha_m} e^{-\omega_m z} \int_{\mathcal{H}^-} e^{-z\zeta} \operatorname{cont}_{\Gamma_m} \hat{\Phi}^{(m)}(\omega_m + \zeta) d\zeta = S_{\omega_m}^{\Gamma_m} e^{\alpha_m \log z - \omega_m z - \omega_m \mathcal{L}^- \hat{\varphi}}$ by Lemma 2 and (7) (Borel-Laplace summation commutes with exponentiation). Thus

$$(h_*^{\text{low}} - \operatorname{id}) \circ v_*^- = v_*^+ - v_*^- = -2\pi i \rho + \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} S_{\omega_m}^{\Gamma_m} e^{-\omega_m(-2\pi i \rho + v_*^-)} + O(e^{(2\pi m_0 + \pi) \operatorname{Im} z}) \quad \text{on } Q_R^{\text{low}},$$

whence $A_{-m} = S_{\omega_m}^{\Gamma_m} e^{2\pi i \rho \omega_m}$ for $m > 0$. The case $m < 0$ is similar, but $\operatorname{Log}^+ = \operatorname{Log}^- = \log$ on the domain Q_R^{up} defined analogously with $-\pi < \theta^- < -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta^+ < 0$ and the orientation of the paths differs. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. First observe that $b_\alpha \in z^{-\beta-2}\mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}$ because

$$b_N = C_{\operatorname{id}-1} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N - C_{\operatorname{id}+b} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N = b_* - C_{\operatorname{id}-1} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N + C_{\operatorname{id}+b} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N \in z^{-N-2}\mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}.$$

Thus the formal series $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ are well defined in $z^{-\beta-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, and they are $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ -resurgent because this property is preserved by E_β and B_α (Lemma 3) and we start with $\mathcal{B}\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \hat{E}_\beta \hat{b}_\alpha = \frac{\hat{b}_\alpha(\zeta)}{e^\zeta - 1}$

meromorphic on \mathbb{C}_{\log} . Their (ω, Γ) -simplicity and the existence of constants $S_{\omega, k}^{\Gamma}$ such that (6) holds result by induction on k (using the fact that $B^{\omega} \tilde{\Psi}_k \in z^{-2} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ for all k) from

Lemma 4. *If $\tilde{\phi}$ is (ω, Γ) -simple, then so is $B_{\alpha} \tilde{\phi}$, with $\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} B_{\alpha} \tilde{\phi} = B^{\omega} \mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} \tilde{\phi}$. If moreover $\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} \tilde{\phi} \in z^{-2} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ (i.e. $c = \hat{\chi}(0) = 0$ in (1)), then also $E_{\beta} \tilde{\phi}$ is (ω, Γ) -simple and $\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} E_{\beta} \tilde{\phi} - E \mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} \tilde{\phi}$ is a constant.*

Proof of Lemma 4. The first identity results from Lemma 3 and $e^{-\omega b} c_{\alpha} = e^{-\omega b_{*}}$. For the second one, in view of (1), the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{B} E_{\beta} \tilde{\phi}$ is $\text{cont}_{\Gamma} \hat{E}_{\beta} \hat{\phi}(\omega + \zeta) = \frac{\hat{\chi}(\zeta)}{e^{\zeta} - 1} \frac{\log \zeta}{2\pi i} + \frac{\hat{R}(\zeta)}{e^{\zeta} - 1}$, hence $\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{\Gamma} E_{\beta} \tilde{\phi} = E \mathcal{B}^{-1} \hat{\chi} + 2\pi i \hat{R}(0)$. \square

We finish the proof of Theorem 1 by estimating $\hat{\Phi}_k := \mathcal{B} \tilde{\Phi}_k$ by means of the recursive formula $\hat{\Phi}_k = \hat{E}_{\beta} \hat{B}_{\alpha} \hat{\Phi}_{k-1}$, where \hat{B}_{α} is the Borel counterpart of B_{α} .

Lemma 5. *For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $L > 1$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon, L}$ denote the set of all naturally parametrised paths $\gamma: (0, \ell(\gamma)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\log}$, where $\ell(\gamma) < L$ is the length of γ , for which there exists $\theta \in [-\pi, 3\pi]$ such that $\gamma(s) = s e^{i\theta}$ for $s \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{dist}(\gamma(s), 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}) > \varepsilon$ for $s \geq \varepsilon$. For any integer $\ell \geq 0$, let $V_{\varepsilon, L; \ell}$ denote the space of all $\hat{\phi} \in \zeta^{\beta + \ell} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ admitting analytic continuation along the paths of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon, L}$, with the norm $\|\hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell} := \sup \left\{ s^{-\text{Re} \beta - \ell} |\text{cont}_{\gamma} \hat{\phi}(\gamma(s))|, \text{ for } \gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon, L} \text{ and } s \in (0, \ell(\gamma)] \right\}$. Then the operator $\hat{E}_{\beta} \hat{B}_{\alpha}$ leaves invariant the spaces $V_{\varepsilon, L; \ell}$ and, for each ε and L , there exists $M(\varepsilon, L) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\hat{E}_{\beta} \hat{B}_{\alpha} \hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell} \leq \frac{M(\varepsilon, L)}{\text{Re} \beta + \ell + 1} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell}, \quad \text{for all } \hat{\phi} \in V_{\varepsilon, L; \ell} \text{ and } \ell \geq 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 5. One can find $\kappa = \kappa(\varepsilon, L)$, $C = C(\varepsilon, L) > 0$ such that, for each $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon, L}$,

$$|\gamma(s)| \geq \kappa s, \quad |\gamma(s)^{\beta}| \leq C |\gamma(s)|^{\text{Re} \beta}, \quad |\gamma(s)^{-\beta}| \leq C |\gamma(s)|^{-\text{Re} \beta} \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$$

(because $\arg \gamma(s)$ is uniformly bounded). Let

$$M_0 = M_0(\varepsilon, L) := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\zeta}{e^{\zeta} - 1} \right|, \text{ for } |\zeta| < L, \text{ dist}(\zeta, 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}^*) > \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The formal Borel transform $\hat{c}_{*} := \mathcal{B}(c_{\alpha} - 1)$ extends to an entire function and \hat{B}_{α} is the integral transform with kernel function

$$K_{\alpha}(\xi, \zeta) = \hat{c}_{*}(\zeta - \xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} \hat{b}^{*k}(\zeta - \xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} (\hat{c}_{*} * \hat{b}^{*k})(\zeta - \xi)$$

holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, therefore

$$\text{cont}_{\gamma} \hat{B}_{\alpha} \hat{\phi}(\gamma(s)) = \int_0^s K_{\alpha}(\gamma(\sigma), \gamma(s)) \text{cont}_{\gamma} \hat{\phi}(\gamma(\sigma)) \gamma'(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

whence $\|\hat{B}_{\alpha} \hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell+1} \leq \frac{N_L}{\text{Re} \beta + \ell + 1} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell}$ with $N_L := \sup_{|\xi|, |\zeta| < L} \{ |K(\xi, \zeta)| \}$, and $\|\hat{E}_{\beta} \hat{B}_{\alpha} \hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell} \leq \frac{N_L M_0}{\kappa(\text{Re} \beta + \ell + 1)} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\varepsilon, L; \ell}$. \square

We have $\left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+N+1} \right| < 1$ because $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -\frac{N+1}{2}$. Let us choose an integer $d \geq 0$ so that $\frac{M(\varepsilon, L)}{\operatorname{Re} \beta + d + 1} \leq \Lambda := \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+N+1} \right|, \frac{1}{2} \right\}$. For any $\hat{\phi} \in \zeta^\beta \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, we use the notation

$$\hat{\phi} = [\hat{\phi}]_d + \{\hat{\phi}\}_d, \quad [\hat{\phi}]_d \in \mathcal{E}_d := \operatorname{Span}(\zeta^\beta, \zeta^{\beta+1}, \dots, \zeta^{\beta+d-1}), \quad \{\hat{\phi}\}_d \in \zeta^{\beta+d} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}.$$

Now $\hat{\phi} \in \zeta^{\beta+d} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\} \Rightarrow \hat{B}_\alpha \hat{\phi} = \alpha 1 * \hat{\phi} + O(\zeta^{\beta+d+2}) \Rightarrow \hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha \hat{\phi} = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta} 1 * \hat{\phi} + O(\zeta^{\beta+d+1}) \in \zeta^{\beta+d} \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, thus

$$[\hat{\Phi}_k]_d = A[\hat{\Phi}_{k-1}]_d, \quad \{\hat{\Phi}_k\}_d = \{\hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha [\hat{\Phi}_{k-1}]_d\}_d + \hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha \{\hat{\Phi}_{k-1}\}_d,$$

where $A \in \operatorname{End} \mathcal{E}_d$ is defined by $A\hat{\phi} := [\hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha \hat{\phi}]_d$ and has a triangular matrix in the basis $(\zeta^\beta, \zeta^{\beta+1}, \dots, \zeta^{\beta+d-1})$, with $A\zeta^{\beta+\ell} = \lambda_\ell \zeta^{\beta+\ell} (1 + O(\zeta))$, $\lambda_\ell := \frac{\alpha}{\beta+\ell+1}$, $\ell = 0, \dots, d-1$. By the choice of β , the eigenvalues have modulus $|\lambda_\ell| \leq \Lambda$, hence $\|[\hat{\Phi}_k]_d\| = O(\Lambda^k)$ for any norm on \mathcal{E}_d . Since $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{E}_d \mapsto \{\hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha \hat{\phi}\}_d \in V_{\varepsilon, L; d}$ is linear, we can find C'_0 such that $\|\{\hat{E}_\beta \hat{B}_\alpha [\hat{\Phi}_{k-1}]_d\}_d\|_{\varepsilon, L; d} \leq C'_0 \Lambda^k$ for all $k \geq 1$, hence $\|\{\hat{\Phi}_k\}_d\|_{\varepsilon, L; d} \leq C'_0 \Lambda^k + \Lambda \|\{\hat{\Phi}_{k-1}\}_d\|_{\varepsilon, L; d}$. We thus get $\|\{\hat{\Phi}_k\}_d\|_{\varepsilon, L; d} \leq (\|\{\hat{\Phi}_0\}_d\|_{\varepsilon, L; d} + C'_0 k) \Lambda^k$ for all $k \geq 0$ and, increasing slightly Λ , the desired bounds for $|\operatorname{cont}_\gamma \hat{\Phi}_k|$.

By uniform convergence, we can now define a function $\hat{\Phi} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{\Phi}_k \in \zeta^\beta \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, whose inverse formal Borel transform $\tilde{\Phi} \in z^{-\beta-1} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ satisfies $\tilde{\Phi} = E_\beta b_\alpha + E_\beta B_\alpha \tilde{\Phi}$, whence

$$C_{\operatorname{id}-1} \tilde{\Phi} = c_\alpha C_{\operatorname{id}+b} \tilde{\Phi} + b_\alpha.$$

Multiplying both sides by $z^\alpha (1 - z^{-1})^\alpha$, we find that $\tilde{\psi} := z^\alpha \tilde{\Phi} \in z^{-N-1} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ satisfies $C_{\operatorname{id}-1} \tilde{\psi} = C_{\operatorname{id}+b} \tilde{\psi} + b_N$, an equation of which $\{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N$ is the unique solution in $z^{-1} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, hence $\tilde{\Phi} = z^{-\alpha} \{\tilde{\varphi}\}_N$.

The estimates for the $\hat{\Phi}_k$'s imply similar estimates for the monodromies $\hat{\chi}_k := \mathcal{A}_\omega^\Gamma \tilde{\Phi}_k$, and hence for the residues $S_{\omega, k}^\Gamma$ of the functions $\operatorname{cont}_\gamma \hat{\Phi}_k(\omega + \zeta) - \hat{\chi}(\zeta) \frac{\log \zeta}{2\pi i}$. Equation (7) follows by uniform convergence. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to F. Fauvet and M. Yampolsky for fruitful discussions and encouragements. The first author expresses thanks to the Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi and Fibonacci Laboratory for hospitality. The second author acknowledges the support of the French National Research Agency under the reference ANR-12-BS01-0017.

References

- [DS13] A. Dudko, D. Sauzin. *On the resurgent approach to Écalle-Voronin's invariants, I.*
- [Eca81] J. Écalle. *Les fonctions résurgentes*, Vol. 1 & 2. Publ. Math. d'Orsay 81-05 & 81-06, 1981.

Artem Dudko
Institute for Mathematical Sciences
University of Stony Brook, NY

David Sauzin
CNRS UMI 3483 - Laboratoire Fibonacci,
Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi,
Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa