HAL open science

Graphs of quantum groups and K-amenability

Pierre Fima, Amaury Freslon

To cite this version:

Pierre Fima, Amaury Freslon. Graphs of quantum groups and K-amenability. 2013. hal-00846840

HAL Id: hal-00846840

https://hal.science/hal-00846840v1

Preprint submitted on 22 Jul 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GRAPHS OF QUANTUM GROUPS AND K-AMENABILITY

PIERRE FIMA AND AMAURY FRESLON

Abstract. Building on a construction of J-P. Serre, we associate to any graph of C*-algebras a maximal and a reduced fundamental C*-algebra and use this theory to construct the fundamental quantum group of a graph of discrete quantum groups. This construction naturally gives rise to a quantum Bass-Serre tree which can be used to study the K-theory of the fundamental quantum group. To illustrate the properties of this construction, we prove that if all the vertex qantum groups are amenable, then the fundamental quantum group is K-amenable. This generalizes previous results of P. Julg, A. Valette, R. Vergnioux and the first author.

1. Introduction

One of the first striking application of K-theory to the theory of operator algebras was the proof by M.V. Pimsner and D.V. Voiculescu in [PV82] that the reduced C*-algebras of free groups with different number of generators are not isomorphic. It relies on an involved computation of the K-theory of these C*-algebras, which appear not to be equal. From then on, K-theory of group C*-algebras has been a very active field of research, in particular in relation with algebraic and geometric problems, culminating in the celebrated *Baum-Connes conjecture* (see for example [BCH94]).

Along the way J. Cuntz introduced the notion of *K-amenability* in [Cun83]. Noticing that the maximal and reduced C*-algebras of free groups have the same K-theory, he endeavoured to give a conceptual explanation of this fact based on a phenomenon quite similar to amenability, but on a K-theoretical level. Combined with a short and elegant computation of the K-theory of the maximal C*-algebras of free groups, he could therefore recover the result of M.V. Pimsner and D.V. Voiculescu in more conceptual way. K-amenability implies in particular that the K-theory of any reduced crossed-product by the group is equal to the K-theory of the corresponding full crossed-product, thus giving a powerful tool for computing K-theory of C*-algebras. The original definition of J. Cuntz was restricted to discrete groups but was later generalized to arbitrary locally compact groups by P. Julg and A. Valette in [JV84]. In this seminal paper, they also proved that any group acting on a tree with amenable stabilizers is K-amenable. As particular cases, one gets that free products and HNN extensions of amenable groups are K-amenable. This result was later extended to groups acting on trees with K-amenable stabilizers by M.V. Pimsner in [Pim86]. Let us also mention the notion of *K-nuclearity* developped by G. Skandalis in [Ska88] and further studied by E. Germain who proved in [Ger96] that a free product of amenable C*-algebras is K-nuclear. However, we will concentrate in the present paper on the

Date: July 22, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L09, 46L65.
Key words and phrases. Quantum groups, quantum Bass-Serre tree, K-amenability.
The first author is partially supported by ANR grants OSQPI and NEUMANN.

Julg-Valette theorem and extend it to the setting of discrete quantum groups. Let us first recall an algebraic description of groups acting on trees which will be better suited to our purpose.

A *graph of groups* is the data of a graph $\mathcal{G}$ together with groups attached to each vertex and each edge in a way compatible with the graph structure. One can generalize the topological construction of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ to include the additional data of the groups and thus obtain the notion of *fundamental group of a graph of groups*. The core of Bass-Serre theory, developped in [Ser77], is a powerful correspondance between this construction and the structure of groups acting on trees. Two very important particular cases are amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. In both cases, Bass-Serre theory provides us with a tree on which the group acts in a canonical way.

In the context of locally compact quantum groups, K-amenability was defined and studied by R. Vergnioux in [Ver04], building on the work of S. Baaj and G. Skandalis on equivariant KK-theory for coactions of Hopf-C*-algebras [BS89]. In the discrete case, R. Vergnioux was able to prove that several classical characterizations still hold in the quantum setting (some of them are recalled in Theorem 2.8). He also proved the K-amenability of amalgamated free products of amenable discrete quantum groups. His proof used the first example of a *quantum Bass-Serre tree*. This is a pair of Hilbert C*-modules over the C*-algebra of a compact quantum group endowed with actions which can be used as a "geometric object" for the study of K-theoretical properties. Similar techniques where used by the first author to prove K-amenability of HNN extensions of amenable discrete quantum groups in [Fim13]. The use of quantum Bass-Serre trees also proved crucial in the study of the Baum-Connes conjecture for discrete quantum groups by R. Vergnioux and C. Voigt in [VV13].

In the present paper, we generalize the construction of the fundamental group to graphs of discrete quantum groups. As one could expect, this fundamental quantum group comes along with a quantum Bass-Serre tree which can be used to construct a natural KK-element. Our construction is in some sense the most general construction of a quantum Bass-Serre tree such that the "quotient" by the action of the quantum group is a classical graph. We then use techniques combining the ones of [Ver04] and [Fim13] to prove that if all the vertex groups are amenable, then the resulting quantum group is K-amenable. In view of the Bass-Serre equivalence, this generalizes the result of [JV84]. Note that this gives a large class of K-amenable discrete quantum groups and improves the aforementionned results in the quantum setting. For example, it is known by [Fim13] that an HNN extension of amenable discrete quantum groups is K-amenable, but it was not known that if we again take an HNN extension or a free product with a third amenable discrete quantum group, then the resulting quantum group will still be K-amenable.

Let us now outline the organization of the paper. In Section 2, we specify some notations and conventions used all along the paper and we give some basic definitions and results concerning quantum groups and K-amenability. In section 3, we associate to any graph of C*-algebras a full and a reduced fundamental C*-algebra and give some structure results. This section is rather long but contains most of the technical results of this paper. It ends with an "unscrewing" technique which can be used to prove that some properties of the vertex C*-algebras are inherited by the fundamental C*-algebras. In Section 4, we use the previous results to define the fundamental quantum group of a graph of quantum groups and describe its Haar state and representation

theory. Eventually, we prove in Section 5 that the fundamental quantum group of a graph of amenable discrete quantum groups is K-amenable. Note that one could also define *graphs of von Neumann algebras* and work out similar constructions. This is outlined in the Appendix.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations and conventions.** In this paper all the Hilbert spaces, Hilbert C*-modules and C*-algebras are assumed to be separable. Moreover, all the C*-algebras are assumed to be unital. The scalar products on Hilbert spaces or Hilbert C*-modules are denoted by $\langle .,. \rangle$ and are supposed to be linear in the second variable. For two Hilbert spaces H and K, $\mathcal{B}(H,K)$ will denote the set of bounded linear maps from H to K and $\mathcal{B}(H) := \mathcal{B}(H,H)$. For a C*-algebra A and Hilbert A-modules $\mathcal{H}$a nd $\mathcal{K}$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ the set of bounded adjointable A-linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})$.

We will also use the following terminology : if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert A-module and $\varphi \in A^*$ is a state, the *GNS construction* of $(\mathcal{H},\varphi)$ is the triple (H,π,η), where H is the Hilbert space obtained by separation and completion of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \xi,\eta\rangle_H = \varphi(\langle \xi,\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$, $\eta : \mathcal{H} \to H$ is the canonical linear map with dense range and $\pi : \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ is the induced unital $*$-homomorphism. Note that π and η are faithful as soon as φ is. Observe also that if $\mathcal{K}$ is another Hilbert A-module and if (K,ρ,ξ) denotes the GNS construction of $(\mathcal{K},\varphi)$, then we also have an obvious induced linear map $\mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{B}(H,K)$ which respects the adjoint and the composition (if we take a third Hilbert A-module).

If $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph in the sense of [Ser77, Def 2.1], its vertex set will be denoted $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and its edge set will be denoted $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$. For $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ we denote by $s(e)$ and $r(e)$ respectively the source and range of e and by $\overline{e}$ the inverse edge of e. An *orientation* of $\mathcal{G}$ is a partition $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \mathrm{E}^-(\mathcal{G})$ such that $e \in \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if $\overline{e} \in \mathrm{E}^-(\mathcal{G})$.

Finally, we will always denote by $\imath$ the identity map.

2.2. **Compact quantum groups.** We briefly recall the main definitions and results of the theory of compact quantum groups in order to fix notations. The reader is referred to [Wor98] or [MVD98] for details and proofs.

Definition 2.1. A *compact quantum group* is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}),\Delta)$ where $C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital C*-algebra and $\Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \to C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism such that

$$(\Delta \otimes \imath) \circ \Delta = (\imath \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$

and the linear span of $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))$ as well as the linear span of $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes 1)$ are dense in $C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$.

Theorem 2.2 (Woronowicz)**.** *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. There is a unique state $h \in C(\mathbb{G})^*$, called the* Haar state *of $\mathbb{G}$, such that for every $x \in C(\mathbb{G})$,*

$$(h \otimes \imath) \circ \Delta(x) = h(x).1 \text{ and } (\imath \otimes h) \circ \Delta(x) = h(x).1.$$

The Haar state need not be faithful. Let $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ be the C*-algebra obtained by the GNS construction of the Haar state. $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ is called the *reduced C*-algebra* of the compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$. By the invariance properties of the Haar state, the coproduct Δ induces a coproduct on $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ which turns it into a compact quantum group called the *reduced form* of $\mathbb{G}$. The Haar state on the reduced form of $\mathbb{G}$ is faithful by construction.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. A *representation* of $\mathbb{G}$ of dimension n is a matrix $(u_{ij}) \in M_n(C(\mathbb{G})) = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ such that for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$,

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}.$$

A representation is called *unitary* if $u = (u_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unitary. An *intertwiner* between two representations u and v of dimension respectively n and m is a linear map $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $(T \otimes \imath)u = v(T \otimes \imath)$. If there exists a unitary intertwiner between u and v, they are said to be *unitarily equivalent*. A representation is said to be *irreducible* if its only self-intertwiners are the scalar multiples of the identity. The *tensor product* of the two representations u and v is the representation

$$u \otimes v = u_{13}v_{23} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \simeq M_{nm}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}).$$

Theorem 2.4 (Woronowicz)**.** *Every unitary representation of a compact quantum group is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of irreducible unitary representations.*

Let $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of $\mathbb{G}$ and, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, denote by u^α a representative of α. The linear span of the elements u^α_{ij} for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ forms a Hopf-$*$-algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ which is dense in $C(\mathbb{G})$. Its enveloping C*-algebra is denoted $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ and it has a natural quantum group structure called the *maximal form* of $\mathbb{G}$. By universality, there is a surjective $*$-homomorphism

$$\lambda_{\mathbb{G}} : C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}) \to C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$$

which intertwines the coproducts.

Remark 2.5. The C*-algebras $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ and $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ should be thought of as the reduced and maximal C*-algebras of the *dual discrete quantum group* $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. This point of view justifies the terminology "discrete quantum groups" used in the paper.

$C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ admits a one-dimensional representation $\varepsilon : C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathbb{C}$, called the *trivial representation* (or the *counit*) and defined by $\varepsilon(u^\alpha_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and every i, j. The counit is the unique unital $*$-homomorphism $\varepsilon : C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\varepsilon \otimes \imath)\Delta = \imath = (\imath \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta$.

2.3. **K-amenability.**

Definition 2.6. A compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$ is said to be *co-amenable* if $\lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ is an isomorphism. We will equivalently say that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is *amenable*.

Like in the classical case, co-amenability has several equivalent characterizations, we only give the one which will be needed in the sequel (see [BMT01, Thm 3.6] for a proof).

Proposition 2.7. *A compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$ is co-amenable if and only if the trivial representation factors through $\lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$.*

K-amenability admits similar characterizations on the level of KK-theory, which were proved by R. Vergnioux in [Ver04, Thm 1.4]. We refer the reader to [Bla98] for the basic definitions and results concerning KK-theory.

Theorem 2.8 (Vergnioux)**.** *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. The following are equivalent*

- *There exists $\gamma \in \mathrm{KK}(C_{red}(\mathbb{G}), \mathbb{C})$ such that $\lambda^*_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma) = [\varepsilon]$ in $\mathrm{KK}(C_{max}(\mathbb{G}), \mathbb{C})$.*

- *The element* $[\lambda_{\mathbb{G}}]$ *in invertible in* $\mathrm{KK}(C_{max}(\mathbb{G}), C_{red}(\mathbb{G}))$.

In any of those two equivalent situations, we will say that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is K-amenable.

3. Graphs of C*-algebras

In this section we give the general construction of a maximal and a reduced fundamental C*-algebra associated to a graph of C*-algebras.

Definition 3.1. A *graph of C*-algebras* is a tuple

$$(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_{q\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})}, (B_e)_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})}, (s_e)_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})})$$

where

- $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected graph.
- For every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, A_q and B_e are unital C*-algebras.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $B_{\overline{e}} = B_e$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $s_e : B_e \to A_{s(e)}$ is a unital faithful $*$-homomorphism.

For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we set $r_e = s_{\overline{e}} : B_e \to A_{r(e)}$, $B_e^s = s_e(B_e)$ and $B_e^r = r_e(B_e)$.

The notation will always be simplified in $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$.

3.1. **The maximal fundamental C*-algebra.** Like in the case of free products, the definition of the maximal fundamental C*-algebra is quite obvious and simple. However, it requires the choice of a maximal subtree of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ (which is implicit in the case of free products since the graph is already a tree, see Example 3.4).

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal subtree of $\mathcal{G}$. The *maximal fundamental C*-algebra with respect to* $\mathcal{T}$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by the C*-algebras A_q for $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and by unitaries u_e for $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $u_{\overline{e}} = u_e^*$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $b \in B_e$, $u_{\overline{e}} s_e(b) u_e = r_e(b)$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$, $u_e = 1$.

This C*-algebra will be denoted $\pi_1^{\max}(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, \mathcal{T})$.

Remark 3.3. It is not obvious that this C*-algebra is not 0 (i.e. that the relations admit a non-trivial representation). With natural additional assumptions, the non-triviality will be proved by the construction of the reduced fundamental C*-algebra and it will be clear that the inclusions of A_q in the maximal fundamental C*-algebra are faithful.

Example 3.4. Let A_0 and A_1 be two C*-algebras and let B be a C*-algebra together with injective $*$-homomorphisms $i_k : B \to A_k$ for $k = 0, 1$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the graph with two vertices p_0 and p_1 and two edges e and $\overline{e}$, where $s(e) = p_1$ and $r(e) = p_2$. This graph is obviously a tree. Setting $B_e = B$, $s_e = i_0$, $r_e = i_1$ and $A_{p_i} = A_i$ yields a graph of C*-algebras whose maximal fundamental C*-algebra with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ is the maximal free product $A_0 *_B^{\max} A_1$ of A_0 and A_1 amalgamated over B.

Example 3.5. Let A be a C*-algebra, B a C*-subalgebra of A and $\theta : B \to A$ an injective $*$-homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph with one vertex p and two edges e and $\overline{e}$, where e is a loop from p to p. Obviously, the only maximal subtree of $\mathcal{G}$ is the graph with one vertex p and no edge. Setting $B_e = B$, $s_e = \imath$, $r_e = \theta$ and $A_p = A$ yields a graph of C*-algebras whose maximal

fundamental C*-algebra with respect to $\{p\}$ is the maximal HNN extension $\mathrm{HNN}^{\max}(A, B, \theta)$ as defined in [Ued05, Rmk 7.3].

By construction, the maximal fundamental C*-algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ satisfies the following universal property.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras, let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal subtree of $\mathcal{G}$ and let H be a Hilbert space. Assume that for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we have a representation ρ_q of A_q on H and that for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we have a unitary $U_e \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $U_{\overline{e}} = U_e^*$, $U_e = 1$ for all $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$ and for every $b \in B_e$,*

$$U_e^* \rho_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) U_e = \rho_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).$$

Then, there is a unique representation ρ of $\pi_1^{max}(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, \mathcal{T})$ on H such that for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$,

$$\rho(u_e) = U_e \text{ and } \rho|_{A_q} = \rho_q.$$

Remark 3.7. Let $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Define $\mathcal{A}$ to be the linear span of A_{p_0} and elements of the form $a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n$ where $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0, $a_0 \in A_{p_0}$ and $a_i \in A_{r(e_i)}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Observe that $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra of $\pi_1^{\max}(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, \mathcal{T})$. Indeed, it suffices to show that it contains A_q for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and u_e for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and $a \in A_q$. Let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_0 to q. Since $e_i \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$, we have $u_{e_i} = 1$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Hence, $a = u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a u_{\overline{e}_n} \dots u_{\overline{e}_1} \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, let $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G}) \backslash \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$ and let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ (resp. $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$) be the geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_0 to $s(e)$ (resp. $r(e)$). Then, $u_e = u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} u_e u_{\overline{f}_m} \dots u_{\overline{f}_1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

We will need in the sequel the following slightly more general version of the universal property.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras, let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal subtree of $\mathcal{G}$ and let $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Assume that for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, there is a Hilbert space H_q together with a representation ρ_q of A_q and that, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, there is a unitary $U_e : H_{r(e)} \to H_{s(e)}$ such that $U_e^* = U_{\overline{e}}$ and, for every $b \in B_e$,*

$$U_e^* \rho_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) U_e = \rho_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).$$

Then, there exists a unique representation ρ of $\pi_1^{max}(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, \mathcal{T})$ on H_{p_0} such that $\rho|_{A_{p_0}} = \rho_0$ and, for every path $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ from p_0 to p_0 in $\mathcal{G}$ and every $a_0 \in A_{s(e_1)}, a_i \in A_{r(e_i)}$,

$$\rho(a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n) = \rho_{s(e_1)}(a_1) U_{e_1} \dots U_{e_n} \rho_{r(e_n)}(a_n).$$

Proof. The proof amounts to a suitable application of Proposition 3.6. Let $p, q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p to q. Set $U_{pq} = U_{e_1} \dots U_{e_n}$ and observe that $U_{pq}^* = U_{qp}$. For every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we can define a representation $\pi_q = U_{qp_0}^* \rho_q(.) U_{qp_0}$ of A_q on H_{p_0} and a unitary $V_e \in \mathcal{B}(H_{p_0})$ by $V_e = U_{p_0 s(e)} U_e U_{r(e) p_0}$. It is easily checked that these satisfy the hyptohesis of Proposition 3.6, yielding the result. □

3.2. **The reduced fundamental C*-algebra.** We now turn to the construction of the reduced fundamental C*-algebra, which is more involved. The basic idea is to build a concrete representation of the C*-algebras forming the graph together with unitaries satisfying the required relations. To be able to carry out this construction, we will need an extra assumption. From now on, we assume that for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, there exists a conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_e^s : A_{s(e)} \to B_e^s$ and we set $\mathbb{E}_e^r = \mathbb{E}_{\overline{e}}^s : A_{r(e)} \to B_e^r$.

3.2.1. *Path Hilbert modules.* For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ let $(\mathcal{H}_e^s, \pi_e^s, \eta_e^s)$ be the GNS construction associated to the completely positive map $s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s$. This means that $\mathcal{H}_e^s$ is the right Hilbert B_e-module obtained by separation and completion of $A_{s(e)}$ with respect to the B_e-valued inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s(x^* y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in A_{s(e)}.$$

The right action of an element $b \in B_e$ is given by right multiplication by $s_e(b)$ and the representation

$$\pi_e^s : A_{s(e)} \to \mathcal{L}_{B_e}(\mathcal{H}_e^s)$$

is induced by the left multiplication. Finally, $\eta_e^s : A_{s(e)} \to \mathcal{H}_e^s$ is the standard linear map with dense range. Let ξ_e^s denote the image of 1_A in $\mathcal{H}_e^s$. The triple $(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{e}}^s, \pi_{\overline{e}}^s, \eta_{\overline{e}}^s)$ will be denoted $(\mathcal{H}_e^r, \pi_e^r, \eta_e^r)$. Although it is not necessary, we will assume, for convenience and simplicity of notations, that for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}_e^s$ are GNS-faithful (i.e. that the representations π_e^s are faithful). This allows us to identify $A_{s(e)}$ with its image in $\mathcal{L}_{B_e}(\mathcal{H}_e^s)$. We will also use, for every $a \in A_{s(e)}$, the notation $\widehat{a}$ for $\eta_e^s(a) \in \mathcal{H}_e^s$. One should however keep in mind that $\widehat{a}$ may be zero for some non-zero a. Let us also notice that the submodule $\xi_e^s.B_e$ of $\mathcal{H}_e^s$ is orthogonally complemented. In fact, its orthogonal complement is the closure $(\mathcal{H}_e^s)^\circ$ of $\{\widehat{a} | a \in A_{s(e)}, \mathbb{E}_e^s(a) = 0\}$. We thus have an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_e^s = (\xi_e^s.B_e) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_e^s)^\circ$$

with $(\mathcal{H}_e^s)^\circ.B_e^s = (\mathcal{H}_e^s)^\circ$. Similarly, the orthogonal complement of $\xi_e^r.B_e$ in $\mathcal{H}_e^r$ will be denoted $(\mathcal{H}_e^r)^\circ$.

We now turn to the construction of the Hilbert C*-module which will carry our faithful representation of the fundamental C*-algebra. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $w = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$. We define Hilbert C*-modules $\mathcal{K}_0$, $\mathcal{K}_n$ and $\mathcal{K}_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ by

- $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{H}_{e_1}^s$
- If $e_{i+1} \neq \overline{e}_i$, then $\mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{H}_{e_{i+1}}^s$
- If $e_{i+1} = \overline{e}_i$, then $\mathcal{K}_i = (\mathcal{H}_{e_{i+1}}^s)^\circ$
- $\mathcal{K}_n = A_{r(e_n)}$

For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $\mathcal{K}_i$ is a right Hilbert $B_{e_{i+1}}$-module and $\mathcal{K}_n$ will be seen as a right Hilbert $A_{r(e_n)}$-module. We can put compatible left module structures on these Hilbert C*-modules in order to make tensor products. In fact, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, the map

$$\rho_i = \pi_{e_{i+1}}^s \circ r_{e_i} : B_{e_i} \to \mathcal{L}_{B_{e_{i+1}}}(\mathcal{K}_i)$$

yields a suitable action of B_{e_i} on $\mathcal{K}_i$ and left multiplication by $r_{e_n}(b)$ for $b \in B_{e_n}$ induces a representation

$$\rho_n : B_{e_n} \to \mathcal{L}_{A_{r(e_n)}}(\mathcal{K}_n).$$

We can now define a right Hilbert $A_{r(e_n)}$-module

$$\mathcal{H}_w = \mathcal{K}_0 \underset{\rho_1}{\otimes} \ldots \underset{\rho_n}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}_n$$

endowed with a faithful left action of $A_{s(e_1)}$ which is induced by its action on $\mathcal{K}_0$ by left multiplication. This will be called a *path Hilbert module.* Let us describe more precisely the inner product.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $a = \widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ and $b = \widehat{b}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n$ be two elements in $\mathcal{H}_w$. Set $x_0 = a_0^* b_0$ and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, set*

$$x_k = a_k^*(r_{e_k} \circ s_{e_k}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_{e_k}^s(x_{k-1}))b_k.$$

Then, $\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w} = x_n \in A_{r(e_n)}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. For $n = 1$, we have $w = (e)$ where $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $a = \widehat{a}_0 \underset{e}{\otimes} a_1$ and $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{e}{\otimes} b_1$, where $a_0, b_0 \in A_{s(e)}$ and $a_1, b_1 \in A_{r(e)}$. By definition of $\mathcal{H}_w$ we have

$$\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w} = \langle a_1, \rho_1(s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s(a_0^* b_0))b_1\rangle_{A_{r(e)}} = a_1^*(r_e \circ s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s(a_0^* b_0))b_1 = x_1.$$

Assume that the formula holds for a given $n \geq 1$. Let $w = (e_1, \dots e_{n+1})$ be a path and fix $a = \widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}, b = \widehat{b}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n+1} \in \mathcal{H}_w$. Write

$$\mathcal{H}_w = \mathcal{K}_0 \underset{\rho_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\rho_{n+1}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}_{n+1} = \mathcal{H}'_w \underset{\rho_{n+1}}{\otimes} A_{r(e_{n+1})},$$

where $\mathcal{H}'_w$ is the Hilbert $B_{e_{n+1}}$-module $\mathcal{H}'_w = \mathcal{K}_0 \underset{\rho_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\rho_n}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}_n$. We have,

$$\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w} = a_{n+1}^* r_{e_{n+1}} \left(\langle \widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, \widehat{b}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{b}_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}'_w}\right) b_{n+1}.$$

By definition of the inner product, we get, with $w' = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$,

$$\langle \widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, \widehat{b}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{b}_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}'_w} = s_{e_{n+1}}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_{e_{n+1}}^s \left(\langle \widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, \widehat{b}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{w'}}\right).$$

This concludes the proof using the induction hypothesis. □

For any two vertices $p_0, q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we define a right Hilbert A_q-module

$$\mathcal{H}_{p_0,q} = \bigoplus_w \mathcal{H}_w$$

where the sum runs over all paths w in $\mathcal{G}$ connecting p_0 with q. By convention, when $q = p_0$, the sum also runs over the empty path, where $\mathcal{H}_\emptyset = A_{p_0}$ with its canonical Hilbert (A_{p_0}, A_{p_0})-bimodule structure. We equip this Hilbert C*-module with the faithful left action of A_{p_0} which is given by the sum of its left actions on every $\mathcal{H}_w$.

3.2.2. *The C*-algebra.* For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we can define an operator

$$u_e^p : \mathcal{H}_{r(e),p} \to \mathcal{H}_{s(e),p}$$

which "adds the edge e on the left". To construct this operator, let w be a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from $r(e)$ to p and let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_w$.

- If $p = r(e)$ and w is the empty path, then $u_e^p(\xi) = \xi_e^s \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{(e)}$.
- If $n = 1$, $w = (e_1)$, $\xi = \widehat{a} \otimes \xi'$ with $a \in A_{s(e_1)}$ and $\xi' \in A_p$, then
  - If $e_1 \neq \overline{e}$, $u_e^p(\xi) = \xi_e^s \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{(e,e_1)}$.
  - If $e_1 = \overline{e}$, $u_e^p(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi_e^s \otimes \xi & \in \mathcal{H}_{(e,e_1)} \quad \text{if} \quad \widehat{a} \in (\mathcal{H}_{e_1}^s)^\circ, \\ r_{e_1} \circ s_{e_1}^{-1}(a)\xi' & \in A_p \quad \text{if} \quad a \in B_{e_1}^s. \end{cases}$
- If $n \geqslant 2$, $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, $\xi = \widehat{a} \otimes \xi'$ with $a \in A_{s(e_1)}$ and $\xi' \in \mathcal{K}_1 \underset{\rho_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\rho_n}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}_n$, then
  - If $e_1 \neq \overline{e}$, $u_e^p(\xi) = \xi_e^s \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{(e,e_1,\dots,e_n)}$.

– If $e_1 = \overline{e}$, $u_e^p(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi_e^s \otimes \xi & \in \mathcal{H}_{(e,e_1,\dots,e_n)} \quad \text{if} \quad \widehat{a} \in (\mathcal{H}_e^r)^\circ, \\ r_{e_1} \circ s_{e_1}^{-1}(a)\xi' & \in \mathcal{H}_{(e_2,\dots,e_n)} \quad \text{if} \quad a \in B_{e_1}^s. \end{cases}$

One easily checks that the operators u_e^p commute with the right actions of A_p on $\mathcal{H}_{r(e),p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{s(e),p}$ and extend to unitary operators (still denoted u_e^p) in $\mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{r(e),p}, \mathcal{H}_{s(e),p})$ such that $(u_e^p)^* = u_{\overline{e}}^p$. Moreover, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $b \in B_e$, the definition implies that

$$u_{\overline{e}}^p s_e(b) u_e^p = r_e(b)$$

as operators in $\mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{r(e),p})$. Let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$ and let $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we set

$$u_w^p = u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p \in \mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{r(e_n),p}, \mathcal{H}_{s(e_1),p}).$$

We are now ready to define the reduced fundamental C*-algebra.

Definition 3.10. Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras and let $p, p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. The *reduced fundamental C*-algebra rooted in* p_0 *with base* p is the C*-algebra

$$\pi_1^p(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, p_0) = \langle (u_z^p)^* A_q u_w^p | q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G}), w, z \text{ paths from } q \text{ to } p_0 \rangle \subset \mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}).$$

If the root p_0 is equal to the base p, we will simply call it the *reduced fundamental C*-algebra in* p_0. We will use the shorthand notation $P_p(p_0)$ (and $P(p_0)$ when $p = p_0$) to denote the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in the sequel.

Remark 3.11. The above definition may seem unsatisfying because of the two arbitrary vertices involved. However, this will give many natural representations of the reduced C*-algebra which will be needed later on. This also gives a more tractable object when it turns to making products or computing norms.

Remark 3.12. Because the graph $\mathcal{G}$ is connected, the previous construction does not really depend on p_0. In fact, let p, p_0, q_0 be three vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal subtree in $\mathcal{G}$. If $g_{q_0 p_0}$ denotes the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from q_0 to p_0, then we have an isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{T},p_0,q_0}^p : P_p(p_0) \to P_p(q_0)$$

which is given by

$$x \mapsto u_{g_{q_0 p_0}}^p x (u_{g_{q_0 p_0}}^p)^*.$$

Note, however, that there is no truly canonical way to identify these C*-algebras.

Example 3.13. Carrying out this construction with the graphs of Examples 3.4 and 3.5, one recovers respectively the reduced amalgamated free product construction of [Voi85] and the reduced HNN extension construction of [Ued05, Sec 7.4].

3.2.3. *The quotient map.* We now investigate the link beteween the reduced fundamental C*-algebra and the maximal one. From now on, we fix two vertices $p_0, p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and consider the C*-algebra $P_p(p_0)$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal subtree in $\mathcal{G}$. As before, given a vertex $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we denote by g_{qp} the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from q to p. For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we define a unitary operator $w_e^p \in P_p(p_0)$ by

$$w_e^p = (u_{g_{s(e)p}}^p)^* u_{(e, g_{r(e)p})}^p.$$

For every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we define a unital faithful $*$-homomorphism $\pi_{q,p_0}^p : A_q \to P_p(p_0)$ by

$$\pi_{q,p_0}^p(a) = (u_{g_{qp_0}}^p)^* a u_{g_{qp_0}}^p$$

Observe that the following relations hold:

- $w_{\overline{e}}^p = (w_e^p)^*$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$,
- $w_e^p = 1$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$,
- $w_{\overline{e}}\pi^p_{s(e),p_0}(s_e(b))w_e = \pi^p_{r(e),p_0}(r_e(b))$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $b \in B_e$.

The first and the last relations are clear from the definitions. To check the second one, observe that if $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$, then the path $(\overline{g}_{s(e)p}, e, g_{r(e)p})$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{T}$. This means that either $g_{r(e)p} = (\overline{e}, g_{s(e)p})$ or $g_{s(e)p} = (e, g_{r(e)p})$. In both cases we get $w_e^p = 1$.

Thus, we can apply the universal property of Proposition 3.6 to get a surjective $*$-homomorphism

$$\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{p,p_0} : \pi_1^{\max}(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e, \mathcal{T}) \to P_p(p_0).$$

3.2.4. *Reduced operators.* Like in the case of groups, we have a notion of reduced element.

Definition 3.14. Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras and let $p_0, p, q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $a \in \mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{q,p}, \mathcal{H}_{p_0,p})$ be of the form $a = a_0 u^p_{e_1} \dots u^p_{e_n} a_n$, where $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to q, $a_0 \in A_{p_0}$ and, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a_i \in A_{r(e_i)}$. The operator a is said to be *reduced* (from p_0 to q) if for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ such that $e_{i+1} = \overline{e}_i$, we have $\mathbb{E}^s_{e_{i+1}}(a_i) = 0$.

Remark 3.15. Let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path from p_0 to p_0. Observe that any reduced operator of the form $a = a_0 u^p_{e_1} \dots u^p_{e_n} a_n$ is in $P_p(p_0)$ and that the linear span $\mathcal{R}_p(p_0)$ of A_{p_0} and the reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 is a dense $*$-subalgebra of $P_p(p_0)$. Indeed, we can write $a = x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$ where $x_0 = a_0 u^p_{(e_1,\overline{e}_1)} \in P_p(p_0)$, $x_n = u^p_w a_n \in P_p(p_0)$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $x_i = u^p_{(e_1,\dots,e_i)} a_i u^p_{(\overline{e}_i,\dots,\overline{e}_1)} \in P_p(p_0)$. This shows that $a \in P_p(p_0)$. Now, using the relations $u^p_{\overline{e}} s_e(b) u^p_e = r_e(b)$ for $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $b \in B_e$, we see that $\mathcal{R}_p(p_0)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $P_p(p_0)$. To show that $\mathcal{R}_p(p_0)$ is dense it suffices to show that it contains all operators of the form $(u^p_z)^* a u^p_w$, where $a \in A_q$ and z, w are paths from q to p_0. One can easily check this by induction and using the relations $u^p_{\overline{e}} s_e(b) u^p_e = r_e(b)$.

Remark 3.16. The notion of reduced operator also makes sense in the maximal fundamental C*-algebra (if we assume the existence of conditional expectations) and the linear span of A_{p_0} and the reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 is the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ introduced in Remark 3.7, which is dense in the maximal fundamental C*-algebra.

In order to simplify later computations, we now give an explicit formula for the action of a reduced operator on the Hilbert C*-module $\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}$ which will be used several times in the sequel. For every edge $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $x \in A_{r(e)}$, we set $\mathcal{P}^r_e(x) = x - \mathbb{E}^r_e(x)$. For the sake of simplicity, if $w = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$, we will use the notation $\widehat{b}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$ to denote a typical element in $\mathcal{H}_w$.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras and let $p_0, p_1, p_2 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $w = (e_n, \dots, e_1)$ be a path from p_0 to p_1 and let $\mu = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be path from p_1 to p_2. Set*

$$n_0 = \max\{1 \leqslant k \leqslant \min(n,m) | e_i = \overline{f}_i, \forall i \leqslant k\}.$$

If the above set is empty, set $n_0 = 0$. Let $a = a_n u^{p_2}_{e_n} \dots u^{p_2}_{e_1} a_0$ be a reduced operator and let $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \in \mathcal{H}_{p_1,p_2}$. Set $x_0 = a_0 b_0$ and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n_0$, set

$$x_k = a_k(s_{e_k} \circ r^{-1}_{e_k} \circ \mathbb{E}^r_{e_k}(x_{k-1}))b_k \quad \text{and} \quad y_k = \mathcal{P}^r_{e_k}(x_{k-1}).$$

Then, the following holds :

(1) If $n_0 = 0$, then $a.b = \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$.

(2) If $n_0 = n = m$, then $a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_n}{\otimes} b_n + x_n$.

(3) If $n_0 = n < m$, then $a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{x}_n \underset{f_{n+1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$.

(4) If $n_0 = m < n$, then $a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} x_m$.

(5) If $1 \leqslant n_0 < \min\{n, m\}$, then

$$a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_{n_0} \underset{f_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m.$$

Proof. To simplify the notations during the proof, we omit the superscript p_2.

(1). If $n_0 = 0$ then $e_1 \neq \overline{f}_1$ and we get, by definition of the u_e and because a is reduced, $a.b = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1}.\widehat{x}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m = \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$. This proves (1). Since the proof of the other cases are all the same we only prove (5).

(5). We need to show the following statement: for every $n_0 \geqslant 1$, for every $n, m > n_0$, for every reduced operator $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$ from p_0 to p_1 and for $b \in \mathcal{H}_{p_1,p_2}$ of the form $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0} \underset{f_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$ with $f_{n_0+1} \neq \overline{e}_{n_0+1}$ we have

$$a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{\overline{e}_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0} \underset{f_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_{n_0} \underset{f_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m.$$

We prove it by induction on n_0. If $n_0 = 1$, let $n, m > 1$, let $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$ and let $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$ with $f_2 \neq \overline{e}_2$. Since a is reduced and $f_2 \neq \overline{e}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a.b &= a_n u_{e_n} \dots a_1 u_{e_1}.\widehat{x}_0 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&= a_n u_{e_n} \dots a_1 u_{e_1}.\widehat{\mathbb{E}^r_{e_1}(x_0)} \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + a_n u_{e_n} \dots a_1 u_{e_1}.\widehat{y}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&= a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_2}.\widehat{x}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&= \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_2}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_1 \underset{f_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m,
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the statement holds for a given $n_0 \geqslant 1$. Let $n, m > n_0 + 1$, let $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$ and let $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$ with $f_{n_0+2} \neq \overline{e}_{n_0+2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
a.b &= a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1}.\widehat{x}_0 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&= a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_2}.\widehat{x}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&+ \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m \\
&= a'.b' + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a' = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_2}$ and $b' = \widehat{x}_1 \underset{\overline{e}_2}{\otimes} \dots \underset{\overline{e}_{n_0+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{b}_{n_0+1} \underset{f_{n_0+2}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} b_m$. We can now apply the induction hypothesis to the pair (a', b'). This concludes the proof. □

If a maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is fixed and if $p, q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, then g_{pq} will denote the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p to q. Viewing 1_{A_p}, the unit of A_p as an element of $\mathcal{H}_\emptyset \subset \mathcal{H}_{p,p}$, we set

$$\Omega_p(p_0) = u^p_{g_{p_0p}}(1_{A_p}) \in \mathcal{H}_{g_{p_0p}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}.$$

The vector $\Omega_p(p_0)$ is a cyclic vector for $P_p(p_0)$.

Proposition 3.18. *With the previous notations, we have*

(1) $\overline{P_p(p_0)\Omega_p(p_0)} = \mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}$
(2) For any reduced operator $a \in P_p(p_0)$, $\langle \Omega_p(p_0), a.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = 0$.

Proof. (1). Let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path from p_0 to p and let $x = x_0 u^p_{e_1} \dots u^p_{e_n} x_n$ be a reduced operator. The operator $a = x(u^p_{g_{p_0p}})^*$ is in $P_p(p_0)$ and, since x is reduced,

$$a.\Omega_p(p_0) = x(u^p_{g_{p_0p}})^* u^p_{g_{p_0p}}.1_{A_p} = x.1_{A_p} = \widehat{x}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_n}{\otimes} x_n \in \mathcal{H}_w.$$

(2). Let $w = (e_n, \dots, e_1)$ be a path from p_0 to p_0 and let $a = a_n u^p_{e_n} \dots u^p_{e_1} a_0$ be a reduced operator in $P_p(p_0)$. Write $g_{p_0p} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and $b = \Omega_p(p_0) = \widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1$. We use the notations of Lemma 3.17. Note that if $n_0 = n$, then we must have $g_{p_0p} = (\overline{e}_1, \dots, \overline{e}_n, f_{n+1}, \dots, f_m)$, which is impossible since g_{p_0p} is a geodesic path and $(\overline{e}_1, \dots, \overline{e}_n)$ is a cycle. Thus $n_0 < n$. If $n_0 = 0$, then

$$a.\Omega_{p_0,p} = \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \widehat{a}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \widehat{1} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1 \in \mathcal{H}_{(e_n,\dots,e_1,f_1,\dots,f_m)},$$

hence $\langle \Omega_p(p_0), a.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = 0$. Assume now that $1 \leqslant n_0 < n$ and observe that, except if $w = (f_1, \dots, f_m, \overline{f}_m, \dots, \overline{f}_1)$, the elements appearing in the formula of Lemma 3.17 all belong to subspaces which are orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}_{g_{p_0p}}$ and consequently orthogonal to $\Omega_p(p_0)$. Finally, if $w = (f_1, \dots, f_m, \overline{f}_m, \dots, \overline{f}_1)$, then

$$\langle \Omega_p(p_0), a.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = \left\langle \widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \widehat{1} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1, \widehat{a}_n \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} x_m \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = 0.$$

since $x_m = a_m z$ with $z = s_{e_m} \circ r^{-1}_{e_m} \circ \mathbb{E}^r_{e_m}(x_{m-1}) \in B^s_{e_m} = B^r_{f_m}$ (here $e_m = \overline{f}_m$) and $\mathbb{E}^r_{f_m}(a_m) = 0$ because a is reduced. □

Remark 3.19. The first assertion of Proposition 3.18 shows that the triple $(\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}, \mathrm{id}, \Omega_p(p_0))$ is the GNS construction of the unital completely positive map $\mathbb{E}_{A_p} : P_p(p_0) \to A_p$ defined, for every $x \in P_p(p_0)$, by

$$\mathbb{E}_{A_p}(x) = \langle \Omega_p(p_0), x.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}}.$$

In the particular case $p = p_0$, $A_{p_0} \subset P(p_0)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{A_{p_0}}$ is a conditional expectation from $P(p_0)$ to A_{p_0}.

3.2.5. *A universal property for the reduced C*-algebra.* We end this section with a universal property for the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in the spirit of Corollary 3.8. Consider a graph of C*-algebras $(\mathcal{G}, (A_p)_p, (B_e)_e)$ and fix $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. The basic data to build a representation is:

- For every $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, a right Hilbert A_{p_0}-module $\mathcal{K}_p$ with a faithful unital $*$-homomorphism $\pi_p : A_p \to \mathcal{L}_{A_{p_0}}(\mathcal{K}_p)$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ a unitary $w_e \in \mathcal{L}_{A_{p_0}}(\mathcal{K}_{r(e)}, \mathcal{K}_{s(e)})$ such that $w_e^* = w_{\overline{e}}$ and, for every $b \in B_e$,
$$w_{\overline{e}}\pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b))w_e = \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).$$

Let A be the closed linear span of $\pi_{p_0}(A_{p_0})$ and all elements of the form
$$\pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n)$$
in $\mathcal{L}_{A_{p_0}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_0})$, where $n \geqslant 1$, $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0, $a_k \in A_{r(e_k)}$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and $a_0 \in A_{p_0}$. Then, A is a C*-algebra. The universal property requires the following crucial assumption: we assume that there exists a GNS-faithful conditional expectation
$$\mathbb{E} : A \to \pi_{p_0}(A_{p_0})$$
such that, for every reduced operator $a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$,
$$\mathbb{E}(\pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n)) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.20. *With the hypothesis and notations above, there exists a unique $*$-isomorphism $\pi : P(p_0) \to A$ such that $\pi(a) = \pi_{p_0}(a)$ for every $a \in A_{p_0}$ and*
$$\pi(a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n) = \pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n)$$
for every reduced operator $a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$.

Proof. The uniqueness being obvious, let us prove the existence. The map π is well defined on the linear span of $\pi_{p_0}(A_{p_0})$ and the reduced elements, and the closure of the image of this space is equal to A. Let (K', π', η') be the GNS construction of $\pi_{p_0}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$. Since $\mathbb{E}$ is GNS-faithful, π' is faithful and we will assume that $A \subset \mathcal{L}_{A_{p_0}}(K')$ and $\pi' = \mathrm{Id}$. View $1_{A_{p_0}} \in \mathcal{H}_{p_0,p_0}$ and define an operator $V : \mathcal{H}_{p_0,p_0} \to K'$ by $V(a.1_{A_{p_0}}) = \pi_{p_0}(a).\eta'$ for $a \in A_{p_0}$ and
$$V(x.1_{A_{p_0}}) = \pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n).\eta'$$
for $x = a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$ a reduced operator. It is easy to check that V extends to a unitary in $\mathcal{L}_{A_{p_0}}(\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p_0}, K')$ and that $x \mapsto VxV^*$ is a $*$-isomorphism extending π. □

3.2.6. *States.* In the sequel, we will consider C*-algebras equiped with distinguished states compatible with the graph structure. These enable us to get genuine Hilbert space representations instead of Hilbert C*-modules.

Definition 3.21. Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras with conditional expectations. An *associated graph of states* is a family of states $\varphi_e \in B_e^*$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\varphi_q \in A_q^*$ for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ such that, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $\varphi_{\overline{e}} = \varphi_e$ and $\varphi_{s(e)} = \varphi_e \circ s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s$ (hence $\varphi_{s(e)} \circ s_e = \varphi_e = \varphi_{r(e)} \circ r_e$). When a graph of C*-algebras is given with a graph of states we simply call $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q, \varphi_q)_q, (B_e, \varphi_e)_e)$ a *graph of C*-algebras with states.*

Lemma 3.22. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q, \varphi_q)_q, (B_e, \varphi_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras with states. For every $p_0, p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $a \in A_{p_0}$, we have $\varphi_p\left(\langle \Omega_p(p_0), a.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}}\right) = \varphi_{p_0}(a)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A_{p_0}$ and set $x_0 = a$ and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $x_k = r_{e_k} \circ s_{e_k}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_{e_k}^s(x_{k-1})$, where $g_{p_0,p} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$. By Lemma 3.9 we have

$$\langle \Omega_p(p_0), a.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = \langle \widehat{1} \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_n}{\otimes} 1, \widehat{a} \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_n}{\otimes} 1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}} = x_n.$$

Moreover, the assumptions on the states φ_e and $\varphi_{s(e)}$ imply that for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $\varphi_{r(e_k)}(x_k) = \varphi_{s(e_k)}(x_{k-1})$. It then follows, again by induction, that $\varphi_p(x_n) = \varphi_{p_0}(x_0) = \varphi_{p_0}(a)$, which concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.23. Proposition 3.18 and Lemma 3.22 imply the existence of a unique state φ on $P_p(p_0)$ such that for every $a \in A_{p_0}$, $\varphi(a) = \varphi_{p_0}(a)$ and for every reduced operator c, $\varphi(c) = 0$. We call this state the *fundamental state*.

Using states, we can investigate the dependance on p of $P_p(p_0)$. To do this, let us denote by $(H_{p_0,\varphi_p}, \pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}, \eta_{p_0,\varphi_p})$ the GNS construction of $(\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}, \varphi_p)$, i.e. H_{p_0,φ_p} is the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle x, y\rangle = \varphi_p(\langle x, y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p}})$, π_{p_0,φ_p} is the associated representation of $P_p(p_0)$ and η_{p_0,φ_p} is the canonical linear map with dense range, and set $\xi_{p_0,\varphi_p} = \eta_{p_0,\varphi_p}(\Omega_p(p_0))$.

Proposition 3.24. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q, \varphi_q)_q, (B_e, \varphi_e)_e)$ be a graph of C^*-algebras with states. For any two vertices $p_0, p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, there is a unitary $V_{p_0,p} : H_{p_0,\varphi_p} \to H_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}$ such that, for every reduced operator $a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots e_{e_n}^p a_n \in P_p(p_0)$,*

$$(V_{p_0,p})\pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}(a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n)(V_{p_0,p})^* = \pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n).$$

If moreover the states φ_{p_0} and φ_p are faithful, then there exists a unique $*$-isomorphism from $P(p_0)$ to $P_p(p_0)$ mapping $a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n$ to $a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n$.

Proof. We define $V_{p_0,p} : H_{p_0,\varphi_p} \to H_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}$ in the following way. For $a \in A_{p_0}$, we set

$$V_{p_0,p}(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}(a).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_p}) = \pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}$$

and for $a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n \in P_p(p_0)$ reduced, we set

$$V_{p_0,p}(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}(a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_p}) = \pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}.$$

According to Proposition 3.18, $V_{p_0,p}$ has dense domain and range. Moreover, by Lemma 3.22, we have, for every $a \in A_{p_0}$,

$$\|\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}\|^2 = \|\pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}(a).\xi_{p_0,\varphi_p}\|^2.$$

To prove that $V_{p_0,p}$ is well defined and extends to a unitary operator, we only have to check that for every reduced operator $a = a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$ one has,

$$\varphi_{p_0}(\langle 1_{A_{p_0}}, a^* a.1_{A_{p_0}}\rangle) = \varphi_p(\langle \Omega_p(p_0), b^* b.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle) \quad \text{with} \quad b = a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n.$$

Set $x_0 = a_0^* a_0$ and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $x_k = a_k^*(r_{e_k} \circ s_{e_k} \circ \mathbb{E}_{e_k}^s(x_{k-1}))a_k$. By Lemma 3.9, we have $\varphi_{p_0}(\langle \xi_{p_0}, a^* a.\xi_{p_0}\rangle) = \varphi_{p_0}(x_n)$. Set $y_k = \mathcal{P}_{e_k}^s(x_{k-1})$. We have, by induction,

$$b^* b = a_n^* u_{\overline{e}_n}^p \dots u_{\overline{e}_1}^p a_0^* a_0 u_{e_1}^p \dots u_{e_n}^p a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^* u_{\overline{e}_n}^p \dots u_{\overline{e}_k}^p y_k u_{e_k}^p \dots u_n^p a_n + x_n.$$

Since each term in this sum, except x_n, is reduced we get, by Proposition 3.18,

$$\langle \Omega_p(p_0), b^* b.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle = \langle \Omega_p(p_0), x_n.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.22 that

$$\varphi_p(\langle \Omega_p(p_0), b^*b.\Omega_p(p_0)\rangle) = \varphi_{p_0}(x_n) = \varphi_{p_0}(\langle 1_{A_{p_0}}, a^*a.1_{A_{p_0}}\rangle).$$

The end of the proof is routine. □

Remark 3.25. Proposition 3.18 and Lemma 3.22 imply that $(H_{p_0,\varphi_p}, \pi_{p_0,\varphi_p}, \eta_{p_0,\varphi_p})$ is the GNS construction of the fundamental state on $P_p(p_0)$ defined in Remark 3.23.

3.3. **Unscrewing.** We will now give an unscrewing process allowing us to recover any fundamental C*-algebra as an inductive limit of iterations of amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. The case of a finite graph is done by induction and the general case is obtained by an inductive limit argument. As an application, we prove that several C*-algebraic properties can be induced, possibly under some extra assumptions, form the vertex algebras to the reduced fundamental C*-algebra. This should be thought of as a C*-algebraic translation of J-P. Serre's *dévissage* technique as detailed in [Ser77, Sec 5.2].

The basic principle is quite simple. We start with a non-trivial connected graph and remove an edge. If the graph becomes disconnected, the fundamental C*-algebra is an amalgamated free product of the fundamental C*-algebras of the two connected components. If the graph is still connected, the fundamental C*-algebra is an HNN extension of the fundamental C*-algebra of the remaining graph.

From now on, we fix a graph of C*-algebras with faithful states $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q, \varphi_q)_q, (B_e, \varphi_e)_e)$. By Proposition 3.24 the reduced fundamental C*-algebra do not depend on a particular base (and the isomorphism is canonical). Hence, for the rest of this section, we always omit the superscript p and we simply denote by u_e, $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the canonical unitaries.

Assume that the graph $\mathcal{G}$ has at least two edges e and $\overline{e}$. We set $p_1 = s(e)$ and $p_2 = r(e)$ and let $P(p_k)$ be the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in p_k. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be the graph of C*-algebras obtained from $\mathcal{G}$ by removing the edges e and $\overline{e}$.

Case 1: The graph $\mathcal{G}'$ is not connected. Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{G}'$ containing p_k and let A_k be the reduced fundamental C*-algebra of the graph of C*-algebra restricted to $\mathcal{G}_k$ in p_k for $k = 1, 2$. By the universal property of Proposition 3.20 we can view canonically $A_k \subset P(p_k)$, for $k = 1, 2$ and, $u_e A_2 u_{\overline{e}} \subset P(p_1)$. Denote by $\mathbb{E}_k$ the canonical GNS-faithful conditional expectation from A_k to B_e^s if $k = 1$ or B_e^r if $k = 2$. Set $B = B_e$ and let $A_1 \underset{B}{*} A_2$ be the reduced amalgamated free product with respect to the maps s_e, r_e and the conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}_k$.

Lemma 3.26. *There exists a unique $*$-isomorphism $\rho : A_1 \underset{B}{*} A_2 \to P(p_1)$ such that*

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A_1 \\ u_e x u_{\overline{e}} & \text{if } x \in A_2 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, ρ is state-preserving.

Proof. Define the faithful unital $*$-homomorphisms $\rho_k : A_k \to P(p_1)$ by $\rho_1(x) = x$ and $\rho_2(x) = u_e x u_{\overline{e}}$. Setting $\imath_1 = s_e$ and $\imath_2 = r_e$, we have

$$\rho_1 \circ i_1 = \rho_2 \circ i_2 = s_e : B \to P(p_1).$$

Observe that $P(p_1)$ is generated as a C*-algebra by $\rho_k(A_k)$ for $k=1,2$. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be the canonical GNS-faithful conditional expectation from $P(p_1)$ to B_e^s i.e. $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}_e^s\circ\mathbb{E}_{A_{p_1}}$. By the universal property of the reduced amalgamated free product, it is enough to prove that

(1) $\mathbb{E}\circ\rho_k(x)=\rho_k\circ\mathbb{E}_k(x)$ for $x\in A_k$ and $k=1,2$.
(2) For any $n\geqslant 2$, $a_1,\dots,a_n$ with $a_l\in A_{l_k}\ominus i_{l_k}(B)$ and $l_k\neq l_{k+1}$ for all k, one has $\mathbb{E}(\rho_{l_1}(a_1)\dots\rho_{l_n}(a_n))=0$.

(1). We prove it for $k=2$ (it is obvious for $k=1$). Let $x\in A_2$. We may suppose that x is in A_{p_2} or is a reduced operator in A_2. Recall that $\mathbb{E}_2=\mathbb{E}_e^r\circ\mathbb{E}_{A_{p_2}}$. If $x=r_e(b)\in B_e^r$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(\rho_2(x))=\mathbb{E}(u_er_e(b)u_{\overline{e}})=\mathbb{E}(s_e(b))=s_e(b)\text{ and }\rho_2\circ\mathbb{E}_2(x)=u_er_e(b)u_{\overline{e}}=s_e(b).$$

If $x\in A_{p_2}\ominus B_e^r$, then $\rho_2(x)=u_exu_{\overline{e}}$ is a reduced operator in $P(p_1)$ hence $\mathbb{E}(\rho_2(x))=0$. Finally, if $x=a_0u_{e_1}\dots u_{e_n}a_n$ is a reduced operator in A_2 then $\mathbb{E}_2(x)=0$ and, since $e_1,e_n\notin\{e,\overline{e}\}$, $\rho_2(x)=u_exu_{\overline{e}}$ is a reduced operator in $P(p_1)$ and $\mathbb{E}(\rho_2(x))=0$.

(2). Let $n\geqslant 2$ and $a_1,\dots,a_n$ with $a_k\in A_{l_k}\ominus i_{l_k}(B)$ and $l_k\neq l_{k+1}$. We may and will assume that all the a_k's are either in $A_{p_{l_k}}\ominus i_{l_k}(B)$ or are reduced operators in A_{l_k}. Since the edges appearing in the elements a_k differ from e and $\overline{e}$, the operator $\rho_{l_1}(a_1)\dots\rho_{l_n}(a_n)$ is always reduced in $P(p_1)$. Hence, $\mathbb{E}(\rho_{l_1}(a_1)\dots\rho_{l_n}(a_n))=0$. □

Case 2: The graph $\mathcal{G}'$ is connected. Fix a maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}\subset\mathcal{G}'$ and let g be the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_1 to p_2. Let A be the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in p_1 of the graph of C*-algebras restricted to $\mathcal{G}'$, set $B=B_e^s\subset A$ and define a faithful unital $*$-homomorphism $\theta:B\to A$ by $\theta(x)=u_g[r_e\circ s_e^{-1}(x)]u_g^*$. Let $\mathbb{E}_1=\mathbb{E}_e^s$ be the canonical GNS-faithful conditional expectation from A onto B and set $\mathbb{E}_{-1}=u_g\mathbb{E}_e^r(u_g^*.u_g)u_g^*$, which is a GNS-faithful conditional expectation from A onto $\theta(B)$. Let $\mathrm{HNN}(A,B,\theta)$ be the reduced HNN extension with respect to the conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}_\epsilon$, $\epsilon\in\{-1,1\}$ and let $u\in\mathcal{U}(C)$ be the "stable letter" (see [Fim13]).

Lemma 3.27. *There exists a unique $*$-isomorphism $\rho:\mathrm{HNN}(A,B,\theta)\to P(p_1)$ such that,*

$$\rho(u)=u_gu_{\overline{e}}\quad\textit{and}\quad\rho(x)=x\quad\textit{for all}\quad x\in A.$$

Moreover, ρ intertwines the canonical conditional expectations onto B.

Proof. Set $v=u_gu_{\overline{e}}\in P(p_1)$ and view A as a subalgebra of $P(p_1)$ in a canonical way, by the universal property of Proposition 3.20. Let $\mathbb{E}'=\mathbb{E}_e^s\circ\mathbb{E}_{A_{p_1}}$ and note that $\mathbb{E}'$ is a GNS-faithful conditional expectation from $P(p_1)$ onto B. Observe that $vbv^*=\theta(b)$ for every $b\in B$ and that $P(p_1)$ is generated, as a C*-algebra, by A and v. For $\epsilon\in\{-1,1\}$, we set

$$B_\epsilon=\begin{cases}B & \text{if}\quad\epsilon=1\\ \theta(B) & \text{if}\quad\epsilon=-1\end{cases}$$

By the universal property [Fim13, Proposition 3.2] of the reduced HNN extension, it is enough to check that for all $n\geqslant 1$, $a_0,\dots a_n\in A$ and $\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_n\in\{-1,1\}$ such that $a_k\in A\ominus B_{\epsilon_k}$ whenever $\epsilon_k\neq\epsilon_{k+1}$, one has

$$\mathbb{E}'(a_0v^{\epsilon_1}\dots v^{\epsilon_n}a_n)=0.$$

To prove this, we may assume that $a_k\in A$ is either a reduced operator or lies in A_{p_1}. Let $x=a_0v^{\epsilon_1}\dots v^{\epsilon_n}a_n$ be a generic element. By suitably selecting elements a_k' for $0\leqslant k\leqslant n$ in the following sets:

- $a'_0 \in \{a_0, a_0 u_g\}$
- $a'_n \in \{a_n, u_{\overline{g}} a_n\}$
- $a'_k \in \{a_k, u_{\overline{g}} a_k u_g\}$ if $\epsilon_{k+1} \neq \epsilon_k$
- $a'_k \in \{a_k u_g, u_{\overline{g}} a_k\}$ if $\epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_k$

we may write $x = a'_0 u_{\overline{e}}^{\epsilon_1} \dots u_{\overline{e}}^{\epsilon_n} a'_n$. Using the relations of the C*-algebra, we can write each a'_k as a sum of reduced operators from p_i to p_j, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and with edges only in $\mathcal{G}'$ and elements of A_{p_1} or A_{p_2}. Hence, we may assume that all the a'_k are reduced such that the edges appearing in the reduced expression are not equal to e or $\overline{e}$ or $a'_k \in A_{p_1} \cup A_{p_2}$. Moreover, we will then have:

- If $\epsilon_1 = -1$, a'_0 is a reduced operator in A or $a_0 \in A_{p_1}$.
- If $\epsilon_1 = 1$, a'_0 is reduced operator from p_1 to p_2 or, if $p_1 = p_2$, $a_0 \in A_{p_1}$.
- If $\epsilon_n = 1$, a'_n is a reduced operator in A or $a'_n \in A_{p_1}$.
- If $\epsilon_n = -1$, a'_n is reduced operator from p_2 to p_1 or, if $p_1 = p_2$, $a'_n \in A_{p_1}$.

and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$,

- If $\epsilon_k = 1$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} = -1$, a'_k is reduced in A or $a_k \in A_{p_1} \ominus B_e^s$.
- If $\epsilon_k = -1$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} = 1$, a'_k is a reduced operator from p_2 to p_2 or $a_k \in A_{p_2} \ominus B_e^r$.
- If $\epsilon_k = 1$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} = 1$, a'_k is a reduced operator from p_1 to p_2 or, if $p_1 = p_2$, $a'_k \in A_{p_1}$.
- If $\epsilon_k = -1$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} = -1$, a'_k is a reduced operator from p_2 to p_1 or, if $p_1 = p_2$, $a'_k \in A_{p_1}$.

Summing up, we see that x is always a reduced operator in $P(p_1)$. Hence, $\mathbb{E}'(x) = \mathbb{E}_e^s \circ \mathbb{E}_{A_{p_1}}(x) = 0$. This concludes the proof. □

Combining Lemma 3.26 and Lemma 3.27, we get the following proposition by a straightforward induction.

Proposition 3.28. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a* finite *graph of C*-algebras. Then, the reduced fundamental C*-algebra $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, (A_p)_p, (B_e)_e)$ is isomorphic to an iteration of amalgamated free products and HNN extensions of vertex algebras amalgamated over edge algebras.*

Using an inductive limit argument, we can extend the previous result to arbitrary graph.

Theorem 3.29. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras. Then, the reduced fundamental C*-algebra $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, (A_q)_q, (B_e)_e)$ is isomorphic to an inductive limit of iterations of amalgamated free products and HNN extensions of vertex algebras amalgamated over edge algebras.*

Proof. Fix $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and let A be the fundamental C*-algebra in p_0 of the graph of C*-algebras. Let $C_f(\mathcal{G}, p_0)$ be the directed set of connected finite subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}$ containing p_0 ordered by inclusion. For any $\mathcal{K} \in C_f(\mathcal{G}, p_0)$ let $A_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in p_0 of the graph of C*-algebras restricted to $\mathcal{K}$. By the universal property of Proposition 3.20, we can view $A_{\mathcal{K}}$ as a subalgebra of A in a canonical way. If $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \in C_f(\mathcal{G}, p_0)$ are such that $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2$ we can also identify in a canonical way $A_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ with a subalgebra of $A_{\mathcal{K}_2}$. This means that we have an inductive system of unital C*-algebras $(A_{\mathcal{K}})_{\mathcal{K} \in C_f(\mathcal{G}, p_0)}$. Let

$$A_\infty = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{K} \in C_f(\mathcal{G}, p_0)} A_{\mathcal{K}}} \subset A$$

be the inductive limit of this system. Our claim is that A_∞ is in fact equal to A. To prove it, it is enough to prove that any reduced operator $x = a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n \in A$ lies in A_∞. In fact, such an operator x is in $A_{\mathcal{K}}$ where $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite connected subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ containing the edges $e_1, \dots, e_n$ and the vertices $p_0, r(e_1), \dots r(e_{n-1})$, hence the result. □

As an application, we can give a permanence property for exactness. Other permanence properties will be proved later on for quantum groups (Section 4) and for graphs of von Neumann algebras (Section 6).

Corollary 3.30. *Let $(\mathcal{G},(A_q)_q,(B_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras. Then, the reduced fundamental C*-algebra $\pi_1(\mathcal{G},(A_p)_p,(B_e)_e)$ is exact if and only if all the C*-algebras A_p are exact.*

Proof. The only if part comes from the fact that any subalgebra of an exact C*-algebra is again exact. For the if part, we can restrict to finite graphs since an inductive limit of exact C*-algebras is again exact. We know that exactness passes to amalgamated free products (see [Dyk04, Thm 3.2]) and also to HNN extensions by [Ued05, Sec 7.4]. Combining this with Theorem 3.29, we get the result. □

4. The fundamental quantum group of a graph of discrete quantum groups

We can now use the results of Section 3 to define and study the fundamental quantum group of a graph of discrete quantum groups.

4.1. Definition of the fundamental quantum group.

Definition 4.1. A *graph of discrete quantum groups* is a tuple

$$(\mathcal{G},(\mathbb{G}_q)_{q\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})},(\mathbb{G}_e)_{e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})},(s_e)_{e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})}) \quad \text{satisfying the following properties:}$$

- $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected graph.
- For every $q\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $\mathbb{G}_q$ and $\mathbb{G}_e$ are compact quantum groups.
- For every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $\mathbb{G}_{\overline{e}}=\mathbb{G}_e$.
- For every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $s_e : C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_e)\to C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_{s(e)})$ is a unital faithful $*$-homomorphism intertwining the coproducts (i.e. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_e$ is a discrete quantum subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{s(e)}$).

Remark 4.2. As mentionned in Remark 2.5, Pontryagin duality allows us to use only compact quantum groups when dealing with discrete quantum groups. That is the reason why we call the above object a graph of discrete quantum groups, even though there are only compact quantum groups in the definition.

For the remainder of this section we fix a maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}\subset\mathcal{G}$ and we denote by P_m the maximal fundamental C*-algebra of the graph of C*-algebras $(\mathcal{G},(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_q))_q,(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_e))_e)$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$.

From a graph of discrete quantum groups, we also obtain another graph of C*-algebras, given by the reduced C*-algebras in the following way. For every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the map s_e induces a unital faithful $*$-homomorphism $s_e : C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e)\to C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_{s(e)})$ which intertwines the coproduct (indeed, since s_e intertwines the coproduct, it maps a unitary representation onto a unitary representation. Moreover, since s_e is injective, it maps an irreducible representation onto an irreducible representation. Hence, s_e preserves the Haar states). Let $\varphi_{s(e)}$ and φ_e denote the Haar states of $\mathbb{G}_{s(e)}$ and $\mathbb{G}_e$ respectively. According to [Ver04, Prop 2.2], $\varphi_{s(e)}\circ s_e=\varphi_e$ for every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and there exists a unique conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_e^s : C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_{s(e)})\to s_e(C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e))$ such that $\varphi_{s(e)}=\varphi_e\circ s_e^{-1}\circ\mathbb{E}_e^s$. In particular, $\mathbb{E}_e^s$ is automatically faithful (hence GNS-faithful) since $\varphi_{s(e)}$ is. This conditional expectation is also characterized by the following invariance property:

$$(\imath\otimes\mathbb{E}_e^s)\circ\Delta_{s(e)}=(\mathbb{E}_e^s\otimes\imath)\circ\Delta_{s(e)}=\Delta_e\circ s_e^{-1}\circ\mathbb{E}_e^s=\Delta_{s(e)}\circ\mathbb{E}_e^s.$$

We obtain a graph of C*-algebras with faithful states $(\mathcal{G}, (C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_p), h_p), ((C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e), h_e))$, where h_p and h_e are the Haar states on $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_p)$ and $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e)$ respectively. Until the end of this section, we fix a vertex $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and we denote by $P = P(p_0)$ the reduced fundamental C*-algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, (C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_q))_q, (C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e))_e)$ in p_0 and by φ the fundamental state on P. For $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, let us denote by λ_q the canonical surjective $*$-homomorphism $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_q) \to C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_q)$ which is the identity on $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_q)$. By the universal property, we have a unique $*$-homomorphism

$$\lambda : P_m \to P$$

such that $\lambda(u_e) = u_e^{p_0}$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\lambda(a) = \pi_{q,p_0}^{p_0} \circ \lambda_q(a)$ for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $a \in A_q$. In particular, λ is injective on $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_q)$ for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$.

By the universal property of Proposition 3.6, there is a unique unital $*$-homomorphism

$$\Delta_m : P_m \to P_m \otimes P_m$$

such that for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, and every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G}), a \in A_q$

$$\Delta_m(u_e) = u_e \otimes u_e \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_m(a) = \Delta_q(a).$$

Obviously, P_m is generated as a C*-algebra by the group-like unitaries u_e for $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and the elements u_{ij}^{α} for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_q)$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \dim(\alpha)$ and $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. The conditions of [Wan95, Definition 2.1'] being satisfied by these elements, $\mathbb{G} = (P_m, \Delta_m)$ is a compact quantum group called the *fundamental quantum group* of the graph of quantum groups.

For $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, set $M_p = \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}_p)$ and $N_e = \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}_e)$. Observe that, for any $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the map s_e induces a unital normal faithful $*$-homomorphism, still denoted s_e, from N_e to $M_{s(e)}$ which intertwines the coproducts, the Haar states and the modular groups. Hence, we get a graph of von Neumann algebras $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q)_q, (N_e)e)$ and we denote by M the fundamental von Neumann algebra in p_0. The hypothesis of section 6.5 being satisfied, the fundamental state φ on P is faithful and the von Neumann algebra generated by P in the GNS representation of φ is M.

4.2. **Representation theory and Haar state.** Let us investigate the representation theory of the fundamental quantum group. For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the map s_e induces an injective map, still denoted s_e, from $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_e)$ to $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_{s(e)}) \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $u_e \in P_m$ is an irreducible representation of dimension 1. The following definition will be convenient to describe the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{G}$.

Definition 4.3. A unitary representation u of $\mathbb{G}$ is said to be *reduced* if

$$u = u^{\alpha_0} \otimes u_{e_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{e_n} \otimes u^{\alpha_n}$$

where $n \geqslant 1$ and

- $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0
- $\alpha_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_p)$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_{r(e_i)})$
- For all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $\alpha_i \notin s_{e_{i+1}}(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_{e_{i+1}}))$ whenever $e_{i+1} = \overline{e}_i$.

Theorem 4.4. *We have,*

(1) For an irreducible unitary representation u of $\mathbb{G}$ one of the following holds:
- *$u = u_e$ for some $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$.*
- *u is an irreducible representation of $\mathbb{G}_q$ for some $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$.*
- *u is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of a reduced representation.*

Hence, the set $\bigcup_{q\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}_q) \cup \{u_e : e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})\}$ *generates the representation category of* $\mathbb{G}$.

(2) *The Haar state* h *of* $\mathbb{G}$ *is given by* $h = \varphi \circ \lambda$.

(3) *We have* $C_{max}(\mathbb{G}) = P_m$, $C_{red}(\mathbb{G}) = P$, $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = M$, *and* $\lambda = \lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Proof. (1). It is clear that the unitaries u_e and the irreducible representations of the compact quantum groups $\mathbb{G}_q$ are irreducible representations of $\mathbb{G}$. Moreover, the closure of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the coefficients of the aforementioned representations and the reduced representations contains, by definition of the conditional expectations, the linear span of $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_{p_0})$ and the reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 in P_m, which is a dense $*$-subalgebra in P_m (see Remark 3.16). Since $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in P_m and generated by coefficients of representations, it must contain the coefficients of all irreducible representations i.e. $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. This proves (1).

(2). Let $\mathcal{Q}_m \subset P_m$ be the linear span of the coefficients of all reduced representations. One can easily check that $\Delta_m(\mathcal{Q}_m) \subset \mathcal{Q}_m \odot \mathcal{Q}_m$ and that $\lambda(\mathcal{Q}_m)$ is spanned by reduced operators. Hence, for any $x \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, $(\varphi \otimes \imath) \circ \Delta_m(x) = (\imath \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_m(x) = 0 = \varphi(x).1$. Since the linear span of $\mathcal{Q}_m$ and $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_{p_0})$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra of P_m, we only have to check the invariance property of φ on $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_{p_0})$, which is obvious.

(3). The map λ is surjective and φ is faithful on P, hence P is the reduced C*-algebra of $\mathbb{G}$. The universal property of Proposition 3.6 implies that P_m is the enveloping C*-algebra of $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, i.e. P_m is the maximal C*-algebra of $\mathbb{G}$. Moreover, $\lambda = \lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ since it is the identity on $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. Eventually, $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = M$ because M is the von Neumann algebra generated by P in the GNS representation of φ. □

Remark 4.5. In the case of a free product *without amalgamation*, the irreducible representations are exactly the reduced representations (together with the representations coming from the quantum groups). However, this fails as soon as one allows amalgamation, as shown in the example at the end of Section 2 of [Ver04].

Combining the results of this section with Examples 3.4 and 3.5, we see that we recover both the amalgamated free product construction of [Wan95] and the HNN construction of [Fim13].

4.3. **Permanence properties.** We give in this section some permanence results for approximation properties under the fundamental quantum group construction. Let us say that a unimodular discrete quantum group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is *hyperlinear* if the von Neumann algebra $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ embeds into an ultraproduct R^ω of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R.

Theorem 4.6. *Let* $(\mathcal{G}, (\mathbb{G}_q)_q, (\mathbb{G}_e)_e)$ *be a graph of discrete quantum groups.*

(1) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *is exact if and only if all the vertex quantum groups (hence all the edge quantum groups) are exact.*

(2) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *is unimodular if and only if all the vertex quantum groups (hence all the edge quantum groups) are unimodular.*

(3) *If all the vertex quantum groups are unimodular and hyperlinear and if all the edge quantum groups are amenable, then* $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *is hyperlinear.*

(4) *If all the vertex quantum groups are unimodular and have the Haagerup property and if all the edge quantum groups are finite, then* $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *has the Haagerup property.*

(5) *If all the vertex quantum groups are unimodular and weakly amenable with Cowling-Haagerup constant* 1 *and if all the edge quantum groups are finite, then* $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *is weakly amenable with Cowling-Haagerup constant* 1.

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 3.30 while (2) follows from Propositions 6.6 and 6.11. (3) and (4) are proved in Corollary 6.10, by using again Proposition 6.11 (see also [DFSS13, Proposition 7.13 and 7.14] for the cases of amalgamated free product and HNN extensions). Finally, (5) is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.29 and the permanence properties proved in [Fre13, Chap 2]. □

5. K-amenability

In this section we will illustrate the construction of the fundamental quantum group by generalizing the Julg-Valette theorem [JV84].

Theorem 5.1. *The fundamental quantum group of a graph of amenable discrete quantum groups is K-amenable.*

The proof will be done in several steps. The strategy consists in using the natural representations of the reduced fundamental C*-algebra on a quantum Bass-Serre tree, i.e. an analogue of the ℓ^2-spaces of vertices and edges of the Bass-Serre tree associated to a classical graph of groups. In that way, we get two representations of the reduced C*-algebra. We then build a KK-element and prove that it yields the K-amenability of the fundamental quantum group.

From now on, we fix an *oriented* graph of compact quantum groups $(\mathcal{G}, (\mathbb{G}_q)_q, (\mathbb{G}_e)_e)$ such that all the compact quantum groups $\mathbb{G}_q$ are co-amenable. We set $A_q = C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_q) = C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_q)$, $B_e = C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}_e) = C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}_e)$ and we use the notations of the preceding sections. For $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, denote by $\varepsilon_q : A_q \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon_e : B_e \to \mathbb{C}$ the counit of $\mathbb{G}_q$ and $\mathbb{G}_e$ respectively. Since the maps s_e, r_e are faithful and intertwine the coproducts we have, by the characterization of the counit given in Section 2.2, $\varepsilon_{s(e)} \circ s_e = \varepsilon_e = \varepsilon_{r(e)} \circ r_e$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$.

Let $\mathbb{G} = (P, \Delta)$ be the reduced fundamental quantum group of the graph of quantum groups, where $P = P(p_0)$ is the reduced fundamental C*-algebra at a fixed vertex $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Since the Haar states φ_q, $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, and φ_e, $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ form a graph of faithful states, we can identify canonically P with any of the C*-algebras $P_p(p_0)$ for $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. We will consequently identify P with its images in all the spaces $\mathcal{L}_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{p_0,p})$ for $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and omit the superscripts p in the unitaries u_e^p, i.e. we write $a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n$ for a reduced operator in P.

5.1. **The quantum Bass-Serre tree.** Let us fix a vertex $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. For every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, let $(L_{p,q}, \pi_{p,q}, \eta_{p,q})$ be the GNS construction of $(\mathcal{H}_{p,q}, \varepsilon_q)$. Set $\xi^L_{p,q} = \eta_{p,q}(\Omega_q(p))$. The "$\ell^2$-space of vertices" relative to p is the Hilbert space

$$L_p = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})} L_{p,q}$$

on which $P(p)$ ($\simeq P_q(p)$) acts by $\pi_p = \oplus_q \pi_{p,q}$. For $p = p_0$ we write $L = L_{p_0}$, $\pi = \pi_{p_0}$ and $\xi^L_{p_0} = \xi^L_{p_0,p_0}$.

For every $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, let $(K_{p,f}, \rho_{p,f}, \eta_{p,f})$ be the GNS construction of $(\mathcal{H}_{p,s(f)}, \varepsilon_{s(f)} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_f)$ and set $\xi^K_{p,f} = \eta_{p,f}(\Omega_{s(f)}(q))$. Let $\mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of positive vertices

corresponding to the orientation. Then, the "ℓ^2-space of *positive* edges" relative to p is the Hilbert space

$$K_p = \bigoplus_{f\in \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})} K_{p,f}$$

on which $P(p)$ ($\simeq P_{s(f)}(p)$) acts by $\rho_p = \oplus_{f\geqslant 0}\rho_{p,f}$. For $p = p_0$ we write $K = K_{p_0}$ and $\rho = \rho_{p_0}$. Let us give some relations between the norms of these Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p to q and let $a = \widehat{a}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_n}{\otimes} a_n \in \mathcal{H}_w$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta_{p,q}(a)\|^2_{L_{p,q}} &= |\varepsilon_q(a_n)|^2\|\eta_{p,e_n}(\widehat{a}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} a_{n-1})\|^2_{K_{p,e_n}}\\ &= |\varepsilon_q(a_n)|^2\|\eta_{p,\overline{e}_n}(\widehat{a}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} \widehat{a}_{n-1} \underset{e_n}{\otimes} 1)\|^2_{K_{p,\overline{e}_n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 = a_0^*a_0$ and, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $x_k = a_k^*(r_{e_k} \circ s_{e_k}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_k}(x_{k-1}))a_k$. Set $a' = \widehat{a}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} \widehat{a}_{n-1} \underset{e_n}{\otimes} 1 \in \mathcal{H}_{p,s(\overline{e}_n)}$ and $a'' = \widehat{a}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{p,s(e_n)}$. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\langle a, a\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p,q}} = x_n, \quad \langle a', a'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p,s(e_n)}} = x'_n \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a'', a''\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{p,s(\overline{e}_n)}} = x_{n-1},$$

where $x'_n = r_{e_n} \circ s_{e_n}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1})$. Hence, we get

$$\|\eta_{p,q}(a)\|^2_{L_{p,q}} = \varepsilon_q(x_n) = \varepsilon_q(a_n^*(r_{e_n} \circ s_{e_n}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1}))a_n) = |\varepsilon_q(a_n)|^2\varepsilon_{r(e_n)} \circ r_{e_n} \circ s_{e_n}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1})$$

and

$$\|\eta_{p,\overline{e}_n}(a')\|^2_{K_{p,\overline{e}_n}} = \varepsilon_{s(\overline{e}_n)} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{\overline{e}_n}(x'_n) = \varepsilon_{r(e_n)} \circ r_{e_n} \circ s_{e_n}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1}).$$

This proves the second equality. Moreover, using the relation $\varepsilon_{r(e_n)} \circ r_{e_n} = \varepsilon_{s(e_n)} \circ s_{e_n}$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{r(e_n)} \circ r_{e_n} \circ s_{e_n}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1}) = \varepsilon_{s(e_n)} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{e_n}(x_{n-1}) = \|\eta_{p,e_n}(a'')\|^2_{K_{p,e_n}},$$

proving the first equality. □

Remark 5.3. In $L_{p,q}$ we have $\eta_{p,q}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \varepsilon_q(a_n)\eta_{p,q}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)$. Indeed, the formula is obvious for $n = 0$ and, for $n \geqslant 1$, it follows by Lemma 5.2 that

$$||\eta_{p,q}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) - \varepsilon_q(a_n)\eta_{p,q}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)||^2 = ||\eta_{p,q}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes (a_n - \varepsilon_q(a_n)))||^2 = 0.$$

Remark 5.4. An easy computation shows that in $K_{p,f}$ we have, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\eta_{p,f}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n b) = \varepsilon_{s(f)}(b)\eta_{q,f}(\widehat{a}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \quad \text{for all } b \in B^s_f.$$

Actually, the following holds for the spaces $K_{p,f}$:

$$\eta_{p,f}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \varepsilon_{s(f)} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_f(a_n)\eta_{p,f}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) + \eta_{p,f}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}^s_f(a_n)).$$

5.2. **The Julg-Valette operator.** We now define an operator $\mathcal{F} : L \to K$ which will give our KK-element. In the classical situation, the operator $\mathcal{F}$ associates to each vertex p the last edge in the unique geodesic path from p_0 to p. Here, the construction is similar but we use the orientation we fixed on the graph $\mathcal{G}$ instead of the ascending orientation. Set $\mathcal{F}(\xi^L_{p_0}) = 0$ and for every path $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ from p_0 to q and every $x = \widehat{x}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_n}{\otimes} x_n \in \mathcal{H}_w$, set

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta_{p_0,q}(x)) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{r(e_n)}(x_n)\eta_{p_0,e_n}(\widehat{x}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} x_{n-1}) \in K_{p_0,e_n} & \text{if} \quad e_n \in \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}) \\ \varepsilon_{r(e_n)}(x_n)\eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_n}(\widehat{x}_0 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{n-1}}{\otimes} \widehat{x}_{n-1} \underset{e_n}{\otimes} 1) \in K_{p_0,\overline{e}_n} & \text{if} \quad e_n \notin \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}) \end{cases}$$

The operator $\mathcal{F}$ is called the *Julg-Valette operator* associated to the graph of quantum groups. For every vertex $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, let $\mathcal{H}^+_{p_0,q}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^-_{p_0,q}$) be the direct sum of all path Hilbert modules $\mathcal{H}_w$ where w is a non-empty path from p_0 to q such that the last edge of w is positive (resp. negative) and let $L^+_{p_0,q}$ (resp. $L^-_{p_0,q}$) be the closure of $\eta_{p_0,q}(\mathcal{H}^+_{p_0,q})$ (resp. $\eta_{p_0,q}(\mathcal{H}^-_{p_0,q})$). We have a decomposition

$$L_{p_0,q} = \begin{cases} L^+_{p_0,q} \oplus L^-_{p_0,q} \oplus \mathbb{C}.\xi^L_{p_0} & \text{if} \quad p_0 = q, \\ L^+_{p_0,q} \oplus L^-_{p_0,q} & \text{if} \quad p_0 \neq q. \end{cases}$$

Summing up over all q's gives a similar decomposition $L = L^+ \oplus L^- \oplus \mathbb{C}.\xi^L_{p_0}$ where,

$$L^+ = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})} L^+_{p_0,q} \text{ and } L^- = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})} L^-_{p_0,q}.$$

It is easily seen from Lemma 5.2 that $\mathcal{F}$ is an isometry on L^+ and L^- and hence extends to a bounded operator so that, $\mathcal{F}(L^+)$ and $\mathcal{F}(L^-)$ being orthogonal, $\mathcal{F}$ is isometric on the orthogonal complement of $\xi^L_{p_0}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is also surjective on that space, we have $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^* = \mathrm{Id}_K$. This also implies that $\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Id}_L - p_{\xi^L_{p_0}}$, where $p_{\xi^L_{p_0}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}.\xi_{p^L_0}$. In short, we have proven that $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary modulo compact operators. In order to get a KK-element, we now have to prove that $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with the representations π and ρ of P up to the compact operators.

Lemma 5.5. *With the notations above, the following hold:*

(1) For every $a \in A_{p_0}$ we have $\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) = \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F}$.

(2) For every reduced operator $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0 \in P$, $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F}) = X_a$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_a \quad &= \quad \underset{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}{\mathrm{Span}} \left(\left\{ \eta_{p_0,e_k}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{k+1}}{\otimes} a_k) : e_k \geqslant 0 \right\} \right) \\ &\oplus \quad \underset{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}{\mathrm{Span}} \left(\left\{ \eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_k}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{k+1}}{\otimes} \widehat{a}_k \underset{e_k}{\otimes} 1) : e_k \leqslant 0 \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(3) For every $a \in P$ the operator $\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F}$ is compact.

Proof. The proof of (1) is obvious. Let us prove (2). Let $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$, where $n \geqslant 1$ and $(e_n, \dots, e_1)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0. Observe that $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi^L_{p_0}$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a)\xi^L_{p_0} = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \begin{cases} \eta_{p_0,e_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_2}{\otimes} a_1) \in X_a & \text{if} \quad e_1 \geqslant 0, \\ \eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_2}{\otimes} \widehat{a}_1 \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1) \in X_a & \text{if} \quad e_1 \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

Take now $\xi \in L$, of the form $\eta_{p_0,q}(b)$ for some $b = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1 \in \mathcal{H}_{p_0,q}$, where $m \geqslant 1$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is a path from p_0 to q. Set $b' = b_0$ if $m = 1$ and, $b' = \widehat{b}_0 \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_{m-1}}{\otimes} b_{m-1}$ if $m > 1$. We have

$$(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(a.b) - \eta_{p_0,f_m}(a.b') & \text{if} \quad f_m \geqslant 0, \\ \mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(a.b) - \eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(a.b) & \text{if} \quad f_m \leqslant 0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Using the notations of Lemma 3.17, we have an integer n_0 associated to the pair (a, b). Denote by n_0' the integer associated to the pair (a, b') (assume $m \geqslant 2$, otherwise it is easy to conclude). Observe that

$$n_0' = \begin{cases} n_0 & \text{if} \quad n_0 < m, \\ m - 1 & \text{if} \quad n_0 = m. \end{cases}$$

Case 1: $\xi \in L^-$. This means that $f_m \leqslant 0$.

If $n < m$, Lemma 3.17 implies that $a.b \in \mathcal{H}^-_{p_0,q}$ and, by definition of $\mathcal{F}$, $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = 0$.

Assume $n \geqslant m$. If $n_0 < m$, Lemma 3.17 again implies that $a.b \in \mathcal{H}^-_{p_0,q}$ and we get, as before, $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = 0$. If $n_0 = m$, $e_m = \overline{f}_m \geqslant 0$ and, with the notations of Lemma 3.17, we have

$$a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1 + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} x_m,$$

where $x_m = a_m z$ and $z \in B^s_{e_m} = B^r_{f_m}$. On the one hand we have, since $f_m \leqslant 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(a.b) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1) + \varepsilon_q(x_m)\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1)$$

and $\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1) = \begin{cases} \eta_{p_0,e_{m+1}}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+2}}{\otimes} a_{m+1}) \in X_a & \text{if} \quad e_{m+1} \geqslant 0, \\ \eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_{m+1}}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1) \in X_a & \text{if} \quad e_{m+1} \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$

On the other hand, since $z \in B^r_{f_m}$ we get

$$\eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(a.b) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1) + \varepsilon_q(z)\eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} a_m)$$

and $\eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} a_m) = \eta_{p_0,e_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} a_m) \in X_a$. Hence, by Equation (1), we get

$$(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = \varepsilon_q(x_m)\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1) - \varepsilon_q(z)\eta_{p_0,\overline{f}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} a_m) \in X_a.$$

Case 2: $\xi \in L^+$. This means that $f_m \geqslant 0$.

If $n < m$, we have $n_0' = n_0 \leqslant n < m$. Lemma 3.17 applied to the pairs (a, b) and (a, b') and the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ imply that $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = 0$.

Assume $n \geqslant m$. If $n_0 < m$ then $n_0' = n_0$ and Lemma 3.17 again implies $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a)\mathcal{F})\xi = 0$. If $n_0 = m$ then $n_0' = m-1$, with the notations of Lemma 3.17 we have

$$a.b = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1 + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} x_m$$

and

$$a.b' = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_{m-1}}{\otimes} b_{m-1} + \widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} x_{m-1}.$$

where $x_{m-1} \in A_{r(e_m)}$ and $y_m = x_{m-1} - \mathbb{E}^r_{e_m}(x_{m-1})$. On the one hand we have, since $f_m \geqslant 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(a.b) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_{m-1}}{\otimes} b_{m-1}) + \eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} y_m) \\ &+ \varepsilon_q(x_m)\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1). \end{aligned}$$

As before, we see easily that $\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1) \in X_a$. On the other hand,

$$\eta_{p_0,f_m}(a.b') = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_k}{\otimes} \widehat{y}_k \underset{f_k}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_{m-1}}{\otimes} b_{m-1}) + \eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} x_{m-1}).$$

Hence, by Equation (1), we have

$$(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi = \varepsilon_q(x_m)\mathcal{F}\eta_{p_0,q}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes} 1) + \eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} (y_m - x_{m-1})).$$

Since $y_m - x_{m-1} = -\mathbb{E}^r_{e_m}(x_{m-1}) = -\mathbb{E}^s_{f_m}(x_{m-1})$,

$$\eta_{p_0,f_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} (y_m - x_{m-1})) = -\varepsilon_{s(f_m)} \circ \mathbb{E}^s_{f_m}(x_{m-1})\eta_{p_0,\bar{e}_m}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_m}{\otimes} 1) \in X_a.$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})\xi \in X_a$.

(3) now follows easily from (1) and (2) since $(\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(a) - \rho(a) \circ \mathcal{F})$ has finite rank for every a in the linear span of A_{p_0} and the reduced operators, which is dense in P. □

We thus have constructed a KK-element $\gamma = [(L, K, \mathcal{F})] \in \mathrm{KK}(C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}), \mathbb{C})$. Let us describe a triple which is equal to $\lambda^*_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma) - [\varepsilon]$. Set

$$\widetilde{K} = K \oplus \mathbb{C}.\Omega$$

for some norm-one vector Ω and endow it with $\widetilde{\rho}$, which is the direct sum of the representation $\rho \circ \lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ and the trivial representation. Let $F : L \to \widetilde{K}$ be the operator defined by $F = \mathcal{F}$ on the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C}.\xi^L_{p_0}$ and $F(\xi^L_{p_0}) = \Omega$, which is unitary. Then, the triple $(L, \widetilde{K}, F)$ (where L is endowed with the representation $\pi \circ \lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$, that we still denote by π) defines a class $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathrm{KK}(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}), \mathbb{C})$ which is equal to $\lambda^*_{\mathbb{G}}(\gamma) - [\varepsilon]$.

Remark 5.6. Note that we have $F \circ \pi(a) \circ F^* = \widetilde{\rho}(a)$ for every $a \in A_{p_0}$.

5.3. **The homotopy.** We now want to construct an homotopy in $\mathrm{KK}(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}),\mathbb{C})$ from $\widetilde{\gamma}$ to 0. This will be done using deformations of both representations π and $\widetilde{\rho}$, conjugating them by some path of unitaries. We thus first have to define these unitaries. Recall that if $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $q\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, the unitary

$$u_e^q\in\mathcal{L}_{A_q}\left(\mathcal{H}_{r(e),q},\mathcal{H}_{s(e),q}\right)$$

induces in a canonical way an operator in $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{r(e),q},L_{s(e),q}\right)$ still denoted u_e^q. This procedure respects composition and adjoints, hence u_e^q is unitary for every $e\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $q\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. We also define, for $e,f\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the unitaries $u_e^f\in\mathcal{B}\left(K_{r(e),f},K_{s(e),f}\right)$ which are induced by the unitaries

$$u_e^{s(f)}\in\mathcal{L}_{A_{s(f)}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{r(e),s(f)},\mathcal{H}_{s(e),s(f)}\right).$$

5.3.1. *Deformation of $\widetilde{\rho}$.* For $q\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, set $\widetilde{K}_q=K_q\oplus\mathbb{C}.\Omega$ endowed with the sum $\widetilde{\rho}_q$ of $\rho_q\circ\lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ and the trivial representation. For $e\in\mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})$, set

$$u_e^K=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}.\Omega}\oplus\bigoplus_{f\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+}u_e^f:\widetilde{K}_{r(e)}\to\widetilde{K}_{s(e)}\quad\text{and}\quad u_{\overline{e}}^K=(u_e^K)^*.$$

Remark 5.7. For every $e\in\mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}),b\in B_e$ we have $\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e)}(s_e(b))=u_e^K\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b))(u_e^K)^*$. Indeed, since the relation holds on $K_{r(e)}$, it suffices to check it on Ω:

$$\begin{aligned}u_e^K\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b))(u_e^K)^*.\Omega &= u_e^K\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).\Omega=\varepsilon_{r(e)}(r_e(b))u_e^K.\Omega=\varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b))\Omega\\ &= \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e)}(s_e(b)).\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

For $e\in\mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})$, we define a unitary $v_e:\widetilde{K}_{r(e)}\to\widetilde{K}_{s(e)}$ in the following way:

Case 1: e is not a loop. This means that $s(e)\neq r(e)$. In that case we set $v_e=u_e^K$.

Case 2: e is a loop. Let $p=r(e)=s(e)$. If $f\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+$ and $f\neq e$, v_e acts on $K_{p,f}$ by u_e^f as before. Let $\mathcal{L}_e$ be the closure of the linear span of elements $\eta_{p,e}(b)\in K_{p,e}$ for $b=\widehat{1}\underset{e}{\otimes}b_1\underset{e_2}{\otimes}\dots\underset{e_n}{\otimes}b_n$ with $n\geqslant 1$, i.e. the span of "words starting with e" and denote by $\mathcal{R}_e$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{L}_e$ in $K_{p,e}$. In the same way, we define spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{e}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{e}}$. Obviously, $u_e^{r(e)}$ sends $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{e}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{e}}$) bijectively onto $\mathcal{L}_e$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_e$). Moreover,

$$u_e^{r(e)}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{e}}\ominus\mathbb{C}.\eta_{p,e}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1)\right)=\mathcal{R}_e\ominus\mathbb{C}.\xi_{p,e}^K.$$

Let v_e be equal to $u_e^{r(e)}$ on these spaces and extend it by setting

- $v_e(\Omega)=\xi_{p,e}^K$
- $v_e\left(\eta_{p,e}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1)\right)=\Omega$

Summing up, we have again defined a unitary operator

$$v_e:\widetilde{K}_{r(e)}=\widetilde{K}_p\to\widetilde{K}_p=\widetilde{K}_{s(e)}.$$

Lemma 5.8. *For every $e\in\mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}),b\in B_e$ we have $\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e)}(s_e(b))=v_e\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b))v_e^*$.*

Proof. Since $v_e=u_e^K$ whenever e is not a loop and since the equation is known to hold for u_e^K, we may and will assume that e is a loop. Let $p=s(e)=r(e)$. Since the equation is already known

to hold for the u_e^f's and since v_e only differs from the u_e^f's on a finite-dimensional subspace, we can restrict our attention to finitely many vectors.

We have to check the equality on Ω and $\xi_{p,e}^K$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_e\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b))v_e^*.\Omega &= v_e\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).\eta_{p,e}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1) = \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b))v_e.\eta_{p,e}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1) \\ &= \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b))\Omega = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e)}(s_e(b)).\Omega \end{aligned}$$

using $\widehat{r_e(b)}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1 = \widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}s_e(b)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} v_e\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b))v_e^*.\xi_{p,e}^K &= v_e\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).\Omega = \varepsilon_{r(e)}(r_e(b))v_e.\Omega = \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b))\xi_{p,e}^K \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e)}(s_e(b)).\xi_{p,e}^K. \end{aligned}$$

□

Up to now, v_e is only defined for positive edges e. If e is a negative edge, we set $v_e = (v_{\overline{e}})^*$. Let us deform these operators. Because the unitaries u_e^K satisfy the same relations as the v_e's, we have $(u_e^K)^*v_e \in \mathcal{B}\left(\widetilde{K}_{r(e)}\right) \cap \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(B_e^r)'$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$. Let h_e be a positive element in $\mathcal{B}\left(\widetilde{K}_{r(e)}\right) \cap \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e)}(B_e^r)'$ such that $(u_e^K)^*v_e = \exp(ih_e)$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$v_e^t = u_e^K \exp(ith_e).$$

Applying Corollary 3.8 with our given fixed maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}$, the vertex p_0, the representations $\widetilde{\rho}_q$ and the unitaries v_e^t we get, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a representation

$$\widetilde{\rho}_t : C_{\max}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{K})$$

such that, for any path $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0 and any $a_0 \in A_{s(e_1)}$, $a_i \in A_{r(e_i)}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\rho}_t(a_0u_{e_1}\dots u_{e_n}a_n) = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_1)}(a_0)v_{e_1}^t\dots v_{e_n}^t\widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_n)}(a_n),$$

where u_e denotes the canonical unitary in $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$.

5.3.2. *Deformation of* π. The deformation of π uses unitaries $w_e = L_{r(e)} \to L_{s(e)}$ which are quite similar to the v_e's. For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, the sum of the operators u_e^q is denoted u_e^L. It is unitary and satisfies, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $(u_e^L)^* = u_{\overline{e}}^L$ and, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $b \in B_e$,

$$u_e^L\pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b))(u_e^L)^* = \pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b)).$$

Let $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$. We define the unitary w_e in the following way:

Case 1: If e is not a loop. We set $w_e = u_e^q$ on $L_{r(e),q}$ for every $q \notin \{s(e), r(e)\}$. Observe that

$$u_e^{r(e)}\left(\xi_{r(e),r(e)}^L\right) = \eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1}\underset{e}{\otimes}1) \text{ and } u_e^{r(e)}\left(\eta_{r(e),s(e)}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1)\right) = \xi_{s(e),s(e)}^L.$$

Thus, we can define a unitary $w_e : L_{r(e),r(e)} \oplus L_{r(e),s(e)} \to L_{s(e),r(e)} \oplus L_{s(e),s(e)}$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} w_e\left(\xi_{r(e),r(e)}^L\right) &= \xi_{s(e),s(e)}^L \\ w_e\left(\eta_{r(e),s(e)}(\widehat{1}\underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes}1)\right) &= \eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1}\underset{e}{\otimes}1) \end{cases}$$

and $w_e = u_e^{r(e)} \oplus u_e^{s(e)}$ on the orthogonal complement of the above vectors.

Case 2: If e is a loop. We set $w_e = u_e^L$.

In both cases, we get a unitary $w_e = L_{r(e)} \to L_{s(e)}$ satisfying $w_e^* = w_{\overline{e}}$.

Lemma 5.9. *For every $e \in \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G}), b \in B_e$, we have $\pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) = w_e \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) w_e^*$.*

Proof. We may and will assume that e is not a loop and we only have to check the equality on $\xi^L_{s(e),s(e)}$ and $\eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{e}{\otimes} 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_e \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) w_e^* . \xi^L_{s(e),s(e)} &= w_e \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) . \xi^L_{r(e),r(e)} = \varepsilon_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) w_e . \xi^L_{r(e),r(e)} \\ &= \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) \xi^L_{s(e),s(e)} = \pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) . \xi^L_{s(e),s(e)} \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} w_e \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) w_e^* . \eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{e}{\otimes} 1) &= w_e \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)) . \eta_{r(e),s(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes} 1) = w_e . \eta_{r(e),s(e)}(\widehat{r_e(b)} \underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes} 1) \\ &= \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) w_e . \eta_{r(e),s(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{\overline{e}}{\otimes} 1) = \varepsilon_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) \eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{e}{\otimes} 1) \\ &= \pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b)) . \eta_{s(e),r(e)}(\widehat{1} \underset{e}{\otimes} 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

As for v_e, we can find $k_e \in \mathcal{B}(L_{r(e)}) \cap \pi_{r(e)}(B_e^r)'$ such that $(u_e^L)^* w_e = \exp(ik_e)$ and set

$$w_e^t = u_e^L \exp(itk_e).$$

Again, using the universal property of Corollary 3.8 yields a representation π_t of the maximal fundamental C*-algebra for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\pi_t(a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n) = \pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0) w_{e_1}^t \dots w_{e_n}^t \pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n).$$

5.3.3. *Deformation of the triple.* We will now prove that the representations above yield a degenerate triple at $t = 1$.

Lemma 5.10. *For every $x \in C_{max}(\mathbb{G})$ we have $F\pi_1(x)F^* = \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.16, it suffices to prove the Lemma for $x \in A_{p_0}$ and $x = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$ a reduced operator in $C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$, where $w = (e_n, \dots, e_1)$ is a path from p_0 to p_0. When $x \in A_{p_0}$, the relation follows from Remark 5.6, since $\pi_1(x) = \pi(x)$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_1(x) = \widetilde{\rho}(x)$.

Claim. Let $x = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0 \in C_{max}(\mathbb{G})$ be a reduced operator from p_0 to p_0. One has

(1) $[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\Omega = \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\Omega$.

(2) $[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\xi^K_{p_0,f}$ *for every* $f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+$.

Proof of the claim.(1). We have

$$[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) F \pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n) w_{e_n} \dots w_{e_1} \xi^L_{p_0}, \ \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_1} \Omega.$$

Case 1: e_1 is a loop. In that case we have, since x is reduced,

$$[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) F(\eta_{p_0,p_0}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1)) = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \begin{cases} \eta_{p_0,e_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_2}{\otimes} a_1) & \text{if} \quad e_1 \geqslant 0, \\ \eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1) & \text{if} \quad e_1 \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

If e_1 is positive, then $v_{e_1}.\Omega = \xi^K_{s(e_1),e_1}$ and if e_1 is negative, then $v_{e_1}.\Omega = \eta_{r(\overline{e}_1),\overline{e}_1}(\widehat{1} \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1)$. Because x is reduced, we get

$$\widetilde{\rho}_1(x).\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \begin{cases} \eta_{p_0,e_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_2}{\otimes} a_1) & \text{if} \quad e_1 \geqslant 0, \\ \eta_{p_0,\overline{e}_1}(\widehat{a}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1) & \text{if} \quad e_1 \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: e_1 is not a loop. Define $k = \min\{l : s(e_l) = r(e_l)\}$ if this set is non-empty and $k = 0$ otherwise. Since $v_e\Omega = \Omega$ when e is not a loop and since e_l is not a loop for $l \leqslant k-1$ we get, for $k \geqslant 1$,

$$\widetilde{\rho}_1(x).\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{s(e_l)}(a_l) \right) \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n) v_{e_n} \dots \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_k)}(a_k) v_{e_k}.\Omega,$$

and, since $w_e.\xi^L_{r(e),r(e)} = \xi^L_{s(e),s(e)}$ whenever e is not a loop,

$$[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{s(e_l)}(a_l) \right) F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n) w_{e_n} \dots \pi_{s(e_k)}(a_k) w_{e_k}.\xi^L_{r(e_k),r(e_k)}.$$

For $k = 0$ the proof is easy since $\widetilde{\rho}_1(x).\Omega = \varepsilon_{p_0}(a_0) \left(\prod_{l=1}^n \varepsilon_{s(e_l)}(a_l) \right) \Omega = [F\pi_1(x)F^*]\Omega$. When $k \geqslant 1$, e_k is a loop and the end of the computation is the same as in case 1.

(2). Let $f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+$. When $s(f) \neq p_0$, let $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_0 to $s(f)$. Recall that if $s(f) \neq p_0$, then we have $\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \eta_{p_0,f}(\widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1)$. Hence,

$$F^*\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \begin{cases} \eta_{p_0,r(f)}(\widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} \widehat{1} \underset{f}{\otimes} 1) & \text{if} \quad s(f) \neq p_0 \text{ and } f_m \neq \overline{f}, \\ \eta_{p_0,r(f_m)}(\widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1) & \text{if} \quad s(f) \neq p_0 \text{ and } f_m = \overline{f}, \\ \eta_{p_0,r(f)}(\widehat{1} \underset{f}{\otimes} 1) & \text{if} \quad s(f) = p_0. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $s(f) \neq p_0$ and set $n_0 = \max\{k, e_k = \overline{f}_k\}$ (set $n_0 = 0$ if this set is empty). Observe that if $n_0 < m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\xi^K_{p_0,f} &= \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n) u^K_{e_n} \dots u^K_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(a_0) \xi^K_{p_0,f} \\ [F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} &= [F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n) u^L_{e_n} \dots u^L_{e_1} \pi_{r(e_1)}(a_0) F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with $a = a_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} a_0$, $b = \widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} 1$ and $b' = \widehat{1} \underset{f_1}{\otimes} \dots \underset{f_m}{\otimes} \widehat{1} \underset{f}{\otimes} 1$ we have

$$\widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \eta_{p_0,f}(a.b) \quad \text{and} \quad [F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \begin{cases} F\eta_{p_0,r(f)}(a.b') & \text{if} \quad f_m \neq \overline{f}, \\ F\eta_{p_0,r(f_m)}(a.b) & \text{if} \quad f_m = \overline{f}. \end{cases}$$

Now, using Lemma 3.17 to decompose $a.b$ and $a.b'$ as a sum of reduced tensors and since $n_0 < m$, it is easy to see that $[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\xi^K_{p_0,f}$ in both cases. Hence we may and will assume for the rest of the proof that $n_0 = m$.

Set $a' = a_{m-1} u_{e_{m-1}} \dots a_1 u_{e_1} a_0$. We have

$$\widetilde{\rho}_1(x).\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_m}.\eta_{r(e_m),f}(a'.b)$$

and

$$[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} = F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots w_{e_m}.\begin{cases}\eta_{r(e_m),r(f)}(a'.b') & \text{if} \quad f_m \neq \overline{f},\\ \eta_{r(e_m),r(f_m)}(a'.b) & \text{if} \quad f_m = \overline{f}.\end{cases}$$

Since $e_m = \overline{f}_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{e_m}.\eta_{s(f_m),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f_m}{\otimes}\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1) &= \eta_{s(f),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1) \quad \text{if} \quad f_m \neq \overline{f},\\ w_{e_m}.\eta_{s(f_m),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f_m}{\otimes}1) &= \eta_{s(f),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1) \quad \text{if} \quad f_m = \overline{f}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using this computation and Lemma 3.17 to decompose $a'.b$ and $a'.b'$ as sums of reduced tensors, we see that the difference $[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi^K_{p_0,f} - \widetilde{\rho}_1(x).\xi^K_{p_0,f}$ is equal to

$$F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots w_{e_{m+1}}\pi_{s(f)}(a_m).\eta_{s(f),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1) - \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n)v_{e_n}\dots v_{e_{m+1}}\widetilde{\rho}_{s(f)}(a_m).\xi^K_{s(f),f}.$$

Note that if $s(f) = p_0$, this formula is still valid with $m = 0$ and the rest of the proof also applies. If $e_{m+1} \neq \overline{f}$ or if $\mathbb{E}^f_s(a_m) = 0$, it is easy to see, because a is reduced, that the difference is 0. Otherwise, we can replace a_m by 1 since it acts trivially on both sides via the counit. Hence, we assume that $a_m = 1$ and $e_{m+1} = \overline{f}$.

If f is a loop, we have, using $e_{m+1} = \overline{f}$ (in particular e_{m+1} is a negative loop)

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n)v_{e_n}\dots v_{e_{m+1}}.\xi^K_{s(f),f} = \varepsilon_{s_{r(f)}}(a_{m+1})\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n)v_{e_n}\dots v_{e_{m+2}}.\Omega$$

$$F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots w_{e_{m+1}}.\eta_{s(f),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1) = \varepsilon_{s_{r(f)}}(a_{m+1})F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots w_{e_{m+2}}\xi^L_{r(f),r(f)}.$$

It is easy to check, by induction and since a is reduced, that these two expressions are equal. If now f is not a loop, we have, since $e_{m+1} = \overline{f}$ (in particular e_{m+1} is not a loop)

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n)v_{e_n}\dots v_{e_{m+1}}.\xi^K_{s(f),f} = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(a_n)v_{e_n}\dots\widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_{m+1})}(a_{m+1}).\eta_{s(e_{m+1}),f}(\widehat{1}\underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes}1)$$

$$F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots w_{e_{m+1}}.\eta_{s(f),r(f)}(\widehat{1}\underset{f}{\otimes}1)$$

$$= F\pi_{s(e_n)}(a_n)w_{e_n}\dots\pi_{s(e_{m+1})}(a_{m+1}).\eta_{s(e_{m+1}),r(e_{m+1})}(\widehat{1}\underset{e_{m+1}}{\otimes}1).$$

Again, it is easy to check by induction (using the fact that a is reduced and $e_{m+1} \leqslant 0$) that these two expressions are equal. □

End of the proof of Lemma 5.10. It follows from the first assertion of the claim and from Remark 5.6 that, for $a, b \in C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ either reduced (from p_0 to p_0) or in A_{p_0},

$$\begin{aligned}[F\pi_1(a)F^*]\,(\widetilde{\rho}_1(b).\Omega) &= [F\pi_1(a)F^*]([F\pi_1(b)F^*]\Omega) = [F\pi_1(ab)F^*].\Omega = \widetilde{\rho}_1(ab).\Omega\\ &= \widetilde{\rho}_1(a)(\widetilde{\rho}_1(b).\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

A similar computation, using the second assertion of the claim, shows that we also have

$$[F\pi_1(a)F^*](\widetilde{\rho}_1(b).\xi^K_{p_0,f}) = \widetilde{\rho}_1(a)(\widetilde{\rho}_1(b).\xi^K_{p_0,f})$$

for every $f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+$. Hence, for every $x \in C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$ we have $[F\pi_1(x)F^*]\xi = \widetilde{\rho}_1(x)\xi$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V} \subset \widetilde{K}$ is the linear span of $\widetilde{\rho}_1(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})).\Omega$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_1(C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})).\xi^K_{p_0,f}$ for $f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})^+$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{V}$ is dense in $\widetilde{K}$.

Let $f \in \mathrm{E}^+(\mathcal{G})$, let $w = (e_n, \dots, e_1)$ be a path from p_0 to $s(f)$, set $x = \widehat{x}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} x_0$ and $x^\sharp = x_n u_{e_n} \dots u_{e_1} x_0 \in C_{\max}(\mathbb{G})$. Let $g = (f_1, \dots, f_l)$ be the unique geodesic path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_0 to $s(f)$. Set $u_g = u_{f_1} \dots u_{f_l}$, $v_g = v_{f_1} \dots v_{f_l}$ and observe that, by definition of $\widetilde{\rho}_1$, we have, $\widetilde{\rho}_1(x^\sharp u_g^*) = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(x_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(x_0) v_g^*$. Moreover, since $f_i \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$ for all i, none of the f_i's are loops, hence $v_g^*(\Omega) = \Omega$ and $v_g^*(\xi^K_{p_0,f}) = \xi^K_{s(f),f}$.

Assume that $e_1 \neq \overline{f}$ or $e_1 = \overline{f}$ and $\mathbb{E}^r_{e_1}(x_0) = 0$ then,

$$v_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(x_0) \xi^K_{s(f),f} = u^K_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(x_0) \xi^K_{s(f),f}.$$

Since $x^\sharp$ is reduced, we deduce that

$$\widetilde{\rho}_1(x^\sharp u_g^*).\xi^K_{p_0,f} = \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(x_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(x_0).\xi^K_{s(f),f} = \eta_{p_0,f}(x) \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Observe that the previous computation also works for $e_1 = \overline{f}$ and any x_0 whenever e_1 is not a loop since in this case we have $v_{e_1} = u^K_{e_1}$.

Assume now that $e_1 = \overline{f}$, $x_0 \in B^r_{e_1}$ and e_1 is a loop. Then $v_{e_1}(\Omega) = v_f^*(\Omega) = \eta_{s(e_1),f}(\widehat{1} \underset{f}{\otimes} 1)$. Since $x^\sharp$ is reduced, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\rho}_1(x^\sharp u_g^*).\Omega &= \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(x_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_1} \widetilde{\rho}_{r(e_1)}(x_0).\Omega \\
&= \varepsilon_{r(e_1)}(x_0) \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_n)}(x_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_2} \widetilde{\rho}_{s(e_1)}(x_1).\eta_{s(e_1),f}(\widehat{1} \underset{f}{\otimes} 1) \\
&= \varepsilon_{r(e_1)}(x_0) \eta_{p_0,f}(\widehat{x}_n \underset{e_n}{\otimes} \dots \underset{e_1}{\otimes} 1) = \eta_{p_0,f}(x) \in \mathcal{V},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Remark 5.4 and the fact that $x_0 \in B^r_{e_1}$. □

5.3.4. *End of the proof.* We are now ready to prove that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is K-amenable.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let L_t and $\widetilde{K}_t$ be the Hilbert spaces L and $\widetilde{K}$ endowed with the representations π_t and $\widetilde{\rho}_t$ respectively. Note that since the unitaries v_e^t and w_e^t are finite-dimensional perturbations of u_e, the maps $\pi_t(a) - \pi(a)$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_t(a) - \rho(a)$ have finite rank for every reduced operator a and every $t \in \mathcal{R}$. This implies that $F \circ \pi_t(x) - \widetilde{\rho}_t(x) \circ F$ is a compact operator for every $x \in P$, hence $(L_t, \widetilde{K}_t, F)$ is a KK-element, denoted $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$.

The maps $t \mapsto v_e^t$ and $t \mapsto w_e^t$ are norm continuous for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ by construction, implying that the representation π_t and $\widetilde{\rho}_t$ are pointwise norm continuous. Thus, $(\widetilde{\gamma}_t)_t$ is an homotopy in KK-theory. Setting $t = 0$ gives $\widetilde{\gamma}$ by definition, and it was proven in Lemma 5.10 that setting $t = 1$ gives a degenerate triple. The proof is therefore complete. □

6. Appendix: graphs of von Neumann algebras

All the results of Section 3 can also be worked out in the von Neumann algebraic setting. Since the techniques are the same, we only give a concise exposition of the main points.

Definition 6.1. A *graph of von Neumann algebras* is a tuple

$$(\mathcal{G}, (M_q, \varphi_q)_q, (N_e, \varphi_e)_e, (s_e)_e),$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected graph and

- For every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, M_q and N_e are von Neumann algebras with distinguished normal faithful states φ_q and φ_e respectively.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $N_{\overline{e}} = N_e$ and $\varphi_{\overline{e}} = \varphi_e$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $s_e : N_e \to M_{s(e)}$ is a unital faithful normal state-preserving $*$-homomorphism such that $\sigma_t^{s(e)} \circ s_e = s_e \circ \sigma_t^e$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where σ_t^e and $\sigma_t^{s(e)}$ are the modular automorphism groups of the states φ_e and $\varphi_{s(e)}$ respectively.

For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ we set $r_e = s_{\overline{e}} : N_e \to M_{r(e)}$, $N_e^s = s_e(N_e)$ and $N_e^r = r_e(N_e)$.

We will always use the shorthand notation $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q)_q, (N_e)_e)$ for a graph of von Neumann algebras.

6.1. **Path bimodules.** As in the C*-algebra case, we will use paths in $\mathcal{G}$ to build a representation of the fundamental von Neumann algebra. The main difference is that we will work with bimodules over von Neumann algebras instead of Hilbert modules, which is in some sense more tractable.

Let $\mathbb{E}_e^s$ be the unique state-preserving (for the state $\varphi_e \circ s_e^{-1}$ on N_e^s) normal faithful conditional expectation from $M_{s(e)}$ onto N_e^s and denote its kernel by $M_{s(e)} \ominus N_e^s$. We canonically identify $\mathrm{L}^2(N_e^s)$ with a closed subspace of $\mathrm{L}^2(M_{s(e)})$.

For $n \geqslant 1$ and $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ a path in $\mathcal{G}$, we define a $M_{s(e_1)}$-$M_{r(e_n)}$-bimodule

$$H_w = K_0 \underset{N_{e_1}}{\otimes} \dots \underset{N_{e_n}}{\otimes} K_n,$$

where $K_0 = \mathrm{L}^2(M_{s(e_1)})$, $K_n = \mathrm{L}^2(M_{r(e_n)})$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$K_i = \begin{cases} \mathrm{L}^2(M_{s(e_{i+1})}) & \text{if} \quad e_{i+1} \neq \overline{e}_i, \\ \mathrm{L}^2(M_{s(e_{i+1})}) \ominus \mathrm{L}^2(N_{e_{i+1}}^s) & \text{if} \quad e_{i+1} = \overline{e}_i. \end{cases}$$

We view $K_0 = \mathrm{L}^2(M_{s(e_1)})$ as a $M_{s(e_1)}$-N_{e_1}-bimodule, where the left $M_{s(e_1)}$-action is the obvious one and the right N_{e_1}-action is given by $\xi \cdot x = \xi s_{e_1}(x)$. Similarly, we view $K_n = \mathrm{L}^2(M_{r(e_n)})$ as a N_{e_n}-$M_{r(e_n)}$-bimodule, where the right $M_{r(e_n)}$-action is the obvious one and the left N_{e_n}-action is given by $x \cdot \xi = r_{e_n}(x)\xi$. Finally, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we view K_i as a N_{e_i}-$N_{e_{i+1}}$-bimodule by setting $x \cdot \xi \cdot y = r_{e_i}(x)\xi s_{e_{i+1}}(y)$.

For any two vertices $p, q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, we now set

$$H_{p,q} = \bigoplus_w H_w,$$

where the sum runs over all paths w in $\mathcal{G}$ from p to q. By convention, the bimodule $H_{p,p}$ also contains the "empty path" M_p-M_p-bimodule $H_\emptyset = \mathrm{L}^2(M_p)$.

6.2. **The fundamental von Neumann algebra.** We first have to define unitaries realizing the relations of the fundamental algebra. Let us fix a vertex $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$.

We define, for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, an operator $u_e : H_{r(e),p_0} \to H_{s(e),p_0}$ in the following way. Let w be a path from $r(e)$ to p_0.

- If w is the empty path or does not begin with $\bar{e}$ and $\xi \in H_w$, we set

$$u_e \xi = \widehat{1} \otimes \xi \in H_{(ew)} \subset H_{s(e),p_0}.$$

- If w begins with $\bar{e}$ and $\xi \in H_w$, $\xi = \widehat{x}_0 \otimes \xi^{'}$ with $x_0 \in M_{r(e)}$, we set

$$u_e\xi = \begin{cases} \widehat{1} \otimes \xi & \text{if } x_0 \in M_{r(e)} \ominus N_e^r \\ s_e \circ r_e^{-1}(x_0)\xi' & \text{if } x_0 \in N_e^r \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that u_e extends to a unitary operator and $u_e^* = u_{\bar{e}}$. Moreover we have,

$$u_{\bar{e}} s_e(b) u_e \quad = \quad r_e(b) \quad \text{for all} \quad b \in N_e$$

Note that $u_e : H_{r(e),p_0} \to H_{s(e),p_0}$ commutes with the right actions of M_{p_0}.

The right version $v_e : H_{p_0,s(e)} \to H_{p_0,r(e)}$ is defined in a similar way. Let w be a path from p_0 to $s(e)$,

- If w is the empty path or does not end with $\bar{e}$ and $\xi \in H_w$, we set

$$v_e\xi = \xi \otimes \widehat{1}.$$

- If w ends with $\bar{e}$ and $\xi \in H_w$, $\xi = \xi^{'} \otimes \widehat{x}_n$ with $x_n \in M_{s(e)}$, we set

$$v_e\xi = \begin{cases} \xi \otimes \widehat{1} & \text{if } x_n \in M_{s(e)} \ominus N_e^s \\ \xi^{'} r_e \circ s_e^{-1}(x_n) & \text{if } x_n \in N_e^s \end{cases}$$

Again, v_e extends to a unitary operator, $v_e^* = v_{\bar{e}}$, $v_e : H_{p_0,s(e)} \to H_{p_0,r(e)}$ commutes with the left action of M_{p_0} and we have, for every $b \in N_e$,

$$v_{\bar{e}}\rho(r_e(b))v_e = \rho(s_e(b)),$$

where ρ denotes the right action of M_q on $H_{p_0,q}$ for any vertex q.

For a path $w = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ we define unitaries

$$u_w = u_{e_1} \ldots u_{e_n} : H_{r(e_n),p_0} \to H_{s(e_1),p_0} \quad \text{and} \quad v_w = v_{e_n} \ldots v_{e_1} : H_{p_0,s(e_1)} \to H_{p_0,r(e_n)}.$$

Remark 6.2. We may also define, as in the C*-algebra case, the operators u_e and v_e relative to another base $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ instead of the fixed base p_0. We get unitaries $u_e^p : H_{r(e),p} \to H_{s(e),p}$ and $v_e^p : H_{p,s(e)} \to H_{p,r(e)}$, satisfying the same relations as before and such that u_e^p commutes with the right M_p-actions and v_e^p commutes with the left M_p-actions. Moreover, one can easily check that for every $e, f \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$,

$$v_f^{s(e)} u_e^{s(f)} = u_e^{r(f)} v_f^{r(e)}.$$

When $w = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is a path, if we set $u_w^p = u_{e_1}^p \ldots u_{e_n}^p$ and $v_w^p = v_{e_n}^p \ldots v_{e_1}^p$ then, for all paths w, z, we have $v_z^{s(w)} u_w^{s(z)} = u_w^{r(z)} v_z^{r(w)}$.

Definition 6.3. Let $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e))$ be a graph of von Neumann algebras and let $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$.

- The *(left) fundamental von Neumann algebra* of $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e))$ in p_0 is

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e)) = \langle (u_z)^* M_q u_w | q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G}), w, z \text{ paths from } q \text{ to } p_0 \rangle \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{p_0,p_0}).$$

- The *right fundamental von Neumann algebra* of $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e))$ in p_0 is

$$\pi_1'(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e)) = \langle (v_z)^* \rho(M_q) v_w | q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G}), w, z \text{ paths from } p_0 \text{ to } q \rangle \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{p_0,p_0}).$$

By Remark 6.2 it is easy to check that the left and right fundamental von Neumann algebras commute with each other. From now on we denote by M the fundamental von Neumann algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q), (N_e))$ in p_0 and by M_R its right version.

Remark 6.4. The fundamental von Neumann algebras do not really depend on the choice of p_0. This follows from the same argument as in Remark 3.12 for the C*-algebra case, using a maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Example 6.5. Using the graphs of Examples 3.4 and 3.5, one recovers the amalgamated free product and HNN extension constructions for von Neumann algebras.

In order to have a convenient way of defining both left and right reduced operators, we introduce the following notation inspired from [Ser77, Sec 5.2]: let $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p to q and let $\mu = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, where $x_0 \in M_p$ and $x_i \in M_{r(e_i)}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. We say that (w, μ) is a *reduced pair* (from p to q) if, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $e_{i+1} = \overline{e}_i$ implies that $x_i \in M_{r(e_i)} \ominus N^r_{e_i}$. Whenever (w, μ) is a reduced pair, we define a *left reduced operator* (from p to q) $|w, \mu| : H_{q,p_0} \to H_{p,p_0}$ by

$$|w, \mu| = x_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} x_n$$

and a *right reduced operator* (from p to q) $|w, \mu|' : H_{p_0,p} \to H_{p_0,q}$ by

$$|w, \mu|' = \rho(x_n) v_{e_n} \dots v_{e_1} \rho(x_0).$$

It is easy to check that, as in the C*-algebra case, the left reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 are in M. Moreover, the linear span of M_{p_0} and the left reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 is a σ-weakly dense $*$-subalgebra of M. Similarly, the right reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 are in M_R and the linear span of $\rho(M_{p_0})$ and the right reduced operators from p_0 to p_0 is a σ-weakly dense $*$-subalgebra of M_R.

6.3. **Modular theory.** Let $H = H_{p_0,p_0}$ and $\Omega = \widehat{1}_{p_0} \in \mathrm{L}^2(M_{p_0}) \subset H$. We now build the fundamental state on M and describe its modular theory. First note that, whenever (w, μ) is a reduced pair with $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ and $\mu = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, we have

$$|w, \mu|.\Omega = \widehat{x}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{x}_n = |w, \mu|'.\Omega.$$

Hence, Ω is a cyclic vector for both M and M_R. Since $M_R \subset M'$, Ω is also a separating vector for M. Hence, the normal state defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \langle \Omega, x.\Omega \rangle$$

is faithful and (H, Ω) is its GNS construction. Note also that $\varphi(x) = 0$ for any reduced operator $x \in M$. From the GNS construction, one can easily compute the modular operators. To simplify notations, set

$$\Sigma_w : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} H_w & \to & H_{\overline{w}} \\ \xi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n & \mapsto & \xi_n \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_0 \end{array} \right.$$

for any path $w = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ in $\mathcal{G}$, where $\overline{w} = (\overline{e}_n, \dots, \overline{e}_1)$. The following is easy to check.

Proposition 6.6. *Let J and ∇ be respectively the modular conjugation and the modular operator of φ. We have*

$$J = \bigoplus_{w=(e_1,\dots,e_n)} (J_{r(e_n)} \otimes \dots \otimes J_{s(e_1)}) \Sigma_w \quad \textit{and} \quad \nabla = \bigoplus_{w=(e_1,\dots,e_n)} \nabla_{s(e_1)} \otimes \nabla_{r(e_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla_{r(e_n)},$$

where J_q and ∇_q denote respectively the modular conjugation and modular operator of φ_q. In particular, φ is a trace if and only if φ_q is a trace for any $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$.

Remark 6.7. Let (w,μ) be a reduced pair with w a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0 and observe that

$$J|w,\mu|^*J = |w,\mu|'.$$

This implies that M_R is exactly the commutant M' of M.

Let us fix a maximal subtree $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. The embeddings $\pi_q^{\mathcal{T}} : M_q \to M$ given by $\mathcal{T}$ (defined as in Section 3.2.3) are state-preserving and commute with the modular automorphism groups by Proposition 6.6. Hence, for every $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, there are conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}_q : M \to \pi_q(M_q)$ and $\mathbb{E}_e^s : M \to \pi_{s(e)}(N_e^s)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_q \circ \pi_q^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_q &= \varphi \\ \varphi_e \circ \pi_{s(e)}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s &= \varphi_e \end{cases}$$

6.4. **Universal property and unscrewing process.** We can now give a universal property for the fundamental von Neumann algebra. With this in hand, we will easily get an unscrewing process. Assume that we have

- For every $p \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$, a Hilbert space K_p and a faithful normal unital $*$-homomorphism $\pi_p : M_p \to \mathcal{B}(K_p)$.
- For every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$, a unitary $w_e \in \mathcal{B}(K_{r(e)}, K_{s(e)})$ such that $w_e^* = w_{\overline{e}}$ and for every $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ and $b \in N_e$,

$$w_{\overline{e}}\pi_{s(e)}(s_e(b))w_e = \pi_{r(e)}(r_e(b)).$$

Let L be the σ-weakly closed linear span of $\pi_{p_0}(M_{p_0})$ and all elements of the form

$$\pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n)$$

in $\mathcal{B}(K_{p_0})$, where $n \geqslant 1$, $(e_1,\dots,e_n)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$ from p_0 to p_0, $a_k \in M_{r(e_k)}$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and $a_0 \in M_{p_0}$. Using the relations, we see that L is a von Neumann algebra. We assume moreover the existence of a faithful normal state $\psi \in L_*$ such that $\psi \circ \pi_{p_0} = \varphi_{p_0}$ and, for every reduced pair (w,μ) from p_0 to p_0 we have,

$$\psi(\pi_{s(e_1)}(x_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(x_n)) = 0,$$

where $w = (e_1,\dots,e_n)$ and $\mu = (x_0,\dots,x_n)$.

Proposition 6.8. *With the hypothesis and notations above, there exists a unique normal $*$-isomorphism*

$$\pi : \pi_1(\mathcal{G},(M_q),(N_e),p_0) \to L$$

such that $\pi = \pi_{p_0}$ on M_{p_0} and

$$\pi(a_0u_{e_1}\dots u_{e_n}a_n) = \pi_{s(e_1)}(a_0)w_{e_1}\dots w_{e_n}\pi_{r(e_n)}(a_n)$$

for every reduced operator $a_0u_{e_1}\dots u_{e_n}a_n \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G},(M_q),(N_e),p_0)$. Moreover, $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$.

The proof is the same as the one of Proposition 3.20. Using this and the universal properties of von Neumann amalgamated free product and von Neumann HNN extensions, we get the following straightforward von Neumann version of the unscrewing process.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $(\mathcal{G},(M_q)_q,(N_e)_e)$ be a graph of von Neumann algebras. Then, the fundamental von Neumann algebra $\pi_1(\mathcal{G},(M_q)_q,(N_e)_e)$ is isomorphic to an inductive limit of iterations of amalgamated free products and HNN extensions of vertex algebras amalgamated over edge algebras.*

As an application of the unscrewing process, we prove a permanence property. In the next statement, $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the hyperfinite II_1 factor and $\mathcal{R}^\omega$ is an ultraproduct of $\mathcal{R}$.

Corollary 6.10. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (M_q)_q, (N_e)_e)$ be a graph of* finite *von Neumann algebras.*

(1) If all the algebras N_e are amenable, then the reduced fundamental von Neumann algebra embeds into $\mathcal{R}^\omega$ if and only if all the algebras M_p embed into $\mathcal{R}^\omega$.

(2) If all the algebras N_e are finite-dimensional, then the fundamental von Neumann algebra has the Haagerup property if and only if all the algebras M_p have the Haagerup property.

Proof. (1). One implication is obvious. For the other one, observe that since the property of embeddability in $\mathcal{R}^\omega$ is stable under inductive limits, we may assume that the graph $\mathcal{G}$ is finite. Hence, by induction, it suffices to prove the corollary for amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. The case of an amalgamated free product was done in [BDJ08]. Moreover, by a result of Ueda [Ued08], an HNN extension of von Neumann algebras is a corner in an amalgamated free product of von Neumann algebras. More precisely (see [FV12]), we have

$$\mathrm{HNN}(M, N, \theta) \simeq e_{11} \left((M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M) *_{N \oplus N} (M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes N) \right) e_{11}.$$

By the result of [BDJ08], $(M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M) *_{N \oplus N} (M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes N)$ is embeddable in $\mathcal{R}^\omega$ whenever N is amenable and M is embeddable in $\mathcal{R}^\omega$. This proves (1).

(2). Again, one implication is obvious. For the other implication we see, using the same arguments (inductive limit, induction and reduction of the case of an HNN extension to an amalgamated free product), that it is suffices to treat the case of an amalgamated free product of von Neumanna algebras with the Haagerup property amalgamated over a finite-dimensional von Neumann algebra. This was done in [Boc93]. □

6.5. **Relation with the C*-algebraic construction.** Let $(\mathcal{G}, (A_q, \varphi_q)_q, (B_e, \varphi_e)_e)$ be a graph of C*-algebras with faithful states and let $P(p_0)$ be the reduced fundamental C*-algebra in $p_0 \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$. For $e \in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{G})$ (resp. $q \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{G})$), let N_e (resp. M_q) be the von Neuman algebra generated by B_e (resp. A_q) in the GNS representation of φ_e (resp. φ_q). Since the states are faithful, we may view B_e and A_q as subalgebras of N_e and M_q respectively. We still denote by φ_e and φ_q the unique normal extension of φ_e and φ_q to states on N_e and M_q respectively.

In the sequel, *we do assume that the states φ_e and φ_q are still faithful on N_e and M_q.* Since $s_e : B_e \to A_{s(e)}$ is state-preserving, it extends uniquely to a normal faithful and unital $*$-homomorphism, still denoted s_e, from N_e to $M_{s(e)}$ which is again state-preserving. Also, because $\mathbb{E}_e^s : A_{s(e)} \to B_e^s$ preserves the state $\varphi_e \circ s_e^{-1}$ on B_e^s (and is faithful because $\varphi_{s(e)}$ is), it extends uniquely to a normal linear map, again denoted $\mathbb{E}_e^s$, from $M_{s(e)}$ to $N_e^s = s_e(N_e)$ which is a state-preserving conditional expectation, i.e. satisfies

$$\varphi_e \circ s_e^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}_e^s = \varphi_{s(e)}.$$

By Takesaki's Theorem on conditional expectations [Tak72], it follows that $\sigma_t^{s(e)} \circ s_e = s_e \circ \sigma_t^e$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we have produced a graph of von Neumann algebras and we denote by $M(p_0)$ its fundamental von Neumann algebra in p_0.

Proposition 6.11. *Under the above assumptions, the fundamental state on $P(p_0)$ is faithful and $M(p_0)$ is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra generated by $P(p_0)$ in the GNS representation of the fundamental state.*

Proof. Recall that φ denote the fundamental state on $M(p_0)$ and denote by ψ the one on $A(p_0)$. We use the notations of Proposition 3.24 in which the GNS construction $(H_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}, \pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}, \xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}})$ of the state ψ on $P(p_0)$ is studied (see Remark 3.25). Define $V : H_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}} \to H_{p_0,p_0}$ in the following way: for $a \in A_{p_0}$ we set $V(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a)\xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}) = a\Omega$ and, for $a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$ a reduced operator, we set

$$V(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n)\xi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}) = a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n \Omega.$$

It is easy to check, as in the proof of Proposition 3.24, that V is a unitary. Moreover one has $V\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a)V^* = a$ for every $a \in A_{p_0}$ and $V(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n))V^* = a_0 u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n} a_n$ for any reduced operator $a_0 u_{e_1}^{p_0} \dots u_{e_n}^{p_0} a_n \in P(p_0)$. It follows that $V\left(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(P(p_0))\right)'' V^* = M(p_0)$. Hence, $\pi(x) = VxV^*$ is a $*$-isomorphism between the von Neumann algebra $\left(\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}(A(p_0))\right)''$ and $M(p_0)$ and, by construction, we have $\varphi \circ \pi \circ \pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}} = \psi$. Since we proved that φ is faithful and since the GNS map $\pi_{p_0,\varphi_{p_0}}$ is faithful whenever the state φ_{p_0} on A_{p_0} is faithful, this proves that the fundamental state on $P(p_0)$ is faithful. □

References

[BCH94] P. Baum, A. Connes, and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C*-algebras*, Contemp. Math. **167** (1994), 241–292.

[BDJ08] N.P. Brown, K.J. Dykema, and K. Jung, *Free entropy dimension in amalgamated free products. With and appendix by Wolfgang Lück*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc **97** (2008), no. 3, 339–367.

[Bla98] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, Mathematical Research Institute Publications, vol. 5, Cambridge Univ. Pr., 1998.

[BMT01] E. Bédos, G.J. Murphy, and L. Tuset, *Co-amenability of compact quantum groups*, J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001), no. 2, 129–153.

[Boc93] F. Boca, *On the method of constructing irreducible finite index subfactors of Popa*, Pacific J. Math. **161** (1993), no. 2, 201–231.

[BS89] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, *C*-algèbres de Hopf et théorie de Kasparov équivariante*, K-theory **2** (1989), no. 6, 683–721.

[Cun83] J. Cuntz, *K-theoretic amenability for discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **344** (1983), 180–195.

[DFSS13] M. Daws, P. Fima, A. Skalski, and W. Stuart, *The Haagerup property for locally compact quantum groups*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1303.3261 (2013).

[Dyk04] K. J. Dykema, *Exactness of reduced amalgamated free product C*-algebras*, Forum Math. **16** (2004), 161–180.

[Fim13] P. Fima, *K-amenability of HNN extensions of amenable discrete quantum groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 4, 507–519.

[Fre13] A. Freslon, *Propriétés d'approximation pour les groupes quantiques discrets*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris VII, 2013.

[FV12] P. Fima and S. Vaes, *HNN extensions and unique group measure space decomposition of* II_1 *factors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 5, 2601–2617.

[Ger96] E. Germain, *KK-theory of reduced free-product C*-algebras*, Duke Math. J. **82** (1996), no. 3, 707–724.

[JV84] P. Julg and A. Valette, *K-theoretic amenability for* $SL_2(Q_p)$*, and the action on the associated tree*, J. Funct. Anal. **58** (1984), no. 2, 194–215.

[MVD98] A. Maes and A. Van Daele, *Notes on compact quantum groups*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:9803122 (1998).

[Pim86] M.V. Pimsner, *KK-groups of crossed products by groups acting on trees*, Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 603–634.

[PV82] M.V. Pimsner and D.V. Voiculescu, *K-groups of reduced crossed products by free groups*, J. Operator Theory **8** (1982), no. 1, 131–156.

[Ser77] J-P. Serre, *Arbres, amalgames,* SL_2, Astérisque, vol. 46, Soc. Math. France, 1977.

[Ska88] G. Skandalis, *Une notion de nucléarité en K-théorie (d'après J. Cuntz)*, K-theory **1** (1988), no. 6, 549–573.

[Tak72] M. Takesaki, *Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **9** (1972), no. 3, 306–321.

[Ued05] Y. Ueda, *HNN extensions of von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **225** (2005), no. 2, 383–426.

[Ued08] ———, *Remarks on HNN extensions in operator algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), no. 3, 705–725.

[Ver04] R. Vergnioux, *K-amenability for amalgamated free products of amenable discrete quantum groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 1, 206–221.

[Voi85] D.V. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras*, Letcure Notes in Math. **1132** (1985), 556–588.

[VV13] R. Vergnioux and C. Voigt, *The K-theory of free quantum groups*, Math. Ann. (2013).

[Wan95] S. Wang, *Free products of compact quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), no. 3, 671–692.

[Wor98] S.L. Woronowicz, *Compact quantum groups*, Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995) (1998), 845–884.

Univ. Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, UMR 7586, , 75013, Paris, France
E-mail address: `pfima@math.jussieu.fr, freslon@math.jussieu.fr`