



HAL
open science

Fractional decay bounds for nonlocal zero order heat equations

Emmanuel Chasseigne, Patricio Felmer, J. Rossi, Erwin Topp

► **To cite this version:**

Emmanuel Chasseigne, Patricio Felmer, J. Rossi, Erwin Topp. Fractional decay bounds for nonlocal zero order heat equations. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 2014, 46, pp.943-952. 10.1112/blms/bdu042 . hal-00843685

HAL Id: hal-00843685

<https://hal.science/hal-00843685>

Submitted on 11 Jul 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FRACTIONAL DECAY BOUNDS FOR NONLOCAL ZERO ORDER HEAT EQUATIONS.

E. CHASSEIGNE, P. FELMER, J. D. ROSSI, AND E. TOPP

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain bounds for the decay rate for solutions to the nonlocal problem $\partial_t u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x, y)[u(t, y) - u(t, x)]dy$. Here we deal with bounded kernels J but with polynomial tails, that is, we assume a lower bound of the form $J(x, y) \geq c_1|x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)}$, for $|x - y| > c_2$. Our estimates takes the form $\|u(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})}$ for t large.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $J(x, y)$ be a bounded, positive, continuous, symmetric function defined for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Here we address nonlocal Cauchy problems of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x, y)[u(t, y) - u(t, x)]dy \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ satisfying $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On J we also assume the basic condition

$$(J1) \quad x \mapsto J(x, y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By the symmetry of J we have the same property exchanging x and y .

Our main interest here is the asymptotic behavior of the solution of (1.1) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. It is well known that the diffusive nature of the equation implies that the solution goes to zero when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. For smooth kernels J with compact support, it is proven in [4] that the solution u of the equation (1.1) has the decay estimate

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})}$$

for any $q \in [1, \infty)$. Note that this decay rate is the same as the one that holds for solutions of the classical Heat equation. In the case of an equation in convolution form, that is when $J(x, y) = K(x - y)$ with K a nonnegative radial function, not necessarily compactly supported, it is proven in [2] that the solutions of equations with the form (1.1) have the decay estimate

$$(1.2) \quad \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})},$$

Date: July 11, 2013.

Key words and phrases. nonlocal diffusion, decay rates.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K05, 45P05, 35B40.

provided the function K has a Fourier transform satisfying the expansion $\widehat{K}(\xi) = 1 - A|\xi|^{2\sigma} + o(|\xi|^{2\sigma})$, where $A > 0$ is a constant. It is remarkable that in this case the decay estimate is analogous to the one for the σ -order fractional heat equation, $v_t = -(-\Delta)^\sigma v$, with $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. We also note that the convolution form of the equation allows the use of Fourier analysis to obtain this result.

In this work we consider kernels J not in convolution form and not compactly supported in order to investigate the diffusive role of the asymptotic tails of the kernels when doing decay estimates. We illustrate this feature asking for an asymptotic profile for J with the following lower bound

$$(J2) \quad J(x, y) \geq c_1|x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)}, \quad \text{for } |x - y| > c_2$$

for certain constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. For simplicity, to clarify the arguments we will assume $c_2 = 1$.

We remark that the use of Fourier analysis is not helpful here due to fact that our operator is not in convolution form. Despite of this difficulty, energy methods can be carried out in order to obtain the main result of this paper, which reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and J be a bounded, nonnegative, continuous, symmetric function defined in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (J1) and (J2). Then, for each $q \in (2\sigma, +\infty)$, the solution of (1.1) associated to an initial condition $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ goes to zero in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, the solution has an asymptotic decay that can be bounded by*

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and, for all $t > 0$, it holds

$$(1.4) \quad \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \max\{\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\} t^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})},$$

where the constant C depends on q, σ and n .

For the case $q \in (1, 2\sigma]$ we have the following

Corollary 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and J be a bounded, nonnegative, continuous, symmetric function defined in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (J1) and (J2). Let u be the solution of (1.1) associated to an initial condition $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $q \in (1, 2\sigma]$, then the asymptotic decay given by (1.3) holds. Moreover, for all $r > 2\sigma$ and for all $t > 0$ we have*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \max\{\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}\} t^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})},$$

with C independent of u_0 .

Note that the kernel J defining the nonlocal operator in (1.1) shares, at one hand, the ‘‘fat’’ tails of the fractional Laplacian of order 2σ , and on the other, the integrability property of zero order operators. However, the decay rate of the solution of problem (1.1) is as in the case of the fractional Laplacian (see (1.2)) and not as in the classical zero order case

defined by compactly supported kernels. This shows the diffusive effect of the fractional Laplacian is more related with the tails of the kernel, which allows to transport mass to infinity through long jumps, than the highly intense, but shorter jumps related with the singularity at the origin.

2. BASIC FACTS AND PRELIMINARIES.

Concerning the existence and uniqueness of problem (1.1), the symmetry, boundedness and integrability assumptions over J , allows us to perform a fixed point argument to obtain the following result whose proof is omitted.

Theorem 2.1 ([1], [4]). *Let $J : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and symmetric function satisfying assumption (J1) and (J2), and let $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists a unique solution $u \in C([0, +\infty), L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of equation (1.1). This solution satisfies $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

The symmetry assumption over J allows us to use an energy approach in order to get Theorem 1.1. Roughly speaking, this assumption allows us to “integrate by parts” equation (1.1). For $q > 1$ we multiply the equation by $q|u|^{q-2}u$ and integrate, obtaining the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^q dx \\ &= -\frac{q}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y)(u(y) - u(x))(|u(y)|^{q-2}u(y) - |u(x)|^{q-2}u(x)) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have avoided the dependence on t of the function u for simplicity.

Now we recall the following inequality (whose proof is given in the Appendix): let $q > 1$ and $a, b \neq 0$. Then, there exists a constant C depending only on q , such that

$$(2.2) \quad (a - b)(|a|^{q-2}a - |b|^{q-2}b) \geq C|a - b|^q.$$

Hence, using this inequality into (2.1), we conclude

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_t \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq -C_q \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y)|u(y) - u(x)|^q dy dx =: -C_q E_{J,q}(u).$$

Our strategy is to get an estimate for the energy $E_{J,q}(u)$ in the right-hand side of the above expression in terms of a higher L^p -norm of u . This can be accomplished due to the asymptotic behavior (J2) of the kernel. Being similar to the tails of the kernel of the fractional Laplacian of order 2σ , we compare $E_{J,q}$ in (2.3) with an ad-hoc fractional seminorm, for which Sobolev-type inequalities are available.

We recall that for $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in [1, \infty)$, $W^{\sigma,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the fractional Sobolev space of all $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions with bounded fractional seminorm

$[v]_{\sigma,q}$, given by

$$(2.4) \quad [v]_{\sigma,q}^q = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|v(x+z) - v(x)|^q}{|z|^{n+q\sigma}} dx dz.$$

Under these definitions, the key step in our approach is to relate the energy in (2.3) with the corresponding fractional seminorm defined in (2.4). Once this is accomplished, we apply the following fractional Sobolev-type inequality, which asserts the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $v \in W^{\sigma,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\sigma q < n$, it holds

$$(2.5) \quad \|v\|_{L^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq C[v]_{\sigma,q}^q,$$

where $q^* = q^*(\sigma) = nq/(n - \sigma q)$ (see [3]). By the use of the above inequality we get the desired increment of the L^p -norm of the solutions, and after this the proof follows standard arguments.

Once we have obtained Theorem 1.1 which is valid for $q > 2\sigma$, the corresponding decay estimate for the remaining case $q \in (1, 2\sigma]$ can be obtained by interpolation. Hence, at the very end our arguments rely on estimates for the nonlinear operator in the right hand side of (2.3).

3. FRACTIONAL SEMINORM ESTIMATES.

First, we consider a positive smooth function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties

$$(3.1) \quad \text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1.$$

With the aid of this function, we split a function u into two parts. We will denote the “smooth” part of u as v and the remaining as w . We let

$$(3.2) \quad v(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z)u(t, z) dz; \quad u(t, x) := v(t, x) + w(t, x).$$

As a first property of this decomposition we have that each L^q norm of the functions v and w is controlled by the corresponding norm of u .

Lemma 3.1. *Let v and w be given by (3.2). For each $q \in [1, +\infty)$, there exists $C = C(q, \psi)$ such that*

$$\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We start with v . Using its definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(x)|^q dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y)u(y)dy \right|^q dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y)^{1/q'} \psi(x-y)^{1/q} u(y) dy \right|^q dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y) dy \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y) |u(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q} \right]^q dx \\
 &\leq C(q, \psi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y) |u(y)|^q dy dx \\
 &= C(q, \psi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)|^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-y) dx dy \\
 &\leq C(q, \psi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)|^q dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality corresponding to w easily follows from the triangular inequality in L^q . \square

Now we state a key result to get the desired estimate on the decay rate.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $J : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a bounded and symmetric function satisfying hypotheses (J1) and (J2). Let ψ satisfying (3.1) and $q \in (2\sigma, +\infty)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and v, w defined in (3.2), we have*

$$(3.3) \quad [v]_{2\sigma q^{-1}, q}^q + \|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y) |u(x) - u(y)|^q dx dy.$$

The constant C depends on ψ, σ, q and n .

Proof. For the estimate concerning w , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w(x)|^q dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x) - v(x)|^q dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| u(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z)u(z)dz \right|^q dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z)(u(x) - u(z))dz \right|^q dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z)^{1/q'} \psi(x-z)^{1/q} (u(x) - u(z)) dz \right|^q dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Holder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w(x)|^q dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z) dz \right)^{q/q'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z) |u(x) - u(z)|^q dz \right) dx \\
 &\leq C(q, q', \psi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z) |u(x) - u(z)|^q dz dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is supported in B_1 , clearly we have that for all $|x - z| \geq 1$, $\psi(x - z) \leq J(x, z)$. Meanwhile, since J is positive, when $|x - z| < 1$ there exists a constant C depending only on $|\psi|_\infty$ such that $\psi(x - z) \leq CJ(x, z)$. Then

$$\|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y) |u(x) - u(y)|^q dx dy.$$

Now we deal with the term concerning v . We split the fractional seminorm as

$$\begin{aligned} [v]_{2\sigma q^{-1}, q}^q &= \iint_{|x-y|>1} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{n+2\sigma}} dx dy + \iint_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{n+2\sigma}} dx dy \\ &=: I_{ext} + I_{int}. \end{aligned}$$

We look at these integrals separately. For I_{ext} , using the definition of v we have

$$I_{ext} = \iint_{|x-y|>1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x-z) - u(y-z)) \psi(z) dz \right|^q |x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy.$$

Now, we can look at the measure $\mu(dz) = \psi(z) dz$ as a probability measure because of (3.1). Clearly, the function $t \mapsto |t|^q$ is convex in \mathbb{R} . Then, we apply Jensen's inequality on the dz -integral in right-hand side of the last expression, concluding

$$I_{ext} \leq \iint_{|x-y|>1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x-z) - u(y-z)|^q \psi(z) dz |x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy,$$

which, after an application of Fubini's Theorem, gives

$$I_{ext} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(z) \left(\iint_{|x-y|>1} |u(x-z) - u(y-z)|^q |x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy \right) dz,$$

Then, applying the change $\tilde{x} = x - z, \tilde{y} = y - z$ in the $dx dy$ integral and using (3.1), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ext} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(z) \left(\iint_{|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|>1} |u(\tilde{x}) - u(\tilde{y})|^q |\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{-(n+2\sigma)} d\tilde{x} d\tilde{y} \right) dz \\ &= \iint_{|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|>1} |u(\tilde{x}) - u(\tilde{y})|^q |\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{-(n+2\sigma)} d\tilde{x} d\tilde{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this last expression, we obtain from the assumption (J2) that

$$(3.4) \quad I_{ext} \leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y) |u(x) - u(y)|^q dx dy.$$

Now we deal with I_{int} . In this case, using the definition of ψ , we can write

$$(3.5) \quad I_{int} = \iint_{|x-y|<1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(z) (\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z)) dz \right|^q |x - y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy.$$

Note that by using (3.1), we have for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) (\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z)) dz = u(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x-z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(y-z) dz \right) = 0,$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(z)(\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z))dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(z) - u(x))(\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z))dz.$$

Thus, using this equality into (3.5), we get

$$I_{int} = \iint_{|x-y|<1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(z) - u(x))(\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z))dz \right|^q |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy.$$

However, note that if $|x-z| \geq 2$ in the dz integral, since $|x-y| < 1$ necessarily $|y-z| > 1$. Then, due to the fact that ψ is supported in the unit ball, the contribution of the integrand when $|x-z| \geq 2$ is null in the dz integral. Taking this into account, applying Hölder's inequality into the dz -integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{int} \\ &= \iint_{|x-y|<1} \left| \int_{|x-z|<2} (u(z) - u(x))(\psi(x-z) - \psi(y-z))dz \right|^q \\ & \quad \times |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy \\ &\leq \iint_{|x-y|<1} \left(\int_{|x-z|<2} |u(z) - u(x)|^q dz \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{|x-\tilde{z}|<2} |\psi(x-\tilde{z}) - \psi(y-\tilde{z})|^{q'} d\tilde{z} \right)^{q/q'} |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $q' = q/(q-1)$ is the Hölder conjugate to q . By Fubini's Theorem we can write

$$I_{int} = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{|x-z|<2} (u(z) - u(x))^2 dz \right) \Psi(x) dx,$$

where

$$\Psi(x) = \int_{|x-y|<1} \left(\int_{|x-\tilde{z}|<2} |\psi(x-\tilde{z}) - \psi(y-\tilde{z})|^{q'} d\tilde{z} \right)^{q/q'} |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dy.$$

Using the regularity of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &\leq \int_{|x-y|<1} \left(\int_{|x-\tilde{z}|<2} \|D\psi\|_{\infty}^{q'} |x-y|^{q'} d\tilde{z} \right)^{q/q'} |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dy \\ &\leq \|D\psi\|_{\infty}^q |B_2|^{q/q'} \int_{|x-y|<1} |x-y|^q |x-y|^{-(n+2\sigma)} dy, \end{aligned}$$

and since $q > 2\sigma$, we conclude the last integral is convergent, obtaining

$$\Psi(x) \leq C_{n,\sigma,q} \|D\psi\|_{\infty}^q |B_2|^{q/q'},$$

which leads us to the following estimate for I_{int}

$$I_{int} \leq C \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-z|<2} |u(z) - u(x)|^q dz dx.$$

From this, it is easy to get

$$I_{int} \leq C \int_{|x-z| \leq 2} \frac{|u(z) - u(x)|^q}{(1 + |x - z|)^{n+2\sigma}} dz dx,$$

which by the use of (J2), let us conclude that

$$I_{int} \leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} J(x, y) |u(x) - u(y)|^q dx dy.$$

This last estimate together with (3.4) concludes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For simplicity, we use the following notation: for $q > 1$, we denote $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_q = 2\sigma q^{-1}$. Hence, the fractional critical exponent of q relative to $\tilde{\sigma}$ is denoted by \tilde{q}^* and is given by

$$\tilde{q}^* = \frac{nq}{n - q\tilde{\sigma}} = \frac{nq}{n - 2\sigma}.$$

We need an intermediate result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let us assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.2. Then, there exists two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on n, q and σ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq C_1 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta)} E_{J,q}(u)^\theta + C_2 E_{J,q}(u),$$

where $E_{J,q}$ is defined in (2.3) and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the equality

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{\tilde{q}^*} + (1 - \theta), \quad \text{that is} \quad \theta = 1 - \frac{2\sigma}{n(q-1) + 2\sigma}.$$

Proof: Using the definition of v and w in (3.2), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq 2^{q-1} (\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q + \|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q).$$

By the definition of v , it belongs to L^p for all p . Hence, we can interpolate, obtaining

$$\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|v\|_{L^{\tilde{q}^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta \|v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta},$$

where θ is given by (4.2). Recalling the Sobolev-type inequality (2.5), we have

$$\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C [v]_{\tilde{\sigma}, q}^\theta \|v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta},$$

and then, using this last inequality, (4.3), Proposition 3.2 and the property $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ given in Theorem 2.1, we get (4.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Along this proof, we denote by C_i a constant independent of u_0 and K_i a constant depending on u_0 (making explicit this dependence). We recall that $q > 2\sigma$. Consider the constants C_1, C_2 of the previous lemma and denote

$$(4.4) \quad K_1 = C_1 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta)}, \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) = K_1 x^\theta + C_2 x, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

With these definitions, inequality (4.1) can be written as

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \leq H(E_{J,q}(u)),$$

or equivalently

$$H^{-1}(\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q) \leq E_{J,q}(u).$$

Hence, denoting $\phi(t) = \phi[u](t) := \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q$, we use (2.3) to conclude ϕ satisfies the differential inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \partial_t \phi(t) + C_q H^{-1}(\phi(t)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Direct computations allows us to write

$$H^{-1}(x) \geq \begin{cases} x/(2C_2) & x \geq K_2, \\ (x/(2K_1))^{1/\theta} & x < K_2, \end{cases}$$

where $K_2 = C_3 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q$ for some constant C_3 . Using this expression, we obtain from (4.5) that, for all $t > 0$, $\phi(t)$ satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \partial_t \phi(t) + C \left(\phi(t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\phi(t) \geq K_2\}} + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta^{-1})} \phi(t)^{1/\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\phi(t) < K_2\}} \right) \leq 0,$$

We claim that there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that $\phi(t_0) < K_2$. Otherwise, if $\phi(t) \geq K_2$, from (4.6) we have $\partial_t \phi(t) + C\phi(t) \leq 0$ holds for all $t > 0$, implying that ϕ has exponential decay, which is a contradiction with $\phi(t) \geq K_2 > 0$. Hence, since there exists such t_0 and since (2.3) implies in particular that ϕ is nonincreasing, from (4.6) we conclude that for all $t > t_0$,

$$\partial_t \phi(t) + C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{(1-\theta)/\theta} (\phi(t))^{1/\theta} \leq 0.$$

From this we get

$$\phi(t) \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} t^{\theta/(\theta-1)},$$

again for C depending on n, q and σ . This proves that the L^q -norm of the solution goes to zero with the desired rate, that is, (1.3) holds.

Now we look for a bound valid for all $t > 0$, that is (1.4). If $\phi(0) \leq K_2$, that is when $\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_3 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, by (4.6) and since ϕ is nonincreasing, we get

$$(4.7) \quad \partial_t \phi(t) + C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta^{-1})} \phi(t)^{1/\theta} \leq 0$$

for all $t > 0$. Integrating from 0 to t , using the expression (4.2) for θ and recalling $\phi(t) = \|u(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q$, we conclude

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} t^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-q^{-1})},$$

where the constant C does not depend on u_0 .

In the case $\phi(0) > K_2$, the corresponding inequality $\phi(0) > C_3 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q$ allows us to replace the dependence on $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ of H in (4.4) by the norm $\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus, this replacement makes the analogous inequality to (4.5) that in this case reads as

$$(4.8) \quad \partial_t \phi(t) + C_q \tilde{H}^{-1}(\phi(t)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

where, for some $C_3 > 0$, we have denoted

$$\tilde{H}(x) = C_3 \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta)} x^\theta + C_2 x.$$

Since ϕ is nondecreasing our interest is to estimate $\tilde{H}^{-1}(x)$ in (4.8) from below in the interval $x \in (0, \phi(0))$. It is easy to see that for all C small enough, independent of u_0 we can get

$$\tilde{H}(C \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta^{-1})} x^{1/\theta}) \leq x, \quad \text{for all } x \in (0, \phi(0)),$$

which means that

$$C \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta^{-1})} x^{1/\theta} \leq \tilde{H}(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in (0, \phi(0)).$$

Replacing this inequality into (4.8) we obtain that

$$\partial_t \phi(t) + C \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{q(1-\theta^{-1})} \phi(t)^{1/\theta} \leq 0$$

holds for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ is independent of u_0 . This inequality is exactly the same as (4.7) but with the L^q -norm of u_0 replacing the L^1 -norm. Integrating, we conclude the estimate

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} t^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-q^{-1})},$$

and therefore inequality (1.4) holds. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2: By an interpolation argument, there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta \max\{\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}^{1-\theta} t^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Theorem 1.1 in the last inequality. \square

5. APPENDIX

Proof of Inequality (2.2): Clearly the inequality holds if $a = b$, then, without loss of generality, we assume $|a| > |b|$. If this is the case, (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(1-x)(1-|x|^{q-2}x) \geq C|1-x|^q$$

for all $|x| < 1$. Now, in the case $x < 0$ we have the left-hand side is bounded from above by 1, meanwhile the right-hand side is bounded from above by 2^q . Hence, it is sufficient to consider $C = 2^{-q}$. For the case $x \in (0, 1)$, the desired inequality is equivalent to

$$1 \geq x^{q-1} + C(1-x)^{q-1}.$$

When $q \geq 2$, this inequality holds with any $C \leq 1$ because

$$1 \geq x + (1-x) \geq x^{q-1} + (1-x)^{q-1}.$$

When $q \in (1, 2)$, the conclusion follows from the fact that the derivative of $x \mapsto x^{q-1} + C(1-x)^{q-1}$ is strictly positive (independently of C) at $x = 1$. Then, choosing $C > 0$ small enough we obtain the result. \square

Acknowledgements: J.D.R. was partially supported by MEC MTM2010-18128 and MTM2011-27998 (Spain) and FONDECYT 1110291 International Cooperation. P.F. was partially supported by FONDECYT 1110291 and Programa Basal-CMM U. de Chile. E.T. was partially supported by CONICYT, Grants Capital Humano Avanzado, Realización de Tesis Doctoral and Cotutela en el Extranjero.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreu-Vaillo, F.; Mazon, J. M.; Rossi, J. D. and Toledo-Melero, J. J. Nonlocal diffusion problems. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 165. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; 2010.
- [2] Chasseigne, E. , Chaves, M. and Rossi, J.D. *Asymptotic Behavior for Nonlocal Diffusion Equations*. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, (9) 86, (2006), no. 3, 271–291.
- [3] Di Neza, E., Palatucci, G. and Valdinoci, E. *Hitchhiker's Guide to the Fractional Sobolev Spaces*. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136, (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [4] Ignat, L. and Rossi, J.D. *Decay Estimates for Nonlocal Problems Via Energy Methods*. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, (9) 92, (2009), no. 2, 163–187.

EMMANUEL CHASSEIGNE: LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, CNRS UMR 7350, FÉDÉRATION DENIS POISSON FR2964, UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS, PARC DE GRANDMONT, 37200 TOURS, FRANCE.
 Emmanuel.Chasseigne@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

PATRICIO FELMER: DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA AND CMM (UMI 2807 CNRS), UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, CASILLA 170 CORREO 3, SANTIAGO, CHILE.
 pfelmer@dim.uchile.cl

J. D. ROSSI: DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE ALICANTE, AP. CORREOS 99, 03080, ALICANTE, SPAIN AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN UBA, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PAB 1 (1428), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.
 julio.rossi@ua.es

ERWIN TOPP: DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (UMI 2807 CNRS), UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, CASILLA 170, CORREO 3, SANTIAGO, CHILE. AND LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE (CNRS UMR 7350), UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS, PARC DE GRANDMONT, 37200, TOURS, FRANCE.
 etopp@dim.uchile.cl