



HAL
open science

Claw-freeness, 3-homogeneous subsets of a graph and a reconstruction problem

Maurice Pouzet, Hamza Si Kaddour, Nicolas Trotignon

► **To cite this version:**

Maurice Pouzet, Hamza Si Kaddour, Nicolas Trotignon. Claw-freeness, 3-homogeneous subsets of a graph and a reconstruction problem. Contributions to Discrete Mathematics, 2011, 6, pp.92-103. hal-00839758

HAL Id: hal-00839758

<https://hal.science/hal-00839758>

Submitted on 29 Jun 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CLAW-FREENESS, 3-HOMOGENEOUS SUBSETS OF A GRAPH AND A RECONSTRUCTION PROBLEM

MAURICE POUZET, HAMZA SI KADDOUR, AND NICOLAS TROTIGNON

ABSTRACT. We describe $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$, the class of graphs G such that G and its complement \overline{G} are claw-free. With few exceptions, it is made of graphs whose connected components consist of cycles of length at least 4, paths, and of the complements of these graphs. Considering the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$ made of the 3-element subsets of the vertex set of a graph G on which G induces a clique or an independent subset, we deduce from above a description of the Boolean sum $G \dot{+} G'$ of two graphs G and G' giving the same hypergraph. We indicate the role of this latter description in a reconstruction problem of graphs up to complementation.

1. RESULTS AND MOTIVATION

Our notations and terminology mostly follow [3]. The graphs we consider in this paper are undirected, simple and have no loop. That is a *graph* is a pair $G := (V, \mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is a subset of $[V]^2$, the set of 2-element subsets of V . Elements of V are the *vertices* of G and elements of \mathcal{E} its *edges*. We denote by $V(G)$ the vertex set of G and by $E(G)$ its edge set. We look at members of $[V]^2$ as unordered pairs of distinct vertices. If A is a subset of V , the pair $G \upharpoonright_A := (A, \mathcal{E} \cap [A]^2)$ is the *graph induced by G on A* . The *complement* of G is the simple graph \overline{G} whose vertex set is V and whose edges are the unordered pairs of nonadjacent and distinct vertices of G , that is $\overline{G} = (V, \overline{\mathcal{E}})$, where $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = [V]^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}$. We denote by K_3 the complete graph on 3 vertices and by $K_{1,3}$ the graph made of a vertex linked to a $\overline{K_3}$. The graph $K_{1,3}$ is called a *claw*, the graph $\overline{K_{1,3}}$ a *co-claw*.

In [4], Brandstädt and Mahfud give a structural characterization of graphs with no claw and no co-claw; they deduce several algorithmic consequences (relying on bounded clique width). We will give a more precise characterization of such graphs. We denote by A_6 the graph on 6 vertices made of a K_3 bounded by three K_3 (cf. Figure 1) and by C_n the n -element cycle, $n \geq 4$. We denote by P_9 the Paley graph on 9 vertices (cf. Figure 1). Note that P_9 is isomorphic to its complement $\overline{P_9}$, to the line-graph of $K_{3,3}$ and also to $K_3 \square K_3$, the cartesian product of K_3 by itself (see [3] page 30 if needed for a definition of the *cartesian product of graphs*, and see [15] page 176 and [3] page 28 for a definition and basic properties of *Paley graphs*).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C60; 05C99.

Key words and phrases. Graphs; claw-free graphs; cliques; independent subsets; Paley graphs.

Done under the auspices of the French-Tunisian CMCU "Outils mathématiques pour l'Informatique" 05S1505.




FIGURE 1

Given a set \mathcal{F} of graphs, we denote by $\text{Forb}\mathcal{F}$ the class of graphs G such that no member of \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of G . Members of $\text{Forb}\{K_3\}$, resp. $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}\}$ are called *triangle-free*, resp. *claw-free* graphs.

The main result of this note asserts:

Theorem 1.1. *The class $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$ consists of A_6 , of the induced subgraphs of P_9 , of graphs whose connected components consist of cycles of length at least 4, paths, and of the complements of these graphs.*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, note that the graphs A_6 and $\overline{A_6}$ are the only members of $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$ which contain a K_3 and a $\overline{K_3}$ with no vertex in common. Note also that A_6 and $\overline{A_6}$ are very important graphs for the study of how maximal cliques and stable sets overlap in general graphs. See the main theorem of [7], see also [8]. Also, in [10], page 31, a list of all self-complementary line-graphs is given. A part from C_5 , there are all induced subgraphs of P_9 .

From Theorem 1.1 we obtain a characterization of the Boolean sum of two graphs having the same 3-homogeneous subsets. For that, we say that a subset of vertices of a graph G is *homogeneous* if it is a clique or an independent set (note that the word homogeneous is used with this meaning in Ramsey theory; in other areas of graph theory it has other meanings, several in fact). Let $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$ be the hypergraph having the same vertices as G and whose hyperedges are the 3-element homogeneous subsets of G . Given two graphs G and G' on the same vertex set V , we recall that the *Boolean sum* $G \dot{+} G'$ of G and G' is the graph on V whose edges are unordered pairs e of distinct vertices such that $e \in E(G)$ if and only if $e \notin E(G')$. Note that $E(G \dot{+} G')$ is the symmetric difference $E(G) \Delta E(G')$ of $E(G)$ and $E(G')$. The graph $G \dot{+} G'$ is also called the *symmetric difference* of G and G' and denoted by $G \Delta G'$ in [3]. Given a graph U with vertex set V , the *edge-graph* of U is the graph $S(U)$ whose vertices are the edges u of U and whose edges are unordered pairs uv such that $u = xy, v = xz$ for three distinct elements $x, y, z \in V$ such that yz is not an edge of U . Note that the edge-graph $S(U)$ is a spanning subgraph of $L(U)$, the *line-graph* of U , not to be confused with it.

Claw-free graphs and triangle-free graphs are related by means of the edge-graph construction. Indeed, as it is immediate to see, for every graph U , we have:

$$U \in \text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}\} \iff S(U) \in \text{Forb}\{K_3\} \quad (\star)$$

Our characterization is this:

Theorem 1.2. *Let U be a graph. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) There are two graphs G and G' having the same 3-element homogeneous subsets such that $U := G \dot{+} G'$;
- (2) $S(U)$ and $S(\overline{U})$ are bipartite;
- (3) Either (i) U is an induced subgraph of P_9 , or (ii) the connected components of U , or of its complement \overline{U} , are cycles of even length or paths.

As a consequence, if the graph U satisfying Property (1) is disconnected, then U contains no 3-element cycle, moreover, if U contains no 3-element cycle then each connected component of U is a cycle of even length, or a path, in particular U is bipartite.

The implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) in Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. Indeed, suppose that Property (2) holds, that is $S(U)$ and $S(\overline{U})$ are bipartite, then from Formula (\star) and from the fact that $S(A_6)$ and $S(C_n)$, $n \geq 4$, are respectively isomorphic to C_9 and to C_n , we have:

$$U \in \text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}, A_6, \overline{A_6}, C_{2n+1}, \overline{C_{2n+1}} : n \geq 2\}.$$

From Theorem 1.1, Property (3) holds. The other implications, obtained by more straightforward arguments, are given in Subsection 2.3.

This leaves open the following:

Problem 1.3. *Which pairs of graphs G and G' with the same 3-element homogeneous subsets have a given Boolean sum $U := G \dot{+} G'$?*

A partial answer, motivated by the reconstruction problem discussed below, is given in [6]. We mention that two graphs G and G' as above are determined by the graphs induced on the connected components of $U := G \dot{+} G'$ and on a system of distinct representatives of these connected components (Proposition 10 [6]).

A quite natural problem, related to the study of Ramsey numbers for triples, is this:

Problem 1.4. *Which hypergraphs are of the form $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$?*

An asymptotic lower bound of the size of $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(G)$ in terms of $|V(G)|$ was established by A.W. Goodman [9].

The motivation for Theorem 1.2 (and thus Theorem 1.1) originates in a reconstruction problem on graphs that we present now. Considering two graphs G and G' on the same set V of vertices, we say that G and G' are *isomorphic up to complementation* if G' is isomorphic to G or to the complement \overline{G} of G . Let k be a non-negative integer, we say that G and G' are *k -hypomorphic up to complementation* if for every k -element subset K of V , the graphs $G_{\upharpoonright K}$ and $G'_{\upharpoonright K}$ induced by G and G' on K are isomorphic up to complementation. Finally, we say that G is *k -reconstructible up to complementation* if every graph G' which is k -hypomorphic to G up to complementation is in fact isomorphic to G up to complementation. The following problem emerged from a question of P. Ille [12]:

Problem 1.5. *For which pairs (k, v) of integers, $k < v$, every graph G on v vertices is k -reconstructible up to complementation?*

It is immediate to see that if the conclusion of the problem above is positive for some k, v , then v is distinct from 3 and 4 and, with a little bit of thought, that if $v \geq 5$ then $k \geq 4$ (see Proposition 4.1 of [5]). With J. Dammak, G. Lopez [5] and [6] we proved that the conclusion is positive if:

- (i) $4 \leq k \leq v - 3$ or
- (ii) $4 \leq k = v - 2$ and $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

We do not know if in (ii) the condition $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ can be dropped. For $4 \leq k = v - 1$, we checked that the conclusion holds if $v = 5$ and noticed that for larger values of v it could be negative or extremely hard to obtain, indeed, a positive conclusion would imply that Ulam's reconstruction conjecture holds (see Proposition 19 of [6]).

The reason for which Theorem 1.2 plays a role in that matter relies on the following result, an easy consequence of the famous Gottlieb-Kantor theorem on incidence matrices ([11, 14]).

Proposition 1.6. (Proposition 2.4 [5]) *Let $t \leq \min(k, v - k)$ and G and G' be two graphs on the same set V of v vertices. If G and G' are k -hypomorphic up to complementation then they are t -hypomorphic up to complementation.*

Indeed, if $3 \leq k \leq v - 3$, Proposition 1.6 tells us that two graphs G and G' which are k -hypomorphic up to complementation are 3-hypomorphic up to complementation, which amounts to the fact that G and G' have the same 3-homogeneous subsets. A careful study of such pairs G, G' allows to deal with the case $v = k + 3$ and $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (see [6]). Other cases use properties of the rank of some incidence matrices; notably a result of R.M. Wilson [16] on incidence matrices (and also the Gottlieb-Kantor theorem). In these cases the conclusion is stronger: G' or $\overline{G'}$ is equal to G (see [5]).

2. PROOFS

Let U be a graph. For an unordered pair $e := xy$ of distinct vertices, we set $U(e) = 1$ if $e \in E(U)$ and $U(e) = 0$ otherwise. Let $x \in V(U)$; we denote by $N_U(x)$ and $d_U(x)$ the *neighborhood* and the *degree* of x (that is $N_U(x) := \{y \in V(U) : xy \in E(U)\}$ and $d_U(x) := |N_U(x)|$). For $X \subseteq V(U)$, we set $N_U(X) := (\cup_{x \in X} N_U(x)) \setminus X$.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Trivially, the graphs described in Theorem 1.1 belong to $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$. We prove the converse.

The *diamond* is the graph on four vertices with five edges. We say that a graph G contains a graph H when G has an induced subgraph isomorphic to H .

Theorem 2.1. (Harary and Holzmann [13]) *A graph G is the line-graph of a triangle-free graph if and only if G contains no claw and no diamond.*

Proof. Since [13] is very difficult to find, we include a short proof. Checking that a line-graph of a triangle-free graph contains no claw and no diamond is a routine matter. Conversely, let G be graph with no claw and no diamond. A theorem of Beineke [1] states that there exists a list \mathcal{L} of nine graphs such any graph that does not contain a graph from \mathcal{L} is a line-graph. One of the nine graphs is the claw and the eight remaining ones all contain a diamond. So, $G = L(R)$ for some graph R . Let R' be the graph obtained from R by replacing each connected component of R isomorphic to a triangle by a claw. So, $L(R) = L(R') = G$. We claim that R' is triangle-free. Else let T be a triangle of R' . From the construction of R' , there is a vertex $v \notin T$ in the connected component of R' that contains T . So we may choose v with a neighbor in T . Now the edges of T and one edge from v to T induce a diamond of G , a contradiction. \square

Let G be in the class $\text{Forb}\{K_{1,3}, \overline{K_{1,3}}\}$.

(1) *We may assume that G and \overline{G} are connected.*

Else, up to symmetry, G is disconnected. If G contains a vertex v of degree at least 3, then $N_G(v)$ contains an edge (for otherwise there is a claw), so G contains a triangle. This is a contradiction since by picking a vertex in another component we obtain a co-claw. So all vertices of G are of degree at most 2. It follows that the components of G are cycles (of length at least 4, or there is a co-claw) or paths, an outcome of the theorem. This proves (1).

(2) *We may assume that G contains no induced path P_6 .*

Else G has an induced subgraph H that is either a path on at least 6 vertices or a cycle on at least 7 vertices. Suppose H maximal with respect to this property. If $G = H$, an outcome of the theorem is satisfied. Else, by (1), we pick a vertex v in $G \setminus H$ with at least a neighbor in H . From the maximality of H , v has a neighbor p_i in the interior of some $P_6 = p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6$ of H . Up to symmetry we assume that v has a neighbor p_i where $i \in \{2, 3\}$. So $N_G(v) \cap \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ contains an edge e for otherwise $\{p_i, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, v\}$ induces a claw. If $e = p_1p_2$ then v must be adjacent to p_4, p_5, p_6 for otherwise there is a co-claw; so $\{v, p_1, p_4, p_6\}$ induces a claw. If $e = p_2p_3$ then v must be adjacent to p_5, p_6 for otherwise there is a co-claw, so from the symmetry between $\{p_1, p_2\}$ and $\{p_5, p_6\}$ we may rely on the previous case. If $e = p_3p_4$ then v must be adjacent to p_1, p_6 for otherwise there is a co-claw; so $\{v, p_1, p_3, p_6\}$ induces a claw. In all cases there is a contradiction. This proves (2).

(3) *We may assume that G contains no A_6 and no $\overline{A_6}$.*

Else up to a complementation, let aa', bb', cc' be three disjoint edges of G such that the only edges between them are ab, bc, ca . If $V(G) = \{a, a', b, b', c, c'\}$, an outcome of the theorem is satisfied, so let v be a seventh vertex of G . We may assume that $av \in E(G)$ (else there is a co-claw). If $a'v \in E(G)$ then $vb', vc' \in E(G)$ (else there is a co-claw) so $\{v, a', b', c'\}$ is a claw. Hence $a'v \notin E(G)$. We have $vb \in E(G)$ (or $\{a, a', v, b\}$ is a claw) and similarly $vc \in E(G)$. So $\{a', v, b, c\}$ is a co-claw. This proves (3).

(4) *We may assume that G contains no diamond.*

We prefer to think about this in the complement, so suppose for a contradiction that G contains a co-diamond, that is four vertices a, b, c, d that induce only one edge, say ab . By (1), there is a path P from $\{c, d\}$ to some vertex w that has a neighbor in $\{a, b\}$. We choose such a path P minimal and we assume up to symmetry that the path is from c .

If w is adjacent to both a, b then $\{a, b, w, d\}$ induces a co-claw unless w is adjacent to d , similarly w is adjacent to c , so $\{w, a, c, d\}$ induces a claw. Hence w is adjacent to exactly one of a, b , say to a . So, $P' = cPwab$ is an induced path and for convenience we rename its vertices p_1, \dots, p_k . If d has a neighbor in P' then, from the minimality of P' , this neighbor must be p_2 . So, $\{p_2, p_1, p_3, d\}$ induces a claw. Hence, d has no neighbor in P' .

By (1), there is a path Q from d to some vertex v that has a neighbor in P' . We choose Q minimal with respect to this property. From the paragraph above, $v \neq d$. Let p_i (resp. p_j) be the neighbor of v in P' with minimum (resp. maximum) index. If $i = j = 1$ then $dQvp_1Pwp_{k-1}p_k$ is a path on at least 6 vertices a contradiction to (2). So, if $i = j$ then $i \neq 1$ and symmetrically, $i \neq k$, so $\{p_{i-1}, p_i, p_{i+1}, v\}$ is a claw.

Hence $i \neq j$. If $j > i + 1$ then $\{v, v', p_i, p_j\}$, where v' is the neighbor of v along Q , is a claw. So, $j = i + 1$. So $vp_i p_j$ is a triangle. Hence $P' = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$, $Q = \overline{dv}$ and $i = 2$, for otherwise there is a co-claw. Hence, $P' \cup Q$ form an induced $\overline{A_6}$ of G , a contradiction to (3). This proves (4).

Now G is connected and contains no claw and no diamond. So, by Theorem 2.1, G is the line-graph of some connected triangle-free graph R . Symmetrically, \overline{G} is also a line-graph. These graphs are studied in [2].

If R contains a vertex v of degree at least 4 then all edges of R must be incident to v , for else an edge e non-incident to v together with three edges of R incident to v and non-incident to e form a co-claw in G . So all vertices of R have degree at most 3 since otherwise, G is a clique, a contradiction to (1). We may assume that R has a vertex a of degree 3 for otherwise G is a path or a cycle. Let b, b', b'' be the neighbors of a . Since a has degree 3, all edges of R must be incident to b, b' or b'' for otherwise G contains a co-claw.

If one of b, b', b'' , say b , is of degree 3, then $N_R(b) = \{a, a', a''\}$ and all edges of R are incident to one of a, a', a'' (or there is a co-claw). So R is a subgraph of $K_{3,3}$. So, since $P_9 = L(K_{3,3})$, $G = L(R)$ is an induced subgraph of P_9 , an outcome of the theorem. Hence we assume that b, b', b'' are of degree at most 2. If $|N_R(\{b, b', b''\}) \setminus \{a\}| \geq 3$, then R contains the pairwise non-incident edge $bc, b'c', b''c''$ say, and the edges $ab, ab', ab'', bc, b'c', b''c''$ are vertices of G that induce an $\overline{A_6}$, a contradiction to (3). So, $|N_R(\{b, b', b''\}) \setminus \{a\}| \leq 2$ which means again that R is a subgraph of $K_{3,3}$. \square

2.2. Ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of the equivalence between Properties (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2 relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G and G' be two graphs on the same vertex set V and let $U := G \dot{+} G'$. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) G and G' have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets;
- (b) $U(\{x, y\}) = U(\{x, z\}) \neq U(\{y, z\}) \implies G(\{x, y\}) \neq G(\{x, z\})$ for all distinct elements x, y, z of V .
- (c) The sets $A_1 := E(U) \cap E(G)$ and $A_2 := E(U) \setminus E(G)$ divide $V(S(U))$ into two independent sets and also the sets $B_1 := E(\overline{U}) \cap E(G)$ and $B_2 := E(\overline{U}) \setminus E(G)$ divide $V(S(\overline{U}))$ into two independent sets.

Proof. Observe first that Property (b) is equivalent to the conjunction of the following properties:

(b_U) : If uv is an edge of $S(U)$ then $u \in E(G)$ iff $v \notin E(G)$.

and

$(b_{\overline{U}})$: If uv is an edge of $S(\overline{U})$ then $u \in E(G)$ iff $v \notin E(G)$.

$(a) \implies (b)$. Let us show $(a) \implies (b_U)$.

Let $uv \in E(S(U))$, then $u, v \in E(U)$. By contradiction, we may suppose that $u, v \in E(G)$ (the other case implies $u, v \in E(G')$ thus is similar). Since u and v are edges of $U = G \dot{+} G'$ then $u, v \notin E(G')$. Let $w := yz$ such that $u = xy, v = xz$. Then $w \notin E(U)$ and thus $w \in E(G)$ iff $w \in E(G')$.

If $w \in E(G)$, $\{x, y, z\}$ is an homogeneous subset of G . Since G and G' have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets, $\{x, y, z\}$ is an homogeneous subset of G' . Hence, since $u, v \notin E(G')$, $w = yz \notin E(G')$, thus $w \notin E(G)$, a contradiction.

If $w \notin E(G)$, then $w \notin E(G')$; since $u, v \notin E(G')$ it follows that $\{x, y, z\}$ is an homogeneous subset of G' . Consequently $\{x, y, z\}$ is an homogeneous subset of G .

Since $u, v \in E(G)$, then $w \in E(G)$, a contradiction.

The implication $a) \implies (b_{\overline{U}})$ is similar.

$(b) \implies (a)$. Let T be a K_3 of G . Suppose that T is not an homogeneous subset of G' then we may suppose $T = \{u, v, w\}$ with $u, v \in E(G')$ and $w \notin E(G')$ or $u, v \in E(\overline{G'})$ and $w \notin E(\overline{G'})$. In the first case $uv \in E(S(\overline{U}))$, which contradicts Property $(b_{\overline{U}})$, in the second case $uv \in E(S(U))$, which contradicts Property (b_U) .

$(b) \implies (c)$. First $V(S(U)) = E(U) = A_1 \cup A_2$ and $V(S(\overline{U})) = E(\overline{U}) = B_1 \cup B_2$. Let u, v be two distinct elements of A_1 (respectively A_2). Then $u, v \in E(G)$ (respectively $u, v \notin E(G)$). From (b_U) we have $uv \notin E(S(U))$. Then A_1 and A_2 are independent sets of $V(S(U))$. The proof that B_1 and B_2 are independent sets of $V(S(\overline{U}))$ is similar.

$(c) \implies (b)$. This implication is trivial. \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Implication $(1) \implies (2)$ follows directly from implication $(a) \implies (c)$ of Lemma 2.2. Indeed, Property (c) implies trivially that $S(U)$ and $S(\overline{U})$ are bipartite.

$(2) \implies (1)$. Suppose that $S(U)$ and $S(\overline{U})$ are bipartite. Let $\{A_1, A_2\}$ and $\{B_1, B_2\}$ be respectively a partition of $V(S(U)) = E(U)$ and $V(S(\overline{U})) = E(\overline{U})$ into independent sets. Note that $A_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Let G, G' be two graphs with the same vertex set as U such that $E(G) = A_1 \cup B_1$ and $E(G') = A_2 \cup B_1$. Clearly $E(G \dot{+} G') = A_1 \cup A_2 = E(U)$. Thus $U = G \dot{+} G'$. To conclude that Property (1) holds, it suffices to show that G and G' have the same 3-element homogeneous subsets, that is Property (a) of Lemma 2.2 holds. For that, note that $A_1 = E(U) \cap E(G)$, $A_2 = E(U) \setminus E(G)$, $B_1 = E(\overline{U}) \cap E(G)$ and $B_2 = E(\overline{U}) \setminus E(G)$ and thus Property (c) of Lemma 2.2 holds. It follows that Property (a) of this lemma holds.

The proof of implication $(2) \implies (3)$ was given in Section 1. For the converse implication, let U be a graph satisfying Property (3) . It is clear from Figure 1 that $S(P_9)$ is bipartite (vertical edges and horizontal edges form a partition). Since $\overline{P_9}$ is isomorphic to P_9 , $S(\overline{P_9})$ is bipartite too. Thus, if U is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of P_9 , Property (2) holds. If not, we may suppose that the connected components of U are cycles of even length, paths (otherwise, replace U by \overline{U}). In this case, $S(U)$ is trivially bipartite. In order to prove that Property 2 holds, it suffices to prove that $S(\overline{U})$ is bipartite too. This is a direct consequence of the following claim:

Claim 2.3. *If U is a bipartite graph, then $S(\overline{U})$ is bipartite too.*

Proof. If $c : V(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a colouring of U , set $c' : V(S(\overline{U})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $c'(\{x, y\}) := c(x) + c(y)$. \square

With this, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

2.4. A direct proof for $(3) \implies (1)$ of Theorem 1.2. In [6] we gave all possible decompositions of U . When $U = P_9$, a decomposition $U = G \dot{+} G'$ can be given by a picture (see figure 2).




FIGURE 2

Let $n \geq 2$. Let X_n be an n -element set, x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} be an enumeration of X_n , $X_n^0 := \{x_i \in X_n : i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ and $X_n^1 := X_n \setminus X_n^0$. Set $R_n := [X_n^1]^2 \cup [X_n^2]^2$, $S_n := \{\{x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}\} : 2i < n\}$, $S'_n := \{\{x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}\} : 2i < n-1\}$. Let M_n and M'_n be the graphs with vertex set X_n and edge sets $E(M_n) := R_n \cup S_n$ and $E(M'_n) := R_n \cup S'_n$ respectively. Let $M''_n := (X_n, R_n \cup S'_n \cup \{\{x_0, x_{n-1}\}\})$ for n even, $n \geq 4$. For $n \in \{6, 7\}$ we give a picture (see figure 3). For convenience, we set $M_1 = M'_1$ the graph with one vertex and we put $V(M_1) := X_1^0 := \{x_0\}$. When G is a graph of the form M_n , M'_n , or M''_n , with $n \geq 1$, we put $V^0(G) := X_n^0$ and $V^1(G) := X_n^1$.




FIGURE 3

When U is a cycle of even size $2n$, a decomposition $U = G \dot{+} G'$ can be given by $G = M_{2n}$ and $G' = M''_{2n}$. When U is a path of size n , a decomposition $U = G \dot{+} G'$ can be given by $G = M_n$ and $G' = M'_n$.

When the connected components of U are cycles of even length or paths, we define G and G' satisfying $U = G \dot{+} G'$ as follows: For each connected component C of U , (G_C, G'_C) is given by the previous step. For distinct connected components C and C' of U , $x \in C$, $x' \in C'$, $xx' \in E(G)$ (and $xx' \in E(G')$) if and only if $x \in V^0(G_C)$ and $x' \in V^0(G_{C'})$, or $x \in V^1(G_C)$ and $x' \in V^1(G_{C'})$.

When the connected components of \bar{U} are cycles of even length or paths, from $\bar{U} = \bar{G} \dot{+} \bar{G}'$, the previous step gives a pair (\bar{G}, \bar{G}') , then a pair (G, G') .

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Thomassé for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.W. Beineke, Characterizations of derived graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 9 (1970) 129-135.
- [2] L.W. Beineke, Derived graphs with derived complements, In *Lecture Notes in Math. (Proc. Conf., New York, 1970)* pages 15-24. Springer (1971).
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory, Basic Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol 244, Springer, 2008, 651 pp.
- [4] A. Brandstädt and S. Mahfud, Maximum weight stable set on graphs without claw and co-claw (and similar graph classes) can be solved in linear time, *Information Processing Letters* 84 (2002) 251-259.
- [5] J. Dammak, G. Lopez, M. Pouzet, H. Si Kaddour, Hypomorphy up to complementation, *JCTB, Series B* 99 (2009) 84-96.
- [6] J. Dammak, G. Lopez, M. Pouzet, H. Si Kaddour, Reconstruction of graphs up to complementation, in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Relations, Orders and Graphs: Interaction with Computer Science, ROGICS08, May 12-15 (2008)*, Mahdia, Tunisia, pp. 195-203.
- [7] X. Deng, G. Li, W. Zang, Proof of Chvátal's conjecture on maximal stable sets and maximal cliques in graphs, *JCTB, Series B* 91 (2004) 301-325.
- [8] X. Deng, G. Li, W. Zang, Corrigendum to proof of Chvátal's conjecture on maximal stable sets and maximal cliques in graphs, *JCTB, Series B* 94 (2005) 352-353.
- [9] A.W. Goodman, On sets of acquaintances and strangers at any party, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 66 (1959) 778-783.
- [10] A. Farrugia. Self-complementary graphs and generalisations: a comprehensive reference manual, Master's thesis, University of Malta (1999).
- [11] D.H. Gottlieb, A class of incidence matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966) 1233-1237.
- [12] P. Ille, personal communication, September 2000.
- [13] F. Harary and C. Holzmann, Line graphs of bipartite graphs, *Rev. Soc. Mat. Chile* 1 (1974) 19-22.
- [14] W. Kantor, On incidence matrices of finite projective and affine spaces, *Math.Zeitschrift* 124 (1972) 315-318.
- [15] J.H. Van Lint, R.M. Wilson, *A course in Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [16] R.M. Wilson, A Diagonal Form for the Incidence Matrices of t -Subsets *vs.* k -Subsets, *Europ J. Combinatorics* 11 (1990) 609-615.

ICJ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: pouzet@univ-lyon1.fr

ICJ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: sikaddour@univ-lyon1.fr

CNRS, LIAFA, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT, PARIS 7, CASE 7014, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: nicolas.trotignon@liafa.jussieu.fr