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Abstract. We propose an iterative approximate reconstruction algorithm for nonoverdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy $E$ with incomplete data in dimension $d \geq 2$. In particular, we obtain rapidly converging approximate reconstructions for this inverse scattering for $E \rightarrow+\infty$.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \psi=E \psi, \quad H=-\Delta+v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2, E>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \in L_{\sigma}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \text { for some } \sigma>d \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{\sigma}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):\|u\|_{\sigma}<+\infty\right\} \\
& \|u\|_{\sigma}=\text { ess } \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\sigma / 2}|u(x)|, \sigma \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For equation (1.1) we consider the classical scattering eigenfunctions $\psi^{+}$specified by the following asymtotics as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{+}(x, k)=e^{i k x}+c(d,|k|) \frac{e^{i|k||x|}}{|x|^{(d-1) / 2}} f\left(k,|k| \frac{x}{|x|}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{(d-1) / 2}}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, k \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, k^{2}=E, c(d,|k|)=-\pi i(-2 \pi i)^{(d-1) / 2}|k|^{(d-3) / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where a priori unknown function $f=f(k, l), k, l \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, k^{2}=l^{2}=E$, arising in (1.4) is the classical scattering amplitude for (1.1).

Given potential $v$, to determine $\psi^{+}$and $f$ one can use, in particular, the LippmannSchwinger integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{+}(x, k) & =e^{i k x}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G^{+}(x-y, k) v(y) \psi^{+}(y, k) d y \\
G^{+}(x, k) & =-(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{e^{i \xi x} d \xi}{\xi^{2}-k^{2}-i 0} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k, l)=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l y} v(y) \psi^{+}(y, k) d y \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, k^{2}=l^{2}=E>0$; see, for example, $[\mathrm{BS}]$, $[\mathrm{F} 2]$.
The scattering amplitude $f$ at fixed energy $E>0$ is defined on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{E}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, l \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \quad k^{2}=l^{2}=E\right\}, \quad E>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [N8], in addition to $f$ on $\mathcal{M}_{E}$ we consider also $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\tau}}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{E}=\left\{k=k_{E}(p), l=l_{E}(p): p \in \mathcal{B}_{2 \sqrt{E}}\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{E}^{\tau}=\left\{k=k_{E}(p), l=l_{E}(p): p \in \mathcal{B}_{2 \tau \sqrt{E}}\right\},  \tag{1.8}\\
k_{E}(p)=\frac{p}{2}+\eta_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)=-\frac{p}{2}+\eta_{E}(p), \\
\mathcal{B}_{r}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|p| \leq r\right\}, \quad r>0, \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $E>0,0<\tau \leq 1, d \geq 2$, and $\eta_{E}$ is a piecewise continuous vector-function on $\mathcal{B}_{2 \sqrt{E}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{E}(p) p=0, \quad \frac{p^{2}}{4}+\left(\eta_{E}(p)\right)^{2}=E, \quad p \in \mathcal{B}_{2 \sqrt{E}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{E}^{\tau} \subseteq \Gamma_{E} \subset \mathcal{M}_{E}, E>0,0<\tau \leq 1, d \geq 2 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work we continue studies on the following inverse scattering problems for equation (1.1) under assumptions (1.2):

Problem 1.1. Given scattering amplitude $f$ on $\mathcal{M}_{E}$ at fixed $E>0$, find potential $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (at least approximately).

Problem 1.2. Given scattering amplitude $f$ on $\Gamma_{E}^{\tau}$ at fixed $E$ and $\tau$, where $E>0$, $0<\tau \leq 1$, find potential $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (at least approximately).

In addition, one can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{E}=2 d-2, \operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E}^{\tau}=\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{R}^{d}=d \text { for } d \geq 2 \\
& \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{E}>d \text { for } d \geq 3 \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E>0,0<\tau \leq 1$. Therefore, Problem 1.1 is overdetermined for $d \geq 3$, whereas Problem 1.2 is non-overdetermined.

Problem 1.1 has a long history and there are many important results on this problem, see [ABR], [B], [BAR], [ChS], [E], [F1], [GHN], [G], [HH], [I], [IN], [N1]-[N5], [R], [S1], [VW], $[\mathrm{W}],[\mathrm{WY}]$ and references therein. Note also that for spherical potentials $v$ Problem 1.2 for $\tau=1$ is reduced to Problem 1.1. However, to our knowledge, explicit considerations of Problem 1.2 were started only recently in [N8]. In addition, concerning known results for
some other non-overdetermined multi-dimensional coefficient inverse problems, see $[\mathrm{BK}]$, [DKN], [ER1], [HN], [K], [M], [N6], [NS], [S2] and references therein.

Note also that Problems 1.1, 1.2 can be considered as examples of ill-posed problems; see $[\mathrm{BK}]$, [LRS] for an introduction to this theory.

In the present work we consider Problems 1.1, 1.2 assuming that
$v$ is a perturbation of some known background $v_{0}$ satisfying (1.2), where $v-v_{0}$ is sufficiently regular on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(v-v_{0}\right) \subset D$,
where $D$ is an open bounded domain (which is fixed a priori).
In particular, for Problem 1.2, under assumptions (1.13), we iteratively construct stable approximations $u_{j}(x, E)$ to the unknown $v(x), x \in D$, where $u_{1}$ is a linear reconstruction in the Born approximation and $u_{j}, j \geq 2$, are non-linear approximate reconstructions from $f$ on $\Gamma_{E}^{\tau}$; see Subsections 3.2, 3.3. Our construction is based on direct scattering results (summarized in Section 2) and on standard Fourier analysis. In addition, our non-linear approximate reconstructions $u_{j}$ are efficient in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}(\cdot, E)-v\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)}=\varepsilon_{j}(E) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

rapidly decay as $E \rightarrow+\infty$, for sufficiently regular $v-w$ and sufficiently large $j$. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{j}(E)=O\left(E^{-\alpha_{j}}\right), \quad \alpha_{j}=\left(1-\left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j}\right) \frac{n-d}{2 d}  \tag{1.15}\\
& \text { as } E \rightarrow+\infty, \quad j \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

if $v-v_{0}$ is $n$-times smooth in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n>d$; see Theorem 3.1 of Subsection 3.4. Note that $u_{j}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}$ depend also on fixed $\tau$ of Problem 1.2.

In addition, in Subsection 3.5 we explain that the construction of $u_{j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ can be reduced to a finite number of explicit formulas; see Subsection 3.5 for details.

It is also important to note that $f$ on $\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}$ only is used in our iterative approximate reconstruction for Problem 1.2 at high energies $E$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\delta(E)=\tau E^{-(d-1) /(2 d)}, \quad \tau \in\right] 0,1\right] \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, $\delta(E) \rightarrow 0$ as $E \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}$ is a very small part of $\Gamma_{E}^{\tau_{1}}$ for any fixed $\left.\left.\tau_{1} \in\right] 0,1\right]$ for sufficiently large $E$. Therefore, our iterative approximate reconstruction can be viewed as a reconstruction result for Problem 1.2 with incomplete data.

Actually, the iterative approximate reconstruction of the present work complements related stability results of [N8].

In addition, the iterative reconstruction of the present work was also influenced by the iterative reconstruction of [N7] for quite different inverse scattering problem.

Let us consider also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau}=\left\{(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}: k-l \in \mathcal{B}_{2 \tau \sqrt{E}}\right\}, E>0, \tau \in\right] 0,1\right] . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\Gamma_{E}^{\tau} \subset \mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau} \text { for } \tau \in\right] 0,1\right], \\
& \left.\mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau} \subset \mathcal{M}_{E} \text { for } \tau \in\right] 0,1\left[, \mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau}=\mathcal{M}_{E} \text { for } \tau=1,\right.  \tag{1.18}\\
& \left.\left.\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{E}=2 d-2 \text { for } \tau \in\right] 0,1\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

To our knowledge, no analog of the non-linear approximate reconstructions $u_{j}, j \geq 2$, was given in the iterature even for
Problem 1.1 with $\mathcal{M}_{E}$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}_{E}^{\tau}$ for some fixed $\left.\tau \in\right] 0,1[$, i.e. for the problem with much richer data than Problem 1.2 (and, especially, than Problem 1.2 with $f$ given on $\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}$ only, where $\delta(E)$ is defined by (1.16)).

On the other hand, for the case of Problem 1.1 with complete data, under assumptions (1.13), where $v-v_{0}$ is $n$-times smooth in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n>d$, and $v_{0} \equiv 0$, the non-linear approximate reconstructions $u_{j}, j \geq 2$, of the present work are less precise than the approximate reconstructions of [N4], [N5] with the error term estimated as $O\left(E^{-s}\right)$ in the uniform norm as $E \rightarrow+\infty$, where $s=(n-d) / 2$ for $d=2, s=(n-d-\delta) / 2$ for any fixed arbitrary small $\delta>0$ for $d=3$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}<\frac{n-d}{2 d}<s \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ are the numbers of (1.15), $s$ is the number of [N4], [N5].
However, for the problem of approximate but stable finding $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ from $f$ on $\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}$ (or even on $\mathcal{M}_{E}^{\delta(E)}$ ) only, where $\delta(E)$ is defined by (1.16), our approximate reconstructions $u_{j}$ for sufficiently large $j$ are rather optimal with respect to their precision (1.14), (1.15) even in the framework of standards of the Born approximation.

Indeed, in the Born approximation (linear approximation near $v_{0} \equiv 0$ ) the problem of finding $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ from $f$ on $\mathcal{M}_{E}^{\delta}$, where $\left.E>0, \delta \in\right] 0,1[, d \geq 2$, is reduced to finding $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ from its Fourier transform $\hat{v}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{2 \delta \sqrt{E}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}(p)=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i p x} v(x) d x, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This linearized inverse scattering problem can be solved by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(x)=v_{a p p r}^{l i n}(x, E, \delta)+v_{e r r}^{l i n}(x, E, \delta), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \\
& v_{a p p r}^{l i n}(x, E, \delta)=\int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 \delta \sqrt{E}}} e^{-i p x} \hat{v}(p) d p,  \tag{1.21}\\
& v_{e r r}^{l i n}(x, E, \delta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 \delta \sqrt{E}}} e^{-i p x} \hat{v}(p) d p .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we have that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon(E, \delta) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|v_{e r r}^{l i n}(x, E, \delta)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=  \tag{1.22}\\
& O\left((\delta \sqrt{E})^{-(n-d)}\right) \text { if } \delta \sqrt{E} \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

under the assuption that $v$ is $n$-times smooth in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n>d$. In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(E, \delta(E))=O\left((\delta(E) \sqrt{E})^{-(n-d)}\right)=O\left(E^{-\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha=\frac{n-d}{2 d}, E \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta(E)$ given by (1.16).
Finally, one can see that $\alpha_{j} \rightarrow \alpha$ for $j \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\alpha_{j}$ are the numbers of (1.15) and $\alpha$ is the number of the Born approximation estimates (1.22), (1.23).

## 2. Preliminaries of direct scattering

In this section we summarize some results related with the Lippmann- Schwinger integral equation (1.5) for the scattering eigenfunctions $\psi^{+}$and with formula (1.6) for the scattering amplitude $f$.

It is convenient to write the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation (1.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-A(k)) \varphi(\cdot, k)=e(\cdot, k) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(x, k)=\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2} \psi^{+}(x, k), \quad e(x, k)=\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2} e^{i k x}, \\
& A(k)=\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2} G^{+}(k) \Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\left(\Lambda^{\sigma} v\right), k \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator, $\Lambda$ denotes the multiplication operator by the functions $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, G^{+}$denotes the integral operator with the Schwartz kernel $G^{+}(x-y, k)$ of (1.5), $v$ is the multiplication operator by the function $v(x), \sigma$ is the number of (1.2). In addition, we recall that the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Lambda^{-s} G^{+}(k) \Lambda^{-s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq a_{0}(d, s)|k|^{-1}  \tag{2.3}\\
& k \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|k| \geq 1, \text { for } \quad s>1 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

see [E], [J] and references therein.
Using (2.3) one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A(k)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2)\|v\|_{\sigma}|k|^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|k| \geq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$ is defined in (1.3).
As a corollary of $(2.1),(2.2),(2.4)$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\varphi(\cdot, k)-\sum_{j=0}^{m}(A(k))^{j} e(\cdot, k)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 2\left(\frac{a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2)\|v\|_{\sigma}}{|k|}\right)^{m+1} c_{1}(d)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \text { for } k \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|k| \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma,\|v\|_{\sigma}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}(d, \sigma)=\|e(\cdot, k)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{d x}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\sigma / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{2.6}\\
& \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N)=\max \left(2 a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) N, 1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Actually, formula (2.5) is a well-known method for solving the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation (1.5) for sufficiently high energies.

Let now $\varphi_{i}, A_{i}$ denote $\varphi, A$ for $v=v_{i}$ satisfying (1.2), where $i=1,2$. Using the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I-A_{2}(k)\right)^{-1}-\left(I-A_{1}(k)\right)^{-1}= \\
& \left(I-A_{2}(k)\right)^{-1}\left(A_{2}(k)-A_{1}(k)\right)\left(I-A_{1}(k)\right)^{-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

one can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{2}(\cdot, k)-\varphi_{1}(\cdot, k)=  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left(I-A_{2}(k)\right)^{-1}\left(A_{2}(k)-A_{1}(k)\right)\left(I-A_{1}(k)\right)^{-1} e(\cdot, k)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.8), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\varphi_{2}(\cdot, k)-\varphi_{1}(\cdot, k)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 4 a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2)\left\|v_{2}-v_{1}\right\|_{\sigma} c_{1}(d, \sigma)|k|^{-1}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \text { for } k \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|k| \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, \rho_{1}$ are defined in (2.6), $\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{\sigma} \leq N$ for $i=1,2$.
Due to (1.6), we have also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}(k-l)=f(k, l)-(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l x} v(x)\left(\psi^{+}(x, k)-e^{i k x}\right) d x, \quad(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{v}$ is defined by (1.20).
In particular, as a corollary of (2.10) and (2.5) for $m=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(k, l)-\hat{v}(k-l)| \leq 2(2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2)\left(c_{1}(d, \sigma)\|v\|_{\sigma}\right)^{2} E^{-1 / 2}  \tag{2.11}\\
& (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}, \quad E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma,\|v\|_{\sigma}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, \rho_{1}$ are defined in (2.6).
Our iterative reconstruction algorithm for Problem 1.2 is based on formulas (2.5), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and is presented in the next section.

## 3. Iterative approximate reconstruction for Problem 1.2

3.1. Assumptions and notations. We consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{n, 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{u: \partial^{J} u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),|J| \leq n\right\} \\
& \|u\|_{n, 1}=\max _{|J| \leq n}\left\|\partial^{J} u\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
J \in(\mathbb{N} \cup 0)^{d},|J|=\sum_{i=1}^{d} J_{i}, \partial^{J} u(x)=\frac{\partial^{|J|} u(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{J_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{d}^{J_{d}}}
$$
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We assume that $v$ satisfies (1.13), where the assumption that $v-v_{0}$ is sufficiently regular is specified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v-v_{0} \in W^{n, 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \text { for some } n>d \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=v-v_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(x)=v^{+}(x, \kappa)+v^{-}(x, \kappa) \\
& v_{0}(x)=v_{0}^{+}(x, \kappa)+v_{0}^{-}(x, \kappa)  \tag{3.4}\\
& w(x)=w^{+}(x, \kappa)+w^{-}(x, \kappa),
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in D, \kappa>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{+}(x, \kappa)=\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq \kappa} e^{-i p x} \hat{u}(p) d p \\
& u^{-}(x, \kappa)=\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p|>\kappa} e^{-i p x} \hat{u}(p) d p  \tag{3.5}\\
& \hat{u}(p)=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i p x} u(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for $u=v, v_{0}, w$.
Due to (3.3)-(3.5), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(x)=v^{+}(x, \kappa)+v_{0}^{-}(x, \kappa)+w^{-}(x, \kappa),  \tag{3.6}\\
& v^{+}(x, \kappa)=v_{0}^{+}(x, \kappa)+w^{+}(x, \kappa) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in D, \kappa>0$.
3.2. Reconstruction in the Born approximation. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v_{1}^{+}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)+v_{0}^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)  \tag{3.8a}\\
& v_{1}^{+}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}} e^{-i p x} f\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right) d p  \tag{3.8b}\\
& x \in D, \quad 0<\tau_{1} \leq \tau, \quad E>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{0}^{-}$is the function of (3.4), (3.6), $f$ is the scattering amplitude for $v$, and $k_{E}, l_{E}$ are defined in (1.8). Actually, $v_{1}$ of (3.8a) is a reconstruction in the Born approximation for Problem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $v$ satisfy (1.13), (3.2) and $\|v\|_{\sigma} \leq M_{1},\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1} \leq M_{2}$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{1}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)-v(x)\right| \leq c_{2}(d, \sigma) M_{1}^{2} \frac{\left(2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{d}}{\sqrt{E}}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{\left(2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{n-d}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \text { for } \quad x \in D, \quad 0<\tau_{1} \leq \tau, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, M_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}(E)\right)-v(x)\right| \leq\left(c_{2}(d, \sigma) M_{1}^{2}(2 \tau)^{d}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{(2 \tau)^{n-d}}\right) E^{-(n-d) /(2 n)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\quad x \in D, \quad \tau_{1}(E)=\tau E^{-(n-1) /(2 n)}, \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, M_{1}\right)$,
where $0<\tau \leq 1, v_{1}$ is defined by (3.8), $\rho_{1}$ is defined in (2.6), and $c_{2}=c_{2}(d, \sigma), c_{3}=c_{3}(d, n)$ are some positive constants.

Lemma 3.1 is proved in Section 4.
Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x, E)=v_{1}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}(E)\right), \quad x \in D,  \tag{3.11}\\
& u_{1}(x, E)=v_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash D,
\end{align*}
$$

can be considered as an optimal reconstruction in the Born approximation for Problem 1.2 with respect to the error decay in $L^{\infty}(D)$ as $E \rightarrow+\infty$.
3.3. Iterative step. The iterative step of our reconstruction is based, in particular, on the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. Let $v$ satisfy (1.13), $f$ be the scattering amplitude of $v$, and $v_{\text {appr }}(\cdot, E)$ be an approximation to $v$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{\text {appr }}(x, E)-v(x)\right| \leq \beta E^{-\alpha}, x \in D, \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N)  \tag{3.12a}\\
& v_{\text {appr }}(x, E) \equiv v_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash D \tag{3.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta>0$ and some $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\sigma} \leq N, \quad\left\|v_{\text {appr }}(\cdot, E)\right\|_{\sigma} \leq N, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ is defined in (2.6). Then the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f(k, l)-f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)+\hat{v}_{\text {appr }}(k-l, E)-\hat{v}(k-l)\right| \leq \\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) c_{1}(d, \sigma) c_{4}(D, \sigma) N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1 / 2)},  \tag{3.14}\\
& (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}, E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N),
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{\text {appr }}$ is the scattering amplitude for $v_{\text {appr }}, \hat{v}_{\text {appr }}(\cdot, E)$ is the Fourier transform of $v_{\text {appr }}(\cdot, E), \hat{v}$ is the Fourier transform of $v$, (see definition (1.20)), $c_{4}(D, \sigma)$ is given by (4.12).

Lemma 3.2 is proved in Section 4.
In the iterative step of our reconstruction we assume that $v$ satisfies (1.13), (3.2) and $\|v\|_{\sigma} \leq M_{1},\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1} \leq M_{2}$, as in lemma 3.1.

Note that $v_{\text {appr }}=u_{1}$ of (3.11) satisfies (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \beta=\beta_{1}, N=N_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{n-d}{2 n}, \quad \beta_{1}=c_{2}(d, \sigma) M_{1}^{2}(2 \tau)^{d}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{(2 \tau)^{n-d}}  \tag{3.15}\\
& N_{1}=M_{1}+c_{5}(D, \sigma) \beta_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, M_{1}\right)\right)^{-\alpha_{1}}, \quad c_{5}(D, \sigma)=\sup _{x \in D}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\sigma / 2}
\end{align*}
$$
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Then proceeding from the approximation $v_{\text {appr }}=u_{j}(\cdot, E)$ with number $j$, satisfying (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha=\alpha_{j}, \beta=\beta_{j}, N=N_{j}$, the approximation $v_{\text {appr }}=u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ with number $j+1$ is constructed as follows:
(1) We find the scattering amplitude $f_{j}$ and the Fourier transform $\hat{u}_{j}(\cdot, E)$ for $u_{j}(\cdot, E)$, where $E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right)$;
(2) In a similar way with (3.8), we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{j+1}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v_{j+1}^{+}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)+v_{0}^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right),  \tag{3.16a}\\
& v_{j+1}^{+}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}} e^{-i p x} \times \\
& \left(f\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right)-f_{j}\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right)+\hat{u}_{j}\left(k_{E}(p)-l_{E}(p), E\right)\right) d p,  \tag{3.16b}\\
& x \in D, \quad 0<\tau_{j+1} \leq \tau, \quad E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{0}^{-}, f, k_{E}, l_{E}$ are the same that in (3.8);
(3) Finally, in a similar way with (3.11), we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{j+1}(x, E)=v_{j+1}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}(E)\right), x \in D, \\
& u_{j+1}(x, E)=v_{0}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash D,  \tag{3.17}\\
& \tau_{j+1}(E)=\tau E^{-\left(n-1-2 \alpha_{j}\right) /(2 n)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right)$.
In addition, we have the following lemma:
Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of our iterative step, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|v_{j+1}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)-v(x)\right| \leq c_{6}(D, \sigma) N_{j} \beta_{j} \frac{\left(2 \tau_{j+1} E^{1 / 2}\right)^{d}}{E^{\alpha_{j}+(1 / 2)}}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{\left(2 \tau_{j+1} E^{1 / 2}\right)^{n-d}}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \text { for } \quad x \in D, \quad 0<\tau_{j+1} \leq \tau \leq 1, \quad E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \left|u_{j+1}(x, E)-v(x)\right| \leq\left(c_{6}(D, \sigma) N_{j} \beta_{j}(2 \tau)^{d}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{(2 \tau)^{n-d}}\right) E^{-\left(1+2 \alpha_{j}\right)(n-d) /(2 n)}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \text { for } x \in D, \quad E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{j+1}, u_{j+1}$ are defined by (3.16), (3.17), $\rho_{1}$ is defined in (2.6).
Lemma 3.3 is proved in Section 5.
In addition, $u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ of (3.17) satisfies (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha=\alpha_{j+1}, \beta=\beta_{j+1}$, $N=N_{j+1}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{j+1}=\frac{\left(1+2 \alpha_{j}\right)(n-d)}{2 n}, \quad \beta_{j+1}=c_{6}(D, \sigma) N_{j} \beta_{j}(2 \tau)^{d}+\frac{c_{3}(d, n) M_{2}}{(2 \tau)^{n-d}}  \tag{3.20}\\
& N_{j+1}=M_{1}+c_{5}(D, \sigma) \max _{1 \leq i \leq j} \beta_{i}\left(\rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{i}\right)\right)^{-\alpha_{i}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j_{1}} \leq N_{j_{2}}, \quad \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j_{1}}\right) \leq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j_{2}}\right) \text { for } 1 \leq j_{1} \leq j_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.4. Final theorem. Proceeding from lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and formulas (3.15), (3.20), (3.21) we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let $v$ satisfy (1.13), (3.1) and $\|v\|_{\sigma} \leq M_{1},\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1} \leq M_{2}$. Let $u_{j}(\cdot, E)$ be constructed from $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}}$ by the iterations of subsections 3.2 , 3.3, where $f$ is the scattering amplitude for $v, \delta(E)=\tau E^{-(d-1) /(2 d)}, 0<\tau \leq 1$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$; see formulas (3.11), (3.16), (3.17). Then the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}(\cdot, E)-v\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \leq \beta_{j} E^{-\alpha_{j}} \quad \text { for } \quad E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j-1}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=\left(1-\left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j}\right) \frac{n-d}{2 d} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\beta_{j}=\beta_{j}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, D, \sigma, n, \tau\right), N_{j}=N_{j}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, D, \sigma, n, \tau\right)$ are constructed recurrently via (3.15), (3.20) (with $N_{0}=M_{1}$ ).

Theorem 3.1 is proved in Section 5.
3.5. Explicit formulas. One can see that:
(1) $u_{1}(\cdot, E)$ is constructed by explicit formulas from $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}}$ and $v_{0}$ for $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, M_{1}\right)$ (see Subsection 3.2 and formula (5.9)) and
(2) $u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ is constructed by explicit formulas from $u_{j}(\cdot, E),\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}},\left.f_{j}\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}}$ and $v_{0}$ for $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right)$ and each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Subsection 3.3 and formula (5.9)). However, $f_{j}$ is constructed from $u_{j}$ via (1.5), (1.6) with $\psi^{+}=\psi_{j}^{+}, v=v_{j}$, where (1.5) is not yet an explicit formula for $\psi_{j}^{+}$.
Thus the construction of $u_{j}(\cdot, E), j \geq 2$, of Subsections $3.3,3.4$ is not reduced yet to explicit formulas. In order to have a similar construction involving explicit formulas only we can proceed as follows. Instead of $u_{j}, j \geq 2$, we can construct $\tilde{u}_{j}(\cdot, E), j \geq 2$, $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, M_{1}\right)$, via (3.16), (3.17) with $f_{j}, \hat{u}_{j}, u_{j+1}$ replaced by $\tilde{f}_{j}^{\text {appr }}, \hat{\tilde{u}}_{j}, \tilde{u}_{j+1}$, where $\hat{\tilde{u}}_{j}$ is the Fourier transform of $\tilde{u}_{j}$ as before, whereas $\tilde{f}_{j}^{\text {appr }}$ is the approximation to the scattering amplitude $\tilde{f}_{j}$ of $\tilde{u}_{j}$, defined as follows. Proceeding from (1.6), (1.5), (2.2), (2.5), we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{f}_{j}^{a p p r}(k, l)=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l y} \Lambda^{\sigma / 2} v(y) \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{\text {appr }}(y, k) d y  \tag{3.24}\\
& \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{\text {appr }}(\cdot, k)=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m_{j}}\left(\tilde{A}_{j}(k)\right)^{\nu} e(\cdot, k) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$
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where $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}, m_{j}$ is the minimal natural number such that $m_{j} \geq 2 \alpha_{j}, \tilde{A}_{j}(k)$ is defined as $A(k)$ of (2.2) with $v$ replaced by $\tilde{u}_{j}, e(\cdot, k)$ is defined in (2.2). Here $\alpha_{j}$ is the number of (3.23), $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using estimate (2.5) with $v$ replaced by $\tilde{u}_{j}$ and using the proof of Lemma 3.3, one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{j}(\cdot, E)-v\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)}=O\left(E^{-\alpha_{j}}\right) \text { as } E \rightarrow+\infty, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

as for the initial $u_{j}$. However, now because of the finite sum in (3.25), $\tilde{u}_{j}$ is constructed via a finite number of explicite formulas for each $j \geq 2$.

## 4. Proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2

Proof of lemma 3.1. Due to (3.6), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=v^{+}\left(x, 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)+v_{0}^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)+w^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right), \quad x \in D \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (3.8), (4.1), (3.5), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)-v(x)=\delta_{1}^{+} v\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)+\delta_{1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right), \\
& \delta_{1}^{+} v\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}} e^{-i p x}\left(f\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right)-\hat{v}(p)\right) d p  \tag{4.2}\\
& \delta_{1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-w^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right), \quad x \in D .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.11) and the definitions of $k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)$ of (1.8) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\delta_{1}^{+}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)\right| \leq 2(2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2)\left(c_{1}(d, \sigma)\|v\|_{\sigma}\right) E^{-1 / 2} \times \\
& \left(2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{d}\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|, \quad x \in D, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma,\|v\|_{\sigma}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|$ denotes the standard Euclidean volume of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq 1} d p \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $\delta_{1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)$ we use that if $w \in W^{n, 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\hat{w}(p)| \leq a_{1}(n, d)\|w\|_{n, 1}(1+|p|)^{-n}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of $w^{-}$of (3.3)-(3.5) and estimate (4.5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\delta_{1}^{-}\left(x, E, \tau_{1}\right)\right| \leq \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \geq 2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}} \frac{a_{1}(n, d)\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1}}{(1+|p|)^{n}} d p \leq  \tag{4.6}\\
& \left|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right| \frac{a_{1}(n, d)\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1}}{n-d} \frac{1}{\left(2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{n-d}}, \quad x \in D
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right|$ denotes the standard Euclidean volume of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right|=\int_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} d \theta \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate (3.9) follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.6). Estimate (3.10) follows from (3.9).
Lemma 3.1 is proved.
Proof of Lemma 3.2. Using formula (1.6) for the scattering amplitude we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(k, l)=\hat{v}(k-l)+\delta f(k, l), \\
& \delta f(k, l) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l x} v(x)\left(\psi^{+}(x, k)-e^{i k x}\right) d x \\
& f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)=\hat{v}(k-l, E)+\delta f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \delta f_{\text {appr }}(k, l) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l x} v_{\text {appr }}(x, E)\left(\psi_{\text {appr }}^{+}(x, k)-e^{i k x}\right) d x, \\
& (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{a p p r}^{+}$denotes the scattering solutions for $v_{\text {appr }}$.
In addition, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta f(k, l)-\delta f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)= \\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l x}\left(v(x)-v_{a p p r}(x, E)\right)\left(\psi^{+}(x, k)-e^{i k x}\right) d x+  \tag{4.9}\\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i l x} v_{\text {appr }}(x, E)\left(\psi^{+}(x, k)-\psi_{\text {appr }}^{+}(x, k)\right) d x, \\
& \left|\delta f(k, l)-\delta f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)\right| \leq \\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{\sigma / 2}\left|v(x)-v_{a p p r}(x, E)\right| \Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\left|\psi^{+}(x, k)-e^{i k x}\right| d x+  \tag{4.10}\\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{\sigma / 2}\left|v_{\text {appr }}(x, E)\right| \Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\left|\psi^{+}(x, k)-\psi_{a p p r}^{+}(x, k)\right| d x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ denotes the function $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Using (4.10), (2.5) for $m=0,(2.6),(2.9)$ for $v_{1}=v, v_{2}=v_{\text {appr }},(3.12),(3.13)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\delta f(k, l)-\delta f_{\text {appr }}(k, l)\right| \leq \\
& 2(2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) c_{1}(d, \sigma)\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}(D)} N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1 / 2)}+ \\
& 4(2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) c_{1}(d, \sigma)\left\|\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1 / 2)}=  \tag{4.11}\\
& (2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) c_{1}(d, \sigma) c_{4}(D, \sigma) N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1 / 2)}
\end{align*}
$$
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for $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}, \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}(d, \sigma, N)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{4}(D, \sigma)=2\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}(D)}+4\left\|\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that

$$
\left\|\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=c_{1}(d, \sigma), \quad\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}(D)} \leq\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)}\left\|\Lambda^{-\sigma / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Estimate (3.14) follows from (4.8), (4.11).
Lemma 3.2 is proved.

## 5. Proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1

Proof of Lemma 3.3. In a completely similar way with (4.1) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=v^{+}\left(x, 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right)+v_{0}^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right)+w^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right), \quad x \in D \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{+}, v_{0}^{-}, w^{-}$are the functions of (3.4), (3.6). Due to (3.16), (5.1), (3.5), in a similar way with (4.2) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{j+1}\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)-v(x)=\delta_{j+1}^{+} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)+\delta_{j+1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right), \\
& \delta_{j+1}^{+} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|p| \leq 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}} e^{-i p x} \times  \tag{5.2}\\
& \left(f\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right)-f_{j}\left(k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)\right)+\hat{u}_{j}\left(k_{E}(p)-l_{E}(p), E\right)-\hat{v}(p)\right) d p, \\
& \delta_{j+1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-w^{-}\left(x, 2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right), \quad x \in D .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.14) for $f_{\text {appr }}=f_{j}, \hat{v}_{\text {appr }}=\hat{u}_{j}, \alpha=\alpha_{j}, \beta=\beta_{j}, N=N_{j}$ and using the definitions of $k_{E}(p), l_{E}(p)$ of (1.8), in a similar way with (4.3) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\delta_{j+1}^{+} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)\right| \leq c_{6}(D, \sigma) N_{j} \beta_{j} E^{-\alpha_{j}-(1 / 2)}\left(2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{d} \\
& x \in D, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{6}(D, \sigma)=(2 \pi)^{-d} a_{0}(d, \sigma / 2) c_{1}(d, \sigma) c_{4}(D, \sigma)\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right| . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, in a completely similar way with (4.6) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{j+1}^{-} v\left(x, E, \tau_{j+1}\right)\right| \leq\left|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right| \frac{a_{1}(n, d)\left\|v-v_{0}\right\|_{n, 1}}{n-d} \frac{1}{\left(2 \tau_{j+1} \sqrt{E}\right)^{n-d}}, \quad x \in D \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate (3.18) follows from (5.3), (5.5). Estimate (3.19) follows from (3.18), (3.17) and from the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{-\left(n-1-2 \alpha_{j}\right) /(2 n)} E^{1 / 2}=E^{\left(1+2 \alpha_{j}\right) /(2 n)} \\
& E^{d\left(1+2 \alpha_{j}\right) /(2 n)} E^{-\alpha_{j}-(1 / 2)}=E^{-\left(1+2 \alpha_{j}\right)(n-d) /(2 n)} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Due to (3.15), (3.20), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{n-d}{2 n}, \quad \alpha_{j+1}=\frac{n-d}{2 n}+\alpha_{j} \frac{n-d}{n}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (5.7) imply (3.23). Indeed, the sequence $\alpha_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, is uniquely defined by (5.7) and $\alpha_{j}$ of (3.23) satisfy (5.7). In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n-d}{2 n}+\left(1-\left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j}\right) \frac{n-d}{2 d} \frac{n-d}{n}= \\
& \frac{n-d}{2 n}+\frac{n-d}{2 d} \frac{n-d}{n}-\left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j+1} \frac{n-d}{2 d}=  \tag{5.8}\\
& \left(1-\left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j+1}\right) \frac{n-d}{2 d}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, due to (5.7), (3.23) and due to the definition of $\tau_{j}(E), j \in \mathbb{N}$, of (3.10), (3.17) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{j_{1}}(E)=\tau E^{-\left(n-1-2 \alpha_{j_{1}}\right) /(2 n)} \leq \tau_{j_{2}}(E)=\tau E^{-\left(n-1-2 \alpha_{j_{2}}\right) /(2 n)} \leq \delta(E)=\tau E^{-(d-1) /(2 d)} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\tau \leq 1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq j_{2}, E \geq 1$.
Using the definition of $u_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, of (3.11), (3.17) and using inequalities of (3.21) and (5.9) we obtain that $u_{j}(\cdot, E)$ are correctly defined in terms of $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma_{E}^{\delta(E)}}$ for $E^{1 / 2} \geq \rho_{1}\left(d, \sigma, N_{j-1}\right), j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, estimates (3.22) follow from estimates (3.10), (3.19) and formulas (3.15), (3.20).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
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