



HAL
open science

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

Roman Novikov

► **To cite this version:**

Roman Novikov. An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy. Sbornik: Mathematics, 2015, 206 (1), pp.120-134. hal-00835735

HAL Id: hal-00835735

<https://hal.science/hal-00835735v1>

Submitted on 19 Jun 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

R.G. Novikov

CNRS (UMR 7641), Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique,
91128 Palaiseau, France, and
IEPT RAS, 117997 Moscow, Russia
e-mail: novikov@cmap.polytechnique.fr

Abstract. We propose an iterative approximate reconstruction algorithm for non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy E with incomplete data in dimension $d \geq 2$. In particular, we obtain rapidly converging approximate reconstructions for this inverse scattering for $E \rightarrow +\infty$.

1. Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger equation

$$H\psi = E\psi, \quad H = -\Delta + v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \geq 2, \quad E > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$v \in L^\infty_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{for some } \sigma > d, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|u\|_\sigma < +\infty\}, \\ \|u\|_\sigma &= \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|^2)^{\sigma/2} |u(x)|, \quad \sigma \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

For equation (1.1) we consider the classical scattering eigenfunctions ψ^+ specified by the following asymptotics as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(x, k) &= e^{ikx} + c(d, |k|) \frac{e^{i|k||x|}}{|x|^{(d-1)/2}} f(k, |k| \frac{x}{|x|}) + o\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{(d-1)/2}}\right), \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad k^2 = E, \quad c(d, |k|) &= -\pi i (-2\pi i)^{(d-1)/2} |k|^{(d-3)/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where a priori unknown function $f = f(k, l)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k^2 = l^2 = E$, arising in (1.4) is the classical scattering amplitude for (1.1).

Given potential v , to determine ψ^+ and f one can use, in particular, the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(x, k) &= e^{ikx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^+(x - y, k) v(y) \psi^+(y, k) dy, \\ G^+(x, k) &= -(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{i\xi x} d\xi}{\xi^2 - k^2 - i0}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

and the formula

$$f(k, l) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ily} v(y) \psi^+(y, k) dy, \quad (1.6)$$

where $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k^2 = l^2 = E > 0$; see, for example, [BS], [F2].

The scattering amplitude f at fixed energy $E > 0$ is defined on

$$\mathcal{M}_E = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^d, l \in \mathbb{R}^d : k^2 = l^2 = E\}, \quad E > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Following [N8], in addition to f on \mathcal{M}_E we consider also $f|_{\Gamma_E}$ and $f|_{\Gamma_E^\tau}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_E &= \{k = k_E(p), l = l_E(p) : p \in \mathcal{B}_{2\sqrt{E}}\}, \\ \Gamma_E^\tau &= \{k = k_E(p), l = l_E(p) : p \in \mathcal{B}_{2\tau\sqrt{E}}\}, \\ k_E(p) &= \frac{p}{2} + \eta_E(p), l_E(p) = -\frac{p}{2} + \eta_E(p), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_r = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^d : |p| \leq r\}, \quad r > 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $E > 0$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $d \geq 2$, and η_E is a piecewise continuous vector-function on $\mathcal{B}_{2\sqrt{E}}$ such that

$$\eta_E(p)p = 0, \quad \frac{p^2}{4} + (\eta_E(p))^2 = E, \quad p \in \mathcal{B}_{2\sqrt{E}}. \quad (1.10)$$

One can see that

$$\Gamma_E^\tau \subseteq \Gamma_E \subset \mathcal{M}_E, \quad E > 0, \quad 0 < \tau \leq 1, \quad d \geq 2. \quad (1.11)$$

In this work we continue studies on the following inverse scattering problems for equation (1.1) under assumptions (1.2):

Problem 1.1. Given scattering amplitude f on \mathcal{M}_E at fixed $E > 0$, find potential v on \mathbb{R}^d (at least approximately).

Problem 1.2. Given scattering amplitude f on Γ_E^τ at fixed E and τ , where $E > 0$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$, find potential v on \mathbb{R}^d (at least approximately).

In addition, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{M}_E &= 2d - 2, \quad \dim \Gamma_E^\tau = \dim \Gamma_E = \dim \mathbb{R}^d = d \quad \text{for } d \geq 2, \\ \dim \mathcal{M}_E &> d \quad \text{for } d \geq 3, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where $E > 0$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$. Therefore, Problem 1.1 is overdetermined for $d \geq 3$, whereas Problem 1.2 is non-overdetermined.

Problem 1.1 has a long history and there are many important results on this problem, see [ABR], [B], [BAR], [ChS], [E], [F1], [GHN], [G], [HH], [I], [IN], [N1]-[N5], [R], [S1], [VW], [W], [WY] and references therein. Note also that for spherical potentials v Problem 1.2 for $\tau = 1$ is reduced to Problem 1.1. However, to our knowledge, explicit considerations of Problem 1.2 were started only recently in [N8]. In addition, concerning known results for

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

some other non-overdetermined multi-dimensional coefficient inverse problems, see [BK], [DKN], [ER1], [HN], [K], [M], [N6], [NS], [S2] and references therein.

Note also that Problems 1.1, 1.2 can be considered as examples of ill-posed problems; see [BK], [LRS] for an introduction to this theory.

In the present work we consider Problems 1.1, 1.2 assuming that

$$\begin{aligned} v & \text{ is a perturbation of some known background } v_0 \text{ satisfying (1.2),} \\ & \text{where } v - v_0 \text{ is sufficiently regular on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \text{supp}(v - v_0) \subset D, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where D is an open bounded domain (which is fixed a priori).

In particular, for Problem 1.2, under assumptions (1.13), we iteratively construct stable approximations $u_j(x, E)$ to the unknown $v(x)$, $x \in D$, where u_1 is a linear reconstruction in the Born approximation and u_j , $j \geq 2$, are non-linear approximate reconstructions from f on Γ_E^τ ; see Subsections 3.2, 3.3. Our construction is based on direct scattering results (summarized in Section 2) and on standard Fourier analysis. In addition, our non-linear approximate reconstructions u_j are efficient in the sense that

$$\|u_j(\cdot, E) - v\|_{L^\infty(D)} = \varepsilon_j(E) \quad (1.14)$$

rapidly decay as $E \rightarrow +\infty$, for sufficiently regular $v - w$ and sufficiently large j . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_j(E) &= O(E^{-\alpha_j}), \quad \alpha_j = \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^j\right) \frac{n-d}{2d}, \\ &\text{as } E \rightarrow +\infty, \quad j \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

if $v - v_0$ is n -times smooth in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $n > d$; see Theorem 3.1 of Subsection 3.4. Note that u_j and ε_j depend also on fixed τ of Problem 1.2.

In addition, in Subsection 3.5 we explain that the construction of u_j for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ can be reduced to a finite number of explicit formulas; see Subsection 3.5 for details.

It is also important to note that f on $\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}$ only is used in our iterative approximate reconstruction for Problem 1.2 at high energies E , where

$$\delta(E) = \tau E^{-(d-1)/(2d)}, \quad \tau \in]0, 1]. \quad (1.16)$$

In addition, $\delta(E) \rightarrow 0$ as $E \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, $\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}$ is a very small part of $\Gamma_E^{\tau_1}$ for any fixed $\tau_1 \in]0, 1]$ for sufficiently large E . Therefore, our iterative approximate reconstruction can be viewed as a reconstruction result for Problem 1.2 with incomplete data.

Actually, the iterative approximate reconstruction of the present work complements related stability results of [N8].

In addition, the iterative reconstruction of the present work was also influenced by the iterative reconstruction of [N7] for quite different inverse scattering problem.

Let us consider also

$$\mathcal{M}_E^\tau = \{(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E : k - l \in \mathcal{B}_{2\tau\sqrt{E}}\}, \quad E > 0, \quad \tau \in]0, 1]. \quad (1.17)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_E^\tau &\subset \mathcal{M}_E^\tau \text{ for } \tau \in]0, 1], \\ \mathcal{M}_E^\tau &\subset \mathcal{M}_E \text{ for } \tau \in]0, 1[, \quad \mathcal{M}_E^\tau = \mathcal{M}_E \text{ for } \tau = 1, \\ \dim \mathcal{M}_E^\tau &= \dim \mathcal{M}_E = 2d - 2 \text{ for } \tau \in]0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

To our knowledge, no analog of the non-linear approximate reconstructions u_j , $j \geq 2$, was given in the literature even for

Problem 1.1 with \mathcal{M}_E replaced by \mathcal{M}_E^τ for some fixed $\tau \in]0, 1[$, i.e. for the problem with much richer data than Problem 1.2 (and, especially, than Problem 1.2 with f given on $\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}$ only, where $\delta(E)$ is defined by (1.16)).

On the other hand, for the case of Problem 1.1 with complete data, under assumptions (1.13), where $v - v_0$ is n -times smooth in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $n > d$, and $v_0 \equiv 0$, the non-linear approximate reconstructions u_j , $j \geq 2$, of the present work are less precise than the approximate reconstructions of [N4], [N5] with the error term estimated as $O(E^{-s})$ in the uniform norm as $E \rightarrow +\infty$, where $s = (n - d)/2$ for $d = 2$, $s = (n - d - \delta)/2$ for any fixed arbitrary small $\delta > 0$ for $d = 3$. Indeed,

$$\alpha_j < \frac{n - d}{2d} < s, \quad (1.19)$$

where α_j are the numbers of (1.15), s is the number of [N4], [N5].

However, for the problem of approximate but stable finding v on \mathbb{R}^d from f on $\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}$ (or even on $\mathcal{M}_E^{\delta(E)}$) only, where $\delta(E)$ is defined by (1.16), our approximate reconstructions u_j for sufficiently large j are rather optimal with respect to their precision (1.14), (1.15) even in the framework of standards of the Born approximation.

Indeed, in the Born approximation (linear approximation near $v_0 \equiv 0$) the problem of finding v on \mathbb{R}^d from f on \mathcal{M}_E^δ , where $E > 0$, $\delta \in]0, 1[$, $d \geq 2$, is reduced to finding v on \mathbb{R}^d from its Fourier transform \hat{v} on $\mathcal{B}_{2\delta\sqrt{E}}$, where

$$\hat{v}(p) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ipx} v(x) dx, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.20)$$

This linearized inverse scattering problem can be solved by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= v_{appr}^{lin}(x, E, \delta) + v_{err}^{lin}(x, E, \delta), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ v_{appr}^{lin}(x, E, \delta) &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2\delta\sqrt{E}}} e^{-ipx} \hat{v}(p) dp, \\ v_{err}^{lin}(x, E, \delta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{B}_{2\delta\sqrt{E}}} e^{-ipx} \hat{v}(p) dp. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

In addition, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(E, \delta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|v_{err}^{lin}(x, E, \delta)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \\ &O((\delta\sqrt{E})^{-(n-d)}) \text{ if } \delta\sqrt{E} \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

under the assumption that v is n -times smooth in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $n > d$. In addition,

$$\varepsilon(E, \delta(E)) = O((\delta(E)\sqrt{E})^{-(n-d)}) = O(E^{-\alpha}), \quad \alpha = \frac{n-d}{2d}, \quad E \rightarrow +\infty \quad (1.23)$$

for $\delta(E)$ given by (1.16).

Finally, one can see that $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha$ for $j \rightarrow +\infty$, where α_j are the numbers of (1.15) and α is the number of the Born approximation estimates (1.22), (1.23).

2. Preliminaries of direct scattering

In this section we summarize some results related with the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation (1.5) for the scattering eigenfunctions ψ^+ and with formula (1.6) for the scattering amplitude f .

It is convenient to write the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation (1.5) as

$$(I - A(k))\varphi(\cdot, k) = e(\cdot, k), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, k) &= \Lambda^{-\sigma/2}\psi^+(x, k), \quad e(x, k) = \Lambda^{-\sigma/2}e^{ikx}, \\ A(k) &= \Lambda^{-\sigma/2}G^+(k)\Lambda^{-\sigma/2}(\Lambda^\sigma v), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where I is the identity operator, Λ denotes the multiplication operator by the functions $(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$, G^+ denotes the integral operator with the Schwartz kernel $G^+(x - y, k)$ of (1.5), v is the multiplication operator by the function $v(x)$, σ is the number of (1.2). In addition, we recall that the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{-s}G^+(k)\Lambda^{-s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq a_0(d, s)|k|^{-1}, \\ k \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad |k| &\geq 1, \quad \text{for } s > 1/2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

see [E], [J] and references therein.

Using (2.3) one can see that

$$\|A(k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq a_0(d, \sigma/2)\|v\|_\sigma|k|^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad |k| \geq 1, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ is defined in (1.3).

As a corollary of (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), we have that

$$\|\varphi(\cdot, k) - \sum_{j=0}^m (A(k))^j e(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2 \left(\frac{a_0(d, \sigma/2)\|v\|_\sigma}{|k|} \right)^{m+1} c_1(d) \quad (2.5)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|k| \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, \|v\|_\sigma)$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$,

where

$$c_1(d, \sigma) = \|e(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\sigma/2}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\rho_1(d, \sigma, N) = \max(2a_0(d, \sigma/2)N, 1).$$

Actually, formula (2.5) is a well-known method for solving the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation (1.5) for sufficiently high energies.

Let now φ_i , A_i denote φ , A for $v = v_i$ satisfying (1.2), where $i = 1, 2$. Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (I - A_2(k))^{-1} - (I - A_1(k))^{-1} = \\ (I - A_2(k))^{-1}(A_2(k) - A_1(k))(I - A_1(k))^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(\cdot, k) - \varphi_1(\cdot, k) = \\ (I - A_2(k))^{-1}(A_2(k) - A_1(k))(I - A_1(k))^{-1}e(\cdot, k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.8), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_2(\cdot, k) - \varphi_1(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 4a_0(d, \sigma/2)\|v_2 - v_1\|_\sigma c_1(d, \sigma)|k|^{-1} \\ \text{for } k \in \mathbb{R}^d, |k| \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where c_1 , ρ_1 are defined in (2.6), $\|v_i\|_\sigma \leq N$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Due to (1.6), we have also that

$$\hat{v}(k - l) = f(k, l) - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v(x) (\psi^+(x, k) - e^{ikx}) dx, \quad (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E, \quad (2.10)$$

where \hat{v} is defined by (1.20).

In particular, as a corollary of (2.10) and (2.5) for $m = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(k, l) - \hat{v}(k - l)| \leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) (c_1(d, \sigma)\|v\|_\sigma)^2 E^{-1/2}, \\ (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E, \quad E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, \|v\|_\sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where c_1 , ρ_1 are defined in (2.6).

Our iterative reconstruction algorithm for Problem 1.2 is based on formulas (2.5), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and is presented in the next section.

3. Iterative approximate reconstruction for Problem 1.2

3.1. Assumptions and notations. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} W^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{u : \partial^J u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), |J| \leq n\}, \\ \|u\|_{n,1} = \max_{|J| \leq n} \|\partial^J u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$J \in (\mathbb{N} \cup 0)^d, |J| = \sum_{i=1}^d J_i, \quad \partial^J u(x) = \frac{\partial^{|J|} u(x)}{\partial x_1^{J_1} \dots \partial x_d^{J_d}}.$$

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

We assume that v satisfies (1.13), where the assumption that $v - v_0$ is sufficiently regular is specified as

$$v - v_0 \in W^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for some } n > d. \quad (3.2)$$

We set

$$w = v - v_0 \quad (3.3)$$

and consider the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= v^+(x, \kappa) + v^-(x, \kappa), \\ v_0(x) &= v_0^+(x, \kappa) + v_0^-(x, \kappa), \\ w(x) &= w^+(x, \kappa) + w^-(x, \kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $x \in D$, $\kappa > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} u^+(x, \kappa) &= \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq \kappa} e^{-ipx} \hat{u}(p) dp, \\ u^-(x, \kappa) &= \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| > \kappa} e^{-ipx} \hat{u}(p) dp, \\ \hat{u}(p) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ipx} u(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for $u = v, v_0, w$.

Due to (3.3)-(3.5), we have that

$$v(x) = v^+(x, \kappa) + v_0^-(x, \kappa) + w^-(x, \kappa), \quad (3.6)$$

$$v^+(x, \kappa) = v_0^+(x, \kappa) + w^+(x, \kappa), \quad (3.7)$$

where $x \in D$, $\kappa > 0$.

3.2. Reconstruction in the Born approximation. We define

$$v_1(x, E, \tau_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_1^+(x, E, \tau_1) + v_0^-(x, 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}), \quad (3.8a)$$

$$v_1^+(x, E, \tau_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}} e^{-ipx} f(k_E(p), l_E(p)) dp, \quad (3.8b)$$

$$x \in D, \quad 0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau, \quad E > 0,$$

where v_0^- is the function of (3.4), (3.6), f is the scattering amplitude for v , and k_E, l_E are defined in (1.8). Actually, v_1 of (3.8a) is a reconstruction in the Born approximation for Problem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let v satisfy (1.13), (3.2) and $\|v\|_\sigma \leq M_1$, $\|v - v_0\|_{n,1} \leq M_2$. Then:*

$$|v_1(x, E, \tau_1) - v(x)| \leq c_2(d, \sigma) M_1^2 \frac{(2\tau_1\sqrt{E})^d}{\sqrt{E}} + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau_1\sqrt{E})^{n-d}} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{for } x \in D, \quad 0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, M_1),$$

$$|v_1(x, E, \tau_1(E)) - v(x)| \leq \left(c_2(d, \sigma) M_1^2 (2\tau)^d + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau)^{n-d}} \right) E^{-(n-d)/(2n)} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\text{for } x \in D, \quad \tau_1(E) = \tau E^{-(n-1)/(2n)}, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, M_1),$$

where $0 < \tau \leq 1$, v_1 is defined by (3.8), ρ_1 is defined in (2.6), and $c_2 = c_2(d, \sigma)$, $c_3 = c_3(d, n)$ are some positive constants.

Lemma 3.1 is proved in Section 4.

Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x, E) &= v_1(x, E, \tau_1(E)), \quad x \in D, \\ u_1(x, E) &= v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

can be considered as an optimal reconstruction in the Born approximation for Problem 1.2 with respect to the error decay in $L^\infty(D)$ as $E \rightarrow +\infty$.

3.3. Iterative step. The iterative step of our reconstruction is based, in particular, on the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let v satisfy (1.13), f be the scattering amplitude of v , and $v_{appr}(\cdot, E)$ be an approximation to v such that*

$$|v_{appr}(x, E) - v(x)| \leq \beta E^{-\alpha}, \quad x \in D, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N), \quad (3.12a)$$

$$v_{appr}(x, E) \equiv v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D, \quad (3.12b)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and some N such that

$$\|v\|_\sigma \leq N, \quad \|v_{appr}(\cdot, E)\|_\sigma \leq N, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N), \quad (3.13)$$

where ρ_1 is defined in (2.6). Then the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(k, l) - f_{appr}(k, l) + \hat{v}_{appr}(k-l, E) - \hat{v}(k-l)| \leq \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) c_1(d, \sigma) c_4(D, \sigma) N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1/2)}, \\ &(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E, \quad E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where f_{appr} is the scattering amplitude for v_{appr} , $\hat{v}_{appr}(\cdot, E)$ is the Fourier transform of $v_{appr}(\cdot, E)$, \hat{v} is the Fourier transform of v , (see definition (1.20)), $c_4(D, \sigma)$ is given by (4.12).

Lemma 3.2 is proved in Section 4.

In the iterative step of our reconstruction we assume that v satisfies (1.13), (3.2) and $\|v\|_\sigma \leq M_1$, $\|v - v_0\|_{n,1} \leq M_2$, as in lemma 3.1.

Note that $v_{appr} = u_1$ of (3.11) satisfies (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha = \alpha_1$, $\beta = \beta_1$, $N = N_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{n-d}{2n}, \quad \beta_1 = c_2(d, \sigma) M_1^2 (2\tau)^d + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau)^{n-d}}, \\ N_1 &= M_1 + c_5(D, \sigma) \beta_1 (\rho_1(d, \sigma, M_1))^{-\alpha_1}, \quad c_5(D, \sigma) = \sup_{x \in D} (1 + |x|^2)^{\sigma/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

Then proceeding from the approximation $v_{appr} = u_j(\cdot, E)$ with number j , satisfying (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha = \alpha_j$, $\beta = \beta_j$, $N = N_j$, the approximation $v_{appr} = u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ with number $j + 1$ is constructed as follows:

- (1) We find the scattering amplitude f_j and the Fourier transform $\hat{u}_j(\cdot, E)$ for $u_j(\cdot, E)$, where $E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j)$;
- (2) In a similar way with (3.8), we define

$$v_{j+1}(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{j+1}^+(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) + v_0^-(x, 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}), \quad (3.16a)$$

$$v_{j+1}^+(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}} e^{-ipx} \times \\ (f(k_E(p), l_E(p)) - f_j(k_E(p), l_E(p)) + \hat{u}_j(k_E(p) - l_E(p), E)) dp, \quad (3.16b) \\ x \in D, \quad 0 < \tau_{j+1} \leq \tau, \quad E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j),$$

where v_0^- , f , k_E , l_E are the same that in (3.8);

- (3) Finally, in a similar way with (3.11), we define

$$u_{j+1}(x, E) = v_{j+1}(x, E, \tau_{j+1}(E)), \quad x \in D, \\ u_{j+1}(x, E) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D, \quad (3.17) \\ \tau_{j+1}(E) = \tau E^{-(n-1-2\alpha_j)/(2n)},$$

where $E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j)$.

In addition, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of our iterative step, the following estimates hold:*

$$|v_{j+1}(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) - v(x)| \leq c_6(D, \sigma) N_j \beta_j \frac{(2\tau_{j+1} E^{1/2})^d}{E^{\alpha_j + (1/2)}} + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau_{j+1} E^{1/2})^{n-d}} \quad (3.18)$$

for $x \in D$, $0 < \tau_{j+1} \leq \tau \leq 1$, $E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|u_{j+1}(x, E) - v(x)| \leq \left(c_6(D, \sigma) N_j \beta_j (2\tau)^d + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau)^{n-d}} \right) E^{-(1+2\alpha_j)(n-d)/(2n)} \quad (3.19)$$

for $x \in D$, $E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

where v_{j+1} , u_{j+1} are defined by (3.16), (3.17), ρ_1 is defined in (2.6).

Lemma 3.3 is proved in Section 5.

In addition, $u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ of (3.17) satisfies (3.12), (3.13) for $\alpha = \alpha_{j+1}$, $\beta = \beta_{j+1}$, $N = N_{j+1}$, where

$$\alpha_{j+1} = \frac{(1 + 2\alpha_j)(n - d)}{2n}, \quad \beta_{j+1} = c_6(D, \sigma) N_j \beta_j (2\tau)^d + \frac{c_3(d, n) M_2}{(2\tau)^{n-d}}, \quad (3.20) \\ N_{j+1} = M_1 + c_5(D, \sigma) \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} \beta_i (\rho_1(d, \sigma, N_i))^{-\alpha_i}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note also that

$$N_{j_1} \leq N_{j_2}, \quad \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_{j_1}) \leq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_{j_2}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2. \quad (3.21)$$

3.4. Final theorem. Proceeding from lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and formulas (3.15), (3.20), (3.21) we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let v satisfy (1.13), (3.1) and $\|v\|_\sigma \leq M_1$, $\|v - v_0\|_{n,1} \leq M_2$. Let $u_j(\cdot, E)$ be constructed from $f|_{\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}}$ by the iterations of subsections 3.2, 3.3, where f is the scattering amplitude for v , $\delta(E) = \tau E^{-(d-1)/(2d)}$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$; see formulas (3.11), (3.16), (3.17). Then the following estimates hold:*

$$\|u_j(\cdot, E) - v\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \beta_j E^{-\alpha_j} \quad \text{for } E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_{j-1}), \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\alpha_j = \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^j\right) \frac{n-d}{2d}, \quad (3.23)$$

$\beta_j = \beta_j(M_1, M_2, D, \sigma, n, \tau)$, $N_j = N_j(M_1, M_2, D, \sigma, n, \tau)$ are constructed recurrently via (3.15), (3.20) (with $N_0 = M_1$).

Theorem 3.1 is proved in Section 5.

3.5. Explicit formulas. One can see that:

(1) $u_1(\cdot, E)$ is constructed by explicit formulas from $f|_{\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}}$ and v_0 for $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, M_1)$

(see Subsection 3.2 and formula (5.9)) and

(2) $u_{j+1}(\cdot, E)$ is constructed by explicit formulas from $u_j(\cdot, E)$, $f|_{\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}}$, $f_j|_{\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}}$ and v_0 for

$\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j)$ and each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Subsection 3.3 and formula (5.9)). However, f_j is constructed from u_j via (1.5), (1.6) with $\psi^+ = \psi_j^+$, $v = v_j$, where (1.5) is not yet an explicit formula for ψ_j^+ .

Thus the construction of $u_j(\cdot, E)$, $j \geq 2$, of Subsections 3.3, 3.4 is not reduced yet to explicit formulas. In order to have a similar construction involving explicit formulas only we can proceed as follows. Instead of u_j , $j \geq 2$, we can construct $\tilde{u}_j(\cdot, E)$, $j \geq 2$, $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, M_1)$, via (3.16), (3.17) with f_j , \hat{u}_j , u_{j+1} replaced by \tilde{f}_j^{appr} , \tilde{u}_j , \tilde{u}_{j+1} , where \tilde{u}_j is the Fourier transform of \tilde{u}_j as before, whereas \tilde{f}_j^{appr} is the approximation to the scattering amplitude \tilde{f}_j of \tilde{u}_j , defined as follows. Proceeding from (1.6), (1.5), (2.2), (2.5), we define

$$\tilde{f}_j^{appr}(k, l) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ily} \Lambda^{\sigma/2} v(y) \tilde{\varphi}_j^{appr}(y, k) dy, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j^{appr}(\cdot, k) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_j} (\tilde{A}_j(k))^\nu e(\cdot, k), \quad (3.25)$$

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

where $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$, m_j is the minimal natural number such that $m_j \geq 2\alpha_j$, $\tilde{A}_j(k)$ is defined as $A(k)$ of (2.2) with v replaced by \tilde{u}_j , $e(\cdot, k)$ is defined in (2.2). Here α_j is the number of (3.23), $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using estimate (2.5) with v replaced by \tilde{u}_j and using the proof of Lemma 3.3, one can show that

$$\|\tilde{u}_j(\cdot, E) - v\|_{L^\infty(D)} = O(E^{-\alpha_j}) \text{ as } E \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.26)$$

as for the initial u_j . However, now because of the finite sum in (3.25), \tilde{u}_j is constructed via a finite number of explicit formulas for each $j \geq 2$.

4. Proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2

Proof of lemma 3.1. Due to (3.6), we have that

$$v(x) = v^+(x, 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}) + v_0^-(x, 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}) + w^-(x, 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}), \quad x \in D. \quad (4.1)$$

Due to (3.8), (4.1), (3.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(x, E, \tau_1) - v(x) &= \delta_1^+ v(x, E, \tau_1) + \delta_1^- v(x, E, \tau_1), \\ \delta_1^+ v(x, E, \tau_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}} e^{-ipx} (f(k_E(p), l_E(p)) - \hat{v}(p)) dp, \\ \delta_1^- v(x, E, \tau_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -w^-(x, 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}), \quad x \in D. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using (2.11) and the definitions of $k_E(p)$, $l_E(p)$ of (1.8) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_1^+(x, E, \tau_1)| &\leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) (c_1(d, \sigma) \|v\|_\sigma) E^{-1/2} \times \\ &(2\tau_1\sqrt{E})^d |\mathcal{B}_1|, \quad x \in D, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, \|v\|_\sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $|\mathcal{B}_1|$ denotes the standard Euclidean volume of \mathcal{B}_1 , i.e.

$$|\mathcal{B}_1| = \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq 1} dp. \quad (4.4)$$

In order to estimate $\delta_1^- v(x, E, \tau_1)$ we use that if $w \in W^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$|\hat{w}(p)| \leq a_1(n, d) \|w\|_{n,1} (1 + |p|)^{-n}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.5)$$

Using the definition of w^- of (3.3)-(3.5) and estimate (4.5) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_1^-(x, E, \tau_1)| &\leq \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \geq 2\tau_1\sqrt{E}} \frac{a_1(n, d) \|v - v_0\|_{n,1}}{(1 + |p|)^n} dp \leq \\ &|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}| \frac{a_1(n, d) \|v - v_0\|_{n,1}}{n - d} \frac{1}{(2\tau_1\sqrt{E})^{n-d}}, \quad x \in D, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|$ denotes the standard Euclidean volume of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , i.e.

$$|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}| = \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} d\theta. \quad (4.7)$$

Estimate (3.9) follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.6). Estimate (3.10) follows from (3.9).

Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Using formula (1.6) for the scattering amplitude we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f(k, l) &= \hat{v}(k - l) + \delta f(k, l), \\ \delta f(k, l) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v(x) (\psi^+(x, k) - e^{ikx}) dx, \\ f_{appr}(k, l) &= \hat{v}(k - l, E) + \delta f_{appr}(k, l), \\ \delta f_{appr}(k, l) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v_{appr}(x, E) (\psi_{appr}^+(x, k) - e^{ikx}) dx, \\ (k, l) &\in \mathcal{M}_E, \quad \sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where ψ_{appr}^+ denotes the scattering solutions for v_{appr} .

In addition, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(k, l) - \delta f_{appr}(k, l) &= \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} (v(x) - v_{appr}(x, E)) (\psi^+(x, k) - e^{ikx}) dx + \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v_{appr}(x, E) (\psi^+(x, k) - \psi_{appr}^+(x, k)) dx, \\ |\delta f(k, l) - \delta f_{appr}(k, l)| &\leq \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Lambda^{\sigma/2} |v(x) - v_{appr}(x, E)| \Lambda^{-\sigma/2} |\psi^+(x, k) - e^{ikx}| dx + \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Lambda^{\sigma/2} |v_{appr}(x, E)| \Lambda^{-\sigma/2} |\psi^+(x, k) - \psi_{appr}^+(x, k)| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where Λ denotes the function $(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. Using (4.10), (2.5) for $m = 0$, (2.6), (2.9) for $v_1 = v$, $v_2 = v_{appr}$, (3.12), (3.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta f(k, l) - \delta f_{appr}(k, l)| &\leq \\ &2(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) c_1(d, \sigma) \|\Lambda^{\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(D)} N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1/2)} + \\ &4(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) c_1(d, \sigma) \|\Lambda^{-\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\Lambda^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(D)} N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1/2)} = \\ &(2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) c_1(d, \sigma) c_4(D, \sigma) N \beta E^{-\alpha-(1/2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy

for $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$, $\sqrt{E} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N)$, where

$$c_4(D, \sigma) = 2\|\Lambda^{\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(D)} + 4\|\Lambda^{-\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|\Lambda^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(D)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Note also that

$$\|\Lambda^{-\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = c_1(d, \sigma), \quad \|\Lambda^{\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(D)} \leq \|\Lambda^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(D)}\|\Lambda^{-\sigma/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Estimate (3.14) follows from (4.8), (4.11).

Lemma 3.2 is proved.

5. Proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1

Proof of Lemma 3.3. In a completely similar way with (4.1) we have that

$$v(x) = v^+(x, 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}) + v_0^-(x, 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}) + w^-(x, 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}), \quad x \in D, \quad (5.1)$$

where v^+ , v_0^- , w^- are the functions of (3.4), (3.6). Due to (3.16), (5.1), (3.5), in a similar way with (4.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{j+1}(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) - v(x) &= \delta_{j+1}^+ v(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) + \delta_{j+1}^- v(x, E, \tau_{j+1}), \\ \delta_{j+1}^+ v(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^d, |p| \leq 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}} e^{-ipx} \times \\ &(f(k_E(p), l_E(p)) - f_j(k_E(p), l_E(p)) + \hat{u}_j(k_E(p) - l_E(p), E) - \hat{v}(p)) dp, \\ \delta_{j+1}^- v(x, E, \tau_{j+1}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -w^-(x, 2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E}), \quad x \in D. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Using (3.14) for $f_{appr} = f_j$, $\hat{v}_{appr} = \hat{u}_j$, $\alpha = \alpha_j$, $\beta = \beta_j$, $N = N_j$ and using the definitions of $k_E(p)$, $l_E(p)$ of (1.8), in a similar way with (4.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_{j+1}^+ v(x, E, \tau_{j+1})| &\leq c_6(D, \sigma) N_j \beta_j E^{-\alpha_j - (1/2)} (2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E})^d, \\ x \in D, \quad \sqrt{E} &\geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_j), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$c_6(D, \sigma) = (2\pi)^{-d} a_0(d, \sigma/2) c_1(d, \sigma) c_4(D, \sigma) |\mathcal{B}_1|. \quad (5.4)$$

In addition, in a completely similar way with (4.6) we have that

$$|\delta_{j+1}^- v(x, E, \tau_{j+1})| \leq |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}| \frac{a_1(n, d) \|v - v_0\|_{n,1}}{n-d} \frac{1}{(2\tau_{j+1}\sqrt{E})^{n-d}}, \quad x \in D. \quad (5.5)$$

Estimate (3.18) follows from (5.3), (5.5). Estimate (3.19) follows from (3.18), (3.17) and from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-(n-1-2\alpha_j)/(2n)} E^{1/2} &= E^{(1+2\alpha_j)/(2n)}, \\ E^{d(1+2\alpha_j)/(2n)} E^{-\alpha_j - (1/2)} &= E^{-(1+2\alpha_j)(n-d)/(2n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Due to (3.15), (3.20), we have that

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{n-d}{2n}, \quad \alpha_{j+1} = \frac{n-d}{2n} + \alpha_j \frac{n-d}{n}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.7)$$

Formulas (5.7) imply (3.23). Indeed, the sequence α_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is uniquely defined by (5.7) and α_j of (3.23) satisfy (5.7). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n-d}{2n} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^j\right) \frac{n-d}{2d} \frac{n-d}{n} = \\ & \frac{n-d}{2n} + \frac{n-d}{2d} \frac{n-d}{n} - \left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j+1} \frac{n-d}{2d} = \\ & \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-d}{n}\right)^{j+1}\right) \frac{n-d}{2d}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Next, due to (5.7), (3.23) and due to the definition of $\tau_j(E)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of (3.10), (3.17) we have that

$$\tau_{j_1}(E) = \tau E^{-(n-1-2\alpha_{j_1})/(2n)} \leq \tau_{j_2}(E) = \tau E^{-(n-1-2\alpha_{j_2})/(2n)} \leq \delta(E) = \tau E^{-(d-1)/(2d)} \quad (5.9)$$

for $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2$, $E \geq 1$.

Using the definition of u_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of (3.11), (3.17) and using inequalities of (3.21) and (5.9) we obtain that $u_j(\cdot, E)$ are correctly defined in terms of $f \Big|_{\Gamma_E^{\delta(E)}}$ for

$$E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, \sigma, N_{j-1}), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally, estimates (3.22) follow from estimates (3.10), (3.19) and formulas (3.15), (3.20).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by TFP No 14.A18.21.0866 of Ministry of Education and Sciences of Russian Federation (at Faculty of Control and Applied Mathematics of Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology).

References

- [ABR] N.V. Alexeenko, V.A. Burov, O.D. Rumyantseva, Solution of the three-dimensional acoustical inverse scattering problem. The modified Novikov algorithm, *Acoust. J.* 54(3), 2008, 469-482 (in Russian), English transl.: *Acoust. Phys.* 54(3), 2008, 407-419.
- [BK] L. Beilina, M.V. Klibanov, *Approximate global convergence and adaptivity for coefficient inverse problems*, Springer (New York), 2012.
- [BS] F.A. Berezin, M.A. Shubin, *The Schrödinger Equation*, Vol. 66 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.

- [B] A.L. Buckhgeim, Recovering a potential from Cauchy data in the two-dimensional case, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* 16(1), 2008, 19-33.
- [BAR] V.A. Burov, N.V. Alekseenko, O.D. Rumyantseva, Multifrequency generalization of the Novikov algorithm for the two-dimensional inverse scattering problem, *Acoustical Physics* 55(6), 2009, 843-856.
- [ChS] K. Chadan, P.C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [DKN] B.A. Dubrovin, I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, The Schrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surface, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **229**, 1976, 15-18 (in Russian), English transl.: *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **17**, 1976, 947-951.
- [E] G. Eskin, *Lectures on Linear Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol.123, American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [ER1] G. Eskin, J. Ralston, Inverse backscattering problem in three dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 124, 1989, 169-215.
- [ER2] G. Eskin, J. Ralston, Inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic potential at a fixed energy, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 173, 1995, 199-224.
- [F1] L.D. Faddeev, Uniqueness of the solution of the inverse scattering problem, *Vest. Leningrad Univ.* 7, 1956, 126-130 [in Russian].
- [F2] L.D. Faddeev, The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering.II, *Current problems in mathematics*, Vol. 3, 1974, pp. 93-180, 259. *Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuz. Inst. Nauch. i Tehn. Informacii*, Moscow(in Russian); English Transl.: *J.Sov. Math.* 5, 1976, 334-396.
- [FM] L.D. Faddeev, S.P. Merkuriev, *Quantum Scattering Theory for Multi-particle Systems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1985 [in Russian].
- [GHN] A.A. Gonchar, N.N. Novikova, G.M. Henkin, Multipoint Padé approximations in the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem, *Mat. Sb.* **182**(8), 1991, 1118-1128 (in Russian); English transl.: *Mathematics of the USSR - Sbornik* **73**(2), 1992, 479-489.
- [G] P.G. Grinevich, The scattering transform for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with a potential that decreases at infinity at fixed nonzero energy, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 55:6(336),2000, 3-70 (Russian); English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* 55:6, 2000, 1015-1083.
- [HH] P. Hähner, T. Hohage, New stability estimates for the inverse acoustic inhomogeneous medium problem and applications, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(3), 2001, 670-685.
- [HN] G.M. Henkin, R.G. Novikov, The $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in the multidimensional inverse scattering problem, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 42(3), 1987, 93-152 (in Russian); English Transl.: *Russ. Math. Surv.* 42(3), 1987, 109-180.
- [I] M.I. Isaev, Exponential instability in the inverse scattering problem on the energy interval, *Func. Analiz i ego Pril.*(to appear), arXiv:1012.5526.
- [IN] M.I. Isaev, R.G. Novikov New global stability estimates for monochromatic inverse acoustic scattering, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **45**(3), 2013, 1495-1504.
- [J] A. Jensen, High energy resolvent estimates for generalized many-body Schrödinger operators, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 25, 1989, 155-167.
- [K] M.V. Klibanov, Carleman estimates for global uniqueness, stability and numerical methods for coefficient inverse problems, *J. Inverse and Ill-posed Probl.*, (to appear).

- [M] H.E. Moses, Calculation of the scattering potential from reflection coefficients, *Phys. Rev.* **102**, 1956, 559-567.
- [LRS] M.M. Lavrentev, V.G. Romanov, S.P. Shishatskii, Ill-posed problems of mathematical physics and analysis, Translated from the Russian by J.R. Schulenberger. Translation edited by Levi J. Leifman. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, 64. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [N1] R.G. Novikov, Multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation $-\Delta\psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$, *Funkt. Anal. Prilozhen.* 22(4), 1988, 11-22 (in Russian); *Engl. Transl. Funct. Anal. Appl.* 22, 1988, 263-272.
- [N2] R.G. Novikov, The inverse scattering problem at fixed energy level for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 103, 1992, 409-463.
- [N3] R.G. Novikov, The inverse scattering problem at fixed energy for Schrödinger equation with an exponentially decreasing potential, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 161, 1994, 569-595.
- [N4] R.G. Novikov, Rapidly converging approximation in inverse quantum scattering in dimension 2. *Physics Letters A* 238, 1998, 73-78.
- [N5] R.G. Novikov, The $\bar{\partial}$ -approach to approximate inverse scattering at fixed energy in three dimensions, *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* 2005, no. 6, 287-349.
- [N6] R.G. Novikov, On non-overdetermined inverse scattering at zero energy in three dimensions, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci.* 5, 2006, 279-328.
- [N7] R.G. Novikov, On iterative reconstruction in the nonlinearized polarization tomography, *Inverse Problems* **25**, 2009, 115010 (18pp).
- [N8] R.G. Novikov, Approximate Lipschitz stability for non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, DOI 10.1515/jip-2012-0101.
- [NS] R.G. Novikov, M. Santacesaria, Monochromatic reconstruction algorithms for two-dimensional multi-channel inverse problems, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **2013**(6), 2013, 1205-1229.
- [R] T. Regge, Introduction to complex orbital moments, *Nuovo Cimento* **14**, 1959, 951-976.
- [S1] P. Stefanov, Stability of the inverse problem in potential scattering at fixed energy, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, tome 40(4), 1990, 867-884.
- [S2] P. Stefanov, A uniqueness result for the inverse back-scattering problem, *Inverse Problems* 6, 1990, 1055-1064.
- [VW] A.Vasy, X.-P. Wang, Inverse scattering with fixed energy for dilation-analytic potentials, *Inverse Problems*, 20, 2004, 1349-1354.
- [W] R. Weder, Global uniqueness at fixed energy in multidimensional inverse scattering theory, *Inverse Problems* 7, 1991, 927-938.
- [WY] R. Weder, D. Yafaev, On inverse scattering at a fixed energy for potentials with a regular behaviour at infinity, *Inverse Problems*, 21, 2005, 1937-1952.