



HAL
open science

Spatial variability of stable isotope ratios in oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) and primary producers along an estuarine gradient (Bay of Brest, France)

Violette Marchais, Gauthier Schaal, Jacques Grall, Anne Lorrain, Caroline
Nerot, Pierre Richard, Laurent Chauvaud

► To cite this version:

Violette Marchais, Gauthier Schaal, Jacques Grall, Anne Lorrain, Caroline Nerot, et al.. Spatial variability of stable isotope ratios in oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) and primary producers along an estuarine gradient (Bay of Brest, France). *Estuaries and Coasts*, 2013, 36 (4), pp.808-819. 10.1007/s12237-012-9584-x . hal-00832092v1

HAL Id: hal-00832092

<https://hal.science/hal-00832092v1>

Submitted on 10 Jun 2013 (v1), last revised 10 Sep 2013 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Spatial variability of stable isotope ratios in oysters (*Crassostrea*
2 *gigas*) and primary producers along an estuarine gradient (Bay of
3 Brest, France)

4 Violette Marchais^a, Gauthier Schaal^a, Jacques Grall^a, Anne Lorrain^a, Caroline Nerot^a, Pierre Richard^b, Laurent
5 Chauvaud^a.

6
7 ^aUMR 6539, LEMAR, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, place Nicolas Copernic, 29280 Plouzané, France

8 ^bUMR 6250, LIENSs, Université de la Rochelle, Bât. Marie Curie, rue Paul-Emile Victor, 17000 La Rochelle, France
9

10 Corresponding author:

11 Violette Marchais

12 Email: violette.marchais@univ-brest.fr

13 Tel.: +33 2 98 49 86 60

14 Fax.: +33 2 98 49 86 45
15

16 **Abstract**

17 This study aimed at characterizing the diet of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* along an estuarine gradient in the Bay of
18 Brest (France), through stable isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) measurements in primary producers and wild oysters. The
19 contribution of different potential food sources to the diet of *C. gigas* was estimated at high spatial resolution (over a
20 gradient of 40 km with samplings every 2 km) to identify ecological transition zones, and highlighted the dominance of
21 resuspended biofilm in oysters diet. Although the different primary producers did not display any obvious pattern along
22 the estuarine gradient, the stable isotope signatures of *C. gigas* differed among estuarine, inner Bay and open sea sites.
23 In particular, a striking ^{15}N depletion pattern was found along the gradient which allowed to identify seven
24 homogeneous groups. Moreover, some unexpected values found at two stations within the estuary revealed localized
25 anthropogenic disturbances. Overall, our results suggest that suspension-feeders might be better indicators of ecosystem
26 functioning than primary producers, and reflect the different ecological processes occurring along estuarine gradients,
27 including localized anthropogenic inputs. We suggest that the usefulness of suspension-feeders as indicators of
28 ecosystem functional typology lies in the dominance of benthic material in their diet, which results in locally occurring
29 processes being reflected in oysters' stable isotope ratios.
30

31 KEY WORDS: biofilm - carbon – nitrogen – food web – estuary – Bay of Brest
32

33 1. Introduction

34 Coastal environments are extremely productive ecosystems that receive nutrients of both terrestrial and marine origin,
35 and host high animal biomasses (Mann 1982). The use of coastal benthic invertebrates to infer different aspects of
36 ecosystem functioning has been developed recently (Deegan and Garritt 1997; Lefebvre et al. 2009a). In particular,
37 suspension-feeders are usually considered as good indicators of ecosystem functioning (Lefebvre et al. 2009a), because
38 as primary consumers, they directly reflect ecological processes occurring at the lowest levels of food webs.

39 Several authors have used stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in suspension-feeders as markers of ecological processes
40 occurring along estuarine gradients (Hughes and Sherr 1983; Riera and Richard 1996; Deegan and Garritt 1997).
41 Because stable isotopes usually strongly differ among primary producers of freshwater, estuarine and marine origin
42 (Currin et al. 1995), and are time-integrated markers in macroinvertebrates, they allow to track the relative influence of
43 different sources to the diet of suspension-feeders. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is typically variable among different primary producers, and is
44 therefore often used as an indicator of basal food sources (Riera and Richard 1996), whereas $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is usually indicative
45 of consumers trophic level (Post 2002), but can also be used to infer food sources assimilated by primary consumers
46 (Riera 1998). Moreover, anthropogenic activities along the coast and in catchments often result in ^{15}N enriched stable
47 isotope ratios in producers and consumers (Costanzo et al. 2001). $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ can therefore also be used as a marker of
48 anthropogenic influence on natural communities.

49 The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, has been introduced in France in the 1970s for aquaculture (Mann 1982), and is
50 now a common component of rocky shore communities along the coastline of Brittany (Lejart 2009). Previous stable
51 isotope studies have shown that this species is a good tracer of temporal and spatial variations of organic matter sources
52 (Malet 2005; Lefebvre et al. 2009a). In particular, *C. gigas* has been used to infer spatial variability occurring in the
53 trophic functioning of estuarine systems (Riera and Richard 1996; Riera et al. 2000; Lefebvre et al. 2009a). For
54 instance, the contribution of terrestrial material to the diet of oysters inhabiting upper estuarine zones of the Charente
55 river estuary (French Atlantic coast) has been highlighted by Riera and Richard (1996). High anthropogenic inputs on
56 estuarine food webs have also been reported to result in ^{15}N -enriched stable isotope ratios in the community inhabiting
57 the Westerschelde (Netherlands) (Riera et al. 2000). Lefebvre et al. (2009a) concluded that oysters could be used as
58 indicators of coastal typology, due to the plasticity of their diet (Riera 2009) and their capacity to withstand highly
59 variable environmental conditions (Le Berre et al. 2009). Moreover, suspension-feeders stable isotope ratios display a
60 relative temporal stability (muscle $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ varied seasonally of 1‰ (Lorrain et al. 2002) in the bay of Brest,
61 although higher variability (up to 3‰) has been observed for other ecosystems (Fertig et al. 2010)), which is an
62 advantage compared to particulate organic matter (POM)-based indicators, which can experience highly chaotic
63 variability (values differed by more than 6 ‰ (Savoye 2001)). However, in order to fully understand ecological
64 transitions occurring along estuarine gradients, studies involving high resolution sampling are necessary. Up to now,
65 these studies are quite scarce (Fertig et al. 2009), there is therefore a need for such studies to assess the usefulness of
66 suspension-feeders as natural markers for functional ecosystem typology (*sensu* Lefebvre et al. 2009a).

67 This study aimed to determine the diet of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* at high spatial resolution along a 40 km gradient
68 in an estuarine bay (Bay of Brest, France). To identify the functional transition zones as well as the extent of terrestrial
69 (including anthropogenic) influence, we measured stable isotope values of the intertidal suspension feeder *Crassostrea*
70 *gigas*, together with the stable isotope ratios of the potential food sources (suspended particular organic matter, biofilm
71 and macroalgae) along an estuarine gradient from upstream to marine conditions. The objectives of this study were to
72 evaluate along this gradient (i) the relative contributions of benthic vs. pelagic sources, (ii) terrestrial vs. marine

73 influences on this semi-enclosed ecosystem and (iii) the ability of stable isotopes to reveal potentially localized
74 anthropogenic inputs to coastal environments.

75 **2. Material and methods**

76 *2.1. Sampling sites*

77 The Bay of Brest (Brittany, France) is a shallow semi-enclosed 180 km² embayment connected to oceanic water (Iroise
78 Sea) by a narrow (1.8 km of wide) and deep (40 m) channel (Le Pape 1996). Strong tidal currents (5 knots in the
79 channel and 2 ½ knots inside the Bay) allow exchange of waters between the Bay and the Iroise sea. 40% of the bay is
80 renewed at each tide cycle through the channel (Le Pape et al. 1996). The shallowness of the bay and the water mixing
81 induced by tidal currents prevent the ecosystem from vertical stratification and nutrient accumulation, and therefore
82 limit eutrophication of the system (Le Pape et al. 1996). Nutrients input from the Elorn river are nevertheless important,
83 and have been estimated of 550 µmol.L⁻¹.year⁻¹ on average since the early 2000s (Bretagne environnement 2009). In the
84 intertidal zone, substrates are dominated by rocky shore whereas sediments in subtidal zone range from muds to coarse
85 gravel and maerl beds depending on local hydrodynamics conditions (Grall et al. 1996).

86 *2.2. Sampling*

87 Sampling was performed at 19 stations along an estuarine gradient, from the Elorn river estuary down to Le Conquet
88 (Fig. 1) in November 2009 at low tide. At each station, 5 living adult oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) (size ranging from 50
89 to 100 mm) were collected around low tide in the mediolittoral zone and frozen (-20°C) until dissection. Adductor
90 muscles were then dissected and frozen until further processing.

91 The most abundant macroalgae (*Fucus spp.*, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, *Himantalia elongata*, *Bifurcaria bifurcata*, *Ulva*
92 *spp.*, *Vertebrata lanosa*, *Mastocarpus stellatus*, *Osmundea pinnatifida*, *Chondrus crispus*, *Palmaria palmata*,
93 *Calliblepharis jubata*, *Lomentaria articulata*, *Dilsea carnosa*, *Gelidium sp.*) were also collected for stable isotope
94 analysis. Their apex part was briefly washed with distilled water to remove epiphytes, and they were stored frozen
95 (-20°C) until further processing. For Suspended Particulate Organic Matter (SPOM) sampling, water samples were also
96 collected at each station, prefiltered on 63 µm to remove zooplankton and large particles, and then filtered (volume
97 depending on particle concentration) on precombusted (450°C, 4h) GF/F filters. Biofilm was sampled by gently
98 brushing the rocks with a toothbrush, which was then resuspended in filtered (0.7µm) seawater. This operation is
99 renewed several times for each station. Water containing biofilm was then filtered following the SPOM protocol.

100 A total of 89 oysters, as well as 46 SPOM, 19 biofilm and 83 macroalgae samples were analyzed for δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N
101 during this study (see table in electronic supplementary material with values of oysters and sources at each station).

102 *2.3. Isotopic analysis*

103 Oyster muscles and macroalgae were freeze dried and ground into powder. 300µg (oysters) and 1 mg (macroalgae)
104 were then weighed in tin capsules. Biofilm and SPOM filters were dried (60°C, 12h), decarbonated 4 hours with HCl
105 vapors (Lorrain et al. 2003) and dried again overnight. The colored matter of filters (SPOM and biofilm) was then
106 scraped with a clean scalpel and introduced in tin cups.

107 Samples were analyzed using an elemental analyzer (Flash EA 1112, Thermo Scientific, Milan, Italy) coupled to an
108 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta V Advantage with a Conflo IV interface, Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany).
109 Results are expressed in the δ unit notation as deviations from standards (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and N_2 in
110 air for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) following the formula: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ or $\delta^{15}\text{N} = [(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}})-1] \times 10^3$, where R is $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ or $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$. Reference
111 gas calibration was done using reference materials (USGS-24, IAEA-CH6, IAEA-600 for carbon ; IAEA-N1, -N2, -N3,
112 -600 for nitrogen). Analytical precision based on isotope values of the acetanilide (Thermo Scientific) used to estimate
113 C and N content for each sample series was $< 0.1\%$ both for carbon and nitrogen. Values are mean \pm s.d.

114 2.4. Data analysis

115 All statistical analysis were performed under the R software (R development Core Team 2005).

116 Normal distribution of data and homogeneity of variances were checked using Shapiro-Wilk tests and Bartlett-tests.
117 When these tests were non-significant, one factor ANOVAs were conducted (One-way test if the homoscedasticity is
118 invalid). Otherwise, Kruskal-Wallis tests were used. If differences were highlighted, a pairwise test was conducted with
119 Holm method.

120 A hierarchical clustering was realized on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of oyster muscles, using Euclidean dissimilarity and Ward's
121 minimum variance method. Obtained groups significance had been tested by an analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) with
122 Euclidean distances.

123 The composition of SPOM at each site, as well as the contribution of the different food sources to the diet of *C. gigas*
124 was estimated using SIAR (Stable Isotope Analysis with R (Parnell et al. 2010)) using Bayesian inference. This model
125 is the currently considered as more relevant than traditional linear mixing models (e.g. Isosource, (Phillips and Gregg
126 2003)) to characterize the diet of consumers, because it incorporates the variability in the stable isotope ratios of both
127 sources and consumers, as well as in the isotopic fractionation (Parnell et al. 2010). For SIAR modeling, we considered
128 different isotopic fractionations, to cope with the inherent variability of this factor: 1) the isotopic fractionation
129 determined by Dubois et al (2007a) for the whole body of *Crassostrea gigas* ($\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C} = 1.9 \pm 0.2\%$ and $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N} = 3.8 \pm$
130 0.2%), 2) the fractionation from Lefebvre et al. (2009a) ($\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C} = 2.9 \pm 0.5\%$ and $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N} = 4.7 \pm 0.5\%$) and 3) the
131 fractionation of Dubois et al. (2007a) corrected by the muscle-to-whole body factor of Yokoyama et al. (2008) ($\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C} =$
132 $2.3 \pm 0.2\%$ and $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N} = 7.1 \pm 0.2\%$). Because SPOM sampled at each station is a heterogeneous pool that already
133 includes terrestrial POM, marine phytoplakton, macroalgae detritus en resuspended benthic biofilm, it has not been
134 considered as a potential contributor when modeling the diet of *C. gigas*. We considered only pure food sources:
135 benthic biofilm and macroalgae that were collected on each site, as well as terrestrial POM (TPOM) and marine POM
136 (MPOM). Macroalgae were regarded as the average of the stable isotope ratios measured for the 3 to 7 dominant
137 species (see table in suppl. material). TPOM was considered as the average of measurements carried out in 2008 and
138 2009 (Nérot 2011) in Landerneau, which is the upper limit of tides influence in the Elorn river ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -27.5 \pm 1.2 \%$;
139 $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 5.9 \pm 2.0 \%$). MPOM was considered as the average of different measurements carried out in 2008 and 2009 in
140 the Iroise Sea, that displayed C/N ratios comprised between 6 and 8, indicative of phytoplankton dominance (Savoye
141 2001) ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -23.1 \pm 0.9 \%$; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 5.7 \pm 1.1 \%$).

142 3. Results

143 3.1. Isotopic ratios of oyster muscles

144 Along the gradient, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of oysters ranged from -18.2‰ (station 6) to -17‰ (station 12) (Fig.2). Although no
145 significant pattern could be highlighted, estuarine and open-sea sites displayed values around -17.5‰, while inner bay
146 sites were slightly ^{13}C enriched, around -17‰. Two estuarine stations (5 and 6) displayed the lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, below -18‰.
147 For $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, a decreasing pattern was observed along the gradient, from values around 12 ‰ in the upstream estuary, down
148 to less than 10 ‰ at le Conquet (station 19). The hierarchical clustering performed on the stable isotope ratios of oysters
149 identified 2 main groups (Fig. 3), that corresponded to estuarine (stations 1 to 9) and open sea stations (stations 10 to
150 19). Seven significant (ANOSIM, $R=0.998$, $p\text{-value}<0.001$) sub-groups could also be identified: upstream estuary,
151 middle estuary, downstream estuary, inner bay, channel, outside bay, and station 18. Sampling stations were aggregated
152 according to this grouping for further analysis. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of oysters was significantly correlated to biofilm's $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
153 ($R^2=0.73$; $p<0.01$) (table 1). This was not the case, however, for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, nor for any of the other sources (neither for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
154 nor for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) (see table 1).

155 3.2. Isotopic ratios of food sources

156 In spite of high variability along the gradient, no decreasing or increasing trend could be identified in the stable isotope
157 ratios of SPOM (Fig. 4). No statistically significant difference was found for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (between 6.8 ‰ and 7.7 ‰) (table 2),
158 and although some differences were observed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (see table 2), they were mostly due to middle estuary stations
159 (i.e. stations 5 and 6), that displayed ^{13}C enriched values. Upstream sites were slightly ^{13}C depleted compared to other
160 sites (-23.1 ‰ compared to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ranging from -22.3 ‰ to -20.5 ‰ for other groups). Biofilm $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ did not vary
161 significantly (ranging from -21.9 ‰ to -18.6 ‰ with strong intra-zone variability). Besides, a clear ^{15}N depletion
162 gradient was observed along the gradient, with values close to 9 ‰ in the upstream estuary, and close to 6 ‰ outside
163 the bay (see table 2 and Fig. 4). For macroalgae as well, no trend could be highlighted along the estuarine gradient,
164 neither for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ nor for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$.

165 3.3. Contribution of each food source

166 All along the gradient, benthic biofilm was the dominant contributor to the diet of *C. gigas* (Fig. 5), its average
167 contribution ranging from 47% to 85%. In the middle estuary, the diet of oysters was more balanced, biofilm, MPOM
168 and macroalgae displaying quite similar contributions. The contribution of macroalgae ranged from 9% (channel) to
169 33% (upper estuary) and MPOM contributed between 4% and 35%. The contribution of TPOM was negligible all along
170 the gradient, even for upper estuary sites.

171 The sensibility analysis showed little variation among the different fractionation factors considered (table 3). The
172 contribution of biofilm was always higher than 40%, and the contribution of TPOM stayed negligible. Most of the
173 variability induced by the different factors considered concerned macroalgae and MPOM contribution in the estuarine
174 area. The importance of MPOM was higher for this area considering the factors of Lefebvre et al. (2009a), while
175 macroalgae were estimated to contribute more the diet of *C. gigas* using the factors of Dubois et al. (2007a) corrected
176 by Yokoyama et al. (2008).

177 4. Discussion

178 4.1. Primary producers

179 Most of stable isotope ratios measured on primary producers in this study fell into the range commonly reported for
180 SPOM, macroalgae and biofilm along the French Atlantic coast (Riera and Richard 1996; Schaal et al. 2010b).
181 Surprisingly, none of the sources sampled reflected the commonly observed ^{13}C enrichment pattern along the estuarine
182 gradient. Due to the predominance of ^{13}C depleted C3 plants in catchments, freshwater and upstream estuary primary
183 producers usually display low $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Peterson and Fry 1987). Although measurement carried out upstream the
184 Elorn river showed characteristic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($-27.5.3\pm 1.2$ ‰, (Nérot 2011)), this was not observed in other sampling stations
185 of the estuary. For upper-estuary sites, TPOM contribution to the SPOM reached 22% (see suppl. material), but was
186 already negligible at mid-estuary sites and all along the gradient. Tidal flushing (40 % of the Bay is renewed at each
187 tidal cycle (Le Pape et al. 1996)) is likely to play an important role in the immediate dilution of ^{13}C depleted freshwater
188 in the estuary, hence limiting the effects of terrestrial nutrients and pollutants brought to the estuary and the bay by
189 rivers. Similar results were reported by York et al. (2007). They suggested that phytoplankton that acquired its isotopic
190 signature upstream maintained it while its downstream transport, thereby masking any isotopic gradient along estuaries.
191 This explanation is however unlikely to be relevant to the Elorn river estuarine system, because the stable isotope ratios
192 measured for phytoplankton are not characteristic of upper estuarine systems and the tidal flow results in strong mixing
193 of waters in the estuary during high tide. The absence of estuarine signal in macroalgae, neither for carbon nor for
194 nitrogen, seems to contradict some studies that found that macroalgae were good indicators of anthropogenic inputs
195 (Costanzo et al. 2001) and could also be explained by the importance of tidal flow. Fertig et al. (2009) found that stable
196 isotopes in macroalgae failed to identify fine scale pattern, which supports our finding. Contrarily to other food
197 sources, biofilm displayed a marked ^{15}N depletion pattern along the estuarine gradient. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of biofilm values in the
198 estuary were similar to those measured by Costanzo et al. (2001) probably indicating some influence of wastewater
199 originating from human activities ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between 7 and 9 ‰). The same values (around 8 ‰) have been reported for
200 epilithic biofilm within the harbour of Brest, on a site strongly impacted by human-derived inputs (Schaal et al. 2010b).
201 The catchment of the Elorn river is characterised by intensive pig, cattle and poultry farming, as well as vegetables
202 production (Grall and Glémarec 1997), that produces wastes that are transferred to coastal ecosystems through riverine
203 discharge. Yet, out of the three primary producers sampled, only epilithic benthic biofilms reflected the typical ^{15}N
204 depletion pattern along the estuary. Although some resuspension occurs with upcoming flow (Takai et al. 2004), benthic
205 biofilms are not entirely exported, contrarily to suspended POM (SPOM), that is almost entirely renewed at each tide
206 cycle. Hence, benthic biofilms are more likely to integrate terrestrial nutrients brought from the catchment by rivers.
207 Moreover, because biofilms are mainly constituted of unicellular organisms (i.e. bacteria, diatoms), their turnover is
208 likely to be higher than macroalgae. Riverine inputs are typically episodic, depending on rain events. We can therefore
209 hypothesize that the absence of terrestrial signal in macroalgae is due to the fact that they integrate nutrients over a time
210 scale that buffers the effects of episodic nutrient pulses on their stable isotope ratios. The absence of clear $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ pattern
211 in any source is surprising, because ^{15}N depletion pattern observed in benthic biofilms suggests that riverine inputs are
212 assimilated in benthic primary producers. The amount of nitrates brought to the Bay of Brest by the Elorn river is
213 extremely high (around $550 \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}.\text{year}^{-1}$, Bretagne Environnement 2009). In comparison, larger rivers, such as the
214 Potomac river (USA) or the Zaire river (Democratic Republic of Congo) release respectively $110 \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}.\text{year}^{-1}$ and 8
215 $\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}.\text{year}^{-1}$ to their nearby coastal environment (Rajagopal 1997). Consequently, although to global freshwater
216 inflow to the Bay of Brest is low ($176.10^6 \text{ m}^3.\text{y}^{-1}$), it is still affecting primary producers $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. In contrast, lower
217 dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations brought by the river do not result in significant $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ pattern. Stable isotope

218 measurements carried out on dissolved organic matter would help answer this question. Overall, these results suggest
219 that, among co-occurring estuarine primary producers, epilithic benthic biofilms are the best indicators of terrestrial
220 inputs in the Bay of Brest.

221 4.2. Composition of *Crassostrea gigas* diet

222 Isotopic ratios measured for oysters were consistent with previous studies carried out on estuarine systems along the
223 French Atlantic coast (Riera and Richard 1996; Riera 1998; Lefebvre et al. 2009a). Similarly to what was observed for
224 the different primary producers, the typical ^{13}C enrichment gradient was not found in *Crassostrea gigas* (Fig.4),
225 supporting the finding that particulate organic matter inputs from the Elorn river have a low influence on benthic
226 organisms inhabiting the Bay of Brest. Although the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ variability was quite low along the gradient (excepted for
227 stations 5 and 6, see below), 7 different groups could be identified which can be aggregated into 2 geographic zones
228 (estuary and open sea). For $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, a striking ^{15}N depletion pattern was found along the gradient that correlated with the
229 pattern observed for benthic biofilms (table 1). Overall, although none of the food sources allowed the identification of
230 the different hydrographic bodies (excepted for biofilm $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ which differed among the 7 groups), the stable isotope
231 ratios measured in oysters did so (Fig. 2). This necessarily raises some questions about the nature of the food
232 assimilated by oysters. Oysters feed within a composite pool of organic suspended material, and have been observed in
233 previous studies to assimilate extremely variable dietary sources (Riera and Richard 1996; Schaal et al. 2008; Lefebvre
234 et al. 2009b). The use of isotopic mixing models is extremely sensitive to the choice of end-members (Phillips and
235 Gregg 2003). In the case of suspension-feeders, although a wide array of food items has been reported to contribute to
236 their diet, they first need to be transferred to the water column to become physically available to these consumers.
237 Hence, SPOM samples collected on the different study sites are already constituted of a mix of all different “pure” food
238 sources, and should not be considered as end-members. Here, four potential food sources were considered for SIAR
239 calculations: epilithic benthic biofilms, macroalgae (regarded as the average of locally dominant macroalgae), marine
240 POM (assimilated to pure marine phytoplankton) and terrestrial POM (isotopic signature measured at the upper limit of
241 tidal influence in the Elorn river).

242 Reliable use of isotopic mixing models requires accurate trophic-step fractionation factors which are unfortunately
243 rarely available for marine consumers. This fractionation is likely to vary according to the tissue analyzed, the
244 environment considered or the age of the specimen (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 2001; McCutchan et al. 2003).
245 Here, we used various factors from the literature to estimate the variability induced in the diet estimation by this
246 uncertainty. A low variability was observed among the different fractionation considered, benthic biofilm remained the
247 most important contributor to the diet of *C. gigas* (table 3). The diet of oysters in the upper estuarine zone differed
248 considering the fractionation of Dubois et al. (2007a) modified according to Yokoyama et al. (2008), through a
249 dominant contribution of macroalgae. Fractionation factors from Lefebvre et al. (2009a) increased the contribution of
250 MPOM, but only for estuarine stations, which is quite unlikely, because phytoplankton is supposed to less available to
251 suspension-feeders in this area than in open sea stations. For other zones, the different fractionations yielded similar
252 results, which support the robustness of our estimations. Consequently, the rest of the analysis is based on Dubois et al.
253 (2007a) factors, which do not significantly differ from those corrected by Yokoyama et al. (2008), and are more likely,
254 for this particular system, than those from Lefebvre et al. (2009a).

255 Previous studies investigating the diet of *Crassostrea gigas* found that SPOM and/or epipellic benthic biofilms were
256 predominant, their respective contributions depending on the location and/or the season of sampling (Riera and Richard
257 1996; Kang et al. 1999; Page and Lastra 2003; Piola et al. 2006; Marin-Leal et al. 2008). Surprisingly, in our study,
258 marine SPOM did not contribute significantly to the diet of oysters in any of the 19 stations sampled (Fig. 5). The
259 predominance of benthic biofilms in the diet of *C. gigas*, already suggested by the correlation between biofilms and
260 oysters stable isotope ratios (table 1), was largely confirmed by SIAR modeling. This is in accordance with the findings
261 of Takai et al. (2004), who concluded that epilithic biofilm was an important trophic resource for wild oysters, due to
262 the importance of epilithic biofilm resuspension with incoming tide flow. Microphytobenthos, which constitutes an
263 important part of benthic biofilms, has long been known to be important for oysters production (Riera and Richard
264 1996; Dubois et al. 2007b). On rocky shores, benthic biofilms can be dominated by diatoms, and also include bacteria
265 and algal spores embedded in a matrix of extracellular substance (Hawkins et al. 1989). Once resuspended, all these
266 components can easily be assimilated by suspension-feeders, which make benthic biofilms a highly nutritive food
267 source. The results of this study are in apparent contradiction with the conclusions of a previous study carried out by
268 Alunno-Bruscia et al. (2011). These authors found that the annual growth of *C. gigas* matched the seasonal variability
269 of phytoplankton biomass in the bay, and concluded that they primarily relied on this source for diet. However, in this
270 study, the sources were not identified, and we can therefore hypothesize that benthic diatoms follow the same seasonal
271 trend as phytoplankton, because the same factors (i.e. temperature, light, nutrients) drive their productivity.
272 Consequently, oysters assimilating a mix of phytoplankton and microphytobenthos would display the same seasonal
273 pattern as oysters feeding on phytoplankton. Another explanation that could explain the differences between the
274 conclusions of Alunno-Bruscia et al. (2011) and ours is based on the accurate localization of sampling areas within the
275 bay. While our study took place in the northern basin, where hydrodynamics is quite important, the study of Alunno-
276 Bruscia et al. (2011) was carried out on cultivated oysters sampled in the southern basin, where hydrodynamics is more
277 reduced, and resuspension events probably less important.

278 Apart from the large dominance of benthic biofilms to the diet of *C. gigas*, macroalgae appeared to be a non negligible
279 component of oysters diet, in particular in upstream and middle estuary. Although remaining secondary dietary items,
280 macroalgae can represent up to 30% of the diet of oysters in upper and mid-estuary, where the highest biomasses of
281 algae observed (personal observations). These estimations are quite similar with the ones reported by Marin Leal et al.
282 (2008) for several sites in Normandy. Special care must however be taken in the analysis of macroalgal contribution to
283 the diet of oysters. To quantify the importance of macroalgae in the diet of oysters, we used the stable isotope ratios of
284 fresh macroalgae as a proxy for detritus. Although decomposition can alter the isotopic composition of algae (Hill and
285 McQuaid 2009), most studies have shown that this modification mostly affected nitrogen, in an unpredictable way, and
286 that $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ remained quite stable (Stephenson et al. 1986; Fenton and Ritz 1988; Schaal et al. 2010a). There is therefore
287 of source of uncertainty in the actual contribution of macroalgae, because the effects of decomposition on the stable
288 isotope ratios cannot be accurately determined. Macroalgal particles that are ingested by oysters are indeed microscopic,
289 because oysters ingest particles within the $2\mu\text{m} - 20\mu\text{m}$ range (Barillé et al. 1997), that cannot be efficiently sorted out
290 of the pool of suspended material for isotopic analysis. We are however confident in the robustness of our diet
291 estimations, because the strong correlation between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of oysters and biofilms (table 1) makes unlikely any
292 dominance of macroalgae in the diet of oysters. Moreover, no correlation could be found between stable isotope ratios
293 of oysters and macroalgae at the species level (data not shown). Finally, oysters have been proven to be capable of
294 substantial selectivity when faced a composite pool of organic matter (Harris et al. 2010). Fresh particles (e.g.

295 phytoplankton, benthic diatoms) are known to be of better nutritive value for consumers than decayed macroalgal
296 particles (Hughes and Sherr 1983). It is therefore quite unlikely that macroalgae would make the major part of their diet
297 in an environment where other sources (i.e. microalgae of benthic and pelagic origins) are present and abundant. Based
298 on a single time study, we can hardly integrate our conclusions to a temporal perspective. Some of the patterns found
299 here can indeed be quite seasonal (consumption of macroalgae, low phytoplankton contribution). The consumption of
300 macroalgae has for example been reported to be especially important for suspension-feeders during periods of low
301 phytoplankton productivity (Marin-Leal et al. 2008; Grippo et al. 2010). Moreover, our sampling was performed in
302 winter, which does correspond to the lowest abundances of phytoplankton (Chauvaud et al. 2001). Complementary
303 seasonal sampling, in particular during spring, when phytoplankton biomass is maximal, would help understand the
304 seasonal dynamics of oysters trophic ecology along this estuarine gradient. Our study nevertheless depicts benthic
305 biofilm as a key component of the trophic functioning of intertidal rocky shore associated suspension feeders during
306 autumn in the Bay of Brest.

307 4.3. Atypical stations: witnesses of anthropogenic influence?

308 Unexpectedly ^{13}C depleted values were obtained for oysters at stations 5 and 6 (middle estuary). The estimation of
309 oysters diet for these stations indicated homogeneous contribution of biofilm, marine POM and macroalgae (Fig. 5).
310 Although most of the Elorn river southern bank is uninhabited, small groups of houses are located at the vicinity of
311 these two sampling stations (personal observation). Surveys have shown that this area is characterized by high bacteria
312 (*E. coli*) concentrations (up to 2 000 cells/100ml (IDHESA 2011)) which is much higher than what can be found
313 elsewhere. It could be explained by the lack of connection to sewage treatment plants of most of buildings around there
314 (BMO, city water treatment unit). Bacteria can display extremely wide ranges of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Coffin et al. 1989), which might
315 explain the differences observed between these two stations and the other ones. Indeed, the consumption of bacteria by
316 oysters has recently been supported by fatty acid data by Pernet et al. (2012).

317 4.4. Conclusions

318 Our results fit a commonly observed pattern of trophic functioning for temperate coastal ecosystems; benthic sources
319 represent the major part of the diet of suspension-feeders (Riera and Richard 1996; Page and Lastra 2003; Schaal et al.
320 2008). The contribution of phytoplankton was low (typically less than 20 %), even on open sea sites. Overall, the diet of
321 *Crassostrea gigas* did not vary much along the gradient, the main difference being the contribution of macroalgae
322 detritus. Although oysters are known to be able to select among the different available food sources (Harris et al. 2010),
323 this relative consistency suggests that the availability of food sources remains quite constant all along the gradient, and
324 emphasizes the paramount importance of epilithic benthic biofilms for the general functioning of rocky shores in the
325 Bay of Brest. The stability of SPOM composition along the gradient is furthermore confirmed by SIAR runs carried out
326 to estimate the contribution of TPOM, MPOM, macroalgae and benthic biofilms along the gradient (suppl. material).
327 Some studies, carried out on cultured oysters, have found contrasted results, phytoplankton being the most important
328 contributor to the diet of oysters (Riera 2007; Lefebvre et al. 2009b). A possible explanation would be that cultivated
329 oysters are usually reared on tables around 1m above the sediment, which can potentially limit the availability of
330 benthic sources, while wild oysters are directly in contact with the substrate, and can therefore readily ingest
331 resuspended sources. Other factors, such as the topology of the coastline (open marine environments vs coastal

332 embayments) have also been proven to affect the reliance of oysters toward benthic vs pelagic sources (Lefebvre et al.
333 2009b). Special care must therefore be taken before extending the conclusions of this study to other coastal ecosystems.

334 A good marker of ecosystem functioning must integrate the spatial and temporal variability that occurs naturally as well
335 as be sensitive enough not to buffer small scale variability. In a recent study, Lefebvre et al. (2009a) suggested that
336 cultivated oysters could be reliable indicators of ecosystem functioning, because, as sessile consumers, they are subject
337 to the variations in quality and quantity of the suspended organic matter pool, and reflect local differences in the
338 availability of the different food sources. In fact, several studies have used cultivated oysters in the past to infer
339 ecosystem functioning (Piola et al. 2006; Marin-Leal et al. 2008; Lefebvre et al. 2009b), and few have used wild oysters
340 (but see (Riera and Richard 1996; Fertig et al. 2009)). Our results suggest that wild oysters might better reflect benthic
341 processes than cultivated, because their reliance toward benthic sources seems to be higher, due to closer relationship
342 with the substrate.

343 In macrotidal ecosystems, strong tidal currents result in spatial homogenization of waters, and it could be expected that
344 suspension feeders' stable isotope ratios would provide few information on small scale variability of ecosystem
345 functioning. Our results show that this is not the case and that even at relatively small scale, the use of oysters allows
346 identifying different geographical entities, and localized anthropogenic disturbances. Because, as revealed in our results,
347 oysters in the Bay of Brest mainly feed on benthic sources (i.e. epilithic benthic biofilms and macroalgae), they reflect
348 local processes occurring in the different rocky shore zones of the Bay, making them particularly relevant as functional
349 typology indicators.

350 In the present study, we only analyzed adductor muscles, which proved to be suitable to reflect the variability of
351 ecological processes occurring along this gradient. Due to the longer integration time of muscles, they can however
352 buffer the effect of episodic nutrient pulses, that can be frequent and have strong effects in estuarine systems (Antonio
353 et al. 2010). Using different tissues, such as gills or digestive glands, which have shorter integration times, would help
354 characterize small scale temporal variability, and be of particular interest for highly dynamic systems such as estuaries
355 (Piola et al. 2006).

356

357 **Acknowledgements**

358 The project was supported by the INSU EC2CO program ISOBENT and by the GIS- Europole Mer. We thank J-M.
359 Munaron, E. Morize, E. Dabas, C. Oudard and A. Aguirre Velarde for their help in oyster sampling and preparation. We
360 also thank E. Moreau-Haug of IDHESA Bretagne Oceane for providing a model of bacteria concentrations. We would
361 like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

362

363 **References**

- 364 Alunno-Bruscia, M., Y. Bourlès, D. Maurer, S. Robert, J. Mazurié, A. Gangnery, P. Goulletquer and S. Pouvreau. 2011.
365 A single bio-energetics growth and reproduction model for the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in six Atlantic
366 ecosystems. *Journal of sea research* 66: 340-348.
- 367 Antonio, E. S., A. Kasai, M. Ueno, N. Won, Y. Ishihi, H. Yokoyama and Y. Yamashita. 2010. Spatial variation in
368 organic matter utilization by benthic communities from Yura River-Estuary to offshore of Tango Sea, Japan.
369 *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science* 86: 107-117.

370 Barillé, L., J. Prou, M. Héral and D. Razet. 1997. Effects of high natural seston concentrations on the feeding, selection,
371 and absorption of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). Journal of experimental marine biology and
372 ecology 212: 149-172.

373 Bretagne environnement. 2009. FICHE DE SYNTHÈSE - Concentrations en nitrates. [www.bretagne-
374 environnement.org/content/.../Rade-Elorn-29.pdf](http://www.bretagne-

374 environnement.org/content/.../Rade-Elorn-29.pdf) Accessed 23 May 2012.

375 Chauvaud, L., A. Donval, G. Thouzeau, Y. M. Paulet and E. Nézan. 2001. Variations in food intake of *Pecten maximus*
376 (L.) from the Bay of Brest (France): Influence of environmental factors and phytoplankton species
377 composition. Life sciences 324: 743-755.

378 Coffin, R. B., B. Fry, B. J. Peterson and R. T. Wright. 1989. Carbon isotopic compositions of estuarine bacteria.
379 Limnology and Oceanography: 1305-1310.

380 Costanzo, S. D., M. J. O'Donohue, W. C. Dennison, N. R. Loneragan and M. Thomas. 2001. A new approach for
381 detecting and mapping sewage impacts. Marine Pollution Bulletin 42: 149-156.

382 Currin, C. A., S. Y. Newell and H. W. Paerl. 1995. The role of standing dead *Spartina alterniflora* and benthic
383 microalgae in salt-marsh food webs: considerations based on multiple stable isotope analysis. Marine Ecology-
384 Progress Series 121: 99-116.

385 Deegan, L. A. and R. H. Garritt. 1997. Evidence for spatial variability in estuarine food webs. Marine ecology progress
386 series Oldendorf 147: 31-47.

387 Dubois, S., B. Jean-Louis, B. Bertrand and S. Lefebvre. 2007a. Isotope trophic-step fractionation of suspension-feeding
388 species: Implications for food partitioning in coastal ecosystems. Journal of experimental marine biology and
389 ecology 351: 121-128.

390 Dubois, S., F. Orvain, J. C. Marin-Leal, M. Ropert and S. Lefebvre. 2007b. Small-scale spatial variability of food
391 partitioning between cultivated oysters and associated suspension-feeding species, as revealed by stable
392 isotopes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 336: 151-160.

393 Fenton, G. E. and D. A. Ritz. 1988. Changes in carbon and hydrogen stable isotope ratios of macroalgae and seagrass
394 during decomposition. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 26: 429-436.

395 Fertig, B., T. J. B. Carruthers, W. Dennison, A. Jones, F. Pantus and B. Longstaff. 2009. Oyster and Macroalgae
396 Bioindicators Detect Elevated $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in Maryland's Coastal Bays. Estuaries and Coasts 32: 773-786.

397 Fertig, B., T. J. B. Carruthers, W. C. Dennison, E. J. Fertig and M. A. Altabet. 2010. Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea*
398 *virginica*) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ as a bioindicator of nitrogen sources: Observations and modeling. Marine Pollution
399 Bulletin 60: 1288-1298.

400 Grall, J., L. Chauvaud, G. Thouzeau, S. Fifas, M. Glemarec and Y. M. Paulet. 1996. Distribution de *Pecten maximus*
401 (L.) et de ses principaux compétiteurs et prédateurs potentiels en rade de Brest. Comptes rendus de l'Académie
402 des sciences Série 3, Sciences de la vie 319: 931-937.

403 Grall, J. and M. Glémarec. 1997. Using biotic indices to estimate macrobenthic community perturbations in the Bay of
404 Brest. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 44: 43-53.

405 Grippo, M. A., J. W. Fleeger, N. N. Rabalais, R. Condrey and K. R. Carman. 2010. Contribution of phytoplankton and
406 benthic microalgae to inner shelf sediments of the north-central Gulf of Mexico. Continental Shelf Research
407 30: 456-466.

408 Harris, J. K., J. W. Sahl, T. A. Castoe, B. D. Wagner, D. D. Pollock and J. R. Spear. 2010. Comparison of normalization
409 methods for construction of large, multiplex amplicon pools for next-generation sequencing. *Applied and*
410 *environmental microbiology* 76: 3863-3868.

411 Hawkins, S., D. Watson, A. Hill, S. Harding, M. Kyriakides, S. Hutchinson and T. Norton. 1989. A comparison of
412 feeding mechanisms in microphagous, herbivorous, intertidal, prosobranchs in relation to resource partitioning.
413 *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 55: 151-165.

414 Hill, J. M. and C. D. McQuaid. 2009. Variability in the fractionation of stable isotopes during degradation of two
415 intertidal red algae. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 82: 397-405.

416 Hughes, E. H. and E. B. Sherr. 1983. Subtidal food webs in a georgia estuary: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis. *Journal of experimental*
417 *marine biology and ecology* 67: 227-242.

418 IDHESA.2011. Plage du Passage, commune du Relecq-Kerhuon Finistère, profil des eaux de baignades. In. IDHESA
419 Brest métropole océane, Brest

420 Kang, C., P. Sauriau, P. Richard and G. Blanchard. 1999. Food sources of the infaunal suspension-feeding bivalve
421 *Cerastoderma edule* in a muddy sandflat of Marennes-Oléron Bay, as determined by analyses of carbon and
422 nitrogen stable isotopes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 187: 147-158.1999.

423 Le Berre, I., C. Hily, M. Lejart and R. Gouill. 2009. Analyse spatiale de la prolifération de *C. gigas* en Bretagne.
424 <http://cybergegeo.revues.org/22818>. Accessed 07 mai 2012.

425 Le Pape, O. 1996. Modélisation des cycles biogéochimiques des éléments limitant la production phytoplanctonique en
426 rade de Brest. Thesis. Ecole nationale supérieure d'agronomie de Rennes, Rennes.

427 Le Pape, O., Y. Del Amo, A. Menesguen, A. Aminot, B. Quequiner and P. Treguer. 1996. Resistance of a coastal
428 ecosystem to increasing eutrophic conditions: the Bay of Brest (France), a semi-enclosed zone of Western
429 Europe. *Continental Shelf Research* 16: 1885-1907.

430 Lefebvre, S., C. Harma and J. L. Blin. 2009a. Trophic typology of coastal ecosystems based on delta C-13 and delta N-
431 15 ratios in an opportunistic suspension feeder. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 390: 27-37.

432 Lefebvre, S., J. C. M. Leal, S. Dubois, F. Orvain, J. L. Blin, M. P. Bataille, A. Ourry and R. Galois. 2009b. Seasonal
433 dynamics of trophic relationships among co-occurring suspension-feeders in two shellfish culture dominated
434 ecosystems. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science* 82: 415-425.

435 Lejart, M. 2009. Etude du processus invasif de *Crassostrea gigas* en Bretagne: Etat des lieux, dynamique et
436 conséquences écologiques. Thesis. Université de Bretagne occidentale, Brest.

437 Lorrain, A., Y. M. Paulet, L. Chauvaud, N. Savoye, A. Donval and C. Saout. 2002. Differential delta C-13 and delta N-
438 15 signatures among scallop tissues: implications for ecology and physiology. *Journal of experimental marine*
439 *biology and ecology* 275: 47-61.

440 Lorrain, A., N. Savoye, L. Chauvaud, Y. M. Paulet and N. Naulet. 2003. Decarbonation and preservation method for the
441 analysis of organic C and N contents and stable isotope ratios of low-carbonated suspended particulate
442 material. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 491: 125-133.

443 Malet, N. 2005. Ecologie alimentaire de l'huître *Crassostrea gigas* : dynamiques des compositions isotopiques
444 naturelles. Thesis. Université de La Rochelle, La Rochelle.

445 Mann, K. H. 1982 Ecology of coastal waters. A Systems Approach, Vol 8. University of California press, Berkeley and
446 Los Angeles

447 Marin-Leal, J. C., S. Dubois, F. Orvain, R. Galois, J. L. Blin, M. Ropert, M. P. Bataille, A. Ourry and S. Lefebvre.
448 2008. Stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and modelling as tools to estimate the trophic ecology of
449 cultivated oysters in two contrasting environments. *Marine Biology* 153: 673-688.

450 McCutchan, J. H., W. M. Lewis, C. Kendall and C. C. McGrath. 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios
451 of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. *OIKOS* 102: 378-390.

452 Nérot, C. 2011. Invertébrés benthiques et biomarqueurs : témoins du fonctionnement trophique des écosystèmes côtiers.
453 Thesis., Brest.

454 Page, H. and M. Lastra. 2003. Diet of intertidal bivalves in the Ria de Arosa (NW Spain): evidence from stable C and N
455 isotope analysis. *Marine Biology* 143: 519-532.

456 Parnell, A. C., R. Inger, S. Bearhop and A. L. Jackson. 2010. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too
457 much variation. *PloS one* 5: e9672.

458 Pernet, F., N. Malet, A. Pastoureaud, A. Vaguer, C. Quere and L. Dubroca. 2012. Marine diatoms sustain growth of
459 bivalves in a Mediterranean lagoon. *Journal of sea research* 68: 20-32.

460 Peterson, B. J. and B. Fry. 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. *Annual review of ecology and systematics* 18:
461 293-320.

462 Phillips, D. L. and J. W. Gregg. 2003. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too many sources.
463 *Oecologia* 136: 261-269.

464 Piola, R. F., S. K. Moore and I. M. Suthers. 2006. Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis of three types of oyster
465 tissue in an impacted estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 66: 255-266.

466 Post, D. M. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology* 83:
467 703-718.

468 R development Core Team. 2005. R : a language and environment for statistical computing. In, R Foundation for
469 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

470 Rajagopal, M. D. 1997. Some Aspects on the Interrelationships between Nutrients and Plankton in the Estuarine and
471 Nearshore Regions of Goa. Thesis. Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin.

472 Riera, P. 1998. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of organic matter sources and benthic invertebrates along an estuarine gradient in Marennes-
473 Oleron bay (France): implications for the study of trophic structure. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 166: 143-
474 150.

475 Riera, P. 2007. Trophic subsidies of *Crassostrea gigas*, *Mytilus edulis* and *Crepidula fornicata* in the Bay of Mont Saint
476 Michel (France): A $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ investigation. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 72: 33-41.

477 Riera, P. 2009. Trophic plasticity in similar habitats: an example which severely limits generalization among
478 ecosystems. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2: e47.

479 Riera, P. and P. Richard. 1996. Isotopic Determination of Food Sources of *Crassostrea gigas* along a Trophic Gradient
480 in the Estuarine Bay of Marennes-Oléron. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 42: 347-360.

481 Riera, P., L. J. Stal and J. Nieuwenhuize. 2000. Heavy $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in Intertidal Benthic Algae and Invertebrates in the Scheldt
482 Estuary (The Netherlands): Effect of River Nitrogen Inputs. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 51: 365-372.

483 Savoye, N. 2001. Origine et transfert de la matière organique particulaire dans les écosystèmes littoraux macrotidaux.
484 Thesis. Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest.

485 Schaal, G., P. Riera and C. Leroux. 2008. Trophic coupling between two adjacent benthic food webs within a man-
486 made intertidal area: A stable isotopes evidence. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 77: 523-534.

- 487 Schaal, G., P. Riera and C. Leroux. 2010a. Trophic ecology in a Northern Brittany (Batz Island, France) kelp
488 (*Laminaria digitata*) forest, as investigated through stable isotopes and chemical assays. *Journal of sea*
489 *research* 63: 24-35.
- 490 Schaal, G., P. Riera, C. Leroux and J. Grall. 2010b. A seasonal stable isotope survey of the food web associated to a
491 peri-urban rocky shore. *Marine Biology* 157: 283-294.
- 492 Stephenson, R., F. Tan and K. Mann. 1986. Use of stable carbon isotope ratios to compare plant material and potential
493 consumers in a seagrass bed and a kelp bed in Nova Scotia, Canada. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 30: 1-7.
- 494 Takai, N., A. Yorozu, T. Tanimoto, A. Hoshika and K. Yoshihara. 2004. Transport pathways of microphytobenthos-
495 originating organic carbon in the food web of an exposed hard bottom shore in the Seto Inland Sea, Japan.
496 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 284: 97-108.
- 497 Vander Zanden, M. J. and J. B. Rasmussen. 2001. Variation in ^{15}N and ^{13}C trophic fractionation: implications for
498 aquatic food web studies. *Limnology and Oceanography*: 2061-2066.
- 499 Yokoyama, H., Y. Ishihi and S. Yamamoto. 2008. Diet-tissue isotopic fractionation of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea*
500 *gigas*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 358: 173-179.
- 501 York, J. K., G. Tomasky, I. Valiela and D. J. Repeta. 2007. Stable isotopic detection of ammonium and nitrate
502 assimilation by phytoplankton in the Waquoit Bay estuarine system. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52: 144-
503 155.
- 504
- 505

506 **Table 1** Linear regressions between stable isotope ratios of oyster muscles and food sources. n=19 for each regression

Sources	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of oyster			$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of oyster		
		R ²	p-value		R ²	p-value
biofilm	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.05	0.39	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.73	<0.01
SPOM	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.01	0.34	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.02	0.61
macroalgae	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.03	0.48	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	0.01	0.86

507

508

509 **Table 2** Results of Kruskal-Wallis tests on food sources data and 7 groups of station. Bold values indicate significant
 510 differences

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	H	p-value ⁵¹¹
Groups of station * $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of macroalgae	2.3147	0.8886 ⁵¹²
Groups of station * $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of biofilm	5.2053	0.5178 ⁵¹³
Groups of station * $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of POM	28.4824	<0.01 ⁵¹⁴
$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	H	p-value
Groups of station * $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of macroalgae	23.3049	<0.01
Groups of station * $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of biofilm	15.4833	<0.05
Groups of station * $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of POM	11.6830	0.0694

515 **Table 3** Estimates of food sources contribution to oysters diet using different trophic-step fractionation values

groups	Ref.	Contribution (%)			
		Biofilm	MPOM	Macroalgae	TPOM
Upstream estuary	(1)	52	11	33	4
	(2)	32	30	34	4
	(3)	5	28	64	4
Middle estuary	(1)	30	36	30	4
	(2)	28	50	17	5
	(3)	36	28	29	7
Downstream estuary	(1)	60	25	13	2
	(2)	45	47	6	2
	(3)	52	20	25	3
Inner bay	(1)	47	21	26	6
	(2)	43	43	9	6
	(3)	47	29	17	7
Channel	(1)	86	4	9	1
	(2)	79	16	3	2
	(3)	85	5	8	2
Outside bay	(1)	63	16	18	3
	(2)	82	5	12	1
	(3)	56	7	35	2

516 References :

517 (1) Dubois et al. (2007a) : $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}=1.9\text{‰}$ et $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N}=3.8\text{‰}$

518 (2) Lefebvre et al. (2009a) : $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}=2.9\text{‰}$ et $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N}=4.7\text{‰}$

519 (3) fractionation values of Dubois et al. (2007a) modified by muscle to whole body fractionation (0.4 ‰ for $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and
 520 3.3 ‰ for $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of Yokoyama et al. (2008). $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}=2.3\text{‰}$ et $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N}=7.1\text{‰}$

521

522 **Figure legends**

523 **Fig. 1** Localization of sampling stations along the estuarine gradient in the Bay of Brest (48°10' – 48°25' N, 4°10' –
524 4°35' W). Station 1 was used as a reference to measure distances in the estuarine/open sea gradient

525

526 **Fig. 2** $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (upper panel) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (lower panel) ($\pm\text{sd}$) of oyster muscles at each station expressed in terms of distance
527 to station 1

528

529 **Fig. 3** Hierarchical clustering (Euclidean dissimilarity) based on stable isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of oysters muscles
530 for the 19 stations. ANOSIM (analysis of similarities) indicated that the 7 groups are significantly different ($R=0.896$
531 and $p\text{-value}<0.001$)

532

533 **Fig. 4** $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (left) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (right) ($\pm\text{sd}$) of potential food sources (macroalgae, SPOM and biofilm) along the estuarine
534 gradient

535

536 **Fig. 5** Results of SIAR (Stable Isotope Analysis with R) oysters diet modeling along the estuarine gradient. Station 18
537 was excluded of this analysis because of the lack of sufficient data for this station. Confidence intervals are 95% (in
538 dark grey), 75% (in medium gray) and 50% (in light grey). MPOM=Marine Particular Organic Matter,
539 TPOM=Terrestrial Particular Organic Matter (see section “data analysis” in material and methods for more details on
540 how values were calculated)