



# On quantitative bounds on eigenvalues of a complex perturbation of a Dirac operator

Clément Dubuisson

## ► To cite this version:

Clément Dubuisson. On quantitative bounds on eigenvalues of a complex perturbation of a Dirac operator. 2013. hal-00825047v2

**HAL Id: hal-00825047**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00825047v2>**

Preprint submitted on 1 Jun 2013 (v2), last revised 3 Dec 2013 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ON QUANTITATIVE BOUNDS ON EIGENVALUES OF A COMPLEX PERTURBATION OF A DIRAC OPERATOR

CLÉMENT DUBUISSON

ABSTRACT. We prove a Lieb-Thirring type inequality for a complex perturbation of a  $d$ -dimensional massive Dirac operator  $D_m, m \geq 0, d \geq 1$  whose spectrum is  $] -\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty[$ . The difficulty of the study is that the unperturbed operator is not bounded from below in this case, and, to overcome it, we use the methods of complex function theory. The methods of the article also give similar results for complex perturbations of the Klein-Gordon operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the Dirac formalism (e.g., [Th, section 1]) the properties of a relativistic particles with spin-1/2 (for instance electrons in the massive case and neutrinos in the non-massive case) is described with the help of the Dirac operator. Because of spin structure, the configuration space of the particle takes values in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $n = 2^\nu$  with  $\nu \geq 1$ . The movement of the free particle of mass  $m$  is given by the Dirac equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = D_m \varphi,$$

where  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $d \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , if  $m > 0$  and  $d \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  otherwise. The Dirac operator is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad D_m := -i\hbar \alpha \cdot \nabla + mc^2 \beta = -i\hbar \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + mc^2 \beta.$$

Here  $c$  is the speed of light, and  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant. We renormalize and consider  $\hbar = c = 1$ . Here we set  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  and  $\beta := \alpha_{d+1}$ . The matrices  $\alpha_i$  are  $d+1$  linearly independent self-adjoint linear maps, acting in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , satisfying the following anti-commutation relations

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{i,j} \text{Id},$$

where  $i, j = 1, \dots, d+1$ . For instance, on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , one can choose the Pauli-Dirac representation

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C35, 35Q41, 47A10, 47B10, 47B25, 81Q10.

*Key words and phrases.* Dirac operator, complex perturbation, discrete spectrum, Lieb-Thirring type inequality, conformal mapping, perturbation determinant.

In the general case, the  $n \times n$ -matrices  $\alpha_j$  are constructed as special elements of the so-called Clifford algebra (see [Ob, Chapter 1]). Without any loss of generality we take

$$\beta := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n/2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Mimicking the proofs of section 1.1 to section 1.4 of [Th, section 1] it is easy to check that the operator  $D_m$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$  and the domain of its closure is  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ , the Sobolev space of order 1 with values in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The closure of the operator is denoted with the same symbol  $D_m$ . With the help of the Fourier transform, it is easy to prove that  $D_m$  is unitarily equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d} + m^2} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d} + m^2} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n/2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the spectrum of  $D_m$  is purely absolutely continuous and is given by  $]-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty[$ .

Another object of interest for us is the so-called Klein-Gordon operator, given by

$$(1.3) \quad K_m = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d} + m^2} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^l}$$

with  $m \geq 0$  and  $l \geq 1$ . This time, the index  $l$  is not related to  $d$ . It is well known that it describes a massive relativistic particle without spin; naturally enough, this is just “a half” of the Dirac operator (1.1). One can readily see that, as above, it is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^l)$ , the domain of its closure is  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^l)$ . The closure of the operator being denoted by the same symbol, its spectrum is absolutely continuous and equals  $[m, +\infty[$ .

The purpose of this article is to obtain a Lieb-Thirring type inequality for the discrete spectrum of a complex perturbation of (1.1) and (1.3). We actually concentrate on the Dirac operator, and the case of Klein-Gordon operator will follow easily from the obtained results. We would like to mention that the problems of this kind (for perturbations of various self-adjoint operators) were rather intensively studied over the last years. We refer to papers by Bruneau and Ouhabaz ([BrOu]), Borichev, Golinskii, and Kupin ([BoGoKu]), Demuth, Hansmann, and Katriel ([DeHaKa, DeHaKa1, DeHaKa2]), Golinskii and Kupin ([GoKu1, GoKu2]), Hansmann and Katriel ([HaKa]) and Hansmann ([Ha1, Ha2]). An appropriate modification of some methods of the above papers was applied by Sambou ([Sa]) to the study of a complex perturbation of a magnetic Schrödinger operator. For instance, an interesting recent paper by Cuenin, Laptev, and Tretter [CuLaTr] studies not only the distribution, but also the localization of the discrete spectrum of a complex perturbation of one-dimensional Dirac operator  $D_m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ .

In the present case, the unperturbed operator  $D_m$  is not bounded from below, and so one cannot reduce the problems to the self-adjoint situation, for instance, as it is done in ([FrLaLiSe]). The latter paper also contains the discussion of the properties of complex perturbations of self-adjoint operators and exhaustive list of references on it. Differently, we use the machinery of complex function theory: appropriate conformal maps, distortion theorems and, in particular, Theorem 0.3 from [BoGoKu]. Some of our arguments are rather close to ([DeHaKa]).

To formulate our results, we introduce some notations. For a (possibly unbounded) operator  $A$  on a separable Hilbert space, we denote the spectrum, the essential and the discrete spectrum of  $A$  by  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma_{ess}(A)$ , and  $\sigma_d(A)$ , respectively.

We put  $\mathbf{S}_p, p \geq 1$  to be the *Schatten-von Neumann* class of compact operators, see section 2.1 for the definition and discussion of the object.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$  denote the space of  $n \times n$  complex-valued matrices. For  $p \geq 1$ , consider the space of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ -valued measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined as

$$(1.4) \quad L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})) = \left\{ V : \|V\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|V(x)\|_F^p dx \right\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is the *Froebenius* norm,

$$(1.5) \quad \|V(x)\|_F^p = \left( \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} |(V(x))_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The function  $V$  is often identified with the operator of multiplication by itself. Assuming that  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $p > d$ , we prove (see Proposition 4.1) that the multiplication by  $V$  is relatively Schatten-von Neumann perturbation of  $D_m$ , i.e.,  $\text{dom}(D_m) \subset \text{dom}(V)$ , and

$$(1.6) \quad V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p,$$

for one  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m)$  (and hence for all these  $\lambda$ 's). Consider the perturbed operator

$$(1.7) \quad D = D_m + V.$$

Recall that by Weyl's theorem on essential spectrum (see [ReSi4, Theorem XIII.14])

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(D_m) = \sigma(D_m) = ]-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty[.$$

Our main results are the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1** (case  $m > 0$ ). *Let  $D$  be the Dirac operator defined in (1.7) and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p > d$ . Then its discrete spectrum  $\sigma_d(D)$  admits the following Lieb-Thirring type bound: for all  $0 < \tau < \min\{p - d, 1\}$ ,*

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(D)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda - m| \cdot |\lambda + m| (1 + |\lambda|)^{2p-2+2\tau}} \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $n, d, p, m$ , and  $\tau$ .

The version of the above theorem for  $m = 0$  reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** (case  $m = 0$ ). *Let  $D$  be the Dirac operator defined in (1.7) with  $m = 0$  and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p > d$ . Then*

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(D)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_0))^{p+\tau}}{(1 + |\lambda|)^{2(p+\tau)}} \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where  $0 < \tau < \min\{p - d, 1\}$  and  $C$  depends on  $n, d, p, m$ , and  $\tau$ .

Now, consider the perturbed Klein-Gordon operator

$$K = K_m + V.$$

Using the computations for the perturbed Dirac operator we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1.3** (case  $m > 0$ ). *Let  $K$  be the Klein-Gordon operator defined above and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{l,l}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p > d$ . Then, for  $0 < \tau$  small enough, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(K)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(K_m))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda - m| (1 + |\lambda|)^{p+\max\{p/2, d\}+2\tau-1}} \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $l, d, p$ , and  $\tau$ .

We observe that, for  $m = 0$ , a non-trivial degeneration of a bound on the resolvent of  $K_0$  takes place and the inequality of Theorem 1.3 can be refined in the following way.

**Theorem 1.4** (case  $m = 0$ ). *Let  $K$  be the Klein-Gordon operator defined above with  $m = 0$  and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{l,l}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p > d$ . Then, for  $0 < \tau$  small enough, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(K)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(K_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\min\{(p+\tau)/2, d\}} (1 + |\lambda|)^{\frac{p}{2} + \max\{p, 2d\} - d + 2\tau}} \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $l, d, p$ , and  $\tau$ .

Before going to the discussion of these results, we say a couple more words on the notations. Constants will be generic, i.e., changing from one relation to another. Usually, they will be denoted by  $C$  or “const”. For two strictly positive functions  $f, g$  defined on a domain  $\Omega$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , we write  $f(\lambda) \approx g(\lambda)$  if the functions are comparable in the sense of the two-sided inequality, i.e. there are constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  so that  $C_1 f(\lambda) \leq g(\lambda) \leq C_2 f(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . The choice of the domain  $\Omega$  will be clear from the context.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 provide quantitative estimates for the convergence of sequences of eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n) \subset \sigma_d(D)$  to  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(D)$ . To illustrate, we fix  $m > 0$  and consider sequences  $(\lambda_n) \subset \sigma_d(D)$  converging to a point  $\lambda$  chosen in three different ways. Suppose that  $\text{Im} \lambda_n > 0$ .

- (1) Let  $\lambda = \pm m$  and there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|\text{Re}(\lambda_n \mp m)| \leq C |\text{Im} \lambda_n|$ . Then

$$d(\lambda_n, \sigma(D_m)) \approx |\lambda_n \mp m|, \quad |\lambda_n \pm m| \approx \text{const}, \quad 1 + |\lambda_n| \approx \text{const},$$

and relation (1.8) implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - m|^{p+\tau-1} < +\infty.$$

- (2) Let  $\lambda = \infty$  and  $|\text{Im}(\lambda_n)| \leq C$ . Then

$$d(\lambda_n, \sigma(D_m)) \approx |\text{Im}(\lambda_n)|, \quad |\lambda_n + m| |\lambda_n - m| \approx |\lambda_n|^2, \quad 1 + |\lambda_n| \approx |\lambda_n|,$$

and relation (1.8) implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\text{Im}(\lambda_n)|^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda_n|^{2p+2\tau}} < +\infty.$$

- (3) If  $\lambda \in ]m; \infty[$ , then

$$d(\lambda_n, \sigma(D_m)) \approx |\text{Im}(\lambda_n)|, \quad |\lambda_n + m| |\lambda_n - m| \approx \text{const}, \quad 1 + |\lambda_n| \approx \text{const},$$

and relation (1.8) implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n)|^{p+\tau} < +\infty.$$

We conclude the introduction with few words on the structure of the paper. The preliminary results are presented in section 2. Section 3 contains the discussion of certain conformal maps appearing in the proofs. Section 4 deals with a special perturbation determinant and corresponding bounds. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in sections 5 and 6, respectively. Since the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 go exactly along the lines of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively, there are omitted.

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to thank Stanislas Kupin and Sylvain Golénia for turning my attention to the problem and helpful discussions. I also thank Vincent Bruneau for useful comments on the manuscript. This research is partially supported by Franco-Ukrainian programm “Dnipro 2013-14”.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Schatten classes and determinants.** The contents of this subsection closely follows the monographs by Gohberg-Krein [GoKr] and Simon [Si1].

For a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the space of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We denote the class of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathbf{S}_{\infty}$ . The Schatten-von Neumann classes  $\mathbf{S}_p, p \geq 1$ , of compact operators are defined by

$$\mathbf{S}_p := \{A \in \mathbf{S}_{\infty}, \|A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} s_n(A)^p < +\infty\},$$

where  $s_n(A)$  is the  $n$ -th singular value of  $A$ .

For  $A \in \mathbf{S}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , one can define the regularized determinant

$$\det_n(\operatorname{Id} - A) := \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left[ (1 - \lambda_k) \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_k^j}{j} \right) \right],$$

where  $(\lambda_k)_k$  is the sequence of eigenvalues of  $A$ . This determinant has the following well-known properties (see [GoKr, Chap. IV] or [Si1]):

- (1)  $\det_n(\operatorname{Id}) = 1$ .
- (2)  $\operatorname{Id} - A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det_n(\operatorname{Id} - A) \neq 0$ .
- (3) For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $AB, BA \in \mathbf{S}_n, \det_n(\operatorname{Id} - AB) = \det_n(\operatorname{Id} - BA)$ .
- (4) If  $A(\cdot)$  is a holomorphic operator-valued function on a domain  $\Omega$ , then  $\det_n(\operatorname{Id} - A(\cdot))$  is also holomorphic on  $\Omega$ .
- (5) Let  $A \in \mathbf{S}_p$  for some real  $p \geq 1$ . Obviously,  $A \in \mathbf{S}_{[p]}$ , where  $[p] := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq p\}$ , and the following inequality holds

$$|\det_{[p]}(\operatorname{Id} - A)| \leq \exp \left( \Gamma_p \|A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \right),$$

where  $\Gamma_p$  is a positive constant [Si2, Theorem 9.2].

For  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $B - A \in \mathbf{S}_p$ , we define the  $[p]$ -regularized perturbation determinant of  $B$  with respect to  $A$  by

$$d(\lambda) := \det_{[p]}((\lambda - A)^{-1}(\lambda - B)) = \det_{[p]}(\operatorname{Id} - (\lambda - A)^{-1}(B - A)).$$

This is a well defined holomorphic function on  $\rho(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ .

Furthermore,  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$  of multiplicity  $k$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a zero of  $\lambda \mapsto d(\lambda)$  of the same multiplicity.

**2.2. Theorem of Borichev-Golinskii-Kupin.** The following theorem, proved in [BoGoKu, Theorem 0.2], gives a bound on the zeros of a holomorphic function on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$  in terms of its growth towards the boundary  $\{|z| = 1\}$ . An important feature of this theorem is that it enables to take into account the existence of 'special' points  $(\zeta_j)$  on the boundary of the unit disc, where the function grows faster than at generic points.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . Assume that  $h$  satisfies a bound of the form*

$$|h(z)| \leq \exp \left( \frac{K}{(1 - |z|)^\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{|z - \zeta_j|^{\beta_j}} \right),$$

where  $|\zeta_j| = 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

Then for any  $\tau > 0$  the zeros of  $h$  satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{h(z)=0} (1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1+\tau} \prod_{j=1}^N |z - \zeta_j|^{(\beta_j-1+\tau)_+} \leq C \cdot K,$$

where  $C$  depends on  $\alpha, \beta_j, \zeta_j$  and  $\tau$ .

Above,  $x_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$ . An other useful version of the above result is given in Hansmann-Katriel ([HaKa, Theorem 4]).

### 3. CONFORMAL MAPPINGS

The idea is to send the resolvent set of  $D_m$ ,  $\rho(D_m) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ ] - \infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty[ \}$  on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  via a conformal map and to obtain a comparison between the distance to the spectrum of  $D_m$  and the one to the unit circle: this kind of comparison is called distortion. We note by  $d(z, A) := \inf_{w \in A} |z - w|$  the distance between  $z$  and  $A$ .

The map we are interested in is constructed as a composition of four "elementary" conformal maps which are as follows:

- (1)  $z_1 = \frac{\lambda - m}{\lambda + m} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty[$ . The inverse mapping is  $\lambda = m \frac{1 + z_1}{1 - z_1}$ .
- (2)  $z_2 = \sqrt{z_1} : \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \{ \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \}$ . The inverse mapping is  $z_1 = z_2^2$ .
- (3)  $z_3 = \frac{z_2 - i}{z_2 + i} : \{ \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . The inverse map is  $z_2 = i \frac{1 + z_3}{1 - z_3}$ .
- (4) The normalization is operated by

$$u = e^{i\theta} \frac{z_3 - z_b}{1 - \bar{z}_b z_3} : \mathbb{D}_{z_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_u,$$

where  $z_b = -ib/(|m + ib| + m)$  is the image of  $ib$  by the three first conformal mappings. As above, we sometime label the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  by the corresponding variable to avoid misunderstanding. We put furthermore

$$u_{m,+} := u(1), \quad u_{m,-} := u(-1).$$

The inverse map is  $z_3 = \frac{u + e^{i\theta} z_b}{e^{i\theta} + u \bar{z}_b}$ .

Notice that the conformal map  $u$  will serve to match the normalization  $h(0) = 1$  from Theorem 2.1. The following conformal maps

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi &= (z_3 \circ z_2 \circ z_1)^{-1} : \mathbb{D}_{z_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m), \\ \varphi &= (u \circ z_3 \circ z_2 \circ z_1)^{-1} : \mathbb{D}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m) \end{aligned}$$

will be important for the sequel.

The map  $\psi$  is easy to compute,

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda = \psi(z_3) = -2m \frac{z_3}{1 + z_3^2}.$$

The following technical propositions are essentially application of Koebe distortion theorem [Po, Corollary 1.4] to the map  $\psi$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *With the above notation, we have*

- (1)  $d(u, \mathbb{T}) \approx d(z_3, \mathbb{T})$ .
- (2)  $|z_3 - a| \approx |u - u(a)|$ , where  $a \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}$ .

The proof of the lemma is obvious and hence is omitted.

**Proposition 3.2** (From  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m)$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ ). *We have*

$$d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) \approx \frac{|u - u_{m,+}| |u - u_{m,-}|}{|u - u(i)|^2 |u - u(-i)|^2} d(u, \mathbb{T}).$$

Proof. Since  $\psi'(z) = -2m \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 + z^2)^2}$ , we obtain by Koebe distortion theorem

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{m}{2} \frac{|1 - z_3^2|}{|1 + z_3^2|^2} (1 + |z_3|) d(z_3, \mathbb{T}) &\leq d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) \\ &\leq 2m \frac{|1 - z_3^2|}{|1 + z_3^2|^2} (1 + |z_3|) d(z_3, \mathbb{T}). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) \approx \frac{|1 - z_3^2|}{|1 + z_3^2|^2} (1 + |z_3|) d(z_3, \mathbb{T})$$

Now,

$$|1 - z_3^2| = |1 - z_3| |1 + z_3|, \quad |1 + z_3^2| = |z_3 - i| |z_3 + i|, \quad 1 \leq 1 + |z_3| \leq 2,$$

and we use the previous lemma to conclude the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3** (From  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m)$ ). *The following relation holds true*

$$d(u, \mathbb{T}) \approx \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))}{(|\lambda + m| |\lambda - m|)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |\lambda|)}.$$

Proof. From (3.3), we have

$$\frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) |1 + z_3^2|^2}{2m |1 - z_3^2| (1 + |z_3|)} \leq 1 - |z_3| \leq \frac{2d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) |1 + z_3^2|^2}{m |1 - z_3^2| (1 + |z_3|)},$$

and

$$d(z_3, \mathbb{T}) \approx d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) \frac{1}{1 + |z_3|} \frac{|1 + z_3^2|^2}{|1 - z_3^2|}$$

since  $1/2 \leq (1 + |z_3|)^{-1} \leq 1$ .

The definitions of the maps  $z_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  easily imply that

$$1 - z_3^2 = \frac{4i\sqrt{z_1}}{(\sqrt{z_1} + i)^2}, \quad 1 + z_3^2 = \frac{2z_1 - 2}{(\sqrt{z_1} + i)^2}, \quad |\sqrt{z_1} + i|^2 \approx 1 + |z_1|,$$

where  $\text{Im}(\sqrt{z_1}) = \text{Im}(z_2) > 0$ . Furthermore,

$$|z_1 - 1| = \frac{2m}{|\lambda + m|}, \quad |\sqrt{z_1}| = \left| \frac{\lambda - m}{\lambda + m} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \frac{1}{1 + |z_1|} = \frac{|\lambda + m|}{|\lambda + m| + |\lambda - m|}.$$

Putting all this together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_3, \mathbb{T}) &\approx d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) \frac{|z_1 - 1|^2}{|\sqrt{z_1}|(1 + |z_1|)} \\ &\approx \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))}{(|\lambda + m||\lambda - m|)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\lambda + m| + |\lambda - m|)} \\ &\approx \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))}{(|\lambda + m||\lambda - m|)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + |\lambda|)}, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 3.1 finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PERTURBATION DETERMINANT

**4.1. A special perturbation determinant.** This subsection closely follows [DeHaKa, Section 3.1.1]; the holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m)$  function  $f$  is defined by a relation similar to the formula preceding [DeHaKa, formula (22)]. For the sake of completeness, we give a short list of analytic properties of this function  $f$  relating it to the properties of the operator  $D$ ; more details on these connections (and proofs) are in the quoted section of [DeHaKa].

Let  $b$  be large enough to guarantee that  $(-ib + D)$  is invertible (see Lemma 5.1). We require that  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and, as we will see in section 4.2, this condition implies that  $V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p$  for certain  $p$  and  $\lambda \in \rho(D_m)$ . We consider the operator

$$(4.1) \quad F(\lambda) := (\lambda - ib)(-ib + D)^{-1}V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1},$$

and the holomorphic function

$$(4.2) \quad f(\lambda) := \det_{[p]}(\text{Id} - F(\lambda)).$$

It is not difficult to see that:

- (1) The operator-valued function  $F$  is well-defined and  $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{S}_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Consequently,  $f$  is well-defined and holomorphic on  $\rho(D_m)$  as well.
- (2) Recording an alternative representation

$$\text{Id} - F(\lambda) = [\text{Id} - (\lambda - ib)(-ib + D)^{-1}] [\text{Id} - (\lambda - ib)(ib + D_m)^{-1}]^{-1},$$

we deduce that  $\text{Id} - F(\lambda)$  is not invertible if and only if  $\lambda \in \sigma_d(D)$ . Moreover, the multiplicity of the zero  $\lambda_0$  of  $f$  exactly coincides with the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  of the operator  $D$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_d(D)$ .

- (3) The above relation also yields that  $F(ib) = 0$ , and  $f(ib) = 1$ .

**4.2. Schatten bounds on the operator  $V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1}$ .** The choice of the Froebenius norm in definition (1.5) will prove crucial for the next proposition, where the constants are precise and do not depend on dimension  $n$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $p > d$ , and  $\lambda \in \rho(D_m)$ . Set  $\mu_m : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\mu_m(x) := \sqrt{|x|^2 + m^2} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ . Then  $V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p$ , and*

$$\|V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \|(\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p$$

if  $\text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  and

$$\|V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \|(\lambda + \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p$$

if  $\text{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* We adapt [Si2, Theorem 4.1] paying a special attention to the norms. We denote by  $f(x)g(-i\nabla)$  the integral operator associated to the kernel

$$(2\pi)^{-d/2} f(x) \check{g}(x - y),$$

where  $\check{g}$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $g$ .

Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $L^2$ . Recalling (1.5) and that the Froebenius norm is a matrix-norm, i.e., it is submultiplicative, we obtain that the integral operator lies in  $\mathbf{S}_2$  (i.e., it is Hilbert-Schmidt). This entails the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x)g(-i\nabla)\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\check{g}(x - y)\|_F^2 dx dy \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot \|g\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $f(x)g(-i\nabla)$  is a compact operator.

Suppose now that  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $L^\infty$ , i.e. the space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} := \text{ess-sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_F.$$

For a bounded operator  $A$ , denote by  $\text{rad}(A) = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$  its spectral radius. Now since  $\text{rad}(M^*M) = \|M^*M\| \leq \|M\|_F^2$ , for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ , we infer

$$\|f(x)g(-i\nabla)\|_{\mathbf{S}_\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|g\|_{L^\infty},$$

for all  $f$  and  $g$  in  $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ . Then the standard complex interpolation argument yeilds

$$\|f(x)g(-i\nabla)\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|f\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \|g\|_{L^p}^p,$$

for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . The same result for indices  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  follows by duality.  $\square$

**4.3. Bound on the resolvent.** In this subsection, we explicitly bound expressions  $\|(\lambda \pm \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p}$  appearing in Proposition 4.1.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i\lambda_1$  and  $p > d$ . Then*

$$\|(\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{K_1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}} (1 + |\lambda - m|^{d-1})$$

for  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ , and

$$\|(\lambda + \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{K_2}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}} (1 + |\lambda + m|^{d-1}),$$

for  $\lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Above, the constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  depend on  $n, d, p, m$ .

*Proof.* First of all, recall that  $(\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1} = (\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ , hence  $\|(\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}))}^p = n \|(\lambda - \mu_m(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})}^p$ .

The cases  $\pm\lambda_0 \geq 0$  being similar, we give the proof for  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$  only. After a change of variable, we are reduced to bound

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{|\sqrt{r^2 + m^2} - \lambda|^p} dr.$$

We write  $|\sqrt{r^2 + m^2} - \lambda|^p = \left((\sqrt{r^2 + m^2} - \lambda_0)^2 + \lambda_1^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$  and make the change of variable  $s = \sqrt{r^2 + m^2} - m$ . Hence,

$$(4.3) \quad I = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{((s+m)^2 - m^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} (s+m)}{((s+m-\lambda_0)^2 + \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds.$$

We now distinguish the cases  $m \leq \lambda_0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_0 < m$ . For  $m \leq \lambda_0$ , observe that  $d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) = |\lambda_1|$ . We let  $\beta = \lambda_0 - m \geq 0$  and use the inequality  $\sqrt{(s+m)^2 - m^2} \leq s+m$ , so

$$I \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(s+m)^{d-1}}{((s-\beta)^2 + \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds.$$

Since  $m \leq \lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \notin \sigma(D_m)$ , we have  $|\lambda_1| > 0$ , and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(s+m)^{d-1}}{((s-\beta)^2 + \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} &= \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|^p} \int_0^\beta \frac{(s+m)^{d-1}}{\left(\left(\frac{s-\beta}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|^p} \int_\beta^{+\infty} \frac{(s+m)^{d-1}}{\left(\left(\frac{s-\beta}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

In the right hand-side of (4.4), we make the change of variable  $t = \frac{\beta-s}{\lambda_1}$  in the first integral and  $t = \frac{s-\beta}{\lambda_1}$  in the second one. Then we apply the inequality  $(a+b)^{d-1} \leq C_d(a^{d-1} + b^{d-1})$  for  $a, b \geq 0$ . This leads to the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{C_d}{|\lambda_1|^{p-1}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1}} \frac{(\beta - \lambda_1 t)^{d-1} dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} + \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1}} \frac{m^{d-1} dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\beta + \lambda_1 t)^{d-1} dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{m^{d-1} dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling  $p > d$ , we continue as

$$I \leq \frac{C_d}{|\lambda_1|^{p-1}} \left( 2(\beta^{d-1} + m^{d-1}) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} + 2|\lambda_1|^{d-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{d-1} dt}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right).$$

Using  $(\beta^{d-1} + |\lambda_1|^{d-1}) \approx |\lambda - m|^{d-1}$ , we get to

$$(4.5) \quad I \leq \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}} \left( K_1 |\lambda - m|^{d-1} + K_2 \right)$$

for  $m \leq \lambda_0$ .

We now turn to the case  $0 \leq \lambda_0 < m$ . We see  $d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m)) = |\lambda - m|$ ; going back to (4.3), we use the inequality  $(s + m - \lambda_0)^2 + \lambda_1^2 \geq s^2 + |\lambda - m|^2$ . Hence

$$I \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda - m|^p} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(s + m)^{d-1}}{\left( \left( \frac{s}{|\lambda - m|} \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} ds.$$

Doing the change of variable  $t = \frac{s}{|\lambda - m|}$  and bounding as in the first part of the computation, we come to

$$(4.6) \quad I \leq \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}} \left( \tilde{K}_1 |\lambda - m|^{d-1} + \tilde{K}_2 \right)$$

for  $0 \leq \lambda_0 < m$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let us start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For  $p > d$  and  $b$  large enough, we have  $\|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that the inequality  $\|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\| < 1$  yields that the operator  $(-ib + D)$  is invertible.

Indeed, the inequality  $\|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\| < 1$  implies that  $\text{Id} - V(ib - D_m)^{-1}$  is invertible, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id} - V(ib - D_m)^{-1} &= (ib - D_m)(ib - D_m)^{-1} - V(ib - D_m)^{-1} \\ &= (ib - D_m - V)(ib - D_m)^{-1} \\ &= -(-ib + D)(ib - D_m)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we show that we have  $\|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\| < 1$  for  $b$  large enough. Since  $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}$  for any operator  $A$ , Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 entail

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\| &\leq \|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p} \\ &\leq K \|V\|_{L^p} \frac{(1 + |ib - m|^{d-1})}{|ib - m|^{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $K$  does not depend on  $b$ . It is convenient to put

$$C(b) = K \|V\|_{L^p} \frac{(1 + |ib - m|^{d-1})}{|ib - m|^{p-1}}.$$

The right-hand side of inequality (5.1) trivially goes to zero when  $b$  goes to infinity, and so  $\|V(ib - D_m)^{-1}\| \leq C(b) < 1$  for  $b$  large enough.

Now we prove that  $\|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| \leq 1$  for  $b$  large enough. Using the resolvent identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| &\leq \|(-ib + D_m)^{-1}\| + \|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| \cdot \|V(-ib + D_m)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|(-ib + D_m)^{-1}\| + \|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| \cdot \|V(-ib + D_m)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|(-ib + D_m)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{d(ib, \sigma(D_m))} = \frac{1}{|ib - m|},$$

and, as above, we obtain

$$\|(-ib + D)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|ib - m|} + C(b) \|(-ib + D)^{-1}\|$$

Resolving this inequality with respect to  $\|(-ib + D)^{-1}\|$ , we get the claim of the lemma.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Recall from (4.2) that  $f(\lambda) = \det_{[\mathbf{p}]}(\text{Id} - F(\lambda))$ , with

$$F(\lambda) := (\lambda - ib)(-ib + D)^{-1}V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p.$$

We have by the property of the regularized determinant

$$(5.2) \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq \exp \left( \Gamma_p \|(\lambda - ib)(D - ib)^{-1}V(\lambda - D_m)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \right).$$

Applying Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 to (5.2), we get to

$$\log |f(\lambda)| \leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p \|(-ib + D)^{-1}\|^p \frac{|\lambda - ib|^p (1 + |\lambda - m|^{d-1})}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}}.$$

for  $\text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ . Up to obvious changes, a similar expression is obtained for  $\text{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ .

We continue as

$$|\lambda - ib| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|), \quad (1 + |\lambda - m|) \leq C(1 + |\lambda|),$$

and the factor  $\|(-ib + D)^{-1}\|^p$  is bounded from above with the help of Lemma 5.1. So,

$$(5.3) \quad \log |f(\lambda)| \leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{(1 + |\lambda|)^{p+d-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p-1}}.$$

We now have to go in  $\mathbb{D}$  in order to apply Theorem 2.1. That is, recalling definitions (3.1), we consider the function  $g(u) = f \circ \varphi(u)$ ; it is trivially holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}_u$ . The considerations of section 3 and relation (3.2) entail

$$1 + |\lambda| \approx \frac{|1 - z_3|^2 + |1 + z_3|^2}{|z_3 - i||z_3 + i|} \approx \frac{1 + |z_3|^2}{|z_3 - i||z_3 + i|}.$$

In particular, we have by Lemma 3.1

$$1 + |\lambda| \approx \frac{1}{|u - u(i)||u - u(-i)|}.$$

By the previous relation, (5.3), and Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\log |g(u)| \leq K \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{|u - u(i)|^{p-d-1} |u - u(-i)|^{p-d-1}}{d(u, \mathbb{T})^{p-1} |u - u_{m,+}|^{p-1} |u - u_{m,-}|^{p-1}}$$

By assumptions of the theorem, we always have  $p > d$ . Consider first the case  $p - d \geq 1$ , or, equivalently,  $p - d - 1 \geq 0$ . Obviously, the factors  $|u - u(i)|^{p-d-1}$

and  $|u - u(-i)|^{p-d-1}$  are then bounded, and applying Theorem 2.1, we find for  $0 < \tau < 1$

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{g(u)=0} (1 - |u|)^{p+\tau} |u - u_{m,+}|^{p-2+\tau} |u - u_{m,-}|^{p-2+\tau} \leq C \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where  $C$  depends on  $n, d, p, m, b$  and  $\tau$ .

In the second case, we have  $0 < p - d < 1$  or  $-1 < p - d - 1 < 0$ . We use Theorem 2.1 with if  $0 < \tau < 1 - (p - d)$  and so

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{g(u)=0} (1 - |u|)^{p+\tau} |u - u_{m,+}|^{p-2+\tau} |u - u_{m,-}|^{p-2+\tau} \leq C_1 \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where  $C_1$  depends on  $n, d, p, m, b$  and  $\tau$ .

The last step of the proof consists in transferring relations (5.4), (5.5) back to  $\rho(D_m) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(D_m)$ . Remind that we have by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |u| = d(u, \mathbb{T}) &\approx \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))}{(|\lambda + m||\lambda - m|)^{1/2}(1 + |\lambda|)}, \\ |u - u_{m,+}| |u - u_{m,-}| &\approx \frac{(|\lambda - m||\lambda + m|)^{1/2}}{1 + |\lambda|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we come to

$$(1 - |u|)^{p+\tau} (|u - u_{m,+}| |u - u_{m,-}|)^{p-2+\tau} \geq \frac{C d(\lambda, \sigma(D_m))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda + m||\lambda - m|(1 + |\lambda|)^{2(p+\tau-1)}}.$$

The claim of the theorem follows.  $\square$

Of course, one can wonder what happens if we choose  $\tau \geq 1 - (p - d)$  in the case of relation (5.5). It is easy to see that Theorem 2.1 still applies, but, rather expectedly, the inequality obtained in this way is weaker than (5.5), so we do not pursue this direction.

## 6. THE CASE OF $m = 0$

The method is the same but the spectrum of  $D_0$  is the whole  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma(D_0) = \mathbb{R}$ . The slight differences as compared to the case  $m > 0$  come from the study of the conformal mappings and the computation of the Schatten norm of the resolvent  $V(\lambda - D_0)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \rho(D_0)$ . Since the techniques and computations are extremely similar (not to say almost identical) to the case of Theorem 1.1, we give only a fast sketch of Theorem 1.2.

As the conformal map concerns, notice that  $\rho(D_0) = \mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{C}^-$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^\pm = \{\lambda : \pm \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) > 0\}$ . So we can compute the contributions of the discrete spectrum  $\sigma_d(D) \cap \mathbb{C}^\pm$  to (1.9) and then add them up. That is why, without loss of generality, we discuss the case of  $\lambda \in \sigma_d(D) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ , and the case of  $\sigma_d(D) \cap \mathbb{C}^-$  is treated similarly. The conformal map  $\varphi$  we are interested in, is particularly simple

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \varphi(u) = ib \frac{1+u}{1-u} : \mathbb{D}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+_\lambda, \\ u &= \varphi^{-1}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - ib}{\lambda + ib} : \mathbb{C}^+_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_u. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, the distortions become

$$d(\lambda, \sigma(D_0)) \approx \frac{d(u, \mathbb{T})}{|u - 1|^2}, \quad d(u, \mathbb{T}) \approx \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_0))}{(1 + |\lambda|)^2}.$$

Let, as before,  $p > d$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , the bound on the resolvent reads as

$$\|V(\lambda - D_0)\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p \|(\lambda - \mu_0(x))^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where  $\mu_0(x) = |x|$ , and we need to bound the integral

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{|r - \lambda|^p} dr.$$

Similarly to the computation of section 4.3, we get

$$(6.1) \quad I \leq \frac{K}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_0))^{p-1}} \cdot |\lambda|^{d-1}$$

and then

$$\|V(\lambda - D_0)\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq C \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{|\lambda|^{d-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(D_0))^{p-1}}.$$

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2. By property of the perturbation determinant in  $\mathbf{S}_p$ , we have

$$\log |f(\lambda)| \leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{|\lambda - ib|^p |\lambda|^{d-1}}{d(\lambda; \sigma(D_0))^{p-1}},$$

where  $f$  is defined in (4.2) and  $F$  is the same as in (4.1) with  $m = 0$ . Writing  $\lambda = \varphi(u)$  and  $g = f \circ \varphi$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \log |g(u)| &\leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{|u|^p |1 + u|^{d-1}}{|1 - u|^{d+p-1}} \cdot \frac{|1 - u|^{2(p-1)}}{d(u, \mathbb{T})^{p-1}} \\ &\leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p \frac{|u|^p |1 + u|^{d-1}}{|1 - u|^{d-p+1} d(u, \mathbb{T})^{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 2.1 to the function  $g$  to obtain

$$\sum_{g(u)=0} d(u, \mathbb{T})^{p+\tau} |u - 1|^{(d-p+\tau)+} \leq K \|V\|_{L^p}^p$$

for  $\tau > 0$  small enough. Using the properties of the maps  $\varphi, \varphi^{-1}$  discussed at the beginning of this subsection, we conclude the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BoGoKu] A. Borichev, L. Golinskii, and S. Kupin: *A Blaschke-type condition and its application to complex Jacobi matrices*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (2009), 117–123.
- [BrOu] V. Bruneau and E.M. Ouhabaz: *Lieb-Thirring estimates for non-self-adjoint Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), no. 9, 093504, 10 pp.
- [CuLaTr] J.C. Cuenin, A. Laptev, and C. Tretter: *Eigenvalue estimates for non-selfadjoint Dirac operator on the real line*, arXiv:1207.6584.
- [Da] E. B. Davies: *Linear Operators and their Spectra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 106. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [DeHaKa] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, and G. Katriel: *On the discrete spectrum of non-selfadjoint operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 9, 2742–2759.
- [DeHaKa1] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, and G. Katriel: *Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for Schrödinger operators with a complex-valued potential*, Int. Eq. Operator Theory **75** (2013), no. 1, 1–5.
- [DeHaKa2] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, and G. Katriel: *Eigenvalues of non-selfadjoint operators: a comparison of two approaches*, to appear in proceedings of the conference ‘Mathematical Physics, Spectral Theory and Stochastic Analysis’, Goslar, 2011.
- [FrLaLiSe] R. Frank, A. Laptev, E. Lieb, and R. Seiringer: *Lieb-Thirring inequalities for Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials*, Lett. Math. Phys. **77** (2006), no. 3, 309–316.

- [GoKr] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein: *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, American Mathematical Society (Providence, R.I.), 1969.
- [GoKu1] L. Golinskii and S. Kupin: *A Blaschke-type condition for analytic functions on finitely connected domains. Applications to complex perturbations of a finite-band selfadjoint operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **389** (2012), no. 2, 705–712.
- [GoKu2] L. Golinskii and S. Kupin: *On discrete spectrum of complex perturbations of finite band Schrödinger operators*, submitted.
- [HaKa] M. Hansmann and G. Katriel: *Inequalities for the eigenvalues of non-selfadjoint Jacobi operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **5** (2011), no. 1, 197–218.
- [Ha1] M. Hansmann: *An eigenvalue estimate and its application to non-selfadjoint Jacobi and Schrödinger operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **98** (2011), no. 1, 79–95.
- [Ha2] M. Hansmann: *Variation of discrete spectra for non-selfadjoint perturbations of self-adjoint operators*, to appear in Int. Eq. Operator Theory.
- [Ob] E. Obolashvili: *Partial differential Equations in Clifford Algebras*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 96, Longman, Harlow, 1998.
- [Po] C. Pommerenke: *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [ReSi4] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of modern mathematical physics: IV Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [Sa] D. Sambou: *Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for non-selfadjoint perturbations of magnetic Schrödinger operators*, submitted.
- [Si1] B. Simon: *Notes on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators*, Advances in Math. **24** (3) (1997), 244–273.
- [Si2] B. Simon: *Trace ideals and their applications*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, 1979.
- [Th] B. Thaller: *The Dirac equation*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX 1 351, COURS DE  
LA LIBÉRATION F-33405 TALENCE CEDEX

*E-mail address:* clement.dubuisson@math.u-bordeaux1.fr