



**HAL**  
open science

# Quasilinear and Hessian type equations with exponential reaction and measure data

Hung Nguyen Quoc, Laurent Veron

► **To cite this version:**

Hung Nguyen Quoc, Laurent Veron. Quasilinear and Hessian type equations with exponential reaction and measure data. 2013. hal-00823874v1

**HAL Id: hal-00823874**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00823874v1>**

Preprint submitted on 18 May 2013 (v1), last revised 9 May 2014 (v6)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Quasilinear and Hessian type equations with exponential reaction and measure data

Nguyen Quoc Hung\*

Laurent Véron†

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique,  
Université François Rabelais, Tours, FRANCE

## Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Estimates on potentials	6
3	Quasilinear Dirichlet Problems	15
4	Hessian equations	18
5	Wolff integral equations	20

## Abstract

We prove existence results concerning equations of the type  $-\Delta_p u = F(u) + \mu$  for  $p > 1$  and  $F_k[-u]u = F(u) + \mu$  with  $1 \leq k < \frac{N}{2}$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure and  $F(u) \sim e^{au^\beta}$  with  $a > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ . Sufficient conditions for existence are expressed in terms of the maximal fractional potential of  $\mu$ . Two-sided estimates on the solutions are obtained in terms of some precise Wolff potentials of  $\mu$ . Necessary conditions are obtained in terms of Orlicz capacities. We also establish existence results for a general Wolff potential equation under the form  $u = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[F(u)] + f$ .

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31C15, 32 F10, 35J92, 35R06, 46E30.

*Key words:* quasilinear elliptic equations, Hessian equations, Wolff potential, maximal functions, Borel measures, Orlicz capacities.

---

\*E-mail address: Hung.Nguyen-Quoc@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

†E-mail address: Laurent.Veron@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain,  $p > 1$  and  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . We denote by

$$\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)$$

the p-Laplace operator and by

$$F_k[u] = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq N} \lambda_{j_1} \lambda_{j_2} \dots \lambda_{j_k}$$

the k-Hessian operator where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$  are the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix  $D^2u$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure in  $\Omega$ ; our aim is to study the existence of positive renormalized solutions to the quasilinear equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= P(u) + \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

and the fully nonlinear equation

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[u] &= P(u) + \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= \varphi && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $P$  an exponential function. When  $P(r) = r^q$  with  $q > p - 1$ , Phuc and Verbitsky published a seminal article [10] on the solvability of the corresponding problem (1.1). They obtained necessary and sufficient conditions involving Bessel capacities or Wolff potentials. For example, they proved that if  $\mu$  has compact support in  $\Omega$  it is equivalent to solve (1.1) with  $P(r) = r^q$  or to have

$$\mu(E) \leq cC_{p, \frac{p}{q+1-p}}(E) \quad \text{for all compact set } E \subset \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $c$  is a suitable positive constant and  $C_{p, \frac{p}{q+1-p}}$  a Bessel capacity, or to have

$$\int_B [\mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{2R}[\mu_B(x)]]^q dx \leq C[\mu(B)] \quad \text{for all ball } B \text{ s.t. } B \cap \operatorname{supp}\mu \neq \emptyset, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $R = \operatorname{supp}(\Omega)$ . Other conditions are expressed in terms of Riesz capacities and Riesz potentials. Their construction is based upon sharp estimates of solutions of the non-homogeneous problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \omega && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for positive measures  $\omega$ . Concerning k-Hessian operator in a bounded  $(k - 1)$ -convex domain  $\Omega$ , they proved that if  $\mu$  has compact support, the corresponding problem (1.2 with  $P(r) = r^q$  with  $q > k$  admits a positive solution if and only if

$$\mu(E) \leq cC_{2k, \frac{q}{q-k}}(E) \quad \text{for all compact set } E \subset \Omega \quad (1.6)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_B \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^{2R} [\mu_B(x)] \right]^q dx \leq C[\mu(B)] \quad \text{for all ball } B \text{ s.t. } B \cap \text{supp} \mu \neq \emptyset. \quad (1.7)$$

The main tools in their proofs are derived from recent advances in potential theory for nonlinear elliptic equations obtained by Kilpelainen and Maly [5], Trudinger and Wang [14, 15, 16], and Labutin [7] thanks to whom the authors first provide global pointwise estimates for solutions of the homogeneous Dirichlet problems in terms of Wolffs potentials of suitable order.

For  $s > 1$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{N}{s}$ ,  $\eta \geq 0$  and  $0 < T \leq \infty$ , we recall the expression of the  $T$ -truncated Wolff potential of a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, s}^T[\mu](x) = \int_0^T \left( \frac{\mu(B_t(X))}{t^{N-\alpha s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad (1.8)$$

and the  $T$ -truncated  $\eta$ -fractional maximal potential of  $\mu$  by

$$\mathbf{M}_{\alpha, T}^\eta[\mu](x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(B_t(X))}{t^{N-\alpha} h_\eta(t)} : 0 < t \leq T \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $h_\eta(t) = (-\ln t)^{-\eta} \chi_{(0, 2^{-1}]}(t) + (\ln 2)^{-\eta} \chi_{[2^{-1}, \infty)}(t)$ . If  $\eta = 0$ , then  $h_\eta = 1$  and we denote by  $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha, T}[\mu]$  the corresponding  $T$ -truncated fractional maximal potential of  $\mu$ . When the measures are only defined in an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , they are naturally extended by 0 in  $\Omega^c$ . For  $l \in \mathbb{N}_*$ , we define the  $l$ -truncated exponential function

$$H_l(r) = e^r - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{r^j}{j!}, \quad (1.10)$$

and for  $a > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , we set

$$P_{l, a, \beta}(r) = H_l(ar^\beta). \quad (1.11)$$

We put

$$Q_p(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{q=l}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\frac{\beta q}{p-1}}}{q^{\frac{\beta q}{p-1}} q!} & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ H_l(s^\beta) & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

$Q_p^*(r) = \max \{rs - Q_p(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is the complementary function to  $Q_p$ , and define

$$\text{cap}_{G_p, Q_p^*}(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p^*(f) dx : G_p * f \geq \chi_E, f \geq 0, Q_p^*(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\} \quad (1.13)$$

where  $G_p(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right) (x)$  is the Bessel kernel of order  $p$ .

We denote  $a \wedge b$  and  $a \vee b$  for  $\min\{a, b\}$  and  $\max\{a, b\}$  respectively. Our main results are the following theorems

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $l\beta > p - 1$  and  $a > 0$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain. If  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Radon measure in  $\Omega$ , there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, p, \beta, a, l$  and  $\text{diam } \Omega$  which is the diameter of  $\Omega$  such that if*

$$\| \mathbf{M}_{p, 2\text{diam } \Omega}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu] \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0, \quad (1.14)$$

the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= P_{l, a, \beta}(u) + \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

admits a nonnegative renormalized solution  $u$ , which satisfies

$$u(x) \leq K_1 \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{2\text{diam } \Omega}[\mu](x) + 2b_0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$\exp \left( K_1 \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{1, p}^{2\text{diam } \Omega}[\chi_\Omega \mu] + 2b_0 \right) \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.17)$$

The role of  $K_1 = K_1(N, p)$  will be made explicit in Theorem 3.5.

Conversely, if (1.15) admits a nonnegative renormalized solution  $u$ , then for any compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending on  $N, p, \beta$  and  $\text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_E P_{l, \alpha, \beta}(u) dx + \mu(E) \leq C \text{cap}_{G_p, Q_p^*}(E) \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset K. \quad (1.18)$$

Concerning the  $k$ -Hessian operator we recall some notions introduced by Trudinger and Wang [14, 15, 16], and we follow their notations. For  $k = 1, \dots, N$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  the  $k$ -hessian operator  $F_k$  is defined by

$$F_k[u] = S_k(\lambda(D^2u))$$

where  $\lambda(D^2u) = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$  denotes the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of second partial derivatives  $D^2u$  and  $S_k$  is the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial that is

$$S_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq N} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k}$$

It is straightforward that

$$F_k[u] = [D^2u]_k,$$

where in general  $[A]_k$  denotes the sum of the  $k$ -th principal minors of a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ . In order that there exists a smooth  $k$ -admissible function which vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ , the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  must satisfy a uniformly  $(k-1)$ -convex condition, that is

$$S_{k-1}(\kappa) \geq c_0 > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.19)$$

for some positive constant  $c_0$ , where  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$  denote the principal curvatures of  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to its inner normal. We also denote by  $\Phi^k(\Omega)$  the class of upper-semicontinuous functions  $\Omega \mapsto [-\infty, \infty)$  which are  $k$ -convex, or subharmonic in the Perron sense (see Definition 4.1). In this paper we prove the following theorem

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  such that  $2k < N$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $l\beta > k$  and  $a > 0$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded uniformly  $(k-1)$ -convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a nonnegative continuous function on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\mu = \mu_1 + f$  be a nonnegative Radon measure where  $\mu_1$  has compact support in  $\Omega$  and  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  for some  $q > \frac{N}{2k}$ . Let  $K_2 = K_2(N, k)$  be the constant  $K_2$  which appears in Theorem 4.3. Then, there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, k, \beta, a, l$  and  $\text{diam}(\Omega)$  such that if

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{2k, R}^{\frac{k(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0$$

and  $\max_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \leq \frac{b_0}{K_2}$ , the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[-u] &= P_{l, a, \beta}(u) + \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= \varphi && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

admits a nonnegative solution  $u$ , continuous near  $\partial\Omega$ , with  $-u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$  and  $P_{l, a, \beta}(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  which satisfies

$$u(x) \leq K_2 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^{2\text{diam}\Omega}[\chi_\Omega \mu](x) + 2b_0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (1.21)$$

Conversely, if (1.20) admits a nonnegative solution  $u$ , continuous near  $\partial\Omega$ , such that  $-u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$  and  $P_{l, a, \beta}(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ , then for any a compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending on  $N, k, \beta$  and  $\text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$  such that there holds

$$\int_E P_{l, a, \beta}(u) dx + \mu(E) \leq C \text{cap}_{G_{2k}, Q_{k+1}^*}(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel}, \quad (1.22)$$

where  $Q_{k+1}(s)$  is defined by (1.12) with  $p = k + 1$ ,  $Q_{k+1}^*$  is its complementary function and  $\text{cap}_{G_{2k}, Q_{k+1}^*}(E)$  is defined accordingly by (1.13).

The two previous theorems are connected to the following result which deals with a class of nonlinear Wolff integral equations.

**Theorem 1.3** Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $l\beta > p - 1$  and  $0 < \alpha p < N$ . Let  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, \alpha, p, \beta, a, l, R$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that if  $\exp((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0$$

where  $d\mu = P_{l, a + \varepsilon, \beta}(f) dx$ , then there exists a nonnegative function  $u$ , such that  $P_{l, a, \beta}(u) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  which satisfies

$$u = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(u)] + f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.23)$$

Furthermore

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(u)] + f \leq u \leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu] + f + b_0 := F \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.24)$$

Moreover  $\exp(aF^\beta) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\|\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(F)]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < +\infty$ .

Conversely, if (1.23) admits a nonnegative solution  $u$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C$

depending on  $N, \alpha, p, \beta$  and  $R$  such that there holds

$$\int_E P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[P_{l,a,\beta}(u)]) dx + \int_E P_{l,a,\beta}(f) dx \leq C \text{cap}_{G_{\alpha p}, Q_p^*}(E) \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^N, E \text{ Borel},$$

where  $Q_p, Q_p^*$  and  $\text{cap}_{G_{\alpha p}, Q_p^*}$  are defined in (1.12).

In the case  $\alpha = 1, R = \infty$ , (1.23) is equivalent to

$$-\Delta_p(u - f) = P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.25)$$

while when  $\alpha = \frac{2k}{k+1}$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_*$  and  $p = k + 1$ , it is equivalent to

$$F_k[-u + f] = P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.26)$$

Some other applications are also given to equations involving the fractional Laplacian.

## 2 Estimates on potentials

We denote by  $B_r(a)$  the ball of center  $a$  and radius  $r > 0$  and  $B_r = B_r(0)$ . The next estimates are crucial in the sequel.

**Theorem 2.1 1.** *There exists a positive constant  $c_1$ , depending only on  $N, \alpha, p, R$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $q > p - 1$  we have*

$$(c_1 q)^{-\frac{q}{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\mu](x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu](x))^q dx \leq (c_1 q)^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\mu](x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\mu] := G_{\alpha p} * \mu$  denotes the Bessel potential of order  $\alpha p$  of  $\mu$ .

**2.** *There exists a positive constant  $c_2$  depending only on  $N, \alpha, p, R$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $q > p - 1$  we have*

$$c_2^{-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{2\alpha}[\mu](x))^q dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,2}^R[\mu](x))^q dx \leq c_2^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{2\alpha}[\mu](x))^q dx. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** We can find proof of (2.2) in [2, Step 3, Theorem 2.3]. By [2, Step 2, Theorem 2.3], there is  $c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu](x))^q dx \geq c_3^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p,R}[\mu](x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx \quad \forall q > 0, R > 0 \text{ and } \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.3)$$

We recall that  $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p,R}[\mu] = \mathbf{M}_{\alpha p,R}^0[\mu]$  by (1.9). Next we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p,R}[\mu](x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx \geq (c_4 q)^{-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu](x))^q dx \quad \forall q > 0, R > 0 \text{ and } \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2.4)$$

for some a positive constant  $c_4$ . Indeed, we denote  $\mu_n$  by  $\chi_{B_n}\mu$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . By [2, Proposition 2.2], there exist constants  $c_5 = c_5(N, \alpha, p) > 0$ ,  $a = a(\alpha, p) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon(N, \alpha, p)$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $0 < R \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , there holds

$$\left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > 3t, (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} \mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \varepsilon t \right\} \right| \leq c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1}) \left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > t \right\} \right|.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > 3t \right\} \right| \leq c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1}) \left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > t \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} \mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} > \varepsilon t \right\} \right|.$$

Multiplying by  $qt^{q-1}$  and integrating over  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty qt^{q-1} \left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > 3t \right\} \right| dt &\leq c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1}) \int_0^\infty qt^{q-1} \left| \left\{ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \mu_n > t \right\} \right| dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty qt^{q-1} \left| \left\{ (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} \mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} > \varepsilon t \right\} \right| dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$3^{-q} \int_N (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R [\mu_n](x))^q dx \leq c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1}) \int_N (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R [\mu_n](x))^q dx + \varepsilon^{-q} \int_N (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} \mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx.$$

This leads to

$$\int_N (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} \mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dx \varepsilon^q (3^{-q} - c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1})) \int_N (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R [\mu_n](x))^q dx.$$

We see that  $\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0} \varepsilon^q (3^{-q} - c_5 \exp(-a\varepsilon^{-1})) \approx (c_6 q)^{-q}$  for some a constant  $c_6$  which does not depend on  $q$ . Therefore, (2.4) follows by Fatou's lemma. From (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$c_7^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}, 2}^R [\mu](x) \right)^q dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} [\mu](x))^q dx \geq (c_7 q)^{-q} \int_N \left( \mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}, 2}^R [\mu](x) \right)^q dx$$

for all  $q > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , with  $c_7 = c_7(N, \alpha, p) > 0$ . Then, combining with (2.2) we get

$$c_8^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p} [\mu](x))^q dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R} [\mu](x))^q dx \geq (c_8 q)^{-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p} [\mu](x))^q dx$$

with  $c_8 = c_8(N, \alpha, p, R)$ , for all  $q > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Therefore, jointly with (2.3) and (2.4) we derive (2.1).  $\blacksquare$

The next result is proved in [2].



**Theorem 2.2** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $0 \leq \eta < p - 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha p < N$  and  $L > 0$ . Set  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p-1-\eta}{12(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} \alpha p \log(2)$ . Then there exists  $C(L) > 0$ , depending on  $N$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $p$ ,  $\eta$  and  $L$  such that for any  $R \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $0 < r \leq L$ , there holds

$$\frac{1}{|B_{2r}(a)|} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \exp \left( \frac{\delta}{\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^\eta[\mu_{B_r}(a)]\|_{L^\infty(B_r(a))}^{\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu_{B_r}(a)](x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} \right) dx \leq C(L) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\mu_{B_r}(a) = \chi_{B_r(a)} \mu$ . Furthermore, if  $\eta = 0$ ,  $C$  is independent of  $r$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Assume  $\alpha$ ,  $p$ ,  $\eta$  are as in Theorem 2.2 and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies for  $R > 0$

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^\eta[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M,$$

for some  $M > 0$ . Then there exist  $C = C(N, \alpha, p, \eta, R) > 0$  and  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(N, \alpha, p, \eta) > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ \exp \left( \delta_0 M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Since  $\mu(B_t(y)) \leq M t^{N-\alpha p} h_\eta(t)$ , for all  $r \in (0, R)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu](y) &= \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^r[\mu](y) + \int_r^R \left( \frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^r[\mu](y) + M^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_r^R (h_\eta(t))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^r[\mu](y) + M^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_{r \wedge 2^{-1}}^{2^{-1}} \left( (-\ln t)^{-\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} + M^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_{2^{-1}}^{R \vee 2^{-1}} \left( (\ln 2)^{-\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^r[\mu](y) + \frac{(p-1)M^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{p-1-\eta} (-\ln(r \wedge 2^{-1}))^{\frac{p-1-\eta}{p-1}} + M^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (\ln(2))^{-\frac{\eta}{p-1}} \ln(2R \vee 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu](y))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} \leq A_1 (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^r[\mu](y))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} + A_2 M^{\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} \ln \left( \frac{1}{r \wedge 0.5} \right) + A_3 M^{\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 3^{\frac{\eta}{p-1-\eta}}, \\ A_2 &= 3^{\frac{\eta}{p-1-\eta}} \left( \frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_3(R) = 3^{\frac{\eta}{p-1-\eta}} (\ln(2))^{-\frac{\eta}{p-1-\eta}} (\ln(2R \vee 1))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}.$$

Let  $\theta \in (0, 1]$ , since  $\exp(a + b) \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp(2a) + \frac{1}{2} \exp(2b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get from (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\theta \frac{\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}}{2A_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^r[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_2}{A_1} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r \wedge 2^{-1}}\right) + \theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

For  $r > 0$ ,  $0 < t \leq r$ ,  $y \in B_r(x)$  we have  $B_t(y) \subset B_{2r}(x)$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^r[\mu] = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^r[\mu_{B_{2r}}]$  in  $B_r(x)$ . Then, using (2.5) with  $L = 2R$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x)} \exp\left(\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^r[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) &= \int_{B_r(x)} \exp\left(\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^r[\mu_{B_{2r}(x)}])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) \\ &\leq C(2R)|B_4|r^N. \end{aligned}$$

So, from (2.7) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x)} \exp\left(\theta \frac{\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}}{2A_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} C(2R)|B_4|r^N + \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_2}{A_1} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r \wedge 2^{-1}}\right) + \theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right) |B_1|r^N \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} C(2R)|B_4|r^N + \frac{1}{2} |B_1| \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right) (r \wedge 2^{-1})^{-\theta \frac{A_2}{A_1}} r^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ \exp\left(\theta \frac{\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}}{2A_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) \right] (x) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \left( C(2R)|B_4|r^{\alpha p} + |B_1| \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right) (r \wedge 2^{-1})^{-\theta \frac{A_2}{A_1}} r^{\alpha p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} C(2R)|B_4|\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^R r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}-1} dr \\ &\quad + \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} |B_1| \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^R (r \wedge 2^{-1})^{-\theta \frac{A_2}{(p-1)A_1}} r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}-1} dr \\ &\leq A_4(R) + A_5(R) \int_0^R (r \wedge 2^{-1})^{-\theta \frac{A_2}{(p-1)A_1}} r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}-1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_4(R) = \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} C(2R)|B_4|\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{\alpha p} R^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}}$$

and

$$A_5(R) = \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}|B_1| \exp\left(\theta \frac{A_3(R)}{A_1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Now we choose  $\theta = 1 \wedge \frac{\alpha p A_1}{2A_2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ \exp\left(\theta \frac{\delta M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}}}{2A_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) \right] (x) &\leq A_4 + A_5 \int_0^R \left(\frac{r}{r \wedge 2^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}} r^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}-1} dr \\ &\leq A_4 + 2^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}} A_5 \int_0^R r^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ \exp\left(\delta_0 M^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\eta}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right) \right] (x) \leq A_6(R),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_6(R) &= A_4(R) + 2^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}} \frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha p} A_5(R) R^{\frac{\alpha p}{2(p-1)}}, \\ \delta_0 &= \frac{\theta \delta}{2A_1} = \frac{1}{4} 3^{-\frac{\eta}{p-1-\eta}} \left(\frac{p-1-\eta}{12(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}} \left(1 \wedge \left(\frac{\alpha p}{2} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{p-1-\eta}}\right)\right) \alpha p \log(2). \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\blacksquare$

In the next result we obtain estimate on iterative solutions of Wolff integral inequalities. We recall that  $H_l$  and  $P_{l,a,\beta}$  have been defined in (1.14) and (1.11).

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p > 1, \alpha > 0, a > 0, b \geq 0, K > 0, R > 0, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $l\beta > p-1$  and  $0 < \alpha p < N$ . Suppose that  $\{u_m\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative functions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  that satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m+1} &\leq K \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R [P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) + \mu] + b \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u_0 &\leq K \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R [\mu] + b, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, \alpha, p, \beta, a, l, K$  and  $R$  such that if  $b \leq b_0$  and

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0,$$

there holds

$$\exp\left(a \left(K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] + 2b_0\right)^\beta\right) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$\left\| \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ P_{l,a,\beta} \left( K \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] + 2b_0 \right) \right] \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < +\infty$$

and finally

$$u_m \leq K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] + 2b_0 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.9}$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3 with  $\eta = \frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}$ , there exist  $C = C(N, \alpha, p, \beta, R) > 0$  and  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta) > 0$  such that if

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M,$$

then

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ \exp \left( \delta_0 \mathbf{M}^{-\frac{\beta}{p-1}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right] (x) \leq C \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.10)$$

Take  $b_0 \in [b, 1]$ . We will choose  $b_0$  and  $M_0$  later on. Clearly, (2.9) holds with  $m = 0$ . Now, assume that (2.9) holds with  $m = n$ , we need to prove that

$$u_{n+1} \leq K \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu] + 2b_0.$$

In fact, by the definition of  $u_{n+1}$  and the sub-additive property of  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\cdot]$ , we have

$$u_{n+1} \leq K \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu] + K \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(u_n)] + b.$$

So, it is sufficient to prove that

$$P_1 := K \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(u_n)] \leq b_0. \quad (2.11)$$

Since (2.9) holds with  $m = n$ ,

$$u_n^\beta \leq K^\beta 2^{\beta-1} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta + 2^{2\beta-1} b_0^\beta,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} P_{l, a, \beta}(u_n) &\leq H_l \left( K^\beta 2^{\beta-1} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta + 2^{2\beta-1} b_0^\beta \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{2} H_l(2^{2\beta} b_0^\beta) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{2} b_0^{l\beta} H_l(2^{2\beta}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $b_0 \leq 1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[P_{l, a, \beta}(u_n)] &\leq \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ \frac{1}{2} H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{2} b_0^{l\beta} H_l(2^{2\beta}) \right] \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right] \\ &\quad + 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ b_0^{l\beta} H_l(2^{2\beta}) \right] \\ &= 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right] \\ &\quad + C_1(R) b_0^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1(R) = \frac{p-1}{2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \alpha p} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) (H_l(2^{2\beta}))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |B_1|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 \leq K 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^2 \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right] \\ + C_1(R) K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) b_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Note that

$$C_1(R) K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) b_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \frac{b_0}{2} \Leftrightarrow b_0 \leq \left(2C_1(R) K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{1-\beta-p+1}}.$$

Now we choose

$$b_0 = b_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) := 1 \wedge \left(2C_1(R) K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{1-\beta-p+1}},$$

and we derive

$$C_1(R) K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) b_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \frac{b_0}{2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Next, set  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ ; since  $H_l(s) \leq \gamma H_l(\gamma^{-1}s)$  for all  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &:= K 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^2 \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ H_l \left( K^\beta 2^\beta \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right] \\ &\leq K 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^2 \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ H_l \left( \gamma^{-1} K^\beta 2^\beta \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu])^\beta \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.10), we assert that if

$$\gamma^{-1} K^\beta 2^\beta \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta \leq \delta_0 \mathbf{M}^{-\frac{\beta}{p-1}} \Leftrightarrow M \leq \left(\delta_0 K^{-\beta} 2^{-\beta} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^{-\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}} \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}},$$

then

$$P_2 \leq K 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^2 \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} C.$$

This will be achieved if we prove that

$$K 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^2 \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} C \leq \frac{b_0}{2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\gamma \leq \left(K^{-1} 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^{-2} C^{-1} b_0\right)^{p-1}.$$

Thus, we can choose

$$\gamma = \gamma(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) = 1 \wedge \left(K^{-1} 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^{-2} C^{-1} b_0\right)^{p-1}$$

and

$$M_0 = M_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) = \left( \delta_0 K^{-\beta} 2^{-\beta} \left( 1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right)^{-\beta} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}} \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}}$$

and we obtain that if  $M \leq M_0$  there holds

$$P_2 \leq \frac{b_0}{2}.$$

Combining this with (2.13) gives (2.11). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

Let  $P \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$  be a decreasing positive function; the  $(\alpha, P)$ -Orlicz-Bessel capacity of a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is defined by (see [1, Sect 2.6])

$$\text{cap}_{G_\alpha, P}(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(f) : G_\alpha * f \geq \chi_E, f \geq 0, P(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $l\beta > p - 1$  and  $0 < \alpha p < N$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(\Omega)$ . If  $u$  is a nonnegative Borel function in  $\Omega$  such that  $P_{l, a, \beta}(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and*

$$u(x) \geq c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^{\delta d(x, \partial\Omega)}[\omega](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (2.14)$$

where  $d\omega = P_{l, a, \beta}(u)dx + d\mu$ , then, for any a compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending on  $N, \alpha, p, c, \beta$  and  $\text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_E P_{l, a, \beta}(u)dx + \mu(E) \leq C \text{cap}_{G_{\alpha p}, Q_p^*}(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel},$$

where  $Q_p^*$  is the complementary function to  $Q_p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K \subset \Omega$ . Set  $r_K = \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$  and  $\Omega_K = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, K) < r_K/2\}$ . We have

$$P_{l, a, \beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^{\delta d(x, \partial\Omega)}[\omega] \right) dx \leq d\omega \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Let  $M_\omega$  denote the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function which is defined for any  $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, d\omega)$  by

$$M_\omega f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\int_{B_t(x)} |f| d\omega}{\omega(B_t(x))}.$$

Thus, for any Borel set  $E \subset K$

$$\int_\Omega (M_\omega \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l, a, \beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^{\delta d(x, \partial\Omega)}[\omega] \right) dx \leq \int_\Omega (M_\omega \chi_E)^{\frac{m\beta}{p-1}} d\omega.$$

Since  $M_\omega$  is bounded on  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N, d\omega)$ ,  $s > 1$ , we deduce from Fefferman's result [9] that

$$\int_{\Omega} (M_\omega \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega] \right) dx \leq c_4 \omega(E),$$

for some constant  $c_4$  only depends on  $N$  and  $\frac{l\beta}{p-1}$ . Since  $M_\omega \chi_E \leq 1$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (M_\omega \chi_E(x))^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega](x) \right) &\geq P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c (M_\omega \chi_E(x))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega](x) \right) \\ &\geq P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega_E](x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega_E](x) \right) dx \leq c_4 \omega(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel.} \quad (2.15)$$

Note that if  $x \in \Omega$  and  $d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq r_K/8$ , then  $B_t(x) \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_K$  for all  $t \in (0, \delta d(x, \partial\Omega))$ ; indeed, for all  $y \in B_t(x)$

$$d(y, \partial\Omega) \leq d(x, \partial\Omega) + |x - y| < (1 + \delta)d(x, \partial\Omega) < \frac{1}{4}r_K$$

thus

$$d(y, K) \geq d(K, \partial\Omega) - d(y, \partial\Omega) > \frac{3}{4}r_K > \frac{1}{2}r_K$$

which implies  $y \notin \Omega_K$ . We deduce that

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\delta d(x,\partial\Omega)}[\omega_E](x) \geq \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{\delta}{8}r_K}[\omega_E](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{\delta}{8}r_K}[\omega_E](x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega^c.$$

Hence we obtain from (2.15),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{\delta}{8}r_K}[\omega_E](x) \right) dx \leq c_4 \omega(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel.} \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_{l,a,\beta} \left( c \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{\delta}{8}r_K}[\omega_E](x) \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p (c_5 \mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\omega_E](x)) dx,$$

where  $Q_p$  is defined by (1.12) and  $c_5 = (c_1\beta)^{-1}a^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}}c^{p-1}$  if  $p \neq 2$ ,  $c_5 = c_1^{-1}a^{\frac{1}{\beta}}c$  if  $p = 2$  (the constant  $c_1$  defined in (2.1), depends on  $R$ , therefore  $c_5 = c_5(r_K)$ ). Thus, from (2.16) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p (c_5 \mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\omega_E](x)) dx \leq c_4 \omega(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel.}$$

We recall that  $Q_p^*(s) = \sup_{t>0} \{st - Q_p(t)\}$  and we note that  $Q_p^*$  satisfies the sub-additivity  $\Delta_2$ -condition (see Chapter 2 in [8]). Let  $E \subset K$  be a Borel set. For every  $f \geq 0$ ,  $Q_p^*(f) \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that  $G_{\alpha p} * f \geq \chi_E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(E) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{\alpha p} * f d\omega_E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\omega_E] f dx \\
&= (2c_4)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (c_5 \mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\omega_E]) (2c_4 c_5^{-1} f) dx \\
&\leq (2c_4)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p(c_5 \mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}[\omega_E]) dx + (2c_4)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p^*(2c_4 c_5^{-1} f) dx \\
&\leq 2^{-1} \omega(E) + c_6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_p^*(f) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Notice that  $c_6$ , as well as the next constant  $c_7$ , depends on  $r_K$ . Thus,

$$\omega(E) \leq 2^{-1} c_6 \int_R Q_p^*(f) dx.$$

Then, we get

$$\omega(E) \leq c_7 \text{cap}_{G_{\alpha p}, Q_p^*}(E) \quad \forall E \subset K, E \text{ Borel.}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. ■

### 3 Quasilinear Dirichlet Problems

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ , we denote by  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  respectively its positive and negative part in the Jordan decomposition. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$  the space of measures in  $\Omega$  which are absolutely continuous with respect to the  $c_{1,p}^\Omega$ -capacity defined on a compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  by

$$c_{1,p}^\Omega(K) = \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi|^p dx : \varphi \geq \chi_K, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \right\}.$$

We also denote  $\mathfrak{M}_s(\Omega)$  the space of measures in  $\Omega$  with support on a set of zero  $c_{1,p}^\Omega$ -capacity. Classically, any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$  can be written in a unique way under the form  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s$  where  $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$  and  $\mu_s \in \mathfrak{M}_s(\Omega)$ . It is well known that any  $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$  can be written under the form  $\mu_0 = f - \text{div } g$  where  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .

For  $k > 0$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we set  $T_k(s) = \max\{\min\{s, k\}, -k\}$ . If  $u$  is a measurable function defined and finite a.e. in  $\Omega$ , such that  $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $k > 0$ , there exists a measurable function  $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\nabla T_k(u) = \chi_{|u| \leq k} v$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and for all  $k > 0$ . We define the gradient  $\nabla u$  of  $u$  by  $v = \nabla u$ . We recall the definition of a renormalized solution given in [3].



**Definition 3.1** Let  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ . A measurable function  $u$  defined in  $\Omega$  and finite a.e. is called a renormalized solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

if  $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $k > 0$ ,  $|\nabla u|^{p-1} \in L^r(\Omega)$  for any  $0 < r < \frac{N}{N-1}$ , and  $u$  has the property that for any  $k > 0$  there exist  $\lambda_k^+$  and  $\lambda_k^-$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{M}_b^+ \cap \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$ , respectively concentrated on the sets  $u = k$  and  $u = -k$ , with the property that  $\mu_k^+ \rightharpoonup \mu_s^+$ ,  $\mu_k^- \rightharpoonup \lambda_s^-$  in the narrow topology of measures and such that

$$\int_{\{|u|<k\}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\{|u|<k\}} \varphi d\mu_0 + \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\lambda_k^+ - \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\lambda_k^-$$

for every  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 3.2** We recall that if  $u$  is a renormalized solution to problem (3.1) and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(\Omega)$ , then  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

The following general stability result has been proved in [3, Th 4.1, Sec 5.1].

**Theorem 3.3** 1- Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions, finite a.e. in  $\Omega$ . We assume that  $T_k(u_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $k > 0$ ,  $|\nabla u_n|^{p-1} \in L^r(\Omega) \forall r < \frac{N}{N-1}$ , and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p dx \leq M$  for some constant  $M$ . Then, up to a subsequence,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{\nabla u_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in measure;  $\{u_n\}$  converges a.e. to a function  $u$  finite a.e. in  $\Omega$  such that  $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $k > 0$ ,  $\{T_k(u_n)\}$  converges to  $T_k(u)$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla u_n$  converges a.e. to  $\nabla u$ ; finally  $|\nabla u|^{p-1} \in L^r(\Omega)$  for all  $r \in [1, \frac{N}{N-1})$  and  $\{|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n\}$  converges to  $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$  strongly in  $L^r(\Omega)$ .

2- As a consequence, if  $\{\mu_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$  and  $\{u_n\}$  a sequence of renormalized solutions of problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u_n &= \mu_n && \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

then, up to a subsequence,  $\{u_n\}$  converges a.e. to a solution of equation  $-\Delta_p u = \mu$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , and such that  $\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u)|^p dx \leq M$  for every  $k > 0$ .

The next result is a sharp extension of the stability Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s^+ - \mu_s^-$ , with  $\mu_0 = F - \operatorname{div} g \in \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$  and  $\mu_s^+, \mu_s^-$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{M}_s^+(\Omega)$ . Let  $\mu_n = F_n - \operatorname{div} g_n + \rho_n - \eta_n$  with  $F_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $g_n \in (L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$  and  $\rho_n, \eta_n$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{M}_b^+(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $\{F_n\}$  converges to  $F$  weakly in  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\{g_n\}$  converges to  $g$  strongly in  $(L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$  and  $(\operatorname{div} g_n)$  is bounded in  $\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ ; assume also that  $\{\rho_n\}$  converges to  $\mu_s^+$  and  $\{\eta_n\}$  to  $\mu_s^-$  in the narrow topology. If  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence of renormalized solutions of (3.2), then, up to a subsequence, it converges a.e. in  $\Omega$  to a renormalized solution  $U$  of problem (3.1). Furthermore  $\{T_k(u_n)\}$  converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

We also recall the following estimate [10, Th 2.1].

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_1 > 0$ , depending on  $p$  and  $N$  such that if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(\Omega)$  and  $u$  is a nonnegative renormalized solution of problem (3.1) with data  $\mu$ , there holds*

$$\frac{1}{K_1} \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{\frac{d(x,\partial\Omega)}{3}}[\mu](x) \leq u(x) \leq K_1 \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^R[\mu](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (3.3)$$

where  $R = \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{2}$  and  $K_1$  is a constant independent of  $x, u$  and  $\Omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of nonnegative renormalized solutions of the following problems

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u_0 &= \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_0 &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u_{m+1} &= P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) + \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_{m+1} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.5 we have for all  $x \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\Omega u_0(x) &\leq K_1 \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^R[\chi_\Omega \mu](x) \\ \chi_\Omega u_{m+1}(x) &\leq K_1 \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^R[\chi_\Omega P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) + \chi_\Omega \mu]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.4 there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, p, \beta, a, l$  and  $R$  such that

$$u_m(x) \leq K_1 \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^R[\chi_\Omega \mu](x) + 2b_0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\exp\left(a \left(K \left(1 \vee 2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^R[\mu] + 2b_0\right)^\beta\right) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.5)$$

provided that

$$\|M_{p,R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\chi_\Omega \mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0.$$

This implies that  $\{u_m\}$  is well defined; by Theorem 3.3 it contains a subsequence that we still denote by  $\{u_m\}$  which converges a.e in  $\Omega$  to function  $u$  for which (1.16) is satisfied in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, we deduce from (3.4)-(3.5) and the dominated convergence theorem that  $P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) \rightarrow P_{l,a,\beta}(u)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Finally, by Theorem 3.4 we obtain that  $u$  is a renormalized solution of (1.15).

Conversely, assume that (1.15) admits a nonnegative renormalized solution  $u$ . By Theorem 3.5 there holds

$$u(x) \geq \frac{1}{K_1} \mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{\frac{d(x,\partial\Omega)}{3}}[\omega](x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega,$$

where  $d\omega = (P_{l,a,\beta}(u)dx + d\mu)$ . By Theorem 2.5, we obtain (1.18). ■

**Applications 1.** We consider the case  $p = 2, \beta = 1$ . Then  $l = 2$  and

$$P_{l,a,\beta}(r) = e^{ar} - 1 - ar.$$

If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , there exists  $M_0 > 0$  and  $b_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure in  $\Omega$  which satisfies

$$\mu(B_t(x)) \leq M_0 t^{N-2} \quad \forall t > 0 \text{ and almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad (3.6)$$

there exists a positive solution  $u$  to the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= e^{au} - 1 - au && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore

$$u(x) \leq K(N) \int_0^{2 \operatorname{diam} \Omega} \frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{t^{N-1}} dt + 2b_0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (3.8)$$

In the case  $N = 2$  this result has already been proved by Richard and Véron [12, Prop 2.4].

## 4 Hessian equations

In this section  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with a  $C^2$  boundary. For  $k = 1, \dots, N$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  the  $k$ -hessian operator  $F_k$  is defined by

$$F_k[u] = S_k(\lambda(D^2u))$$

where  $\lambda(D^2u) = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$  denotes the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of second partial derivative  $D^2u$  and  $S_k$  is the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial that is

$$S_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq N} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k}$$

We can see that

$$F_k[u] = [D^2u]_k$$

where for a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $[A]_k$  denotes the sum of the  $k$ -th principal minors. We assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is uniformly  $(k-1)$ -convex that is

$$S_{k-1}(\kappa) \geq c_0 > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

for some positive constant  $c_0$ , where  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$  denote the principal curvatures of  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to its inner normal.

**Definition 4.1** *An upper-semicontinuous function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $k$ -convex ( $k$ -subharmonic) if, for every open set  $\Omega' \subset \bar{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$  and for every function  $v \in C^2(\Omega') \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying  $F_k[v] \leq 0$  in  $\Omega'$ , the following implication is true*

$$u \leq v \text{ on } \partial\Omega' \implies u \leq v \text{ in } \Omega'.$$

We denote by  $\Phi^k(\Omega)$  the class of all  $k$ -subharmonic functions in  $\Omega$  which are not identically equal to  $-\infty$ .

The following weak convergence result for  $k$ -Hessian operators proved in [TW2] is fundamental in our study.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded uniformly  $(k-1)$ -convex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For each  $u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$ , there exist a nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu_k[u]$  in  $\Omega$  such that*

- a.  $\mu_k[u] = F_k[u]$  for  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ .
- b. If  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence of  $k$ -convex functions which converges to  $u$  a.e then  $\mu_k[u_n] \rightharpoonup \mu_k[u]$  in the weak sense of measures.

As in the case of quasilinear equations with measure data, precise estimates of solutions of  $k$ -Hessian equations with measures data are expressed in terms of Wolff potentials. The next result is proved in [7].

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded  $C^2$ , uniformly  $(k-1)$ -convex domain. Let  $\varphi$  be a nonnegative continuous function on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\mu$  be a nonnegative Radon measure. Suppose that  $\mu$  can be decomposed as*

$$\mu = \mu_1 + f$$

such that  $\mu_1$  is a measure with compact support in  $\Omega$  and  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  for some  $q > \frac{N}{2k}$  if  $k \leq \frac{N}{2}$  or  $p = 1$  if  $k > \frac{N}{2}$ . Then there exists a nonnegative function  $u$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $-u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$ , continuous near  $\partial\Omega$  and  $u$  is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[-u] &= \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= \varphi && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any nonnegative function  $u$  such that  $-u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$ , which is continuous near  $\partial\Omega$  and is a solution of above equation, there holds

$$\frac{1}{K_2} \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^{\frac{d(x, \partial\Omega)}{8}}[\mu] \leq u(x) \leq K_2 \left( \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^{2\text{diam } \Omega}[\mu](x) + \max_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \right). \quad (4.1)$$

where  $K_2$  is a positive constant independent of  $x, u$  and  $\Omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We defined a sequence of nonnegative functions  $u_m$ , continuous near  $\partial\Omega$  and such that  $-u_m \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$ , by the following iterative scheme

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[-u_0] &= \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u_0 &= \varphi && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and, for  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[-u_{m+1}] &= P_{l, a, \beta} u_m + \mu && \text{in } \Omega \\ u_{m+1} &= \varphi && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By Theorem 3.5 we have for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\Omega u_0(x) &\leq K_2 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^R[\chi_\Omega \mu](x) + b \\ \chi_\Omega u_{m+1}(x) &\leq K_2 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^R[\chi_\Omega H_l(a u_m^\beta) + \chi_\Omega \mu](x) + b, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $b = K_2 \max_{\partial\Omega} \varphi$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.4 there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, p, \beta, a, m$  and  $R$  such that

$$u_m(x) \leq K_2 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^R [\chi_\Omega \mu](x) + 2b_0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall m \geq 0, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$P_{l,a,\beta} \left( K_2 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^R [\mu] + 2b_0 \right) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (4.6)$$

provided that

$$\|M_{2k,R}^{\frac{k(\beta-1)}{\beta}} [\chi_\Omega \mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0.$$

Note that because we can write

$$\omega = P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) + \mu = (\mu_1 + \chi_{\Omega_\delta} P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m)) + ((1 - \chi_{\Omega_\delta}) P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) + f),$$

where  $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}$  and  $\delta > 0$  is small enough, then  $\omega$  satisfies the assumptions of the data in Theorem 4.3 since  $u_m$  is continuous near  $\partial\Omega$ . Therefore the sequence  $\{u_m\}$  is well defined. Since  $u_m$  is  $k$ -superharmonic and (4.5) holds, the sequence  $\{u_m\}$  is relatively compact in  $L_{loc}^1$  (see e.g. [4]); we can find a subsequence, still denoted by the index  $m$ , such that  $u_m$  converges a.e in  $\Omega$  to function  $u$  for which (1.21) is satisfied in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, we deduce from (4.5)-(4.6) and the dominated convergence theorem that  $P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m) \rightarrow P_{l,a,\beta}(u)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Finally, by Theorem 4.2, we obtain that  $u$  satisfies (1.20) and (1.21).

Conversely, assume that (1.20) admits nonnegative solution  $u$ , continuous near  $\partial\Omega$ , such that  $-u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$  and  $P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$u(x) \geq \frac{1}{K_2} \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}^{\frac{d(x, \partial\Omega)}{8}} [\omega](x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega,$$

where  $d\omega = P_{l,a,\beta}(u)dx + d\mu$ . Using Theorem 2.5, we conclude that (1.22) holds.  $\blacksquare$

## 5 Wolff integral equations

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , assume that  $\exp((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and consider the measure  $d\mu = P_{l,a,\beta}(a + \varepsilon)f dx$ . By Theorem 2.3 with  $\eta = \frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}$ , there exist  $C = C(N, \alpha, p, \beta, R) > 0$  and  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta) > 0$  such that if

$$\|M_{\alpha p, R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}} [\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M,$$

there holds

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ \exp \left( \delta_0 M^{-\frac{\beta}{p-1}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [\mu])^\beta \right) \right] (x) \leq C \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.1)$$

Consider the sequence  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  of nonnegative functions defined by  $u_0 = f$  and

$$u_{m+1} = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [P_{l,a,\beta}(u_m)] + f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall m \geq 0.$$

*Step 1.* We claim that there exist  $b_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $N, \alpha, p, \beta, a, m$  and  $R$  such that if

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha p, R}^{\frac{(p-1)(\beta-1)}{\beta}}[\mu]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_0,$$

then the sequence  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  is well defined and there holds

$$u_m \leq F := \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu] + f + b_0 \quad \forall m \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\exp(aF^\beta) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } \|\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[Pl_{a, \beta}(F)]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < +\infty. \quad (5.3)$$

Clearly, (5.2) holds with  $m = 0$ , thus we assume that (5.2) holds with  $m = n$ , then it follows

$$u_n^\beta \leq C_1 \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta + C_2 b_0^\beta + \frac{a + 2^{-1}\varepsilon}{a} f^\beta.$$

Here, we have used the inequality

$$(X + Y + Z)^\beta \leq C_1 X^\beta + C_2 Y^\beta + \frac{2a + \varepsilon}{2a} Z^\beta \quad \forall X, Y, Z \geq 0$$

where the constants  $C_1, C_2$  depend on  $a, \varepsilon$  and  $\beta$ . Since  $s \mapsto H_l(s)$  is convex in  $[0, +\infty)$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} H_l(au_n^\beta) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4(a + \varepsilon)} H_l\left(C_3 (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4(a + \varepsilon)} H_l\left(C_4 b_0^\beta\right) + \frac{2a + \varepsilon}{2(a + \varepsilon)} H_l\left((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta\right) \\ &\leq H_l\left(C_3 (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta\right) + b_0^{m\beta} H_l(C_4) + H_l\left((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_3 = aC_1 \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right)^\beta \frac{4(a + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad C_4 = aC_2 \frac{4(a + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [H_l(au_n^\beta)] + f \\ &\leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ H_l\left(C_3 (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta\right) \right] + \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) b_0^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [H_l(C_4)] \\ &\quad + \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [H_l((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta)] + f \\ &\leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R [H_l((a + \varepsilon)f^\beta)] + f \\ &\quad + \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R \left[ H_l\left(C_3 (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p}^R[\mu])^\beta\right) \right] + C_5 b_0^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$C_5 = \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) (H_l(C_4))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |B_1|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-1}{\alpha p} R^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}}.$$

Note that

$$C_5 b_0^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} \leq \frac{b_0}{2} \Leftrightarrow b_0 \leq (2C_5)^{-\frac{p-1}{l\beta-p+1}}.$$

Next, if we choose  $b_0 = b_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) = 1 \wedge (2C_5)^{-\frac{p-1}{m\beta-p+1}}$  we have

$$C_5 b_0^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} \leq \frac{b_0}{2}. \quad (5.5)$$

Furthermore, since  $H_l(s) \leq \gamma H_l(\gamma^{-1}s)$  for all  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have

$$P := \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ H_l \left( C_3 \left( \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] \right)^\beta \right) \right] \leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R \left[ H_l \left( \gamma^{-1} C_3 \left( \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] \right)^\beta \right) \right].$$

If  $[\gamma^{-1} C_3 \leq \delta_0 \mathbf{M}^{-\frac{\beta}{p-1}}$ , which is equivalent to  $M \leq (\delta_0 C_3^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}} \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}}$ , we deduce

$$P \leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} C$$

from (5.1). In order to insure

$$\left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \gamma^{\frac{1}{p-1}} C \leq \frac{b_0}{2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\gamma \leq b_0^{p-1} \left( 2C \left( 1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \right)^{-p+1},$$

we can choose

$$\gamma = \gamma(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) = 1 \wedge \left( b_0^{p-1} \left( 2C \left( 1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \right) \right)^{-p+1} \right)$$

and

$$M_0 = M_0(N, \alpha, p, \beta, K, R) = (\delta_0 C_3^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}} \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{\beta}}.$$

Having made those choices, we obtain

$$P \leq \frac{b_0}{2} \quad (5.6)$$

provided  $M \leq M_0$ . Jointly with (5.5) and (5.4) it yields to

$$u_{n+1} \leq \left(1 \vee 3^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right) \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] + f + b_0. \quad (5.7)$$

This implies that  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  is well defined and (5.2) and (5.3) are satisfied. It is easy to see that  $u_n$  is nondecreasing. Hence, from (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain that  $u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x)$  is a solution to equation (1.23) which satisfies (1.24).

*Step 2.* Conversely, we assume that (1.23) admits a nonnegative solution  $u$ . There holds

$$u^\beta \geq \left( \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[P_{l,a,\beta}(u)] \right)^\beta + f^\beta,$$

and thus,

$$P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \geq P_{l,a,\beta} \left( \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[P_{l,a,\beta}(u)] \right) + P_{l,a,\beta}(f).$$

This implies

$$d\mu \geq P_{l,a,\beta} \left( \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu] \right) dx,$$

where

$$d\mu = P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[P_{l,a,\beta}(u)]) dx + P_{l,a,\beta}(f) dx.$$

If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a Borel set, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (M_\mu \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu]) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (M_\mu \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} d\mu.$$

Since  $M_\omega$  is bounded in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N, d\omega)$  for  $s > 1$  by Fefferman's differentiation theorem [9], we derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (M_\mu \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu]) dx \leq c_8 \mu(E),$$

for some constant  $c_4$  only depends on  $N$  and  $\frac{l\beta}{p-1}$ . Because

$$(M_\mu \chi_E)^{\frac{l\beta}{p-1}} P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu]) \geq P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu E]),$$

we finally deduce

$$\int_E P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[\mu]) dx \leq c_8 \mu(E) \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^N, E \text{ Borel.}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.5, it is easy to see that we get

$$\mu(E) \leq c_9 \text{cap}_{G_{\alpha,p}, Q_p^*}(E) \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^N, E \text{ Borel,}$$

where the positive constant  $c_9$  depends on  $N, \alpha, p, \beta$  and  $R$ . Finally we obtain that for any Borel subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  there holds

$$\int_E P_{l,a,\beta}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^R[P_{l,a,\beta}(u)]) dx + \int_E P_{l,a,\beta}(f) dx \leq c_9 \text{cap}_{G_{\alpha,p}, Q_p^*}(E),$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem. ■

**Applications 2.** When  $\alpha = 1$ , the equation (1.20) with  $R = \infty$  is equivalent to

$$-\Delta_p(u - f) = P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

and when  $\alpha = \frac{2k}{k+1}$  and  $p = k + 1$ , it is equivalent to

$$F_k(-u + f) = P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

If  $p = 2$  equation (1.20) becomes linear. If we set  $\gamma = 2\alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\gamma,2}[\mu](x) &= \int_0^\infty \mu(B_t(x)) \frac{dt}{t^{N-\gamma-1}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \int_{|x-y|}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{N-\gamma-1}} \right) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\gamma}} \\ &= I_\gamma * \mu \end{aligned}$$



where  $I_\gamma$  is the Riesz kernel of order  $\gamma$ . Thus (1.20) with  $R = \infty$  is equivalent to

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha(u - f) = P_{l,a,\beta}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

## References

- [1] D. R. Adams, L.I. Heberg: *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehrender mathematischen Wissenschaften 31, Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [2] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, H. Nguyen Quoc, L. Véron: *Quasilinear Lane-Emden equations with absorption and measure data*, **arXiv:1212.6314**, preprint.
- [3] G. Dal Maso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, A. Prignet: *Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data*, **Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa**, **28** (1999), 741-808.
- [4] F. Ferrari, B. Franchi, I. Verbitsky: *Hessian inequality and the fractional Laplacian*, **J. Reine Angew. Math.** **667**, 133-148 (2012).
- [5] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpelainen, O. Martio: *Nonlinear Potential Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1993).
- [6] P. Honzik and B. Jaye: *On the good- $\lambda$  inequality for nonlinear potentials*, **Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.** **140**, 4167-4180 (2012).
- [7] D. Labutin *Potential estimates for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*: **Duke Math. J.** **111**, 1-49 (2002).
- [8] M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics (1991).
- [9] R. Fefferman, *Strong differentiation with respect to measure*: **Amer. J. Math** **103**, 33-40 (1981).
- [10] N. C. Phuc, I. E. Verbitsky: *Quasilinear and Hessian equations of Lane-Emden type*, **Ann. Math.** **168**, 859-914 (2008).
- [11] N. C. Phuc, I. E. Verbitsky: *Singular quasilinear and Hessian equation and inequalities*, **J. Functional Analysis** **256**, 1875-1906 (2009).
- [12] Y. Richard, L. Véron: *Isotropic singularities of solutions of nonlinear elliptic inequalities*, **Ann. I.H.P. Analyse Non Linéaire** **6**, 37-72 (1989).
- [13] B. O. Turesson: *Nonlinear Potential Theory and weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1736, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [14] N.S. Trudinger and Wang: *Hessian measures*, **Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis** **10**, 225239 (1997).
- [15] N.S. Trudinger and Wang: *Hessian measures II*, **Annals of Mathematics** **150**, 579-604 (1999).
- [16] N.S. Trudinger and Wang: *Hessian measures III*, **Journal of Functional Analysis** **193**, 123 (2002).