



**HAL**  
open science

# Topology-preserving diffusion of divergence-free vector fields and magnetic relaxation

Yann Brenier

► **To cite this version:**

Yann Brenier. Topology-preserving diffusion of divergence-free vector fields and magnetic relaxation. 2014, pp.757-770. hal-00814263

**HAL Id: hal-00814263**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00814263>**

Submitted on 16 Apr 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# TOPOLOGY-PRESERVING DIFFUSION OF DIVERGENCE-FREE VECTOR FIELDS AND MAGNETIC RELAXATION

YANN BRENIER

## ABSTRACT

The usual heat equation is not suitable to preserve the topology of divergence-free vector fields, because it destroys their integral line structure. On the contrary, in the fluid mechanics literature, one can find examples of topology-preserving diffusion equations for divergence-free vector fields. They are very degenerate since they admit all stationary solutions to the Euler equations of incompressible fluids as equilibrium points. For them, we provide a suitable concept of "dissipative solutions", which shares common features with both P.-L. Lions' dissipative solutions to the Euler equations and the concept of "curves of maximal slopes", à la De Giorgi, recently used to study the scalar heat equation in very general metric spaces. We show that the initial value problem admits such global solutions, at least in the two space variable case, and they are unique whenever they are smooth.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Related to the numerous literature devoted to "topological fluid mechanics" (see [4, 10, 17, 18, 19, 20] and many others), there are some highly non-linear (and degenerate) diffusion equations for divergence-free vector fields. A typical example is

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) = 0, \quad v = \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B), \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the  $L^2$  projection onto divergence-free vector fields. Following [17], we call them "magnetic relaxation equations" (MRE). They have a (somewhat artificial) physical interpretation as they (tentatively) describe a friction dominated model of incompressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) (in some loose sense, "MHD in porous media"). But, they have a specific mathematical interest because of their link with the Euler equations of incompressible fluids and the theory of "topological hydrodynamics", as discussed now.

Let us consider the MRE (1.1) on the flat torus  $D = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ , just for simplicity, and sketch their three main properties. First, these equations admit an interesting dissipation property for the "magnetic energy", namely

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{|B|^2}{2} dx + \int |\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)|^2 dx = 0$$

(this is, of course, formally obtained by elementary calculations, assuming  $B$  to be smooth). Next, the "equilibrium states", for which the energy no longer dissipates, are precisely all stationary solutions to the Euler equations of homogeneous incompressible fluids, namely

$$\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0.$$

Last, equations (1.1) are "topology-preserving" in the sense that there is a velocity field  $v = v(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that "transports"  $B$ , i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) = 0.$$

What we mean by "topology-preserving" is that, for each fixed time  $t$ , the "magnetic lines" of  $B$  (i.e. the integral lines  $s \rightarrow \xi(s)$  satisfying  $\xi'(s) = B(t, \xi(s))$ , where  $t$  is "frozen") are "transported" by the flow associated to  $v$ , as time evolves. Thus, these lines keep their topology unchanged during the evolution, in particular their knot structure. [More precisely, let us use "material coordinates"  $(t, a) \rightarrow X(t, a)$ , so that

$$\partial_t X(t, a) = v(t, X(t, a)), \quad X(0, a) = a.$$

Then, under suitable smoothness assumptions on  $v$  and  $B$ , the transport equation (1.2) exactly means  $B_i(t, X(t, a)) = \sum_j \partial_j X_i(t, a) B_j(0, a)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  (to check the formula, just differentiate it in  $t$  and use the chain rule.) This implies that the magnetic lines of  $B$  at time  $t$  are the images by  $X(t, \cdot)$  of those of  $B$  at times 0. (To check this statement, differentiate  $X(t, \xi(s))$  in  $s$  for each integral line  $\xi$  of  $B$  at time 0.)

To summarize these three properties, we can say that the "magnetic relaxation equations" (1.1) are a good candidate to drive, as time goes to infinity, each given initial magnetic field of prescribed topology to a stationary solution of the Euler equations with the same topology. This is clearly part of the more ambitious program of "topological hydrodynamics", as developed in the papers of Moffatt [17] and the book of Arnold and Khesin [4].

Let us emphasize that the standard linear diffusion equation (on the flat-torus) for divergence-free vector fields reads  $\partial_t B = \Delta B$  and is certainly not "topology-preserving" since it cannot be written as a transport equation (1.2) for any vector field  $v = v(t, x)$ . This is in sharp contrast with the standard linear heat equation for positive density fields  $\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho$ , which can be easily put in "transport" form

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \quad v = -\nabla(\log \rho).$$

Recently, the heat equation has been studied by Gigli, Gigli-Kuwada-Ohta, Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [11, 12, 2], in a very general class of metric spaces. Their method is based on the following very simple and remarkable idea (that combines the concept of "curves of maximal slopes" introduced by the De Giorgi school for "gradient flows" and the interpretation by Kinderlehrer, Jordan and Otto [13, 1] of the heat equation as the gradient flow of Boltzmann's entropy for a suitable Monge-Kantorovich metric on the set of probability measures). We first say that a pair of measures  $(\rho(t, x) \geq 0, q(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d)$  is admissible if it solves the "continuity equation"

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot q = 0.$$

Next, we formally get, for each admissible pair

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int 2\rho \log \rho &= 2 \int \frac{\nabla \rho \cdot q}{\rho} \\ &= \int \frac{|q + \nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} - \int \frac{|q|^2}{\rho} - \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

This suggests to characterize the solutions of the heat equation precisely as those admissible pairs  $(\rho, q)$  that achieve inequality

$$(1.5) \quad 2\frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \log \rho + \int \frac{|q|^2}{\rho} + \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} \leq 0.$$

This very simple formulation is quite powerful. First, the set of solutions  $(\rho, q)$  for a given initial condition is convex and weakly compact. (Notice that the three functionals involved in (1.5) are all convex in  $(\rho, q)$ , with possible value  $+\infty$ , the first one being strictly convex.) Next, uniqueness of solutions directly follows from the strict convexity of  $\rho \rightarrow \rho \log \rho$ . (Indeed, the average of two distinct solutions would lead to a strict inequality in (1.5), which turns out to be not possible). Finally, formulation (1.5) makes sense in a very large class of metric spaces [11, 12, 2]. Notice that this strategy can also be carried out for a rather large class of non-linear diffusion equations, as explained in Appendix 1.

We have spent several lines in explaining this recent approach to the scalar heat equation precisely because we are going to follow a similar (but much less successful) way to address the (more) challenging magnetic relaxation equations (1.1). First, we call admissible solution any pair of time-dependent divergence-free vector fields  $(B, v)$  solving the transport equation (1.2), namely

$$(1.6) \quad \partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) = 0$$

(which, unfortunately, is non-linear but, at least, has a nice “div-curl” structure in the two-dimensional case  $d = 2$ ). Next, we observe that, for any (smooth) admissible pair  $(B, v)$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |B|^2 dx = 2 \int v \cdot \nabla \cdot (B \otimes B) dx.$$

(just because of (1.2))

$$= 2 \int v \cdot \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B) dx$$

(because  $v$  is divergence-free)

$$= \int |v - \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)|^2 dx - \int |v|^2 dx - \int |\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore, we can characterize the solutions  $(B, v)$  of (1.1), just by asking them to be admissible and satisfy the following inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |B|^2 dx + \int |v|^2 dx + \int |\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Unfortunately, with respect to the simpler case of the scalar heat equation, we loose on two sides. First, the transport “constraint” (1.6) is not linear (in contrast with the continuity equation (1.4)). Second, the energy inequality involves a non-convex functional of  $B$ , namely  $\int |\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)|^2 dx$ . However, in the present paper, we will be able to overcome some of these difficulties. The output is a “reasonable” concept of “dissipative solutions”, sharing the same strength and weakness as Lions’ dissipative solutions to the Euler equations [14]: weak compactness (at least in the two-dimensional case  $d = 2$ , in our case) and uniqueness whenever they are smooth. To finish this introduction, let us mention the analysis by Nishiyama of related magnetic relaxation equations [18, 19],

based on the concept of measure-valued solutions, as well as two recent papers [8, 9] on the concept of dissipative measure-valued solutions for elastodynamics and fluid mechanics.

## 2. DISSIPATIVE SOLUTIONS TO THE MAGNETIC RELAXATION EQUATIONS

**Preliminaries.** Let  $D$  be the  $d$ -dimensional flat torus  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  be the  $L^2$ -norm on  $D$ . Let us denote  $L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of all  $L^2$  vector fields on  $D$  that are divergence-free

Next we define

$$(2.7) \quad L(B) = \|\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (B \otimes B)\|^2 \in [0, +\infty],$$

for all  $B \in L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the (Helmholtz-Leray) orthogonal projector  $L^2 \rightarrow L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . A more precise definition is obtained by duality

$$(2.8) \quad L(B) = \sup \int_D (B \otimes B) : (\nabla z + \nabla z^T) dx - \|z\|^2, \\ z \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \nabla \cdot z = 0,$$

where  $(B \otimes B) : (\nabla z + \nabla z^T)$  should be understood as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d B_i B_j (\partial_i z_j + \partial_j z_i).$$

$L$  is not a convex function of  $B$  and we have to find a substitute for  $L$ . This is why, for each real nonnegative number  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we define, for  $B \in L^2_{\text{div}}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(2.9) \quad K_r(B) = \sup \left\{ \int_D (B \otimes B) : (\nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI) dx - \|z\|^2, \right. \\ \left. z \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \nabla \cdot z = 0, \quad \nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI \geq 0 \right\}$$

(in the sense of symmetric matrices, where  $I$  denotes the identity matrix). Notice that  $K_r(B)$  is always bounded from below by  $r\|B\|^2$  (take  $z = 0$  in its definition) and is a convex function of  $B$  (as a supremum of positively curved quadratic functions of  $B$ , thanks to the constraint  $\nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI \geq 0$ ). In addition, we can recover  $L$  out of the  $K_r$  since

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{r \geq 0} K_r(B) - r\|B\|^2 = \sup \left\{ \int_D (B \otimes B) : (\nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI) dx - \|z\|^2 - r\|B\|^2, \right. \\ \left. r \geq 0, \quad z \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \nabla \cdot z = 0, \quad \nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI \geq 0 \right\} \\ = \sup \left\{ \int_D (B \otimes B) : (\nabla z + \nabla z^T) dx - \|z\|^2 \right. \\ \left. r \geq 0, \quad z \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \nabla \cdot z = 0, \quad \nabla z + \nabla z^T + rI \geq 0 \right\} \\ = L(B).$$

### Definition of dissipative solutions to the magnetic relaxation equations.

**Definition 2.1.** Given a final time  $T > 0$  and  $B^0 \in L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we say that a pair

$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times D \rightarrow (B, v)(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a dissipative solution of the MRE (1.1) with initial condition  $B^0$ , if

- i)  $B$  is weakly continuous from  $[0, T]$  to  $L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $B(0) = B^0$ ;
- ii)  $v$  is square-integrable from  $[0, T]$  to  $L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ;
- iii)  $B, v$  solves the transport equation (1.2), namely

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) = 0,$$

in the sense of distributions;

iv)

$$(2.11) \quad \|B(t, \cdot)\|^2 + \int_0^t [\|v(s, \cdot)\|^2 + K_{r(s)}(B(s, \cdot))] \exp(R(t) - R(s)) ds \\ \leq \|B(0, \cdot)\|^2 \exp(R(t)) \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

for all nonnegative function  $t \rightarrow r(t) \geq 0$ , with  $R(t) = \int_0^t r(s) ds$ , where  $K_r$  is defined by (2.9).

### 3. STABILITY OF SMOOTH SOLUTIONS AMONG DISSIPATIVE SOLUTIONS

We ignore whether or not the MRE (1.1) are locally well-posed in any space of smooth functions. (As a matter of fact, this is a very interesting issue, since these equations can be considered as parabolic only in a weak sense.) However, we can prove:

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume  $D = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ . Let  $(B, v)$  and  $(\beta, \omega)$  be respectively a dissipative and a smooth solution to the MRE (1.1) up to time  $T$ . Then, there is a constant  $C$  depending only on the spatial Lipschitz constant of  $(\beta, \omega)$ , so that, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad \|(B - \beta)(t, \cdot)\|^2 + \int_0^t \exp(C(t - s)) \frac{1}{2} \|v(s, \cdot) - \omega(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds \leq \|(B - \beta)(0, \cdot)\|^2 \exp(Ct)$$

This implies the uniqueness of smooth solutions among all dissipative solutions, for any given prescribed smooth initial condition.

**Proof.** For simplicity, we use notations  $B_t, v_t$  for  $B(t, \cdot), v(t, \cdot)$ , etc... Let us introduce for each  $t \in [0, T]$

$$N_t = \|B_0\|^2 \exp(rt) - \int_0^t (\|v_s\|^2 + K_r(B_s)) \exp(r(t - s)) ds$$

where  $r$  is a nonnegative constant to be chosen later. By definition, we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - r\right)N_t + \|v_t\|^2 + K_r(B_t) = 0$$

(in the distributional sense and also for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ ). Since  $(B, v)$  is a dissipative solution we get from (1.2)

$$N_t \geq \|B_t\|^2, \forall t \in [0, T].$$

We now set

$$e(t) = \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2 + (N_t - \|B_t\|^2) = N_t - 2((B_t, \beta_t)) + \|\beta_t\|^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

where  $((\cdot, \cdot))$  denotes the  $L^2$  inner product, and compute the time derivative  $e'(t)$  of  $e(t)$ . We already know that

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_t = rN_t - \|v_t\|^2 - K_r(B_t)$$

Next, since  $(B, v)$  is a dissipative solution we get from (1.2)

$$\frac{d}{dt}((B_t, \beta_t)) = \int B_{ti}(\beta_{ti,t} + v_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i}))$$

where we use notations  $\beta_{ti,j} = \partial_j(\beta_t)_i$ , etc...and skip summations on repeated indices  $i, j$ ... Thus, we find

$$e'(t) = rN_t - \|v_t\|^2 - K_r(B_t) + \int 2(\beta - B)_{ti}\beta_{ti,t} - 2B_{ti}v_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i}).$$

By definition (2.9), we can find a constant  $r$ , depending only on the spatial Lipschitz constant of  $\omega$ , large enough so that (by setting  $z = -\omega$  in (2.9))

$$-K_r(B_t) \leq \int B_{ti}B_{tj}(\omega_{ti,j} + \omega_{tj,i})dx - r\|B_t\|^2 + \|\omega_t\|^2.$$

Thus

$$e'(t) \leq r(N_t - \|B_t\|^2) - \|v_t\|^2 + \|\omega_t\|^2 + J_t$$

where

$$J_t = \int B_{ti}B_{tj}(\omega_{ti,j} + \omega_{tj,i}) + 2(\beta - B)_{ti}\beta_{ti,t} - 2B_{ti}v_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i}).$$

We may write

$$J_t = J_t^Q + J_t^{L1} + J_t^{L2} + J_t^C$$

where  $J_t^Q, J_t^{L1}, J_t^{L2}, J_t^C$  are respectively quadratic, linear, linear, and constant with respect to  $B - \beta$  and  $v - \omega$ , with coefficient depending only on  $\omega, \beta$ :

$$J_t^Q = \int (B - \beta)_{ti}(B - \beta)_{tj}(\omega_{ti,j} - \omega_{tj,i}) - 2(B - \beta)_{ti}(v - \omega)_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i})$$

$$J_t^{L1} = \int 2(B - \beta)_{ti}[\beta_{tj}(\omega_{ti,j} + \omega_{tj,i}) - \beta_{ti,t} - \omega_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i})]$$

$$J_t^{L2} = \int 2(v - \omega)_{tj}\beta_{ti}\beta_{tj,i}$$

$$J_t^C = \int [\beta_{ti}\beta_{tj}(\omega_{ti,j} + \omega_{tj,i}) - 2\beta_{ti}\omega_{tj}(\beta_{ti,j} - \beta_{tj,i})].$$

Let us reorganize these four terms. By integration by part of its second term, we see that  $J_t^C = 0$ , using that  $\beta$  and  $\omega$  are divergence-free. Next, since  $B_t - \beta_t$  is divergence-free, we have

$$J_t^{L1} = \int 2(B - \beta)_{ti}[-\beta_{ti,t} - \omega_{tj}\beta_{ti,j} + \beta_{tj}\omega_{ti,j}]$$

and we may reorganize

$$J_t^{L2} = 2((v_t - \omega_t, \omega_t)) + \int 2(v - \omega)_{tj}[\beta_{ti}\beta_{tj,i} - \omega_{tj}]$$

Thus

$$e'(t) \leq r(N_t - \|B_t\|^2) - \|v_t - \omega_t\|^2 + J_t^Q + J_t^L$$

where

$$J_t^L = -2((B_t - \beta_t, \partial_t \beta_t + (\omega_t \cdot \nabla) \beta_t - (\beta_t \cdot \nabla) \omega_t)) + 2((v_t - \omega_t, \nabla(\beta_t \otimes \beta_t) - \omega_t)).$$

Clearly

$$J_t^Q \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_t - \omega_t\|^2 + C' \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2$$

for some constant  $C'$  depending only on the spatial Lipschitz constant of  $(\beta, \omega)$ . Finally, we have obtained

$$e'(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t - \omega_t\|^2 \leq r(N_t - \|B_t\|^2) + C' \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2 + J_t^L,$$

and, therefore, by definition of  $e(t)$

$$e(t) = \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2 + (N_t - \|B_t\|^2),$$

we get

$$e'(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t - \omega_t\|^2 \leq C e(t) + J_t^L,$$

where  $C$  is another constant depending only on the spatial Lipschitz constants of  $(\beta, \omega)$  (through  $R$ ). By integration we deduce

$$e(t) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C} \frac{1}{2} \|v_s - \omega_s\|^2 ds \leq e(0) e^{tC} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C} J_s^L ds.$$

Finally, let us remember that  $e(t) \geq \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2$  with equality for  $t = 0$  (since  $N_t \geq \|B_t\|^2$  with equality at  $t = 0$ ). Thus, we have shown

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $D = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ . Let us fix  $T > 0$ . Let  $(B, v)$  be a dissipative solution of the MRE (1.1) up to time  $T$ , and  $(\beta, \omega)$  be any pair of smooth functions  $(\beta, \omega)$  chosen in  $L_{\text{div},0}^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  depending only on the spatial Lipschitz constant of  $(\beta, \omega)$ , up to time  $T$ , so that, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_t - \beta_t\|^2 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C} \frac{1}{2} \|v_s - \omega_s\|^2 ds \leq \|B_0 - \beta_0\|^2 e^{tC} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C} J_s^L ds, \\ & J_t^L = -2((B_t - \beta_t, \partial_t \beta_t + (\omega_t \cdot \nabla) \beta_t - (\beta_t \cdot \nabla) \omega_t)) + 2((v_t - \omega_t, \nabla(\beta_t \otimes \beta_t) - \omega_t)). \end{aligned}$$

We now see that  $J_t^L$  exactly vanishes as  $(\beta, \omega)$  is a smooth solution to the MRE (1.1).

$$\partial_t \beta + (\omega \cdot \nabla) \beta - (\beta \cdot \nabla) \omega = 0, \quad \omega = \mathbb{P} \nabla(\beta \otimes \beta), \quad \nabla \cdot \beta = \nabla \cdot \omega = 0,$$

and the proof of Theorem 3.1 immediately follows.



## 4. EXISTENCE OF DISSIPATIVE SOLUTIONS IN TWO SPACE DIMENSIONS

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $d = 2$  and  $D = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Let  $T > 0$  and fix an initial condition  $B^0 \in L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then there is at least one dissipative solution  $(B, v)$  of the MRE (1.1) up to time  $T$ . This solution can be obtained as the limit in  $C^0([0, T]; L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)_w) \times L^2([0, T]; L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)_w)$ , as parameters  $(\epsilon, \mu, \nu)$  go to zero, of the unique solution of the MHD system (with friction and viscosity)*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon(\partial_t v + \nabla \cdot v \otimes v) + v + \nabla p - \mu \Delta v &= \nabla \cdot B \otimes B, \\ \partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) - \nu \Delta B &= 0, \quad \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot B = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with smooth initial conditions chosen so that  $B(0, \cdot)$ ,  $\sqrt{\epsilon} v(0, \cdot)$  approach respectively  $B^0$  and 0 in  $L^2$ .

**Proof.** Since  $d = 2$ , the MHD system has global smooth solutions for any smooth initial condition [21]. From these MHD equations, respectively multiplied by  $v$  and  $B$  and integrated over  $D$ , we get two straightforward estimates

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{|v|^2}{2} + \int |v|^2 + \mu \int |\nabla v|^2 &= - \int (B \otimes B) : \nabla v \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{|B|^2}{2} + \nu \int |\nabla B|^2 &= \int (B \otimes B) : \nabla v \end{aligned}$$

We first add up these estimates in order to get the total energy balance

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{|B|^2 + \epsilon |v|^2}{2} + \int |v|^2 + \mu \int |\nabla v|^2 + \nu \int |\nabla B|^2 = 0.$$

This implies that  $B$  and  $v$  are respectively uniformly bounded (with respect to  $(\epsilon, \mu, \nu)$ ) in  $L^\infty([0, T], L^2(D))$  and  $L^2([0, T], L^2(D))$ . Using the second equation of (4.14), we also see that  $B$  is uniformly bounded in  $C^{1/2}([0, T], (\text{Lip}(D; \mathbb{R}^d))')$  (where  $\text{Lip}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)'$  denotes the dual of the space of vector-valued Lipschitz functions). Indeed:

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall t, s \in [0, T], \quad & \left| \int (B(t, x) - B(s, x)) \cdot z(x) dx \right|^2 = \\ & \left| \int_s^t dt' \int [(B(t', x) \otimes v(t', x)) : (\nabla z^T - \nabla z)(x) - \nu \nabla B(t', x) : \nabla z(x)] dx \right|^2 \\ & \leq |t - s| \text{Lip}(z)^2 \left[ 4 \sup_{t'' \in [0, T]} \int |B(t'', x)|^2 dx \int |v(t', x)|^2 dx dt' + \nu^2 \int |\nabla B(t', x)|^2 dx dt' \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, as  $\epsilon, \mu, \nu$  go to zero,  $B$  and  $v$  are compact respectively in the spaces  $C^0([0, T], L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)_w)$  and  $L^2([0, T], L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)_w)$ , where subscript  $w$  refers to the weak topology of  $L^2$ .

Next, we use the weak formulation (in  $x$ ) of the first equation of (4.14), namely:

$$\epsilon(\partial_t v + \nabla \cdot v \otimes v) + v + \nabla p - \mu \Delta v = \nabla \cdot B \otimes B,$$

to get, for any fixed smooth test function  $z(t, \cdot)$  valued in  $L^2_{\text{div},0}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \int v_i z_i - \int \epsilon (v_i z_{i,t} + v_i v_j z_{i,j}) + \int (z_i v_i + \mu z_{i,j} v_{i,j} + B_i B_j z_{i,j}) = 0$$

Adding up the energy balance (4.16), namely:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left( \frac{|B|^2 + \epsilon|v|^2}{2} \right) + \int |v|^2 + \mu \int |\nabla v|^2 + \nu \int |\nabla B|^2 = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \left( \frac{|B|^2 + \epsilon|v|^2}{2} + \epsilon v_i z_i \right) - \int (\epsilon(v_i z_{i,t} + v_i v_j z_{i,j}) + \mu z_{i,j} v_{i,j}) \\ & + \int \left( \frac{|v|^2}{2} + B_i B_j z_{i,j} - \frac{|z|^2}{2} \right) + \int \left( \frac{|v+z|^2}{2} + \mu |\nabla v|^2 + \nu |\nabla B|^2 \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now introduce any nonnegative function  $t \rightarrow r(t)$  and  $R(t) = \int_0^t r(s) ds$ , and assume that  $(z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} + r(t)\delta_{ij})$  is a positive matrix. After multiplication by 2, we may rearrange (4.18) as

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{d}{dt} - r \right) \int (|B|^2 + \epsilon|v+z|^2) + \int (|v|^2 + B_i B_j (z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} + r\delta_{ij}) - |z|^2) \\ & + r \int \epsilon|v+z|^2 - \frac{d}{dt} \int \epsilon|z|^2 - 2 \int (\epsilon(v_i z_{i,t} + v_i v_j z_{i,j}) + \mu z_{i,j} v_{i,j}) \\ & + \int (|v+z|^2 + 2\mu |\nabla v|^2 + 2\nu |\nabla B|^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(4.20) \quad \left( \frac{d}{dt} - r \right) \int (|B|^2 + \epsilon|v+z|^2) + \int (|v|^2 + B_i B_j (z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} + r\delta_{ij}) - |z|^2) \leq \eta(t)$$

where  $\eta(t)$  depends on the fixed test function  $z$  and goes to zero in  $L^1([0, T])$  with  $(\epsilon, \mu, \nu)$ , since  $v$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2$ . Next, we integrate in time this differential inequality, and, then, we let  $(\epsilon, \mu, \nu)$  go to zero, assuming that the initial condition  $B(0, \cdot)$  and  $\sqrt{\epsilon}v(0, \cdot)$  converge in  $L^2$  respectively to the given initial condition  $B^0$  and to 0. After these operations, we obtain for any accumulation point of the  $(B, v)$ , still denoted by  $(B, v)$ ,

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|B(t, \cdot)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v(s, \cdot)\|^2 \exp(R(t) - R(s)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_D dx (B_i B_j (2z_{i,j} + r\delta_{ij}) - |z|^2)(s, x) \exp(R(t) - R(s)) ds \\ & \leq \|B(0, \cdot)\|^2 \exp(R(t)) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

using the positivity of  $(z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} + r\delta_{ij})$ . Next, taking the supremum with respect to  $z$ , for fixed  $r$ , leads to the dissipation inequality (2.11) involved in the dissipative formulation of the magnetic relaxation equations. However, we are still left with the problem of passing to the limit in the transport equation (1.2). We do not see any clue for that, except in the bidimensional case  $d = 2$ , where we have a nice "div-curl" structure. Indeed, as  $d = 2$ , at least locally, we can write

$$B = (\partial_2 A, -\partial_1 A),$$

for some scalar potential  $A(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the transport equation (1.2) can be integrated out as

$$\partial_t A + \nabla \cdot (Av) = 0,$$

and we can pass to the limit, since  $|\nabla A| = |B|$  and  $v$  are well controlled in  $L^2$  (using estimate (4.17) to handle the time dependence). This concludes the proof.

## 5. APPENDIX

**5.1. A general framework for dissipative equations.** A rather general framework that one can encounter in several situations of Mechanics and Physics is as follows. (We do not claim any novelty in it, see, for instance, [16] for somewhat related issues.) Working on the  $d$ -dimensional flat torus  $D = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ , for simplicity, we call admissible a pair  $(B, E)$  made of two time-dependent differential forms, one of degree  $k$ , say  $B$ , that we assume to be closed  $dB = 0$ , and one of degree  $k - 1$ , say  $E$ , linked by

$$(5.22) \quad \partial_t B + dE = 0.$$

(A simple example being  $k = d$ , as in the scalar heat equation where  $B = \rho$ ,  $E = q$ .) Next, we are given a scalar function  $L(E, B)$ , called "Lagrangian", that we suppose convex in  $E$  (with possible value  $+\infty$ ). Then, we define its Legendre-Fenchel transform with respect to  $E$ , called "Hamiltonian"

$$(5.23) \quad H(D, B) = \sup_E E \cdot D - L(E, B),$$

and introduce the "defect" function

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{def}(B, E, D) &= L(E, B) + H(D, B) - E \cdot D \geq 0 \\ &\text{with equality if and only if } E = \partial_1 H(D, B). \\ &\text{if and only if } D = \partial_1 L(E, B). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are given a convex function  $\theta$  and compute for any (smooth) admissible pair  $(E, B)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \theta(B) dx = - \int \theta'(B) \cdot dE = - \int E \cdot D$$

where  $D = \delta(\theta'(B))$  (here  $\delta = (-1)^{k-1} *^{-1} d*$  is the Hodge co-differential). Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \theta(B) dx = \int (\text{def}(B, E, D) - L(E, B) - H(D, B)) \geq - \int (L(E, B) + H(D, B))$$

with equality if and only if  $E = \partial_1 H(D, B)$  (pointwise). This suggests a "dissipative formulation" for the (highly) non-linear equation

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t B + dE &= 0, \\ E &= \partial_1 H(\delta(\theta'(B)), B) \end{aligned}$$

We call dissipative solutions of this equation any admissible pair  $(E, B)$  such that

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta(B) dx + \int (L(E, B) + H(D, B)) &\leq 0, \\ \text{where } D &= \delta(\theta'(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, if

$$\int (L(E, B) + H(\delta(\theta'(B)), B))$$

turns out to be a convex function of the pair  $(E, B)$  the analysis gets rather simple, but there is little chance to get interesting examples of this type unless  $k = d$ . As a matter

of fact, in the case  $k = d$ , denoting  $(E, B) = (q, \rho)$ , we get the rather general non-linear scalar diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot \partial_1 H(\nabla(\theta'(\rho)), \rho).$$

In the special case  $L(q, \rho) = \rho c(\frac{q}{\rho})$ , we get  $H(v, \rho) = \rho c^*(v)$ , where  $c$  is a convex function and  $c^*$  its Legendre-Fenchel transform. The resulting equation reads

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla c^*(\nabla(\theta'(\rho)))).$$

(Notice that this equation can be also handled by optimal transport methods [22], using "cost function"  $(x, y) \rightarrow c(x - y)$  and "entropy function"  $\theta$ .) The further choice

$$\theta(\rho) = \rho \log \rho, \quad c(w) = |w|^2/2$$

leads to the linear heat equation. Another example is the "relativistic heat equation" [3, 15], for which

$$\theta(\rho) = \rho \log \rho, \quad c(w) = -\sqrt{1 - |w|^2}.$$

**5.2. A "topology-preserving" diffusion equation for divergence-free vector fields, based on Born-Infeld Electromagnetism.** In order to provide a non-scalar application of the general framework, let us consider the special case  $d = 3$  and  $k = 2$ . So  $B$  is a closed 2-form in three space dimensions, which corresponds to a divergence-free vector field, while  $E$  is a 1-form, i.e. a vector field. We use classical notations  $\times$  for the wedge product as well as  $\nabla \times$  for  $d$ , which here is the curl operator. So, we call admissible solutions any pair of fields  $(E, B)(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying

$$(5.27) \quad \partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0.$$

Let us introduce the Born-Infeld "Lagrangian" [5], parameterized by constant  $\lambda > 0$ :

$$(5.28) \quad L_\lambda(E, B) = -\sqrt{\lambda^2 + |B|^2 - |E|^2 - \lambda^{-2}(E \cdot B)^2}.$$

Function  $L_\lambda$ , for each fixed value of  $B$  is convex in  $E$  (with infinite value as the term under the square root gets negative). The Legendre-Fenchel transform with respect to  $E$  can be easily computed and is given by the "Hamiltonian"

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} H_\lambda(D, B) &= \sqrt{\lambda^2 + |B|^2 + \lambda^2 |D|^2 + |D \times B|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(\lambda^2 + |B|^2)(1 + |D|^2) - (D \cdot B)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider a convex function  $\theta : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . From the general framework, we get a "dissipative formulation" for the (highly) non-linear equation

$$(5.30) \quad \partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0, \quad E = \partial_1 H_\lambda(\nabla \times (\theta'(B)), B)$$

by calling dissipative solution any admissible pair  $(E, B)$  such that

$$(5.31) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta(B) dx + \int (L_\lambda(E, B) + H_\lambda(D, B)) \leq 0.$$

where  $D = \nabla \times (\theta'(B))$

$$H_\lambda(D, B) = \sqrt{(\lambda^2 + |B|^2)(1 + |D|^2) - (D \cdot B)^2}.$$

The Born-Infeld Lagrangian has two remarkable properties [5, 7]: as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we recover the classical Maxwell Lagrangian for electromagnetism (as the limit of  $(\lambda + L_\lambda)\lambda$ ); as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$(5.32) \quad H_0(D, B) = \sqrt{|B|^2(1 + |D|^2) - (D \cdot B)^2}, \quad L_0(E, B) = -\sqrt{|B|^2 - |E|^2}, \quad E \cdot B = 0,$$

which, interestingly enough, includes the pointwise constraint  $E \cdot B = 0$ . This *exactly* means there is a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $E = B \times v$  and  $v$  can be defined, for instance, by setting

$$v = \frac{E \times B}{|B|^2}.$$

So, the constraint  $\partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0$  becomes

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \times (B \times v) = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) = 0,$$

which is exactly the "topology-preserving" equation (1.2) (with, here, a vector field  $v$  that is a priori not divergence-free). Let us now express  $v$  in terms of  $B$ . We have

$$E = \partial_1 H_0(D, B) = \frac{D|B|^2 - (D \cdot B)B}{H_0(D, B)}$$

where  $D = \nabla \times (\theta'(B))$ . Thus

$$v = \frac{E \times B}{|B|^2} = \frac{D \times B}{H_0(D, B)} = \frac{D \times B}{\sqrt{|B|^2 + |D \times B|^2}}.$$

So, in the case  $\lambda = 0$ , equation (5.30) can be rephrased as

$$(5.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t B + \nabla \cdot (B \otimes v - v \otimes B) &= 0, \\ v &= \frac{D \times B}{\sqrt{|B|^2 + |D \times B|^2}} \\ D &= \nabla \times (\theta'(B)). \end{aligned}$$

The resulting system looks very much like the magnetic relaxation equation (1.1) (without divergence-free constraint for  $v$ ). For instance, in the case  $\theta(B) = |B|$ , we get

$$v = \nabla \cdot \frac{B \otimes B}{H_0},$$

where  $H_0 = \sqrt{|B|^2 + |D \times B|^2}$  and  $D = \nabla \times (B/|B|)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 2008.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Heat flow and calculus on metric measure spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below-the compact case*, CVGMT preprint, <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/1837/>.
- [3] F. Andreu, V. Caselles, J. Mazón, *Finite propagation speed for limited flux diffusion equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 182 (2006) 269-297.
- [4] V.I. Arnold, B. Khesin, *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 125, Springer-Verlag 1998.

- [5] M. Born, L. Infeld, *Foundations of the new field theory*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 144, 425451 (1934).
- [6] Y. Brenier, *Extended Monge-Kantorovich Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1813, Springer 2003, 91-122.
- [7] Y. Brenier, *Hydrodynamic structure of the augmented Born-Infeld equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 172 (2004) 65-91.
- [8] Y. Brenier, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, László, Jr. *Weak-strong uniqueness for measure-valued solutions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 305 (2011) 351-361.
- [9] S. Demoulini, D. Stuart, A. Tzavaras, *Weak-strong uniqueness of dissipative measure-valued solutions for polyconvex elastodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 205 (2012) 927-961.
- [10] M. Freedman, Z-X He, *Divergence-free fields: energy and asymptotic crossing number*, Ann. of Math. (2) 134 (1991) 189-229.
- [11] N. Gigli, *On the Heat flow on metric measure spaces: existence, uniqueness and stability*, to appear in Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Eq., <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/843/>.
- [12] N. Gigli, K. Kuwada, S. I. Ohta *Heat Flow on Alexandrov spaces*, to appear in CPAM, <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/747>.
- [13] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, F. Otto, *The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 29 (1998) 1-17.
- [14] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 3. 1996.
- [15] R. McCann, M. Puel, *Constructing a relativistic heat flow by transport time steps*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 26 (2009) 2539-2580.
- [16] A. Mielke, *Differential, energetic, and metric formulations for rate-independent processes*, Nonlinear PDE's and applications, 87-170, Lecture Notes in Math., 2028, Springer, 2011.
- [17] H. K. Moffatt, *Magnetostatic equilibria and analogous Euler flows of arbitrarily complex topology*, J. Fluid Mech. 159 (1985) 359-378.
- [18] T. Nishiyama, *Construction of the three-dimensional stationary Euler flows from pseudo-advected vorticity equations*, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 459 (2003) 2393-2398.
- [19] T. Nishiyama, *Magnetohydrodynamic approaches to measure-valued solutions of the two-dimensional stationary Euler equations*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) 2 (2007) 139-154.
- [20] T. Riviere, *High-dimensional helicities and rigidity of linked foliations*, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002) 505-533.
- [21] M. Sermange, R. Temam, *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) 635-664.
- [22] C. Villani, *Topics in Optimal Transportation*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 58, AMS 2003.

CNRS, CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, FR-91128 PALAISEAU, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* [brenier@math.polytechnique.fr](mailto:brenier@math.polytechnique.fr)