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The Variational Theory of Complex Rays: a predictive computational tool for complex 3-D mid-frequency acoustic problems

H. Riou, P. Ladevège and L. Kovalevsky

Laboratoire de Mécanique et Technologie, Bât. Léonard de Vinci 61 Av du président Wilson 94235 Cachan Cedex riou@lmt.ens-cachan.fr
The Variational Theory of Complex Rays (VTCR) is a predictive computational tool that has been developed to solve mid-frequency problems. This is a wave approach that involves exact solutions of the governing equation, and a non classical variational formulation for handling boundary conditions of the problem. No fine discretization is therefore necessary to find an approximated solution, and the model sizes are consequently drastically reduced in comparison with the element based methods. This results in a more efficient prediction technique for vibration problems, especially in the mid-frequency ranges. This presentation discusses how the VTCR can be used for the analysis of complex 3-D acoustic problems. Its performances will be compared to the element-based methods through validation examples, in order to highlight its superior efficiency over the standard numerical prediction techniques.

1 Introduction

In recent years, the use of numerical simulation techniques in design, analysis and optimisation of systems has become an indispensable part of the industrial design process. The standard Galerkin finite element method (FEM) [11] is a well established computer aided engineering tool which is commonly used for the analysis of time-harmonic dynamic problems. However, the use of continuous, piecewise polynomial shape functions leads to very large models and is associated to numerical difficulties, such as the pollu-
tion error, which in practice restricts its application to the low-frequency range.

In recent years, a variety of techniques have been proposed to minimise the last cited drawbacks and as a result, increase the practical application range of the FEM to higher frequencies. Among these techniques, we have the prede-
dined reduced bases [2], the Galerkin least-squares FEM [3], the quasi-stabilized finite element method [4], the partition of unity method [5], the generalized finite element method [6], residual-free bubbles [7] and the quasi optimal Petrov-Galerkin method [8]. They have all shown their capacity to reduce computational costs and solve numerical difficulties. However, the range of frequency solved by these methods is still lower than the mid and high-frequency range.

Apart from the FEM and all the last cited methods, there is another family of methods, the so called Trefftz methods [9], which differ from the FEMs by their choice of shape functions. Indeed, instead of using approximated functions, exact solutions of the governing differential equations are used for the expansion of the field variables. These approaches include, for example, a special use of the partition of unity method [10], the ultra-weak variational method [11], the least-
squares method [12], the discontinuous enrichment method [13], the element-free Galerkin method [14], the wave bound-
ary element method [15] and the wave-based method [16]. The Variational Theory of Complex Rays (VTCR), de-
veloped in this paper, belongs also to the category of the nu-
merical techniques which uses exact solutions of the gov-
erning equation to solve the problem. The decisive advantage of such methods (common to all Trefftz methods) is that no refined discretization is necessary, as they use exact solu-
tions of the governing equation. Therefore, the model size and computational effort are considerably reduced compared to element-based methods. The main differences among all these approaches is the treatment of the transmission condi-
tions (between the sub-structures) and the boundary condi-
tions, and the type of shape functions used.

The VTCR was introduced in [17] and is based on an original variational formulation of the problem which was developed in order to allow the approximations within the substructures to be a priori independent of one another. Thus, in each substructure, any type of shape function can be used, as soon as it verifies the governing equation. This gives to the approach a great flexibility and, consequently, efficiency as any type of function with a strong mechanical content can be used without any difficulty. The second feature defining the VTCR is the introduction of two-scale approximations with a strong mechanical content: the solution is described as the superposition of an infinite number of plane waves which sat-
ify the governing equation exactly. All the wave directions are taken into account. The unknowns of the problem are their amplitudes.

In [18] and [19], the VTCR was used to predict the vibration response of a 3-D plate assembly. In [20], plates with heterogeneities were taken into account. In [21], this theory was extended to shell structures. The calculation of the vibration response over a range of frequencies was presented in [22]. The use of the VTCR for transient dynamics problems was covered in [23]. The extension to acoustic problem was made in [24] and its adaptive version was developed in [25]. It was shown through many examples that this approach was capable of finding an accurate solution by using few dofs.

In this paper, the VTCR is extended to three-dimensional (3D) Helmholtz problems. To this effect, the VTCR formulation is first reviewed in Section 2. Then in Section 3, the space of 3-D approximated shape functions that verify the governing equation is defined. Numerical and CPU perfor-
ance results obtained with the 3-D VTCR are presented in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion of this work and directions for future research are offered in Section 5.

2 The Variational Theory of Complex Rays for Helmholtz problems

2.1 The reference problem

Let us consider a general three dimensional interior steady state dynamic problem on an acoustic cavity $\Omega$, filled with a fluid characterised by its speed of sound $c_0$, its density $\rho_0$ and its damping coefficient $\eta$. The steady state dynamic beha-
vior of $\Omega$ is studied at a fixed circular frequency $\omega$. All description quantities can be written thanks to the complex numbers: an amplitude $Q(x)$ is associated to the quantity $Q(x)e^{i\omega t}$, where $x$ represents the position and $i = \sqrt{-1}$ the imaginary unity.

The problem to be solved is then the following: find the pressure $p \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p + k^2 p &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
p - Z_L(p) &= h_d \quad \text{over } \partial_2 \Omega \\
p &= p_d \quad \text{over } \partial_1 \Omega \\
L_v(p) &= v_d \quad \text{over } \partial_3 \Omega
\end{align*}
\] (1)
where \( k = (1 - i\eta)k_0 = (1 - i\eta)\frac{\omega}{c_0} \) is the wave number, \( f \) a loading function, \( Z \) an impedance coefficient and \( L_{\text{e}}(\Omega) \) an operator defined as \( L_{\text{e}}(\Omega) = \frac{i}{\rho_0 \omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} = \frac{i}{\rho_0 \omega} \nabla(\Omega) \), \( \mathbf{n} \) being the outward normal to \( \partial \Omega \). \( h_\text{d}, p_\text{d} \) and \( v_\text{d} \) denote respectively a prescribed excitation over \( \partial_2 \Omega \), a prescribed pressure over \( \partial_3 \Omega \) and a prescribed velocity over \( \partial_4 \Omega \).

Let \( \Omega \) be partitioned into \( N_{\Omega} \) non-overlapping sub-cavities \( \Omega_{\text{E}} \), and denote \( \Gamma_{\text{E,E}} = \partial \Omega_{\text{E}} \cap \partial \Omega_{\text{E}} \). The reference problem (1) becomes: find the pressure \((p_1, \ldots, p_N)\) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p_E + k^2 p_E &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega_E \\
p_E - Z L_{\text{e}}(p_E) &= h_{\text{dE}} \quad \text{over } \partial_2 \Omega_E \\
p_E &= p_{\text{dE}} \quad \text{over } \partial_3 \Omega_E \\
L_{\text{e}}(p) &= v_{\text{dE}} \quad \text{over } \partial_4 \Omega_E \\
p_E &= p_E' \quad \text{over } \Gamma_{\text{E,E}} \\
L_{\text{e}}(p_E') &= -L_{\text{e}}(p_E) \quad \text{over } \Gamma_{\text{E,E}}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

The terms \( p_E, Z_E, p_{\text{dE}}, h_{\text{dE}} \) and \( v_{\text{dE}} \) correspond to the pressure, the impedance coefficient and the prescribed excitations over the cavity \( \Omega_{\text{E}} \), and the last two equations represent the continuity conditions over \( \Gamma_{\text{E,E}} \).

2.2 The VTCR formulation

The VTCR formulation is obtained from the boundary value problem (2) by rewriting it in a weak form. The following functional spaces \( S_{ad}^{E} \) and \( S_{ad,0}^{E} \) are required: these spaces of functions satisfying respectively the un-homogeneous and the homogeneous Helmholtz equation (the first equation of problem (1) considering or not the loading function) in the whole sub-cavity \( \Omega_{\text{E}} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{ad}^{E} &= \{ p_E \in H^1(\Omega_{\text{E}}) \mid \Delta p_E + k^2 p_E = f, \forall x \in \Omega_{\text{E}} \} \quad \text{(3)} \\
S_{ad,0}^{E} &= \{ p_E \in H^1(\Omega_{\text{E}}) \mid \Delta p_E + k^2 p_E = 0, \forall x \in \Omega_{\text{E}} \} \quad \text{(4)}
\end{align*}
\]

The VTCR formulation is:

Find \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\} \in S_{ad}^{E} \times S_{ad}^{E} \times \cdots \times S_{ad}^{N_{\Omega}} \) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{E=1}^{N_{\Omega}} \int_{\partial \Omega_E} (p_E - Z L_{\text{e}}(p_E) - h_{\text{dE}}) L_{\text{e}}(\delta p_E) dS \right. \\
+ \int_{\partial \Omega_E} (p_E - Z L_{\text{e}}(p_E) - h_{\text{dE}}) \delta p_E dS \\
+ \sum_{E=1}^{N_{\Omega}} \int_{\partial \Omega_E} (p_E - p_{\text{dE}}) L_{\text{e}}(\delta p_E) dS \\
+ \sum_{E=1}^{N_{\Omega}} \int_{\partial \Omega_E} L_{\text{e}}(p_E) - v_{\text{dE}} \delta p_E dS \\
+ \sum_{E,L \in \Gamma_{\text{E,E}}} \left. \left. \int_{\partial \Omega_E} \left( (p_E - p_{\text{dE}}) L_{\text{e}}(\delta p_E - \delta p_{\text{dE}}) + L_{\text{e}}(p_E + p_{\text{dE}}) (\delta p_E + \delta p_{\text{dE}}) \right) dS \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. \forall \{\delta p_1, \delta p_2, \ldots, \delta p_{N_{\Omega}}\} \in S_{ad,0}^{1} \times S_{ad,0}^{2} \times \cdots \times S_{ad,0}^{N_{\Omega}} \right\} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

It is shown in [26] that this formulation is equivalent to the reference problem (2) if there is some damping (through \( \eta \) or the real part of \( Z \)).

Then, all that is necessary, in order to develop approximations from the VTCR, is to verify (5) in a finite dimensional subspace \( S_{ad}^{E,h} \) of \( S_{ad}^{E} \) (resp. \( S_{ad,0}^{E,h} \) and \( S_{ad,0}^{E} \)).

2.3 Discretization of the admissible spaces

The solutions of space \( S_{ad}^{E} \) (see (3)) are generated by the sum of a particular solution \( p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) \) (associated to the loading function \( f \), and computed thanks to the Green functions) and a solution \( p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) \) that satisfies the homogeneous part of the dynamic equation. For \( \Omega_{\text{E}} \) of star like form, it is shown in [27] for two dimensional acoustic problems and in [28] for 3D problems that \( p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) \) belongs to the set of functions generated by an integral distribution of plane waves which satisfy the homogeneous Helmholtz equation, also known as Her- glotz wave functions. This distribution of plane waves can be written as

\[
p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) = \int_{C} A_{E}(C)e^{i(k_0 \gamma(C)(x-x_0))} dC
\]

where \( C \) is the unit circle for 2-D problems and the unit sphere for 3-D problems and \( x_0 \) a reference point located in \( \Omega_{\text{E}} \). \( A_{E}(C) \) represents the amplitude of the waves over all the direction of propagation in the 2-D or 3-D space, also denoted as the amplitude portrait.

3 The 3-D version of VTCR with spherical harmonics decomposition of plane waves amplitudes

3.1 Pressure field approximation

As presented in section 2.3, the pressure field is sough as a Herglotz wave function. It is an integral repartition of plane waves over all the direction which, for the 3-D case, can be written as:

\[
p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} A_{E}(\theta,\varphi)e^{i(k_0 \gamma(\theta,\varphi)(x-x_0))} d\theta d\varphi
\]

where \( A_{E} \) describes the amplitudes of the plane waves propagating in the (\( \theta, \varphi \)) 3-D spherical direction and \( k(\theta, \varphi) \) their wave vectors.

A truncated Laplace serie is used to describe the amplitude portrait \( A_{E}(\theta,\varphi) \). This serie is the 3-D extension of the Fourier serie used in 2-D. Then, apart from the particular solution \( p_{\text{ad}}^E(x) \) (see section 2.3), the discrete space \( S_{ad}^{E,h} \subset S_{ad}^{E} \) taken in (5) may be written as

\[
S_{ad}^{E,h} = \text{span} \{ \sum_{m=0}^{N_{E}} \sum_{l=0}^{l_{\text{max}}} Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) e^{i(\theta,\varphi)(x-x_0))} d\theta d\varphi \\
\}

m = -l, \ldots, l, l = 0, \ldots, N_{E}

(8)

where \( Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^{2l+1} P_{l,m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m \varphi} \) is the Legendre polynom, is the spherical harmonics of non negative index \( l \) and momentum \( m \). Parameter \( m \) varies from \(-l\) to \( l \). The set \( \{Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi)\}_{m<0<l} \) forms a complete orthonormal system on the unit sphere considering the classic \( L^2 \) scalar product.

3.2 Number of shape functions to use

Figure 1 reports the plot of the energy defined by \( e_{\text{ad}}(\Phi^n_j) = \frac{1}{\rho_0 \omega \Omega_{\text{E}}} \int_{\Omega_{\text{E}}} \Phi^n_j(x)\Phi^n_j(x)d\Omega \) of each shape function \( \Phi^n_j \) on a 1 m
x 1 m x 1 m cubic cavity Ω filled with air at 1000 Hz. One can see that the energy of the shape functions decreases with order \( l \). Then it is possible to predict the right number of shape function that must be used in 3-D Helmholtz problems to reach a desired precision. Indeed, for a sub-cavity \( \Omega_E \), higher index \( l_{\text{max}} \) must verify:

\[
\forall l \leq l_{\text{max}} \quad \max_{|m| \leq l} |e_{\Omega_E}(\Phi^m_l)| > 10^{-r} \tag{9}
\]

where \( r \) is a given number related to the desired precision.

4 Numerical results

4.1 Cubic wave guide

First, let us consider a simple cavity. The domain \( \Omega \) is a cube with dimensions \([0, L] \times [0, L] \times [0, L] \) and the prescribed Robin boundary conditions are \( h_d = p_{\text{ex}} - Z_L \cdot p_{\text{ex}} \) such that the exact solution \( p_{\text{ex}} \) is a plane wave propagating in the spherical direction \((\theta_{\text{ex}} = 0.8^\circ, \phi_{\text{ex}} = 2.3^\circ)\). This choice has been retained as the wave direction does not correspond to any particular direction in the spherical coordinates. The cavity \( \Omega \) is taken in its whole geometry, without any discretization in sub-cavities. The convergence with respect to the number of shape functions used in \( S_{E,h} \) (see (8)) is evaluated for the three considered cases: \( kL = 5 \), \( kL = 10 \), and \( kL = 15 \). The results are visible on Figure 2. The relative error \( \varepsilon(p) = \frac{\|p - p_{\text{ref}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\|p_{\text{ref}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} \) of the approximated solutions is used. As one can see, the 3-D VTCR presents a very good convergence rate. Indeed, all the curves rapidly decreases toward very small values. Moreover, even with very small values of \( r \) in criterion (9) (for example for \( r = 1 \) which corresponds to the first point in each decreasing curve) the associated errors are quite small (less that 2\% in the present cases).

4.2 Three dimensional car cavity

The 3-D VTCR is used to solve an acoustic problem defined on a car cavity visible on Figure 3. The cavity is filled with air \((\rho_0 = 1.2 \, \text{kg.m}^{-3}, c_0 = 344 \, \text{m.s}^{-1} \text{ and } \eta = 10^{-5})\) and is excited by a point source located in the front right part of the cavity. As one can see, boundary conditions can be a normal impedance condition with two different impedance values \( Z = 845 - 55i \, \text{Pa.s.m}^{-1} \) on the seats and \( Z = 615.4 - 1887i \, \text{Pa.s.m}^{-1} \) on the other boundaries, or a hardwall on the front and rear windows. The decomposition of the cavity in sub-cavities can be seen on Figure 3.
The solution of this problem at 1700 Hz is plotted on Figure 4. Different spatial snapshots in the car have been represented to show the pressure in the whole cavity. They have been computed for $r = 3$ in criterion (9) leading to 16342 DOFs used.

As the 3-D VTCR permits one to solve such a complex problem, some industrial interesting quantities can be computed. To this effect, we have plotted the RMS average pressure in the two cavities of interest visible on Figure 3. They correspond to the cubic zone of 0.2 m length of the sound “ambiance” near the head of the front and rear passengers. This quantity has been computed on 64 points equally distributed in each cavity of interest. The results can be seen on Figure 5. On that figure, the RMS value has been superposed to the pressure of all the 64 points. Such an information could be interested for the design of the car cavity.

Figure 5: Evolution of the pressure of all the 64 points (grey curves) and the RMS average pressure (red bold line) inside the front left cavity (left) and rear right cavity (right) defined in Figure 3.

5 Conclusion

The extension of the VTCR to 3-D acoustic problems in the medium frequency range is presented. This extension is based on the spherical harmonics expansion of the amplitudes of the plane waves that are used in the VTCR.

Two different examples of different complexities are considered to assess the performances of the 3-D VTCR. The first example shows the excellent convergence rate of the VTCR. The last example, an industrial car cavity, shows that the 3-D VTCR can run on very complex 3-D examples.

Some foregoing future problems are these one: the use of the Fourier approximation in three dimensional assembly of plates; the investigation of vibro-acoustic examples; the definition of a general frameworks for complex structural vibration problems.
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Figure 4: Real part of the pressure field computed with the 3-D VTRC in the problem defined in Figure 3 at 1700 Hz.


