



**HAL**  
open science

## Modeling the Logistics Performance in Developing Countries: An exploratory study of Moroccan context

Abdelkabir Charkaoui, Abdellah Ait Ouahman, Brahim Bouayad

► **To cite this version:**

Abdelkabir Charkaoui, Abdellah Ait Ouahman, Brahim Bouayad. Modeling the Logistics Performance in Developing Countries: An exploratory study of Moroccan context. *International Journal of Academic Research*, 2012, 4 (2), pp.129-135. hal-00808722

**HAL Id: hal-00808722**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00808722>**

Submitted on 9 Apr 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Modeling the Logistics Performance in Developing Countries: An exploratory study of Moroccan context

Abdelkabar CHARKAOUI<sup>1</sup>, ABDELLAH AIT OUAHMAN<sup>2</sup>, BRAHIM BOUAYYAD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD, Faculty of Science Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, <sup>2</sup>ENSA, Cadi Ayyad University

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Law Economics and Social

(MARRAKESH, MOROCCO)

charkaoui.a@gmail.com, a.charkaoui@uca.ma

## **ABSTRACT:**

Moroccan geographical position allows its companies to participate in international supply chains. Therefore, these companies are forced to raise their levels of logistics performance. This article is a research progress; it presents a literature review on the supply chain performance and proposes a research model that identifies factors explaining this performance for Moroccan SMEs.

**Article Type:** Research paper

**Keywords:** Supply Chain, SCMP, Technological Practices, Supply Chain performance, Morocco.

## **1. INTRODUCTION:**

Logistic in Morocco is still at an embryonic stage, only international are equipped with visions and clear logistic strategies. Moroccan professionals of logistics explain this case by three types of handicap. (1) Structural (structures are not adapted to logistics, pyramidal structures...). (2) Organizational (bulk-heading of the functions, retention of information...). (3) Institutional (lack of reforms of levelling of the public corporations).

To surmount these handicaps, the Moroccan government and the CGEM (General Confederation of the Companies of Morocco) signed a contract-program in April 2010, which aims at levelling Moroccan logistics and improving its competitiveness to the international level. This contract is declined in five axes: (1) developing a national network integrated by multiflux logistic zones, (2) optimizing and gathering flows of goods, (3) levelling and incenting the emergence of integrated and powerful logistic actors, (4) developing competences through a national plan of training in the trades of the logistics and (5) installing a governorship framework of the sector and adapted measurements of regulation.

In addition, the strategic geographical location of Morocco urges all SMEs to raise their performance levels in logistics and to maintain their competitiveness at international scales. This underlines the question about the factors of this performance and raises the need to develop a conceptual model identifying the explanatory factors of logistic performance of Moroccan SME.

This work aims at being a prolongation of the study undertaken by Arumugam and Mojtahedzadeh (2011) which examined and tested the relation between the SCM (Supply Chain Management) practices and logistic performance in the Iranian context. Our work will be limited to agro alimentary SMEs of the region of Marrakech middle of Morocco.

The first paragraph presents review of the literature on performance of the supply chains whereas the second paragraph exposes the hypothetical model of research model. The last paragraph treats the implications of the research model, its limits and possible tracks of improvement.

## **2. Literature review on measuring the logistic performance:**

According to Neely et al. (2005), measurement of the performance is defined as the process of quantification of effectiveness and efficiency of the action. In this direction, Shepherd and Günter (2005) specify that the evaluation tools of logistic performance must be able to quantify at the same time the effectiveness and the efficiency of the action of the supply chain. However, the majority of the supply chains suffer from an obvious lack in the availability of the shared databases (Ruth et al., 2011) which hinders the effectiveness of evaluating the logistic performance.

At the beginning, evaluation of logistic performance was limited to purely financial measurements (Cauvin and Bescos, 2005) based on the history of the logistic activities and classified as unable to evaluate objectively their logistic effectiveness (Antikson et al., 1997).

In 2000, Brewer and Speh count hundreds of metrics to evaluate the logistic performance and gather them in three categories: (1) measurements of services, (2) measurements of costs and (3) measurements of the output of the credit. Jobin and Friel (2001) on the other hand, analyze these three categories of measurements and introduce others: reactivity, agility, efficiency and intelligence.

Foggin et al. (2004), stipulate that in spite of the existence of several models for evaluating logistic performance (SCOR, Evalog, Aslog...) many of them are complex and their installations seem to be too complicated especially when it is about SME. As for Ruth et al. (2011), they compare these models of logistic performance evaluation and specify different characteristics of evaluation and lead to different results. Consequently, companies express an urgent need for a new tool to improve their logistic performance.

In addition, a detailed study of the literature stipulate two big families approaches of the logistic performance:

(1) global approaches and (2) partial ones. The first ones treat the performance of the supply chain as a whole, but agree neither on the method of evaluation nor on the result (Roth et al., 2008; Chow et al., 2008; Larson et al., 2007; Zhou and Benton, 2007). The second ones are interested in a part (upstream, intern or downstream), or in a link of this supply chain or treat the impact of some practices on performance (Paulraj et al., 2008; Van der Vart and Von Donk, 2008; Robb et al. 2008; Germain and Lyer, 2006).

Li et al. (2009) and Chowa et al. (2008) specify that the logistic performance evaluation is complex (recourse to the partial models and the existence of a very great number of indicators). They specify that a conceptual confusion characterizes the concept of performance of the supply chains. This is due, partly, to the interdisciplinary nature of both the supply chain and the evaluation of its performance.

At this level, a bibliographical examination (table 1), makes it possible to retain four indicators whose combination for evaluation supply chain performance: (1) control of the costs, (2) the added-value, (3) customer satisfaction and (4) delivery periods.

**Table 1:** Logistics performance Indicators

Operationalisation of logistics performance	References
Control of the costs	Chen et Paulraj, 2004 ; Shin et al. 2000 ; Brewer et Speh 2000
Added-value	Lambert et Pohlen 2001; Cox 2001
Customer satisfaction	Zhao et al. 2011 ; Flynn et al. 2010 ; Zhao et al 2008 ; Kitchen et Hult 2007, Li et al. 2005 ; Tan 2002 ; Christopher 2005 ; Li 2006, Chow 2008 ; Kelin 2007 ; Brewer et Speh 2000
Delivery periods	Koh et al 2007; Li et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2006, Zhou et Beneton 2007; Dejonckheere et al. 2004,

### **3. MODELING SCM PRACTICES EXPLANATORY OF LOGISTICS PERFORMANCE:**

The interdisciplinary origin of SCM reveals two insufficiencies (Li et al., 2006, Tan et al., 1998): (1) Conceptual confusion as for the concept of SCM and (2) the obvious lack of consensus around one clear unambiguous definition (Mentzer et al. 2008; Gibson et al. 2005).

In this article, SCM is defined in accordance with the authors Shimchi-Levi et al. (2002), Park and Krishnan (2001) and Li et al. (2006) who specify that SCM is the whole range of approaches used to effectively integrate suppliers, manufacturers, warehouses and stores, as well as the finished products distributed to the right quantity, the right place and the right moment, in order to minimize the costs and to fulfill the requirements of the customers.

Mentzer (2001) notes that a SCM orientation must be accompanied by a number of practices. Li et al. (2005) and Koh et al. (2007) define these practices as being the whole range of activities deployed in order to allow an effective management of the supply chain. In this direction, Sakka (2008) reminds that each company must be able to define its requirements in logistic performance in order to identify the best practices which answer its expectations, and finally, to define the suitable measurements and metric for the evaluation of this performance.

Mentzer (2001) specifies that a SCM orientation must be accompanied by the implementation of a number of practices. Li et al. (2005) and Koh et al. (2007) define these practices as being the activities deployed in order to allow a successful implementation of SCM. The analysis of more than 30 articles published between 2000 and 2010 returns to two principal reports: (1) the absence of works which treat the explanatory practices of the logistic performance as a whole, (2) the obtained results remain vague and fragmented, consequently, they do not make it possible to adopt only one conclusion. To fill these insufficiencies, we propose, a research model which federates the most quoted practices in literature, and that further performance of the supply chain (fig. 1).

The hypothetical research model (Fig. 1) highlights two types of logistics performance practices. The first one having a SCM character and called SCM practices, whereas the second, based on technology, is referred to technology practices.

**Fig. 1:** Hypothetical research model

#### **3.1. Technological Practices:**

The emergence of new organizational forms on the one hand, and the universality of manufacture and distribution, on the other hand, forces supply chains to develop technological practices (according to Mentzer, 2001) in order to guarantee a high and maintained level of performance.

Researches treating the impact of the technological practices on the performance of the supply chains (table 2) retain the following variables: (1) information share, (2) information quality, (3) information content, and (4) ICT use. Furthermore they allowed elaborating the following hypothesis: *The technical and technological practices influence positively the supply chain performance.*

**Table 2:** Technological Practices

Technological Practices Identified	References
Information share	Zhao et al. (2011), Li et al. (2009), Sodhi et Son (2009), Zhao et al. (2008), Roth et al. (2008), Paulraj et al. (2008), Chow et al. (2008), Modi et Mabert (2007), Klein (2007), Zhou et Beneton (2007), Chen et al. (2007), Li et al. (2006), Kim et al. (2006), Li et al. (2005), Dejonckeere et al. (2003), Zhao (2002), Tan (2001), Stock et al. (2000), Shin et al. (2000)
Contents of information	Li et al. (2009), Sodhi et Son (2009), Zhao et al. (2008), Chow et al. (2008), Modi et Mabert (2007), Klein (2007), Zhou et Beneton (2007), Chen et al. (2007), Dejonckeere et al. (2003)
Quality of information	Li et al. (2009), Sodhi et Son (2009), Zhao et al. (2008), Chowa et al. (2008), Modi et Mabert (2007), Klein (2007), Zhou et Beneton (2007), Chen et al. (2007), Li et al. (2006), Kim et al. (2006), Dejonckeere et al. (2003)
Use of ICT	Roth et al. (2008), Paulraj et al. (2008), Zhou et Beneton (2007), Chen et al. (2007), Seggie et al. (2006), Tan (2002), Stock et al. (2000)

This hypothesis can be divided into four sub-hypotheses:

**- Information share:**

Sharing information means the communication between partners of the supply chain (Koh et al., 2007). It refers to the width and the intensity with which information is exchanged between the members of this chain (Li et al., 2005).

In (2009) and Li et al., (2006) specify that the division of information is a catalyst for the integration of the supply chain. Their side, Sodhi and Its (2009) show that sharing information influences positively the strategic and the operational supply chain performance.

**H<sub>11</sub>:** *Sharing information influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**- Information quality:**

Information quality of represents the degree to which the information exchanged between the companies meets their needs. It is measured by various criteria: content, exactitude, exhaustiveness, frequency, adequacy and credibility (Moberg et al. 2004). This multitude of criteria is due to the differences in perception of information by the members of the supply chain.

Holmberg (2000) specifies that the impact of the exchange of information depend on its quality. Their sides, Zhao et al. (2008) and Larson et al. (2007) Narasimhan and Nair (2004) advance that the exchange of quality information ensures the performance of the supply chain.

**H<sub>12</sub>:** *Information quality influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**- Information Content:**

Information contents exchanged between supply chain members can take several aspects. Mentzer et al. (2001) and Moberg et al. (2002) distinguish between strategic information, operational and those which relate to the logistic activities (production capacity, level of stocks...). (Daugherty et al. (2002) raise the existence of other types of contents of information: relational information and rational information. The first is centered on the bond between two agents (subjective and imperfect), whereas the second is centered on the message (objectifies, perfect and storable). The author specifies that it is necessary to ensure the combined exchange of relational and rational information to reach the performance of the supply chains. Larson et al. (2007) and Zhao et al. (2008) and Paulraj et al. (2008) affirm that the contents of information influence the performance positively of both the supply chain and these members.

**H<sub>13</sub>:** *Information contents of influence positively the supply chain performance.*

**- Information and Communication technologies Use (ICT):**

Sanders (2007) specifies that an effective management of the supply chains is generally associated with ICT use. The use of this later in the supply chains leads to the synchronization of physical flows and information (Flynn et al., 2010) as well as to the coordination in decisions making (Xue et al., 2005).

In 2008, Paulraj et al., specify that the recourse to the ICT affects the performance of the supply chains positively. However, Roth et al. (2008) and Sanders (2007) specify that the extensive investment in these technologies alone does not lead directly to the performance, and that it must be accompanied by a reorganization by these chains.

**H<sub>14</sub>:** *ICT use influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**3.2. Supply Chain Management Practices (SCMP) :**

Li et al. (2006) and Koh et al. (2007) define the SCMP as the whole range of activities undertaken in an organization to enhance an effective management of the supply chain.

Zhou and Beneton (2007) show the relation between SCMP and supply chain performance. Moreover, Davis-Sramek et al. (2006) specify that mistrust between the companies impacts SCMP negatively and disturbs the performance of the supply chain. Table 3 show three explanatory variables of the SCMP: (1) Integration, (2)

coordination and (3) collaboration. It also supposes the following assumption: *The SCMP influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**Table 3:** SCM Practices

SCMP identified	References
Integration	Flynn et al. (2010), Zhao et al. (2010), Lintukangas et al. (2010), Li et al. (2009), Chow et al. (2008), Zhao et al. (2008), Roth et al. (2008), Yang et al. (2008), Chowa et al. (2008), Larson et al. (2007), Ritchie et Brindley (2007), Modi et Mabert (2007), Seggie et al. (2006), Yue et Liu (2006), Dejonckheere et al. (2004), Dejonckheere et al. (2003), Zhao (2002), Tan (2002), Tan (2001), Stock et al. (2000), Shin et al. (2000)
Coordination	Chow et al. (2008), Zhao et al. (2008), Yang et al. (2008), Chow et al. (2008), Larson et al. (2007), Ritchie et Brindley (2007), Modi et Mabert (2007), Koh et al. (2007), Li et al. (2006), Seggie et al. (2006), Fynes et al. (2005), Li et al. (2005), Narasimhan et Kim (2002), Tan (2002), Stock et al. (2000),
Collaboration	Flynn et al. (2010), Zhao et al. (2010), Sodhi et Son (2009), Lintukangas et al. (2009), Zhao et al. (2008), Roth et al. (2008), Yang et al. (2008), Larson et al. (2007), Ritchie et Brindley (2007), Modi et Mabert (2007), Klein (2007), Sanders (2007), Zhou et Beneton (2007), Chen et al. (2007), Koh et al. (2007), Li et al. (2006), Seggie et al. (2006), Yue et Liu (2006), Fynes et al. (2005), Li et al. (2005), Dejonckheere et al. (2003), Zhao (2002), Narasimhan et Kim (2002), Tan (2002), Stock et al. (2000), Shin et al. (2000)

**- Integration:**

In a supply chain, “to integrate” is to carry out some changes in order to coordinate physical flows and information coming from multiple actors in order to manage the routing of the final product by a multitude of intermediaries (Christopher, 2005).

Flynn et al. (2010) Zhao et al. (2010) Li et al. (2009), Lintukangas et al (2010) Zhao et al (2008) show the direct impact of integration on the performance of the supply chains.

**H<sub>21</sub>:** *Integration influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**- Coordination:**

Logistic coordination is the whole range of actions carried out by a supply chain to orchestrate and to synchronize the release of flows in order to create an added-value for the customer (Lintukangas et al. 2010). According to Chan et al. (2004), the piloting of the logistic activities depends on an effective coordination between all partners. Moreover, Llerena (2003) stipulates that the performance of the supply chains rests on the coordination of their decisions and their activities. Lintukangas and Al (2010), Zhao and Al (2008) Yang and Al (2008) and Ritchie and Brindley (2007) affirm that coordination is the independent factor which makes it possible for the supply chains to reach logistic integration and to lead thereafter to the performance.

**H<sub>22</sub>:** *Coordination influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**- Collaboration:**

Collaboration is the long-term, continuous and durable partnership between companies to achieve mutual goals (Paulraj et al. 2008, Fawcett and Magnan, 2002). Roy et al. (2006) advance that the stake of collaboration in a supply chain is at the same time the satisfaction of the customer and the reduction of the costs. For Sodhi and Its (2009), Zhao et al. (2008), Yang et al. (2008) and Chen et al. (2007) collaboration influences the performance of the supply chain positively.

**H<sub>23</sub>:** *Collaboration influences positively the supply chain performance.*

**4. CONCLUSION AND PROSPECTS:**

The performance of the supply chains, in terms of quality, cost and times depend strongly on the collaborative device and the effort of information exchange between its members. This article proposes a modeling starting from a review of literature in the form of a model of logistic performance applicable by the Moroccan companies in order to improve their performances and participate actively so as to raise that of the supply chains in which they are implied.

The ambition of this article is to form a conceptual model around which Moroccan companies can build their logistic performance. This model was carried out on the basis of corpus of major works completed and tested on the ground by many researchers. Our model represents a reference frame of practices and factors contributing to the performance of the supply chains which we have gathered under two main practices: (1) technical and technological practices, and (2) SCMP.

This work is not fully completed. It would be interesting to test it on the ground and to discuss, thereafter, the possibility of enriching it with other assumptions and of studying other correspondences with other disciplines of logistic management. However it is not free from limits of reproaches that will constitute future tracks of research. The first stresses that the model is based on studies carried out in contexts different from the Moroccan one. The second is related to the existence of other factors that were not taken into account in our study and which are likely to influence supply chains performance in the Moroccan context, such as the report/ratio between members,

complexity of the chain of value, experience and the leadership of certain companies that make up the supply chain.

In our future research we plan to test it in agro alimentary SMEs of the region of Marrakech middle of Moroccan.

## REFERENCES

1. Arumugam, V.C., and Mojtahedzadeh, R., (2011) 'Relationship between supply chain management practices and performance in the Iranian industries: A theoretical approach'. *International Journal of Academic Research*. vol. 3. no. 4. Part II, pp. 594-598.
2. Antikson, A.A., J.H. Waterhouse & R.B. Wells, (1997) 'A Stakeholder Approach to Strategic Performance Measurement'. *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 38, No 3, p. 25-37.
3. Brewer, P.C. and Speh, T.W. (2000), 'Using the Balanced Scorecard to measure supply chain performance', *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 75-93.
4. Chan, F. T.S. S. H. Chung, S. WADHWA. (2004) 'A heuristic methodology for order distribution in a demand driven collaborative supply chain'. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2004, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp 1-19
5. Cauvin E. et Bescos P.-L. (2005) 'Les déterminants du choix des indicateurs dans les tableaux de bord des entreprises françaises : une étude empirique', *Finance Contrôle Stratégie*, décembre, vol.8, n°1, pp. 5-25.
6. Chen, M.-C., Yang, T. and Li, H.-C., (2007) 'Evaluating the supply chain performance of IT-based inter-enterprise collaboration'. *Information & Management*, 44(6): 524-534.
7. Chen, I.J. et Paulraj, A. (2004), Towards a theory of supply chain management: the constructs and measurements, *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 22, n° 2, pp.119–150.
8. Christopher M. (2005) 'Supply chain management, Pearson village mondial', Paris, 3ème édition.
9. Chowa, W. S., Madu, C. N., Kuei, C.-H., Lu, M. H., Chinho, L., Tseng, H., (2008) 'Supply chain management in the US and Taiwan: An empirical study'. *The International Journal of Management Science*, 36 (5), pp. 665-679.
10. Chow, W.S. et al., (2008) 'Supply chain management in the US and Taiwan: An empirical study'. *Omega*, 36(5): 665-679.
11. Daugherty, P.J., Myers, M.B. and Richey, R.G., (2002) 'Information support for reverse logistics: the influence of relationship commitment'. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 23(1): 85-106.
12. Davis-Sramek B., Fugate B., Omar A (2007) 'Functional dysfunctional supply chain exchanges'. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol.37, n°1, pp.43-63.
13. Dejonckheere, J., Disney, S.M., Lambrecht, M.R. and Towill, D.R., (2003) 'Measuring and avoiding the bullwhip effect: A control theoretic approach'. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 147(3): 567-590.
14. Dejonckheere, J., Disney, S.M., Lambrecht, M.R. and Towill, D.R., (2004) 'The impact of information enrichment on the Bullwhip effect in supply chains: A control engineering perspective'. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 153(3): 727-750.
15. Fawcett S.E., G.N. Magnan (2002) 'The rhetoric and reality of supply chain integration', *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, vol. 32 n°5, 339-361.
16. Flynn, B.B., Huo, B. and Zhao, X., (2010) 'The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(1): 58-71.
17. Germain R., Iyer K. (2006) 'The interaction of internal and downstream integration and its association with performance'. *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol.27, n°2, pp.29-52.
18. Gibson B.J., J.T. Mentzer, R.L. Cook (2005) 'Supply chain management: the pursuit of a consensus definition', *Journal of Business Logistics*, vol. 26 n°2, 17-25.
19. Holmberg, S. (2000) 'A systems perspective on supply chain measurements', *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 30, N. 10, pp.847-868.
20. Jobin Marie-Hélène, Friel Terri (2001) 'Sur la piste de la création durable de valeur à travers la chaîne logistique'. Vol.9 n°2 - La chaîne collaborative
21. James H. Foggini, John T. Mentzer, Carol L. Monroe, (2004) "A supply chain diagnostic tool", *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 34 Iss: 10, pp.827 – 855
22. Ketchen D. Jr, Hult G. (2007) 'Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: the case of best value supply chains'. *Journal of Operation Management*, Vol.25, n° 2, pp. 573-580.
23. Kim, J.G., Chatfield, D., Harrison, T.P. and Hayya, J.C., (2006) 'Quantifying the bullwhip effect in a supply chain with stochastic lead time'. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 173(2): 617-636.
24. Klein, R., (2007) 'Customization and real time information access in integrated eBusiness supply chain relationships'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(6): 1366-1381.
25. Koh, S.C.L., Demirbag, M., Bayraktar, E., Tatoglu, E. and Zaim, S., (2007) 'The impact of supply chain management practices on performance of SMEs'. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(1): 103-124.
26. Larson, P.D., Poist, R.F. and Halldórsson, Á., (2007) 'Perspectives on logistics vs. SCM: a survey of SCM professionals'. *Journal of Business Logistics* 28(1): 1-24.
27. Li, G., Yang, H., Sun, L. and Sohal, A.S., (2009) 'The impact of IT implementation on supply chain integration and performance'. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 120(1): 125-138.

27. Li, S., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T.S. and Subba Rao, S., (2006) 'The impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organizational performance'. *Omega*, 34(2): 107-124.
28. Li, S., Rao, S.S., Ragu-Nathan, T.S. and Ragu-Nathan, B., (2005) 'Development and validation of a measurement instrument for studying supply chain management practices'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 23(6): 618-641.
29. Lintukangas, K., Smirnova, M., Jumpponen, J., Kouhtch, S., Panfili, V., Virolainen, V.M., (2010) 'The status of purchasing and supply management in Finland and Russia'. *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 16 (3), 185-194.
30. Llerena, D., (2003) 'Evolution des relations inter-entreprises – Coordination de la Supply Chain et efficacité : une approche économique'. Ecole d'été d'automatique – Gestion de la Chaîne Logistique. Session 24, Septembre 2003, Grenoble, France.
31. Mentzer J., DeWitt W., Keebler J., Min S., Nix N., Smith C., Zacharia Z. (2001) 'Defining supply chain management'. *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol.22, n° 2, pp.1-26.
32. Mentzer JT., Stank TP., Esper TL. (2008) 'Supply chain management and its relationship to logistics, marketing, production, and operations management'. *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol 29, n° 1, pp. 31-46.
33. Moberg, C., B. Cutler, A. Gross and T. Speh, (2002) 'Identifying antecedents of information exchange within supply chains'. *Int. J. Phy. Dis. Log. Manag.*, 32(9): 321-328.
34. Moberg, C. R. and T. W. Speh (2004), "Third-party warehousing selection: A comparison of national and regional firms", *Mid-American Journal of Business*, 19 (2): pp. 71-76.
35. Neely, A.; Gregory, M.; Platts, K. (2005) 'Performance measurement system design: a literature review and research agenda'. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, v. 25, n. 12, pp. 1828-1863.
36. Modi, S.B. and Mabert, V.A., (2007) 'Supplier development: Improving supplier performance through knowledge transfer'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(1): 42-64.
37. Narasimhan, R. and Kim, S.W., (2002) 'Effect of supply chain integration on the relationship between diversification and performance: evidence from Japanese and Korean firms'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 20(3): 303-323.
38. Park, D., Krishnan, H.A. (2001) 'Understanding supplier selection practices: differences between U.S. and Korean executives', *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 43(2): 243-55.
39. Paulraj, A., Lado, A.A. and Chen, I.J., (2008) 'Inter-organizational communication as a relational competency: Antecedents and performance outcomes in collaborative buyer-supplier relationships'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(1): 45-64.
40. Ritchie, B. and Brindley, C., (2007) 'Supply chain risk management and performance. A guiding framework for future development'. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 27(3): 303-322.
41. Robb D., Xie B., Arthanari T. (2008) 'Supply chain and operation practice and performance in Chinese furniture manufacturing'. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol.112, n°2, pp.683-699.
42. Roth, A.V., Cattani, K.D. and Froehle, C.M., (2008) 'Antecedents and performance outcomes of global competence: An empirical investigation'. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 25(1-2): 75-92.
43. Roy J., Landry S., Beaulieu M. (2006) 'Collaborer dans la chaîne logistique : où en sommes-nous ?'. *Revue Internationale de Gestion*, Vol. 31, n° 3, pp. 70-76.
44. Ruth B., Nucharee S., (2011) 'Selecting logistics providers in Thailand: a shippers' perspective', *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 45 Iss: 3, pp.419 – 437
45. Sakka, O., Botta-Genoulaz, V., Trilling, L., (2008) 'Pratiques d'échanges d'information et pratiques collaboratives pour la performance des chaînes logistiques'. *Mémoire de Master*, INSA de Lyon.
46. Sanders, N.R., (2007) 'An empirical study of the impact of e-business technologies on organizational collaboration and performance'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(6): 1332-1347.
47. Seggie, S.H., Kim, D. and Cavusgil, S.T., (2006) 'Do supply chain IT alignment and supply chain interfirm system integration impact upon brand equity and firm performance?'. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(8): 887-895.
48. Shepherd, C.; Günter, H. (2005) 'Measuring supply chain performance: current research and future directions'. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, v.55, n.3/4, p. 242-258.
49. Shimchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P. et Simchi-Levi, E., (2002) 'Designing and managing the Supply Chain', 2nd ed,
50. Shin, H., Collier, D.A. and Wilson, D.D., (2000) 'Supply management orientation and supplier/buyer performance'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 18(3): 317-333.
51. Sodhi, M.S. and Son, B.-G., (2009) 'Supply-chain partnership performance'. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 45(6): 937-945.
52. Stock, G.N., Greis, N.P. and Kasarda, J.D., (2000) 'Enterprise logistics and supply chain structure: the role of fit'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 18(5): 531-547.
53. Tan, K.C., Kannan, V.J., Handfield, R.B., (1998) 'Supply chain management: supplier performance and firm performance'. *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management* 34 (3), 2-9.
54. Tan, K.C., (2001) 'A framework of supply chain management literature'. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 7(1): 39-48.

55. Tan, K.C., (2002) 'Supply Chain Management: Practices, Concerns, and Performance Issues'. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 38(1): 42-53.
56. Van der Vaart T., van Donk D. (2008) 'Critical review of survey-based research in supply chain integration'. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol .111, n° 1, pp. 42-55.
57. Xue X. et al. (2005) 'An agent-based framework for supply chain coordination in construction'. *Automation in Construction*, vol. 14, n°3, pp 413– 430.
58. Yang, J., et al. (2008) 'Relational stability and alliance performance in supply chain'. *Omega* 36 600 – 608
59. Zhao, X., Huo, B., Flynn, B.B. and Yeung, J.H.Y., (2008) 'The impact of power and relationship commitment on the integration between manufacturers and customers in a supply chain'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(3): 368-388.
60. Zhao, X., Huo, B., Selen, W. and Yeung, J.H.Y., (2011) 'The impact of internal integration and relationship commitment on external integration'. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(1-2): 17-32.
61. Zhao, X., Xie, J. and Leung, J., (2002) 'The impact of forecasting model selection on the value of information sharing in a supply chain'. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 142(2): 321-344.
62. Zhou, H. and Benton Jr, W.C., (2007) 'Supply chain practice and information sharing', *Journal of Operations Management*, pp. 1348-1365.