

# About the transcendentality of some numbers

Jamel Ghannouchi

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Jamel Ghannouchi. About the transcendentality of some numbers. 2013. hal-00808463v7

# HAL Id: hal-00808463 https://hal.science/hal-00808463v7

Preprint submitted on 12 Apr 2013 (v7), last revised 8 May 2013 (v11)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# About the transcendentality of some numbers

## Jamel Ghanouchi Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis jamel.ghanouchi@topnet.tn

#### **Abstract**

Everyone knows that  $\pi$ , e or  $C_n$ , the Champernowne number are transcendentals, but what about  $\pi + e$ ,  $\pi + C_n$  or  $e + C_n$ ? In this paper, we show a method in the goal to know if they are.

# The approach

A number is transcendantal if it is not the root of a polynomial equation

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

where  $a_i$  are rational not all equal to zeo, else it is algebraic. We know that  $\pi$ , e and  $C_n$ , the Champernowne number are transendantals, but we do not know anything about  $e+\pi$ ,  $\pi+C_n$  or  $e+C_n$ . Effectively, if A is transcendantal : B transcendantal and we do not know the nature of A+B or A-B. But if B is algebraic, then A+B and A-B are transcendantals. And if A and B are algebraics, their sum and their différence are algebraics. Let us trie to solve this problem. Let

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

And let

$$C'_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 \\ 10 & 15 & 20 & 0 & 5 \\ 20 & 0 & 5 & 10 & 15 \\ 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 0 \\ 15 & 20 & 0 & 5 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus  $C_1 + C'_1$  is a magic square. It contains all the numbers from 1 to 25. Let

And

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_1 + 25A & C_1 + 50A & C_1 + 75A & C_1 + 100A \\ C_1 + 75A & C_1 + 100A & C_1 & C_1 + 25A & C_1 + 50A \\ C_1 + 25A & C_1 + 50A & C_1 + 75A & C_1 + 100A & C_1 \\ C_1 + 100A & C_1 & C_1 + 25A & C_1 + 50A & C_1 + 75A \\ C_1 + 50A & C_1 + 75A & C_1 + 100A & C_1 & C_1 + 25A \end{pmatrix}$$

And

$$C'_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} \\ C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} \\ C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} \\ C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} \\ C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} & C'_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The recurrence is

$$C_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} C_i & C_i + 5^i A & C_i + 2.5^i A & C_i + 3.5^i A & C_i + 4.5^i A \\ C_1 + 3.5^i A & C_1 + 4.5^i A & C_1 & C_1 + 5^i A & C_1 + 2.5^i A \\ C_1 + 5^i A & C_1 + 2.5^i A & C_1 + 3.5^i A & C_1 + 4.5^i A & C_1 \\ C_1 + 4.5^i A & C_1 & C_1 + 5^i A & C_1 + 2.5^i A & C_1 + 3.5^i A \\ C_1 + 2.5^i A & C_1 + 3.5^i A & C_1 + 4.5^i A & C_1 & C_1 + 5^i A \end{pmatrix}$$

And

$$C'_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i \\ C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i \\ C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i \\ C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i \\ C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i & C'_i \end{pmatrix}$$

And  $C_i + C'_i$  is a magic square containing all the numbers from 1 to

$$5 + 20(5 + 5^{2} + \dots 5^{i-1}) + 20 = 5 + 100(1 + 5 + 5^{2} + \dots + 5^{i-2}) + 20$$
$$= 5 + 100(\frac{5^{i-1} - 1}{4}) + 20 = 5 + 25(5^{i-1} - 1) + 20 = 5^{i+1}$$

The sum of  $C_{i+1}$  is  $S_{i+1} = 5S_i + 10.5^i + 5S'_i$  ( $S_1 = 65$ ,  $S'_i = 50.5^{i-1}$ ). Hence

$$S_{i+1} = 5S_i + 60.5^i$$
$$5S_i = 5^2 S_{i-1} + 60.5^i$$

 $5^{i-1}S_2 = 5^i S_1 + 60.5^i$  $5^i S_1 = 5^i (65) = 13.5^{i+1}$  $S_{i+1} = (60i + 65)5^i = (12i + 13)5^{i+1}$ 

If M is the greatest number of the square, S the sum, the value of the integer  $\pi.10^{p_i}$  that approaches  $\pi$  in the square (example between 1 and 25,  $\pi.10^{p_i}=3$  and between 1 and 125, it is 31). The number  $\pi$  ( $p_i$ ) dépends of M and S. This square contains  $p_i$  first digit of  $\pi$  without the dot, we note it :  $\pi.10^{p_i}$ . It contains also  $e.10^{p_i}$  and  $C_n.10^{p_i}$  (and also  $\pi+e$ ,  $\pi e$ ,  $\pi+C_n$ ,  $\pi C_n$ ...). For i+1, the greatest number in the square is  $M=5^{i+2}$  which has  $E(\frac{(i+2)\log 5}{\log 10})=i+1$  ciphers and begins by 1,2,3 and then  $p_i=i$ , or by a number geater and then  $p_i=i+1$ . The sum is  $S_{i+1}=(12i+13)5^{i+1}$  which has less than  $p_i+2$  ciphers. The sum  $S_i.10^{-p_i}$  tends, in the infinity, to a number S which has less than three ciphers! But  $S_i$  is rational, thus S is rational and algebraic.

#### Main results

$$\begin{cases} S = 20 \\ A = S - \pi \\ B = S - e \\ C = S - C_n \\ A_1 = S - \pi - e \\ A_2 = S - \pi + e \\ A_3 = S - \pi e \\ A_4 = S - \pi^2 \end{cases}$$

We know that

$$S = \pi + A = e + B = \pi + e + A_1 = \pi - e + A_2 = \pi e + A_3$$

**Definition** A real number is composed if it is equal to  $\pm p_1^{n_1}...p_i^{n_i}$  where  $p_j$  are integer prime numbers and  $n_j$  are rationals. We define other real prime numbers which can not be expressed like this :  $\pi$ , e,  $\ln{(2)}$ . Thus  $\sqrt[q]{p} = p^{\frac{1}{q}}$  is composed.

Also  $\sqrt[p]{p} + 1$  is prime, with p prime, hence  $\sqrt{p} - 1 = (p-1)(\sqrt{p} + 1)^{-1}$  is composed!

And  $\pi$  and e are primes in stead of  $\pi^{n_0}$  and  $e^{m_0}$  with  $(n_0 - 1)(m_0 - 1) \neq 0$ . We define the GCD of two numbers : If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are prime real numbers

$$p_1 \neq p_2 \Rightarrow GCD(p_1, p_2) = 1$$
  
 $n_1 n_2 < 0 \Rightarrow GCD(p_1^{n_1}, p_1^{n_2}) = 1$ 

$$n_1 n_2 > 0 \Rightarrow GCd(p_1^{n_1}, p_1^{n_2}) = p_1^{max(n_1, n_2) - min(n_1, n_2)}$$

$$GCD(p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} ... p_i^{n_i}, p_1'^{n_1'} p_2'^{n_2'} ... p_j'^{n_j'}) = \prod_{i \neq j} GCD(p_i^{n_i}, p_j'^{n_j'})$$

And if  $x = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} ... p_i^{n_i}$  and  $y = p_{m_1}^{n_{m_1}} ... p_{m_i}^{m_i}$  then y divises x if  $1 < m_l < i$  for  $1 \le l \le i$  and for  $m_i = j$ ,  $|n_{m_i}| < |j|$ .

**Theorem** If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are transcendental prime real numbers,  $T_1T_2$  and  $T_1 + T_2$  are transcendentals.

**Proof of the theorem** Let  $T_1 = S - A$  and  $T_2 = S - B$  (S algebraic) two real prime transcendental numbers. We have

$$nT_i^2 - mT_i + (-nT_i + m)T_i = 0$$

n is algebraic,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , as  $T_i$  is transcendental, it can not be solution of a polynomial equation, thus  $(-nT_i + m)T_i$  is transcendental  $\forall m, n$  algebraic. We have 4 possibilities

1)

 $T_1T_2^n=A_A$  and  $T_1+mT_2^m$  are algebraics

2)

 $T_1T_2^n$  is algebraic and  $T_1+mT_2^m$  is transcendental

3)

 $T_1T_2^n$  is transcendental and  $T_1+mT_2^m$  is algebraic

4)

 $T_1T_2^n$  and  $T_1+mT_2^m$  are transcendentals,  $\forall m,n$ 

Thus

1)

m=n implies that  $nT_1T_2^n$  and  $T_1+nT_2^n$  are algebraics, but

$$T_1^2 - (T_1 + nT_2^n)T_2 + nT_1T_2^n = 0$$

It is impossible: it means that they can not be algebraics simultaneously!  $m \neq n$  then  $T_1T_2^n = A_A$  and  $T_1 + mT_2^m = A_A'$  are algebraics, then

$$T_1 T_2^n + m T_2^{m+n} = A_A + m T_2^{n+m} = A_A' T_2^n$$

And  $T_2$  is solution of this algebraic equation : it is impossible!

2)

If  $T_1T_2^n$  and  $T_1T_2^{n'}$  are algebraics, then

 $T_1T_2^n(T_1T_2^{n'})^{-1}=T_2^{n-n'}$  is algebraic and it is impossible with  $n\neq n'$ 

There is only one  $n=n_0$  for which  $T_1T_2^n$  is algebraic, all the others are transcendentals!

3)

If  $T_1 + nT_2^n$  and  $T_1 + n'T_2^{n'}$  are algebraics, then  $(T_1 + nT_2^n) - (T_1 + n'T_2^{n'}) =$ 

 $nT_2^n - n'T_2^{n'} = A_A$  is algebraic,  $T_2$  can not be solution of this equation, it means that it is impossible and n = n'.

There is only one  $n = m_0$  for which  $T_1 + nT_2^n$  is algebraic, all the others are transcendental!

Thus  $T_1T_2^n$  and  $T_1+mT_2^m$  are transcendentals for all  $m\neq m_0, n\neq n_0$ . Thus  $T_1T_2^{n-n_0}$ ,  $T_1T_2^{-n_0-n}$ ,  $T_1-(1+m_0)T_2$  and  $T_1+(1-m_0)T_2$  are transcendentals. In our hypothesis  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are primes, we can not have  $T_1=uT_2^{-1}$ , we can not have  $T_1+T_2=A_A$  an algebraic number!

**Application of the theorem**  $T_1=\pi$  and  $T_2=e^{\frac{1}{n-n_0}}$  are transcendental prime real numbers. We have  $T_1T_2^{n_0-n}=e\pi$ 

and  $T_2 = \frac{e}{1-m_0}$  means  $T_1 + (1-m_0)T_2 = e + \pi$ , are transcendentals for example!

If  $\pi^m$  and  $e^n$  are the prime numbers, then  $\pi^m e^n$  and  $\pi^m + e^n$  are transcendental for all m, n.

Also, by the same way, we prove that  $\pi^n$ ,  $e^m$ ,  $C_n^r$ ,  $\pi^n e^m$ ,  $C_n^r \pi^n$  and  $C_n^r e^m$  are transcendentals for all n, m, r!

#### Conclusion

We did not presented like this, but we have given a method to find the nature of several numbers, we have shown the nature of  $\pi^n e^m$ ,  $\pi^n C_n^p$ ,  $e^m C_n^p$ ,  $\pi^n$ ,  $e^m$  and  $C_n^p$ ...

### Références

[1] Alan Baker, Transcendental number theory *Cambridge University Press* , (1975).