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#### Abstract

A nonparametric method to define a pixel neighborhood in catadioptric images is presented. The method is based on an accurate modeling of the mirror shape by mean of polarization imaging. Unlike most processing methods existing in the literature, this method is nonparametric and enables us to respect the catadioptric image's anamorphosis. The neighborhood is directly derived from the two polarization parameters: the angle and the degree of polarization. Regardless of the shape of the catadioptric sensor's mirror (including noncentral configurations), image processing techniques such as image derivation, edge detection, interest point detection, as well as image matching, can be efficiently performed. © 2013 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1 .OE.52.3.037001]
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## 1 Introduction

Catadioptric sensors are systems consisting of convex mirror and conventional camera [charge coupled device (CCD) or complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor]. ${ }^{1}$ The used mirrors can have several different convex shapes, namely, paraboloidal, spherical, hyperbolical, conical, ellipsoidal, or planar. They produce a hemi-spherical field of view. ${ }^{2}$ Catadioptric devices are widely used in telescopes, teleconferencing systems, virtual reality, monitoring systems, and recently, in the navigation of mobile robots. Images produced by catadioptric sensors contain a significant amount of radial distortion and variation in inherent scale. ${ }^{3}$ Direct application of conventional image processing algorithms without considering an image's anamorphosis yields low performance. This is due to the fact that most of image processing algorithms are based on the pixel's neighborhoods. ${ }^{4,5}$ Edge detection, or image filtering, is carried out by the image convolution with a square window. Interest point detection is mainly based on the convolution of the image and a mask. The correlation function used for the matching is also carried out by a comparison of the pixel's neighborhoods within the images to be matched. These algorithms all need to be adapted for catadioptric images. Indeed, the classical square neighborhood is not suitable for the catadioptric plane topology, where a straight line is imaged as a curve closely defined by the mirror's shape. ${ }^{3}$ Several authors

[^0]have dealt with this problem. Daniilidis ${ }^{3}$ uses a projective space where operators are shift are invariant. The catadioptric image is processed after its projection on a virtual sphere. The final result is obtained by a back projection from the sphere to the image plane. Strauss ${ }^{2,6}$ uses a cylinder as a projective space to perform edge detection and define morphological operators on catadioptric images. The results of these transformations suffer frequently from either a lack of precision because of the intermediate projective spaces or a loss of relevant information, which can decrease the performance of some applications, such as corner detection or image matching. Therefore, a new tool that respects the catadioptric plane anamorphosis is needed. In our last article, ${ }^{4}$ we establish a parametric method to process catadioptric images without any projective plane. This method is mainly based on the parameterization of each point on the mirror. In order to carry out an arbitrary motion in the image, the mirror parameters, after their projection, are considered as the pixel arguments. This process is equivalent to directly projecting a motion from the mirror surface to the image plane. An appropriate window adapted to the catadioptric image topology is consequently defined and used to provide efficient image processing algorithms. This last method gives effective results and handles the problem of losing information. The parameters used to model the mirror point are known after a tedious calibration of the catadioptric sensor. However, the parametric model presents some limitations: the mirror's shape should be known in advance, the single view point constraint (centrality) should be respected, ${ }^{7}$
and the optical axes of the camera and mirror (revolution axis) should be perfectly aligned. These conditions are rather complicated to be respected in practice.

In the last decades, thanks to its richness in information, the polarization concept has been strongly explored to analyze, interpret, or process several types of images. ${ }^{8-11}$ This paper aims, by using the polarization imaging, to propose a generic solution for the catadioptric images processing. The proposed method provides an efficient model to define an accurate neighborhood around each pixel within the catadioptric plane. The use of the polarization parametersthe degree and the angle of polarization-enables us to avoid the crucial calibration of the catadioptric sensor and relaxes the three above conditions. Experiments show that the method performs efficiently on catadioptric images (central as well as noncentral configurations).

Images obtained by catadioptric sensors suffer from radial distortions, which make their interpretation and processing complicated. In the case of classical images, each set of image pixels is a regular sampling of part of the threedimensional scene. The processing can be done by a convolution of each pixel with a summative mask, which is generally square. The resulting gray value of the pixel is a combination of that pixel's value and its square neighborhood. The mask is translation invariant and applied in the same way on the whole image.

In the catadioptric plane, a regular grid of the scene is entirely deformed by the convex mirror after projection. A straight line will be transformed into a curve with parameters defined by the mirror shape. Unlike the perspective image, two neighboring pixels on the catadioptric image do not belong any more to the same horizontal or vertical line, but lie on the same curve characterized by the mirror shape. Therefore, the square mask has no sense on the image plane.

## 2 Polarization Imaging

Polarization imaging enables us to study the polarization state of a light wave. ${ }^{12}$ The most common applications in artificial vision are the abilities to distinguish metallic and dielectric objects ${ }^{12}$ and detect transparent surfaces. Polarization imaging gives likewise three-dimensional information of specular objects. ${ }^{7,13}$ The physical principle after being reflected is an unpolarized light wave that becomes partially linearly polarized depending on the normal surface and the refractive index of the media on which it impinges. ${ }^{12}$ A partially linearly polarized light has three parameters: light magnitude $I$, degree of polarization $\rho$, and angle of polarization $\varphi$. In order to measure the polarization parameters, a rotating polarizer $M(\alpha)$ oriented at $\alpha$ is set between the target and the camera (Fig. 1). The element $S_{\text {in }}$ refers to the partially


Fig. 1 The polarization device principle.
linearly polarized light oriented at $\varphi$, and $S_{\text {out }}$ is the light reaching the camera. To get an automatic system, a liquidcrystal polarization rotator is used instead of the polarizer. It acts as a rotating polarizer, which has the ability to be electrically controlled.

The intensity light $I_{p}$ of each pixel is linked to the polarizer angle $\alpha$ and the polarization parameters by the following equation: ${ }^{7}$
$I_{p}(\alpha)=\frac{I}{2}[\rho \cos (2 \alpha-2 \varphi)+1]$.
The purpose of polarization imaging is to estimate the three parameters $I, \rho$, and $\varphi$ by interpolating the Eq. (1). Because three parameters need to be determined, at least three images, taken with different orientations of the polarizer, are required. The last two parameters, $\rho$ and $\varphi$, are the ones required for defining an adapted neighborhood surrounding each pixel within the catadioptric image.

## 3 Catadioptric Images Processing

Catadioptric vision systems are composed of a CCD camera and a convex mirror. They propose an omnidirectional field of view without any geometrical discontinuities. Figure 2 gives an example of a catadioptric sensor with a parabolic mirror [Fig. 2(a)] and a correspondent catadioptric image [Fig. 2(b)].

In our previous work, ${ }^{4}$ we proposed a parametric model that allows us to process catadioptric images directly on their catadioptric plane. The model respects the image anamorphosis and the nonuniformity of the resolution from the center to the borders of the image. This model works if and only if the above three cited conditions (well calibration, good alignment, and centrality) are satisfied. In practice, these three conditions are hardly satisfied all together. Regarding the acquisition system parameters, the proposed technique is nonparametric. Only the polarization parameters are needed. The three cited conditions are relaxed, and the parameterization of the mirror is not necessary anymore. To better understand the proposed method, the parametric model is first presented.

### 3.1 Parametric Model

The aim of this work is to process catadioptric images directly on their support without any projective space. ${ }^{4}$ The algorithm should also consider the nonuniform resolution of the image after the mirror-plane projection. This problem is widely encountered in the projective methods. ${ }^{3,6}$ To handle these limitations, each point laying on the mirror surface is


Fig. 2 (a) Catadioptric sensor; (b) catadioptric image.
conveniently parameterized. It is important to note that the parameterization is possible if and only if the mirror calibration is well performed. After projection on the image plane, each mirror point's parameters will appear as the arguments of the corresponding pixel. This operation is necessary to carry out a correct motion (rotation, convolution, etc.) within the image. For the parabolic case, for instance, the equation of the parabolic mirror is
$z=-f+\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{4 f}$,
where $(x, y, z)$ is a point on the mirror surface, and $f$ is the focal of the mirror, known from calibration. ${ }^{5}$ One possible parameterization of the point $(x, y, z)$ can be given by
$x=f \sqrt{2 t} \cos \tau, \quad y=f \sqrt{2 t} \sin \tau, \quad z=2 f \times(t-1)$,
where $\tau \in[0, \pi]$ and $t \in[0, h]$. Variable $h$ is the distance between the vertex and the boundary of the mirror. Let us now show the impact of this parameterization on the projection from the mirror to the image plane. The general relationship between a point $X=(x, y, z)$ on the mirror and its projection onto the image plane is given by
$\binom{u}{v}=K_{c} R_{c}\left(X-T_{c}\right)$,
where $(u, v)$ is the projection of the mirror point $X$ onto the image plane, i.e., the pixel coordinates. Variables $K_{c}, R_{c}$ and $T_{c}$, estimated during the calibration, are the intrinsic parameters of the camera, rotation, and translation matrices, respectively, between the mirror coordinates system and the camera system. The translation matrix is defined by the vector $T_{c}=(0,0,-2 e)^{t}$ ( $e$ is the eccentricity of the mirror). $T_{c}$ is the null vector in the case of a parabolic mirror leading to a parallel projection. Replacing $X$ by its arguments $\tau$ and $t$, the corresponding pixel coordinates defined in Eq. (4) will be
$u(t, \tau)=u_{0}+\sigma_{u} \sqrt{2 t} \cos \left(\tau+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
$v(t, \tau)=v_{0}+\sigma_{v} \sqrt{2 t} \sin \left(\tau+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$,
where $u_{0}, v_{0}, \sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}$ are the intrinsic parameters of the catadioptric sensor estimated by calibration; ${ }^{14}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is the projection of the camera center to the image plane, and ( $\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}$ ) is the resolution of the image pixel multiplied by the mirror's focal. In the classical case, an infinitesimal displacement $(h, k)$ in the image is defined by $(u+h, v+k)$. This is erroneous in the case of catadioptric images because the back projection of this motion does not correspond to the true displacement on the mirror surface. Therefore, considering the displacement in the image as the projection of a displacement on the mirror, an infinitesimal motion will be defined by $\{u[t(i+h, j+k), \tau(i+h, j+k)], v[t(i+h, j+k), \tau(i+h, j+k)]\}$, where $i$ and $j$ are the indices of the sampled parameters $t$ and $\tau$. Figure 3 illustrates a projection of a point from the mirror onto the image plane and the shape of its neighborhood.

As a consequence, two neighbors do not lie on the same horizontal or vertical line anymore, but on the same circle of radius $t=\left(u-u_{0} / 2 \sigma_{u}\right)^{2}+\left(v-v_{0} / 2 \sigma_{v}\right)^{2}$ or on the same


Fig. 3 Sample of the parameterization of a catadioptric image in the orthographic case.
radial of slant $\tau=\operatorname{tg}^{-1}\left[\left(\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{v}\right)\left(v-v_{0} / u-u_{0}\right)\right]$. Figure 4 shows a sample of the projected $t$ and $\tau$ on a real catadioptric image acquired with a parabolic sensor. A great step between circles and radials is chosen to show clearly this projection.

The image convolution is performed with an adapted mask having the same shape of the pixel neighborhood as in Fig. 3. This mask moves in a spiral path from the center of the image into its boundaries following the projected circles and radials. Catadioptric image processing techniques such as image derivative, edge detection, adaptive Harris corner detection and also catadioptric image matching are carried out with this new technique. ${ }^{4}$

### 3.2 Nonparametric Case

Using both Fresnel and Snell-Descartes equations, ${ }^{7,13}$ the normal of each point on the mirror can be deduced from


Fig. 4 Example of projection of mirror parameters on catadioptric image plane.


Fig. 5 Catadioptric sensor in a cylindrical sheet of paper.
the polarization parameters. To get an unpolarized light at the incidence, the complete catadioptric system is covered by a cylindrical sheet of paper (Fig. 5).

The normal $\vec{n}$ of the considered point is linked to the azimuthal angle $\theta$ and the zenithal angle $\phi$, with the following formula:
$\vec{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\operatorname{tg} \theta \cos \phi \\ \operatorname{tg} \theta \sin \phi \\ 1\end{array}\right)$.
As mentioned above, to measure the polarization parameters related to the mirror of the catadioptric sensor, at least three images are required, one for each rotational angle of the polarizer. Having these images, the system of equations [Eq. (1)] is resolved, and the degree of polarization $\rho$ and angle of polarization $\varphi$ are calculated. ${ }^{7}$ Figure 6 shows the images of the polarization parameters.

The polarization parameters are linked to the normal of each point on the mirror by the Fresnel and Snell Descartes equations: ${ }^{7}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi=\phi \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \rho(\theta)=\frac{2 n t g \theta \sin \theta}{t^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+|\hat{n}|}\end{array}\right.$,
where $\hat{n}$ is the complex refractive index of the surface, up to $0.8+4.5 i$ for a mirror. Based on the investigation of Sturm ${ }^{1}$


Fig. 6 (a) Angle of polarization $\varphi$; (b) degree of polarization $\rho$.
about the generic concept for the camera calibration, Morel ${ }^{7}$ uses this relationship to calculate the normal of each point on the mirror to reconstruct the mirror surface. In our case, Eq. (7) is used to define the set of the neighbors of each pixel in the catadioptric image. This new neighborhood allows a convenient processing of the catadioptric image with an appropriate window.

The projection of the angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ on the image plane is the same as the projection of the mirror parameters $t$ and $\tau$. It is shown in Ref. 7, by using the curve of Eq. (7), that the degree of polarization $\rho$ progress in the same way as the angle $\theta$ and the angle of polarization are similar to $\phi$. The equivalence between the polarization parameters and the normal angles allows us to conclude that all the mirror points of the same $\theta$ position have a fixed degree of polarization, and all the mirror points of the same $\phi$ position have a fixed angle of polarization. Finally, from the equivalence between $\theta$ and $\phi$ and between $t$ and $\tau$, two neighbors in the catadioptric image have the same degree of polarization $\rho$ or the same angle of polarization $\varphi$. This conclusion enables us to write the pixel of Eq. (5) as $[u(\rho, \varphi), v(\rho, \varphi)]$ instead of $[u(t, \tau), v(t, \tau)]$. Figure 7(a) shows an example of a set of pixels lying on a fixed $\rho$ (circle) or pixels lying on a fixed $\varphi$ (slant). Figure 7(b) is a zoomed $7 \times 7$-pixel neighborhood of the central pixel in red. As seen in this image, the window is not square, but is adapted to the pixel position and to the resolution of the catadioptric image at that position. With this new window, image processing operators may be introduced as in the parametric method. ${ }^{4}$

### 3.3 Image Processing Operators

In this section, using the proposed theory, image processing operators will be redefined with respect to each application including edge detection, image derivation, interest point detector, and image matching.

### 3.3.1 Edge detection

Consider that $E$ is the set of edges in an arbitrary image $I$ detected by the following formula:
$E(i, j)=\sum_{k} \sum_{l} F(k, l) I(i+k, j+l)$,
where $F$ is an edge detector (Sobel, Prewitt, differential, etc.). In the catadioptric plane, this formula will be


Fig. 7 (a) Pixel lying on the same degree of polarization (circle) and on the same angle of polarization (slant). (b) A zoomed $7 \times 7$-pixel neighborhood of the central pixel in red. Pixels in blue are the neighbors.

$$
\begin{align*}
E(i, j)= & \sum_{k} \sum_{l} F[\rho(k, l), \varphi(k, l)] I[\rho(i+k, j+l), \\
& \varphi(i+k, j+l)] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

This formula shows that the displacement within the image is carried out at the $(\rho, \varphi)$ level and not at the pixel level $(u, v)$. The convolution is done with respect to the mask defined in Fig. 7(b). The central value of the edge detector is multiplied with the central pixel of the mask; the other values are also positioned with respect to the mask shape.

### 3.3.2 Image derivation

The second application is the image derivation. Horizontal and vertical derivatives of an image will be circular and radial derivatives in the catadioptric plane. These derivatives are defined by
$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \rho}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}$
$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}$,
where $\partial I / \partial u$ refers to the difference between adjacent circles (each one has the fixed degree of polarization), and $\partial I / \partial v$ is the difference of adjacent radials (each one has the fixed angle of polarization). These new derivatives are used to redefine the adapted Harris corner detector. ${ }^{15}$ Usually, for the classical Harris detector, the following matrix $M$ of image derivatives convoluted with a Gaussian kernel $G$ has to be calculated for each pixel:
$M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{\partial I^{2}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial I^{2}}{\partial y}\end{array}\right) \otimes G$,
where $\partial I / \partial x$ and $\partial I / \partial y$ are horizontal and vertical derivatives, respectively. Harris corners are pixels for which $R=$ $\operatorname{det}(M)-k \operatorname{trace}(M)$ is positive. Variable $k$ is an empirical value, in general, up to 0.6 . In the catadioptric plane, using the new mask, the matrix $M$ will be written as
$M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{\partial I^{2}}{\partial \rho} & \frac{\partial I}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial I}{\partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial I}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial I^{2}}{\partial \varphi}\end{array}\right) \otimes G$.
The multiplication of derivatives as well as the convolution respects the deformed form of Fig. 7(b).

### 3.3.3 Image matching

The last application is the matching of catadioptric images. The matching process needs the measurement of the correlation of pixels lying on the images acquired in different viewpoints. ${ }^{16}$ The correlation function compares the pixel characteristics (gray level, color, texture, etc.) to those of its neighborhood.

The circular and radial neighborhood of each pixel has to be used to evaluate the correlation of each pair of pixels and their neighborhood within different images. For our application, a normalized squared of normal differences (NSSD) function is chosen to measure the dissimilarity between the interest points in the images to be matched. This function
is known to be robust to bias and illumination problems between different acquisitions of the same scene. It is defined in case of two acquisitions by
$\operatorname{NSSD}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=\frac{\left\|\operatorname{neigh}\left(p_{i}\right)-\operatorname{neigh}\left(p_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\operatorname{neigh}\left(p_{i}\right)\right\|\left\|\operatorname{neigh}\left(p_{j}\right)\right\|}$,
where $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ are the pixels lying to the images $i$ and $j$, respectively, to be matched; neigh $(p)$ is the set of neighbors of pixel $p$. The shape of the mask containing these neighbors is square in the classical case, and has the adapted form in the catadioptric case.

## 4 Experiments

To validate the above-developed theories, experiments with a real catadioptric sensor, central or noncentral, are presented in this section. The experiments include edge detection [Eq. (9)], image derivation [Eq. (10)], interest point detection [Eq. (12)], and image matching [Eq. (13)] for the central case, and image matching in case of noncentral configurations. Experiments are carried out in Fig. 2(b) for the central case.

Usually, the polarimetric acquisitions are spoiled by noise. Pixels having a fixed degree of polarization do not form a perfect circle, and pixels having the same angle of polarization do not form a straight radial. To handle the noise, a mean radius of pixels having the same degree of polarization is calculated, and the resulting circle is considered as the site of the neighbors in the catadioptric image. Similarly, a mean slant of pixels having the same angle of polarization is also considered as the site of neighbors in the image.

### 4.1 Adapted Edge Detection

In order to evaluate the performance of the adapted edge detector, Eqs. (8) (classical) and (9) (adapted) are applied to the catadioptric image of Fig. 2 for $k=l=5$. The results of the edge detection are shown in Fig. 8 for both the classical [Fig. 9(a)] and the adapted [Fig. 9(b)] cases.

The adapted method gives thinner edges that respect the topology of the catadioptric support, whereas the classical edge detection suffers from thick contours and artifacts in the upper circle of the image. This is due to the wrong convolution window surrounding each pixel.

### 4.2 Image Derivation

Circular and radial derivatives are calculated with respect to each pixel using Eq. (10). Figure 8 illustrates the two


Fig. 8 (a) Circular derivative; (b) radial derivative.


Fig. 9 (a) Classical edge detection; (b) adapted edge detection.
derivatives. Analyzing the resulted images, one can easily distinguish the circular and radial structures of the image.

### 4.3 Adapted Harris Corner Detector

The comparison between the classical Harris algorithm [Eq. (11)] and the adapted one [Eq. (12)] is illustrated in Fig. 10. The difference is clearly visible. In the adapted case [Fig. 10(b)], more corners are detected compared to the classical case [Fig. 10(a)]. The white circles show the differences. Another difference is the grouping of the detected points. As shown by the violet circles, in the adapted case, the points are more spread out, while they are needlessly grouped in the classical case. This is due to the fact that in the classical case, several corners are erroneously detected because the square neighborhood is badly adapted to the catadioptric plane anamorphosis.

### 4.4 Adapted Matching of Catadioptric Imaging

### 4.4.1 Central case

The NSSD with both the square neighborhood and the adapted one are calculated for each pair of interest points on the image pairs in Fig. 10. If we take the left image as the reference, the motion of the sensor is a rotation of about 80 deg. around the optical axis of the camera and a slight translation. Results of the two matching algorithms, classical and adapted, for 20 interest points detected with classical and adapted Harris detectors are illustrated in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively.

In the classical case, all of the interest points are badly matched (green lines) except for one pair of pixels (in blue). The main reason is the already erroneous neighborhood of the pixel. In the new method, however, all the matches are correct. A fixed threshold is imposed to the NSSD, i.e., only $60 \%$ of dissimilarities are taken into account. This makes the algorithm more robust to the outliers.


Fig. 10 Interest points detected by (a) classical Harris corner detector and (b) adapted Harris corner detector.


Fig. 11 Classical matching; only one pair of pixels is well matched (in blue).


Fig. 12 Adapted matching; all matches are correct.

### 4.4.2 Noncentral case

The second experiment deals with the adapted matching of catadioptric images acquired with noncentral systems. To make the experiment more challenging, the catadioptric sensor is composed of a CCD camera and the back surface of a specular spoon. The algorithm is tested here on a pair of simulated images. The scene is composed from a chair positioned in the corner of a room. The degree of polarization and the angle of polarization images of the spoon are depicted in Fig. 13(a) and 13(b), respectively. There is an arbitrary motion (composed of both translation and rotation) between the positions of the imaging system.

The matching steps (corner detection, image derivation) are entirely carried out with the proposed algorithm. Fifty points of interest are chosen to be matched. The result is illustrated in Fig. 14.

As illustrated, there are two parts of interest points: corners existing in both images and corners without a correspondent in the other image (occlusions). The pairs of pixels apparent in right and left images are correctly matched (in black). The constraint of uniqueness is imposed (one-toone matching) and a fixed threshold is set; pixels for which the NSSD function exceeds $40 \%$ are not matched. One error


Fig. 13 (a) Degree of polarization and (b) angle of polarization of the spoon.


Fig. 14 Adapted matching.
is detected (in green) due to high similarity of the areas surrounding the two pixels.

## 5 Conclusion

An efficient method to process catadioptric images directly on the image plane was presented. This method has the advantage of being nonparametric. Also, the crucial task of calibration is not needed to parameterize the catadioptric sensor. Only the estimation of polarization parameters is sufficient to detect the appropriate neighborhood of each pixel that respects the deformation of the catadioptric image. The algorithm was applied to carry out edge detection, image derivation, interest corners detection, and image matching; the results were interesting when compared to classical processing algorithms.

So far, polarization imaging is being used off-line, as a preparative for image processing and reconstruction. Recent development in the design of polarimetric cameras and the opportunity given now to acquire a powerful and flexible one makes it possible to design a compact catadioptric and polarimetric sensor without adding an external polarizer, filters, or other optical devices. We can now concretely consider the design of an integrated pola-catadioptric camera, which could be easily embedded in various applications. The advantages are manifold; in particular, the opportunity to use polarization imaging on-line and to use it for tasks such as the detection of a (physical) region of interest, target detection, localization, low-level image processing, and so on.
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