



HAL
open science

Higher bifurcation currents, neutral cycles and the Mandelbrot set

Thomas Gauthier

► **To cite this version:**

Thomas Gauthier. Higher bifurcation currents, neutral cycles and the Mandelbrot set. 2013. hal-00806692v1

HAL Id: hal-00806692

<https://hal.science/hal-00806692v1>

Preprint submitted on 2 Apr 2013 (v1), last revised 9 Sep 2013 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HIGHER BIFURCATION CURRENTS, NEUTRAL CYCLES AND THE MANDELBROT SET

THOMAS GAUTHIER

ABSTRACT. We prove that given any $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2d-2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, the support of the bifurcation measure of the moduli space of degree d rational maps coincides with the closure of classes of maps having $2d - 2$ neutral cycles of respective multipliers $e^{2i\pi\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi\theta_{2d-2}}$. To this aim, we generalize a famous result of McMullen, proving that homeomorphic copies of $(\partial\mathbf{M})^k$ are dense in the support of the k^{th} -bifurcation current T_{bif}^k in general families of rational maps, where \mathbf{M} is the Mandelbrot set. As a consequence, we also get sharp dimension estimates for the supports of the bifurcation currents in any family.

1. Introduction.

Given $d \geq 2$, the *bifurcation locus* of any holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of degree d rational maps (or of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d of degree d rational maps) is the closure of the set of discontinuity of the map $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{J}_\lambda$, where \mathcal{J}_λ is the Julia set of f_λ . DeMarco [De1] has shown that the bifurcation locus of Λ is the support of a closed positive $(1, 1)$ -current T_{bif} which is called the *bifurcation current* of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. When $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is with $2d - 2$ marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_{2d-2} , the current T_{bif} coincides with $\sum_i T_i$, where T_i is the bifurcation current of the critical point c_i (see [De2]). Bassanelli and Berteloot [BB1] initiated the study of the self-intersections T_{bif}^k , $1 \leq k \leq \min(2d - 2, \dim \Lambda)$, of the bifurcation current. Those currents give a natural stratification for the bifurcation locus by loci of stronger bifurcations and are well-adapted to the study of the complex geometric properties of the bifurcation locus. We refer the reader to the survey [Du1] or the lecture notes [B] for a report on recent results involving bifurcation currents and further references.

Several different descriptions of the currents T_{bif}^k have been provided by various authors. Let us mention some known results. The set $\text{Per}_n(w)$ of parameters $\lambda \in \Lambda$ for which f_λ has a cycle of multiplier $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and exact period n is a complex hypersurface of Λ . Bassanelli and Berteloot [BB2] proved that the k^{th} bifurcation current T_{bif}^k is actually the limit of integration currents of the form

$$\frac{d^{-(s_1(n)+\dots+s_k(n))}}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{[0, 2\pi]^k} \bigwedge_{j=1}^k [\text{Per}_{s_j(n)}(re^{i\theta_j})] d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k,$$

for any $r > 0$ and a suitable choice of increasing functions $s_j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. In the family of all degree d polynomials, they give in [BB3] a much stronger result when $k = 1$: they prove that the hypersurfaces $d^{-n}[\text{Per}_n(re^{i\theta})]$ converge to T_{bif} for *fixed* $r \leq 1$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Regarding Bassanelli and Berteloot's work, one can expect the current T_{bif}^k to be the limit

of currents of the form $d^{-(s_1(n)+\dots+s_k(n))}[\text{Per}_{s_1(n)}(re^{i\theta_1})] \wedge \dots \wedge [\text{Per}_{s_k(n)}(re^{i\theta_k})]$ for fixed $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and r . Recently, Favre and the author [FG] gave an affirmative answer to this question in the case when $r < 1$ and $k = d - 1$ in the family of all degree d polynomials, using a Theorem of equidistribution of small points due to Yuan. This question remains wide open when $r > 1$.

In this paper we focus on a weaker question of topological nature, namely, whether parameters possessing k distinct neutral cycles of given multipliers are dense in the support of T_{bif}^k . Our first result can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let T_{bif} be the bifurcation current of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d of degree d rational maps. For any $1 \leq k \leq 2d - 2$ and any $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$,*

$$\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)} = \overline{\text{Prerep}(k)},$$

where $\text{Prerep}(k) := \{[f] \in \mathcal{M}_d; f \text{ has } k \text{ critical points preperiodic to repelling cycles}\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k) := \{[f] \in \mathcal{M}_d; f \text{ has } k \text{ distinct cycles of resp. multipliers } e^{2i\pi\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi\theta_k}\}$.

Let us mention that the equality $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) = \overline{\text{Prerep}(k)}$ is known (see [BE, BG, DF] for the case when k is maximal). Dujardin [Du2, Corollary 5.3] proved it in the general case, using a transversality Theorem concerning laminar currents.

Let us now describe how we prove Theorem 1. The main point is to generalize McMullen's universality of the Mandelbrot set: McMullen [M2] proved that in any one-dimensional family of rational maps, the bifurcation locus contains quasiconformal copies of the Mandelbrot set \mathbf{M} . We prove here that under some mild assumptions, the loci of stronger bifurcations contain also copies of products of the Mandelbrot set with itself. Relying on [M2] and [G], we prove the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^m}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with simple marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_k with $k \leq m$. Assume that c_1, \dots, c_k are transversely preperiodic to repelling cycles of f_0 . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compactly contained continuous embedding $\Phi : \mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}^m$ and integers $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 1$ such that*

- (1) *for any $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k, t) \in \mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$, if $\lambda = \Phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k, t)$, there exists k disjoint compact sets $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_k \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f_\lambda^{n_i} : \mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$ is hybrid conjugate to $z^2 + \zeta_i$.*
- (2) *the set $\Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k})$ is contained in $\text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$ and*

$$\dim_H \Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}) \geq 2m - \epsilon.$$

This generalization of McMullen's Theorem is done in section 3. To prove Theorem 2, we use McMullen's universality for each critical point separately to produce k "tubes" of Mandelbrot set homeomorphic to $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{D}^{m-1}$ and which are tranverse to each other. We then construct Φ as a map from $\mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$ to the intersection of those tubes. Let us stress out that the dimension estimate uses Shishikura's famous result [Sh] concerning the Hausdorff dimension of the Mandelbrot set and Hölder regularity properties of Φ (see Theorem 3.1). Using [G, Theorem 6.2], we then prove that the copy of $(\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$ given by Φ actually lies in the support of $T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k$ (see Proposition 3.4).

Let us also mention that Inou and Kiwi [IK] and Inou [I] have already obtained strengthened versions of McMullen's universality of the Mandelbrot set in a different setting and

given an explicit condition for the related embedding to be not continuous. On the other hand, Buff and Henriksen [BH2] proved that some parameter spaces contain quasiconformal copies of Julia sets.

In [G], the author obtained sharp dimension estimates for the strong bifurcation loci of the space Rat_d of all degree d rational maps. Using Theorem 2, we actually get sharp estimates for the Hausdorff dimension estimate for the strong bifurcation loci of a general family. This is the subject of our third result.

Theorem 3. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Assume that there exists λ_0 such that f_{λ_0} has simple critical points and let $1 \leq k \leq 2d - 2$ be such that $T_{\text{bif}}^k \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1}) \neq \emptyset$ and for any open set $\Omega \subset \Lambda$ such that $\Omega \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1}) \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\dim_H (\Omega \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1})) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda.$$

Let us also remark that our results strongly rely on [G, Theorem 6.2] and that Theorems 1 and 3 also rely on [Du2, Theorem 0.1]. The main difference with the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [G] is the transfer phenomenon which is performed. Instead of transferring directly “big” sets from the dynamical space to the parameter space, we transfer a complete “simplified” parameter space into our actual parameter space.

Section 4 is devoted to explaining how to apply results from the previous sections to the particular case of the space Rat_d^{cm} of all critically marked degree d rational maps in order to obtain Theorem 1. We also give a similar result for the case of the moduli space \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} of critically marked degree d polynomials, which is based on a simpler argument.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank François Berteloot, Xavier Buff, Arnaud Chéritat, Romain Dujardin, Charles Favre and Carsten Petersen without whose precious advices and knowledge this paper would never have appeared. The author would also like to thank the IMS and Stony Brook University which he was visiting during the autumn 2012 and where he finished the elaboration of the present work.

2. Preliminaries.

Let us begin with introducing some tools and recalling known results we will need.

2.1. The hypersurfaces $\text{Per}_n(w)$.

To understand the geometry of the bifurcation locus of a holomorphic family of rational maps, one can investigate the geometry of the set of rational maps having a cycle of given multiplier and period. The following result describes the set of such parameters (see [Si]):

Theorem 2.1 (Silverman). *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a holomorphic function $p_n : \Lambda \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that :*

- (1) *For any $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, $p_n(\lambda, w) = 0$ if and only if f_λ has a cycle of exact period n and of multiplier w ,*

- (2) $p_n(\lambda, 1) = 0$ if and only if f_λ has a cycle of period n and multiplier 1 or f_λ has a cycle of period m and multiplier a r -th root of unity with $n = mr$,
- (3) for any $\lambda \in X$, the function $p_n(\lambda, \cdot)$ is a polynomial of degree $N_d(n) \sim \frac{1}{n}d^n$.

Moreover, if Λ is a quasi-projective variety, the functions p_n are polynomials in (λ, w) .

For $n \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ we set $\text{Per}_n(w) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda / p_n(\lambda, w) = 0\}$. We will say that a neutral periodic point of f_{λ_0} is *persistent* in Λ if it can be perturbed as a neutral periodic point of f_λ for any λ in a neighborhood of λ_0 in Λ , i.e. that $\text{Per}_n(e^{i\theta}) = \Lambda$ for some n, θ .

2.2. Bifurcation current of a critical point.

Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. We say that c is a *marked critical point* if $c : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a holomorphic map satisfying $f'_\lambda(c(\lambda)) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $\deg(f_\lambda, c(\lambda)) = 2$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we will say the the marked critical point c is *simple*.

Definition 2.2. *We say that a marked critical point c is passive at λ_0 in Λ if $(f_\lambda^n(c(\lambda)))_{n \geq 0}$ is a normal family in a neighborhood of λ_0 . Otherwise we say that c is active at λ_0 in Λ .*

Let ω be the Fubini-Study form on \mathbb{P}^1 and denote by $c_n(\lambda) := f_\lambda^{\circ n}(c(\lambda))$. Dujardin and Favre prove in [DF, Section 3.1] that the sequence $d^{-n}c_n^*\omega$ converges to a positive closed $(1, 1)$ -current T_c with local continuous potential, which support coincides with the activity locus of the marked critical point c .

Definition 2.3. *T_c is called the bifurcation current of the marked critical point c .*

As T_c has local continuous potential, the self-intersections of T_c are well-defined in the sense of Bedford and Taylor (see [BT]). The bifurcation current of a critical point never has self-intersections (see [DF, Proposition 6.9] for polynomial families and [G, Theorem 6.1] for the general case).

Lemma 2.4 (Dujardin-Favre, Gauthier). *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with a marked critical point c , then $T_c \wedge T_c = 0$.*

Assume that $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is with $2d - 2$ marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_k and $\dim \Lambda \geq k$ and let us set $H_i(k_i, p_i) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda / f_\lambda^{\circ(k_i+p_i)}(c_i(\lambda)) = f_\lambda^{\circ p_i}(c_i(\lambda)) \text{ and } f_\lambda^{\circ p_i}(c_i(\lambda)) \text{ is repelling}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Definition 2.5. *If $\lambda_0 \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} H_i(k_i, p_i)$, we say that c_1, \dots, c_k fall transversely onto repelling cycles at λ_0 if the hypersurfaces H_i are smooth at λ_0 and intersect transversely at λ_0 . If they only intersect properly, we say that c_1, \dots, c_k fall properly onto repelling cycles at λ_0 .*

Dujardin [Du2] proved the following which we will use for proving Theorems 1 and 3.

Theorem 2.6 (Dujardin). *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with $2d - 2$ marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_k and let T_1, \dots, T_k be their respective bifurcation currents. Then*

$$\text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k) = \overline{\{\lambda \in \Lambda / c_1, \dots, c_k \text{ fall transversely onto repelling cycles}\}}.$$

2.3. The bifurcation currents of a holomorphic family.

Every rational map f of degree $d \geq 2$ on the Riemann sphere admits a unique maximal entropy measure μ_f . The Lyapunov exponent of f with respect to this measure is defined by

$$L(f) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \log |f'| \mu_f .$$

It turns out that, for any holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of degree d rational maps, the function $L : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *p.s.h* and continuous on Λ (see [BB1]).

Definition 2.7. *The bifurcation current of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the closed, positive $(1, 1)$ -current on Λ defined by $T_{\text{bif}} := dd^c L$.*

The support of T_{bif} coincides with the bifurcation locus of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in the sense of Mañé-Sad-Sullivan. This actually follows from the so-called DeMarco’s formula (see [De2, Theorem 1.1] or [BB1, Theorem 5.2]), which, for families with $2d - 2$ marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_{2d-2} , may be stated as follows:

$$T_{\text{bif}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2d-2} T_i .$$

Definition 2.8. *Let $1 \leq k \leq \min(2d - 2, \dim \Lambda)$. The k^{th} -bifurcation current of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the closed positive (k, k) -current defined by $T_{\text{bif}}^k := (dd^c L)^k$.*

Lemma 2.4 directly gives for $1 \leq k \leq 2d - 2$:

$$(1) \quad T_{\text{bif}}^k = k! \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} T_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge T_{i_k} .$$

The locus $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$ can thus be interpreted as the set of parameters for which at least k critical points are active in an “independent” manner.

2.4. Quadratic-like maps.

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be discs such that $U \Subset V$. We say that $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a *quadratic-like map* if it is a degree 2 branched cover. The *filled-in Julia set* $\mathcal{K}(f)$ of f is the set

$$\mathcal{K}(f) := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{-on}(V)$$

of points $z \in U$ such that $f^{on}(z) \in V$ for any $n \geq 1$. We say that the map f is *hybrid conjugate* to a quadratic polynomial $p_\zeta(z) := z^2 + \zeta$ if there exists a quasi-conformal map φ from a neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}_\zeta := \mathcal{K}(p_\zeta)$ to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}(f)$ which satisfies $\varphi \circ p_\zeta = f \circ \varphi$ and $\bar{\partial}\varphi = 0$ on \mathcal{K}_ζ .

Douady and Hubbard proved that for any holomorphic family of quadratic-like maps, the Mandelbrot set plays the role of a good model. Let us summarize here the properties of quadratic-like maps established by Douady and Hubbard (see [DH, Proposition 13 and Chapter IV]).

Theorem 2.9 (Douady-Hubbard). *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic of quadratic-like maps parametrized by a complex manifold Λ . Let $\mathbf{M}_\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{K}(f_\lambda) \text{ is connected}\}$. There exists a continuous map $\chi : \mathbf{M}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ such that:*

- (1) χ is holomorphic from $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{M}}_\Lambda$ to $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{M}}$,
- (2) for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{M}_\Lambda$, if $\chi(\lambda) = \zeta$, the map f_λ is hybrid conjugate to $z^2 + \zeta$ on $\mathcal{K}(f_\lambda)$,
- (3) for all $\zeta \in \mathbf{M}$, the set $\chi^{-1}\{\zeta\}$ is an analytic hypersurface,
- (4) if $\dim \Lambda = 1$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{M}_\Lambda$, then there exists a neighborhood $V \subset \Lambda$ of λ_0 such that either χ is constant along V or $\chi(V)$ contains a neighborhood of $\chi(\lambda_0)$ in \mathbf{M} .

The map χ defined in Theorem 2.9 is called the *straightening map* of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Denote by \heartsuit the main cardioid of the Mandelbrot set \mathbf{M} . Combined with the fact that the multiplier of the non-repelling fixed point parametrizes $\overline{\heartsuit}$, Theorem 2.9 gives (see also [BB3, Section 3.2] for a proof based on potential theoretic arguments):

Corollary 2.10 (Bassanelli-Berteloot, Douady-Hubbard). *For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ for which p_ζ has a cycle of multiplier $e^{2i\pi\theta}$ is dense in $\partial\mathbf{M}$.*

Let $g_\zeta(z) := p_\zeta(z) + h(\zeta, z)$ be a holomorphic family of maps defined for $(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{D}(0, R) \times \mathbb{D}(0, R)$, where $R > 10$ and $g'_\zeta(0) = 0$. Denote by M_g the set of $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}(0, R)$ such that the orbit $(g_\zeta^{on}(0))_n$ remains in $\mathbb{D}(0, R)$ for any $n > 0$. In what follows, we will use the following Lemma which is due to McMullen (see [M2, Lemma 4.2]):

Lemma 2.11 (McMullen). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\sup_{(\zeta, z)} |h(\zeta, z)| = \epsilon < \delta$ then there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow M_g$ such that:*

- (1) $g_{\phi(\zeta)}$ is hybrid conjugate to p_ζ for any $\zeta \in \mathbf{M}$,
- (2) $|\varphi(\zeta) - \zeta| < O(\epsilon)$,
- (3) φ extends to a $(1 + \epsilon/\delta)$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{C} .

3. The Mandelbrot set is universal, revisited.

Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. In the present section, we want to prove that, under some reasonable condition on the family, the parameter space Λ contains homeomorphically embedded copies of $\mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{\dim \Lambda - k}$, which generalizes the work *The Mandelbrot set is universal* [M2] of McMullen. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^m}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with marked simple critical points c_1, \dots, c_k with $k \leq m$. Assume that c_1, \dots, c_k fall transversely onto repelling cycles at 0. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a homeomorphic embedding $\Phi : \mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^m$ and a continuous family $\{\varphi_{\zeta, t, i} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\}_{(\zeta, t) \in \mathbf{M}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}, 1 \leq i \leq k}$ of $(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphisms satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $\Phi(\zeta, \cdot) : \mathbb{D}^{m-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^m$ is holomorphic for any $\zeta \in \mathbf{M}^k$,
- (2) Φ is holomorphic on $(\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{M}})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$,
- (3) $\dim_H(\Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k})) \geq 2m - O(\epsilon)$,
- (4) for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists $n_i \geq 1$ such that $\varphi_{\zeta, t, i} \circ p_{\zeta_i} = f_{\Phi(\zeta, t)}^{on_i} \circ \varphi_{\zeta, t, i}$ on \mathcal{K}_{ζ_i} and the conjugacy is hybrid.

This is the combination of that result with [G, Theorem 6.2] which will actually give Theorem 2 (see Section 3.3).

3.1. Technical lemmas.

To give Hausdorff dimension of estimates, we will need the two following Lemmas. The first one is due to McMullen (see [M2, Lemma 5.1]) and a proof of the second one is provided.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Y be a metric space and $X \subset Y \times [0, 1]^k$. Denote by X_t the slice $X_t := \{y \in Y \mid (y, t) \in X\}$. If $X_t \neq \emptyset$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]^k$, then*

$$\dim_H(X) \geq k + \dim_H(X_t), \text{ for almost every } t.$$

Let us recall that a map $h : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, d')$ is α -bihölder with constant $C > 0$ if

$$C^{-1}d'(x, x')^{1/\alpha} \leq d(f(x), f(x')) \leq Cd(x, x')^\alpha, \text{ for any } x, x' \in X.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $E_1, \dots, E_k \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $f : E_1 \times \dots \times E_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be a map. Assume that there exists $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ and any $x_i \in E_i$ with $i \neq j$, for all $x, x' \in X_j$,*

$$x \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

is α -biHölder with constant C and

$$f(\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}\} \times E_j \times \{x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k\}) \subset \{a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}\} \times \mathbb{C} \times \{a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k\}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \neq j$ only depending on f and the x_i , $i \neq j$. Then f is α -biHölder with constant $C \cdot \max\{k, k^{1/2\alpha}\}$. In particular,

$$\dim_H(f(E_1 \times \dots \times E_k)) \geq \alpha \sum_{j=1}^k \dim_H(E_j).$$

Proof. Up to taking $C' \geq C$, we can assume that $C \geq 1$. Let $E := E_1 \times \dots \times E_k$. Let $x, x' \in E$, then by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(x')\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|f(x'_1, \dots, x'_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) - f(x'_1, \dots, x'_{j-1}, x_j, \dots, x_k)\| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^k |x_j - x'_j|^\alpha \leq C \cdot k \|x - x'\|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by hypothesis, we have

$$\|x - x'\| \leq \sqrt{k} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |x_j - x'_j| \leq C^\alpha \sqrt{k} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|f(x) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x', x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)\|^\alpha.$$

By assumption, $\|f(x) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x', x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)\| = |(f(x))_j - (f(x'))_j|$ and thus $\|x - x'\| \leq C^\alpha \sqrt{k} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |(f(x))_j - (f(x'))_j|^\alpha \leq C^\alpha \sqrt{k} \|f(x) - f(x')\|^\alpha$. The Hausdorff dimension estimate is classical (see e.g. [F]). \square

3.2. Embeddings of k -fold products of \mathbf{M} : proof of Theorem 3.1

By assumption, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists integers $p_i, k_i \geq 1$ such that

$$f_0^{\circ(k_i+p_i)}(c_i(0)) = f_0^{\circ p_i}(c_i(0)).$$

Denote by $a_i := f_0^{\circ k_i}(c_i(0))$. As a_i is a repelling cycle of f_0 , by the implicit function Theorem, up to reducing \mathbb{D}^m , we may assume that a_i can be followed holomorphically on the whole \mathbb{D}^m as a p_i -repelling cycle $a_i(\lambda)$ of f_λ . Let us now set:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \mathbb{D}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \\ \lambda &\longmapsto (f_\lambda^{\circ p_1}(c_1(\lambda)) - a_1(\lambda), \dots, f_\lambda^{\circ p_k}(c_k(\lambda)) - a_k(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, up to reducing \mathbb{D}^m , the map χ is a submersion onto its image Ω . The map χ allows us to define a system of coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_m) for which $\{\chi_i = 0\} = \{x_i = 0\}$, so that $\{\chi = 0\} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$ in a neighborhood Ω_1 of $0 \in \mathbb{D}^m$. Let us fix $R = 20$, let $\delta > 0$ be given by Lemma 2.11 and let us fix $0 < \epsilon < \delta$. Let us denote by (\mathcal{H}_j) the following assertion:

(\mathcal{H}_j): There exists $\rho_j > 0$ and a continuous embedding $\Phi_j : \mathbf{M}^j \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j} \longrightarrow \Omega_1$, and a continuous family $\{\varphi_{\zeta, x', l} : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\}_{(\zeta, x') \in \mathbf{M}^j \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}, 1 \leq l \leq j}$ of $(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphisms for which

- (1) For any $1 \leq l \leq j$, $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}$, $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{l-1}, \zeta_{l+1}, \dots, \zeta_j \in \mathbf{M}^{j-1}$ the map

$$\zeta \longmapsto \Phi_j(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{l-1}, \zeta, \zeta_{l+1}, \dots, \zeta_j, t)$$

is locally $1/(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -bihölder continuous. Moreover, the hölder constants are independant of $t, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{l-1}, \zeta_{l+1}, \dots, \zeta_j$ and

$$\Phi_j(\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{i-1}\} \times \mathbf{M} \times \{\zeta_{i+1}, \dots, \zeta_j\}) \subset \{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}\} \times \mathbb{C} \times \{a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m\}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \neq l$, depending only on $\Phi_j, \zeta_i, i \neq l$ and $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}$.

- (2) Φ_j is holomorphic on $(\mathring{\mathbf{M}})^j \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}$,

- (3) For any $\zeta \in \mathbf{M}^j$, the set $\Phi_j(\{\zeta\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j})$ is a holomorphic graph of the form

$$\Phi_j(\{\zeta\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}) = \{x_1 = u_1(x'), \dots, x_j = u_j(x'), x' \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}\}.$$

- (4) for $1 \leq l \leq j$, there exists $n_l \geq 1$ such that $\varphi_{\zeta, t, l} \circ p_{\zeta_l} = f_{\Phi(\zeta, t)}^{\circ n_l} \circ \varphi_{\zeta, t, l}$ on \mathcal{K}_{ζ_l} and the conjugacy is hybrid.

We want to prove (\mathcal{H}_j) by finite induction on j . To conclude, we just have to prove assertion (3) of the Theorem. Let us begin with proving (\mathcal{H}_1) . To this aim, let us set

$$\Lambda_1 := \{\chi_2 = \dots = \chi_k = 0\} \cap \{x_{k+1} = \dots = x_m = 0\} = \{x \in \Omega_1 / x_2 = \dots = x_m = 0\}.$$

Since χ is a local submersion at 0 , $\chi_1 \not\equiv 0$ on Λ_1 . By [G, Lemma 3.1], the critical point c_1 is thus active at 0 in Λ_1 . Since $c_1(0)$ is preperiodic under iteration of f_0 , there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $f_0^{\circ n}(c_1(0))$ is a periodic point of f_0 . Moreover, it is a repelling periodic point. Up to multiplying n by the period of $f_0^{\circ n}(c_1(0))$, we also may assume that $f_0^{\circ 2n}(c_1(0)) = f_0^{\circ n}(c_1(0))$, i.e. that $f_0^{\circ n}(c_1(0))$ is a repelling fixed point for $f_0^{\circ n}$. By a Theorem of McMullen (see [M2, Theorem 3.1]), there exists an integer $n_1 \geq n$ and a coordinate change on \mathbb{P}^1 , such that in this coordinate $c_1 \equiv 0$ on Λ_1 and

$$(2) \quad f_\lambda^{\circ n_1}(z) = z^2 + \zeta + h(z, \zeta),$$

whenever $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}(0, 2R)$, with $\sup |h(z, \zeta)| \leq \epsilon/2$ and $\lambda = \psi_1(\zeta) := t_1(1 + \gamma_1\zeta) \in \Lambda_1$ and $0 < |t_1|, |\gamma_1| < \epsilon$. Therefore, for $x' \in \mathbb{D}^{m-1}$ close enough to $0'$, in the coordinate given by Theorem 3.1 of [M2], the map f_λ satisfies (2) for $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}(0, R)$, with $\sup |h(z, \zeta)| \leq \epsilon$ and $\lambda = \psi_1(\zeta) := t_1(1 + \gamma_1\zeta) + x' \in \Lambda_1 + x'$. This means that there exists a family of quadratic-like maps $(f_\lambda^{o_{n_1}})_{\lambda \in \psi_n(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1}}$ for some $\rho_1 > 0$ parametrized by the open set $\psi_1(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1}$ of \mathbb{D}^m . The existence of a surjective map

$$\phi_1 : M_{\psi_1(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

follows from Theorem 2.9. Les us now set:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 : M_{\psi_n(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto (\phi_1(\lambda), \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.11, the map $\Psi_1|_{M_{\psi_1(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) + x'}} : M_{\psi_1(\mathbb{D}(0, R)) + x'} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times \{x'\}$ is an homeomorphism which is the restriction of a $(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -quasiconformal, for any $x' \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}^{m-1}$. The assertions (1) – (4) of (\mathcal{H}_1) are then satisfied by $\Phi_1 := \Psi_1^{-1}$, after Theorem 2.9.

We now assume that for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, we have already established assertion (\mathcal{H}_j) . Let us consider $\zeta^{(j)} \in (\partial\mathbf{M})^j$ be such that the critical point of $z^2 + \zeta_i^{(j)}$ is preperiodic to a repelling cycle and let us set

$$\Lambda_{j+1} := \Phi_j(\{\zeta^{(j)}\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}) \cap \{x_{j+2} = \dots = x_m = 0\}$$

and let $\lambda^{(j+1)} \in \Lambda_{j+1} \cap \{x_{j+1} = 0\}$. The critical points c_{j+2}, \dots, c_k are passive in the family Λ_{j+1} and, by the assumption (4) of the induction hypothesis (\mathcal{H}_j) , up to reordering the critical points, we can assume that the critical points c_1, \dots, c_j are passive in the family $(f_t)_{t \in \Lambda_{j+1}}$. In addition, by assumption (3) of (\mathcal{H}_j) , the set Λ_{j+1} is of the form

$$\Lambda_{j+1} = \{x_1 = u_1(x'), \dots, x_j = u_j(x'), x' \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}\} \cap \{x_{j+1} = \dots = x_k = 0\}.$$

Therefore, the analytic sets Λ_{j+1} and $\{x_{j+1} = 0\}$ intersect properly at $\lambda^{(j+1)}$. Therefore, by [G, Lemma 3.1], the critical point c_{j+1} is active at $\lambda^{(j+1)}$ in Λ_{j+1} . Using again [M2, Theorem 3.1], we find an integer $n_{j+1} \geq 1$ and a coordinate change on \mathbb{P}^1 , such that in this coordinate $c_{j+1} \equiv 0$ on Λ_{j+1} and $f_t^{o_{n_{j+1}}}(z) = z^2 + \zeta + h(z, \zeta)$, whenever $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}(0, 2R)$, with $\sup |h(z, \zeta)| \leq \epsilon/2$ and $t = \psi_{j+1}(\zeta) := t_{j+1}(1 + \gamma_{j+1}\zeta) \in \Lambda_{j+1}$ and $0 < |t_{j+1}|, |\gamma_{j+1}| < \epsilon$. We then proceed as in the previous step to find $0 < r \leq \rho_j$ and to build a continuous injection

$$\Psi_{j+1} : \mathbb{D}_r^j \times \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{D}_r^{m-j-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^m(\Phi_j(\zeta^{(j)}, 0'), r)$$

satisfying (\mathcal{H}_1) . In particular, for any $\zeta \in \mathbf{M}$, the set $\Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_r^j \times \{\zeta\} \times \mathbb{D}_r^{m-j-1})$ is a holomorphic graph of the form

$$\Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_r^j \times \{\zeta\} \times \mathbb{D}_r^{m-j-1}) = \{x_{j+1} = u_{j+1}(x', x''), x' \in \mathbb{D}_r^j, x'' \in \mathbb{D}_r^{m-j-1}\}.$$

We now may construct the map Φ_{j+1} , using Φ_j and Ψ_{j+1} . By a classical result of Douady and Hubbard (see [DH], see also [M2, Theorem 4.1]), there exists $(1 + \epsilon)$ -quasiconformal embeddings $\phi_i : \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}$ which images are respectively contained in arbitrary small neighborhoods of $\zeta_i^{(j)}$. Therefore, the maps ϕ_i can be chosen so that

$\Phi_j(\phi_1(\mathbf{M}) \times \dots \times \phi_j(\mathbf{M}) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}) \cap \Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_r^j \times \{\zeta_{j+1}\} \times \{0\}) \Subset \Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_r^j \times \{\zeta_{j+1}\} \times \{0\})$ for any $\zeta_{j+1} \in \mathbf{M}$. By continuity of Ψ_{j+1} , we thus can find $0 < \rho_{j+1} \leq r$ such that

$\Phi_j(\phi_1(\mathbf{M}) \times \cdots \times \phi_j(\mathbf{M}) \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j}^{m-j}) \cap \Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{j+1}}^j \times \{\zeta\} \times \{x'\}) \Subset \Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{j+1}}^j \times \{\zeta\} \times \{x'\})$, for any $(\zeta_{j+1}, x') \in \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_{j+1}}^{m-j-1}$. The hypothesis (3) of (\mathcal{H}_j) guaranties that for any $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{j+1} \in \mathbf{M}$ and any $x' \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_{j+1}}^{m-j-1}$, the intersection

$$\Phi_j(\{(\phi_1(\zeta_1), \dots, \phi_j(\zeta_j))\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\rho_j} \times \{x'\}) \cap \Psi_{j+1}(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{j+1}}^j \times \{\zeta\} \times \{x'\})$$

is reduced to one point.

We define $\Phi_{j+1}(\zeta, x')$ as this only intersection point. The properties of Φ_j and Ψ_{j+1} respectively given by (\mathcal{H}_j) and (\mathcal{H}_1) directly imply that the map Φ_{j+1} satisfies the assertions (2), (3) and (4) of (\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) . To conclude, it remains to remark that, by the regularity properties of Φ_j and Ψ_{j+1} , the map Φ_{j+1} obviously satisfies (1).

We have shown that Φ exists and satisfies (1), (2) and (4). It remains to justify the fact that Φ satisfies (3). First, let us remark that assumption (1) of (\mathcal{H}_k) combined with Lemma 3.3 implies that for any $t \in \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$, the map $\Phi(\cdot, t) : \mathbf{M}^k \rightarrow \Omega_1$ is locally $1/(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -bihölder. Let now $\zeta \in (\partial\mathbf{M})^k$ and let $\rho > 0$ be such that $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is $1/(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -bihölder on $\mathbb{D}^k(\zeta, \rho)$. Lemma 3.3 and [Sh, Theorem A] give

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H(\Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \cap \mathbb{D}^k(\zeta, \rho), t)) &\geq (1 + O(\epsilon)) \dim_H((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \cap \mathbb{D}^k(\zeta, \rho)) \\ &\geq (1 + O(\epsilon)) \sum_{j=0}^k \dim_H((\partial\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbb{D}(\zeta_j, \rho)) \\ &\geq 2k(1 + O(\epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 and assertion (3) of (\mathcal{H}_k) then state that for almost every $t \in \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H(\Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k})) &\geq 2(m - k) + \dim_H(\Phi((\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \{t\})) \\ &\geq 2(m - k) + 2k(1 + O(\epsilon)) = 2m - O(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.

3.3. A consequence: Theorem 2.

We now prove that the homeomorphically embedded copies of $(\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{\dim \Lambda - k}$ given by Theorem 3.1 are contained in the support of the bifurcation currents. As a consequence, we obtain optimal Hausdorff dimension estimates for the supports of the bifurcation currents. Theorem 3.1 combined with [G, Theorem 6.2] yields the following key Proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Assume that c_1, \dots, c_k are marked simple critical points and denote by T_1, \dots, T_k their respective bifurcation currents. Assume that $k \leq m = \dim \Lambda$ and $T_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_k \neq 0$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the homeomorphic embeddings of the set $(\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$ given by Theorem 3.1 are contained in $\text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_k)$.*

Proof. Consider a dense sequence $\zeta_j \subset \partial\mathbf{M}$ for which 0 is preperiodic to a repelling cycle for $z^2 + \zeta_j$. Since $\partial\mathbf{M}$ is the bifurcation locus of the family $(z^2 + \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}}$, the existence of such a sequence is just an straight forward consequence of Montel's Theorem (see for example [DF, Lemma 2.3] or [M2, Lemma 2.1]). Set $\mathbf{j} := (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{j}} := (\zeta_{j_1}, \dots, \zeta_{j_k})$. Let Φ be the embedding given by Theorem 3.1. Since the set $\{(\zeta_{\mathbf{j}}, x') \in (\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k} / \mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k\}$ is dense in $(\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$, it is sufficient to show that $\Phi(\zeta_{\mathbf{j}}, x') \in \text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_k)$

for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$ and all $x' \in \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$. By item (4) of Theorem 3.1, the critical points c_1, \dots, c_k fall onto repelling cycles at $\Phi(\zeta_{\mathbf{j}}, x')$ for any $x' \in \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$. Since that c_1, \dots, c_k fall properly onto repelling cycles for any $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$. [G, Theorem 6.2] then states that $\Phi(\zeta_{\mathbf{j}}, x') \in \text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.4. \square

3.4. Hausdorff dimension of the support of bifurcation currents.

To end this section, we want to underline the fact that Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.4 and the work [Du2] of Dujardin directly give Hausdorff dimension estimates for the support of $T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Assume that c_1, \dots, c_k are marked simple critical points and denote by T_1, \dots, T_k their respective bifurcation currents. Assume that $k \leq m = \dim \Lambda$ and $T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k \neq 0$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the homeomorphic embeddings of the set $(\partial \mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k}$ of dimension at least $2m - \epsilon$ given by Theorem 3.1 are dense in $\text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By [Du2, Theorem 0.1], there exists a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ such that c_1, \dots, c_k fall transversely onto repelling cycles at λ_n . Let $n \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \epsilon)$. Then, by Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, there exists an embedding

$$\Phi : (\partial \mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \epsilon) \cap \text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$$

with $\dim_H(\Phi((\partial \mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{m-k})) \geq 2m - \epsilon$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Assume that c_1, \dots, c_k are marked simple critical points and denote by T_1, \dots, T_k their respective bifurcation currents. Then either*

- $T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k = 0$, or,
- $\text{supp}(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_k)$ is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension $2m$.

We are now in position to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $k \geq 1$ be such that $T_{\text{bif}}^k \neq 0$. Up to taking a finite branched covering of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, we can assume that it has marked critical points c_1, \dots, c_{2d-2} . If T_i is the bifurcation current of the critical points c_i , (1) gives

$$(3) \quad \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq 2d-2} \text{supp} \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k T_{j_i} \right).$$

Let us now set

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,j} := \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid c_j(\lambda) = c_i(\lambda)\}$$

for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2d-2$. By assumption, $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$ is a complex hypersurface of Λ . Let $\Lambda_1 := \Lambda \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} \mathcal{C}_{i,j}$. Then the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1}$ is a family of degree d rational maps with simple marked critical points. The key of the proof is the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \Lambda_1$ be an open ball and let*

$$m := \max\{1 \leq j \leq 2d - 2 / T_{\text{bif}}^j \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{B}\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{B} \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1}) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^m) \neq \emptyset$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 3, it suffices to show that $\Lambda_1 \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1}) \neq \emptyset$ and then to apply Corollary 3.6 in any ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \Lambda_1$ such that $\mathbb{B} \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \subset \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1})$.

By Lemma 3.7, if $m = \max\{j \leq 2d - 2 / T_{\text{bif}}^j \neq 0 \text{ on } \Lambda_1\}$, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1}) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^m)$. If $\mathbb{B}_1 \subset \Lambda_1$ is a small enough ball centered at λ_1 , one has $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^m) \cap \mathbb{B}_1 = \emptyset$, then applying again Lemma 3.7, we find $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \cap \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-2}) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1})$. In $m - k + 1$ steps, we find $\lambda_{m-k+1} \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1})$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.7. This is a consequence of [Du2, Theorem 0.1]. Let $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^m) \cap \mathbb{B}$, then by (3), there exists $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < c_{j_m} \leq 2d - 2$ such that $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(T_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge T_{j_m})$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{B}$ such that c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_m} fall transversely onto repelling cycles (see Definition 2.5). Let now $n_i, k_i \geq 1$ be such that

$$\lambda_1 \in X_i := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B} / f_\lambda^{c_{n_i}}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) = f_\lambda^{c_{n_i+k_i}}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) \text{ and } f_\lambda^{c_{n_i}}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) \text{ is repelling}\}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. By [G, Lemma 3.1], the critical point c_{j_m} is active at λ_1 in $X_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap X_{j_{m-1}}$. By Montel's Theorem, there exists $\lambda_2 \in X_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap X_{j_{m-1}}$ such that $c_{j_m}(\lambda_2)$ is a periodic point of f_{λ_2} . Therefore, there exists $\mathbb{B}_1 \Subset \mathbb{B}$ a ball centered at λ_2 such that c_{j_m} is passive on \mathbb{B}_1 and $T_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge T_{j_{m-1}} \neq 0$ on \mathbb{B}_1 .

Assume now that $T_{\text{bif}}^m \neq 0$ on \mathbb{B}_1 . By the same procedure, we can find $j'_m \neq j_m$ and a ball $\mathbb{B}_2 \Subset \mathbb{B}_1$ such that $c_{j'_m}$ is passive on \mathbb{B}_2 and $T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1} \neq 0$ on \mathbb{B}_2 . In finitely many steps, we find a ball $\mathbb{B}' \Subset \mathbb{B}$ with

- (1) $2d - 2 - m + 1$ critical points are passive on \mathbb{B}' ,
- (2) $T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1} \neq 0$ on \mathbb{B}' , i.e. $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1}) \cap \mathbb{B}' \neq \emptyset$.

Since item (1) gives $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1}) \cap \mathbb{B}' \subset \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{m-1}) \setminus \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^m)$, the proof is complete. \square

4. Higher bifurcation currents and neutral cycles.

One of the interesting informations provided by the work [MSS] of Mañé, Sad and Sullivan and the work [L] of Lyubich is the existing link between the existence of a non-persistent neutral cycle and the non-persistent preperiodicity of a critical point. Namely, they show that in any holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of degree d rational maps, the closure in Λ of the set of parameters λ_0 for which f_{λ_0} possesses a non-persistent neutral cycle coincides with the closure in Λ of the set of parameters λ_0 for which one critical point of f_{λ_0} is non-persistently preperiodic to a repelling cycle. In this section, we want to establish an equivalent of that result for higher bifurcation loci.

4.1. In the space Rat_d^{cm} of critically marked degree d rational maps.

We refer to [BE, Section 1.2] for a description of the set Rat_d^{cm} of critically marked rational maps. The space Rat_d^{cm} is a quasiprojective variety of dimension $2d + 1$, which is an algebraic finite branched cover of Rat_d . The degree of the natural projection $\pi : \text{Rat}_d^{cm} \rightarrow \text{Rat}_d$ depends only on d . Moreover, there exists $2d - 2$ holomorphic maps $c_1, \dots, c_{2d-2} :$

$\text{Rat}_d^{cm} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $C(f) = \{c_1(f), \dots, c_{2d-2}(f)\}$, where the critical point are counted with multiplicity. In what follows, we will need the following Lemma (see [M1, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 4.1 (McMullen). *Any stable algebraic family of degree d rational maps is either trivial or all its members are postcritically finite.*

Recall that for $n \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, we denoted by $\text{Per}_n(w)$ the set of all rational maps having a cycle of multiplier w and exact period n (see section 2.1). In the quasiprojective variety Rat_d^{cm} , the set $\text{Per}_n(w)$ is an algebraic hypersurface. Let $k \geq 2$, for $\Theta_k := (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$ and $N_k := (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$ we define the set $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ as

$$\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) := \{f \in \text{Rat}_d^{cm} / f \text{ has } k \text{ distinct neutral cycles of respective multipliers } e^{2i\pi\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi\theta_k} \text{ and respective period } n_1, \dots, n_k\}.$$

The set $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ is a subvaritey of $\bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq k} \text{Per}_{n_j}(e^{2i\pi\theta_j})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \geq 2$, $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$ and $N_k = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$. If $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$, then any irreducible component of the algebraic set $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ has codimension k in Rat_d^{cm} .*

Proof. Let Γ be an irreducible component of $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$. Let us first treat the case $k = 2d - 2$. If $\text{codim } \Gamma < 2d - 2$, the family Γ is a non-trivial algebraic family of rational maps, since $\dim \Gamma > 3$ and it is stable, by the Fatou-Shishikura inequality. Lemma 4.1 asserts that the family Γ is a family of postcritically finite rational maps. This is impossible, since postcritically finite rational maps only have repelling or attracting cycles. This implies that $\text{codim } \Gamma = 2d - 2$.

Assume now that $k < 2d - 2$. Then the family Γ is not stable. Indeed, if we assume that Γ is stable, Lemma 4.1 again implies that Γ is a family of postcritically finie rational maps. Therefore, there exists $\theta_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$, an integer n_{k+1} and a map $f_1 \in \Gamma \cap \text{Per}_{n_{k+1}}(e^{2i\pi\theta_{k+1}})$. We thus reduce to proving that any irreducible component of $\text{Per}_{N_{k+1}}^{k+1}(\Theta_{k+1})$ has codimension $k + 1$, which in finitely many steps boilds down to the case $k = 2d - 2$. \square

Let $1 \leq k \leq 2d - 2$. For $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$, recall that we have set

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k) = \bigcup_{N_k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k} \text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k).$$

Recall also that we denoted by $\text{Prerep}(k)$ the set of rational maps having k prerepelling critical points. We still denote by T_{bif}^k the k -th bifurcation current of the family Rat_d^{cm} which may be defined by

$$T_{\text{bif}}^k := \pi^* \left((dd^c L)^k \right) = (dd^c(L \circ \pi))^k .$$

Our main result of the present section may be stated as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 \leq k \leq 2d - 2$ and let $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$. Then in Rat_d^{cm}*

$$\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)} = \overline{\pi^{-1}(\text{Prerep}(k))},$$

Proof. By [Du2, Theorem 1], we already know that $\overline{\pi^{-1}\text{Prerep}(k)} = \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$. The first step of the proof consists in showing that $\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)$ is not empty and $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)}$. In a second time, we show that, when $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$, it is contained in $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$.

Since $T_{\text{bif}}^{2d-2} \neq 0$, the current $T_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{j_k}$ is non-zero for any $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$ and Proposition 3.4 implies that there exists a family of homeomorphic embedding

$$\Phi_n : (\partial\mathbf{M})^k \times \mathbb{D}^{2d+1-k} \longrightarrow \text{supp}(T_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{j_k})$$

which images are dense in $\text{supp}(T_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{j_k})$. Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k \in \partial\mathbf{M}$ be such that $z^2 + \zeta_j$ has a cycle of multiplier $e^{2i\pi\theta_j}$. The conjugacy given by Theorem 3.1 being hybrid, Lemma 3 of [BH2] ensures that the map $f_{\Phi_n(\zeta, 0)}$ has k distinct neutral cycles of respective multipliers $e^{2i\pi\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi\theta_k}$ and thus $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$ for some $N_k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$. Moreover, Corollary 2.10 asserts that, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, the set of parameters $\zeta \in \partial\mathbf{M}$ for which $z^2 + \zeta$ has a cycle of multiplier $e^{2i\pi\theta_j}$ is dense in $\partial\mathbf{M}$. Therefore, $\text{supp}(T_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{j_k}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)}$, for any $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$. By (1), this implies $\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)}$.

It thus remains to prove that $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \subset \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$, as soon as $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$. To this aim, we set for $m > n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2d-2$:

$$\text{Prerep}_j(n, m) = \{f \in \text{Rat}_d^{cm} / f^{\circ n}(c_j(f)) = f^{\circ m}(c_j(f)) \text{ and } f^{\circ(m-n)}(c_j(f)) \text{ is repelling}\}.$$

We proceed by induction. Let $N_k = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$ be such that $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$ and let $f_0 \in \text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$. By Lemma 4.2, f_0 has a non-persistent cycle of multiplier $e^{2i\pi\theta_k}$ in the family $\text{Per}_{N_{k-1}}^{k-1}(\Theta_{k-1})$. Mañé-Sad-Sullivan's Theorem asserts that f_0 is a bifurcation parameter in the family $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$. Therefore, by Montel's Theorem, there exists $f_1 \in \text{Per}_{N_{k-1}}^{k-1}(\Theta_{k-1})$ arbitrarily close to f_0 so that f_1 has one critical point preperiodic to a repelling cycle, i.e.

$$f_1 \in \text{Per}_{N_{k-1}}^{k-1}(\Theta_{k-1}) \cap \text{Prerep}_{j_1}(n_1, m_1)$$

for some $1 \leq j_1 \leq 2d-2$ and $m_1 > n_1 \geq 1$ and $\text{Per}_{N_{k-1}}^{k-1}(\Theta_{k-1}) \cap \text{Prerep}_{j_1}(n_1, m_1)$ has codimension k . Assume now that we already have found

$$f_j \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} \text{Prerep}_{j_i}(n_i, m_i) \cap \text{Per}_{N_{k-j}}^{k-j}(\Theta_{k-j})$$

arbitrarily close to f_0 and that $\text{codim} \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} \text{Prerep}_{j_i}(n_i, m_i) \cap \text{Per}_{N_{k-j}}^{k-j}(\Theta_{k-j}) = k$. Then, the map f_j has a non-persistent neutral cycle of multiplier $e^{2i\pi\theta_{k-j}}$ in the family

$$X_j := \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} \text{Prerep}_{j_i}(n_i, m_i) \cap \text{Per}_{N_{k-j-1}}^{k-j-1}(\Theta_{k-j-1}).$$

Remark that the fact that a periodic point is repelling is an open condition. Thus, using again Montel's Theorem, we find integers $m_{j+1} > n_{j+1} \geq 1$ and

$$f_{j+1} \in \text{Prerep}_{j_{j+1}}(n_{j+1}, m_{j+1}) \cap X_j$$

arbitrarily close to f_j . Moreover, $\text{codim Prerep}_{j+1}(n_{j+1}, m_{j+1}) \cap X_j = k$.

Iterating this process k times, we find f_k arbitrarily close to f_0 at which k critical points fall properly onto repelling cycles. Theorem 6.2 of [G] states that, in these conditions, the map f_k belongs to the support of T_{bif}^k . As f_k can be taken as close to f_0 as we want, this concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that we denoted by $\pi : \text{Rat}_d^{cm} \rightarrow \text{Rat}_d$ the natural projection, which is a finite branched covering. The projection

$$\Pi : \text{Rat}_d^{cm} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$$

which, to f associates its class of conjugacy by Möbius transformations, is a principal bundle on $\text{Rat}_d^{cm} \setminus V$, where V is a proper subvariety of Rat_d^{cm} (see e.g. [BB1] page 226). Since the function $L \circ \pi : \text{Rat}_d^{cm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, the current $(dd^c(L \circ \pi))^k$ doesn't give mass to pluripolar sets. Therefore, Theorem 4.3 implies that the set $\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k) \setminus V$ is dense in $\text{supp}((dd^c(L \circ \pi))^k)$. The conclusion follows, since $\Pi(\text{supp}((dd^c L \circ \pi)^k)) = \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$, where T_{bif}^k denotes the k^{th} -bifurcation current of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d . \square

4.2. In the moduli space \mathcal{P}_d of degree d polynomials.

In the present section, we want to give a simpler argument for the proof of Theorem 4.3 in the case of polynomial families. This argument relies a fine control of the cluster set of the bifurcation locus at infinity. To this aim, we will use the following parametrization of the moduli space \mathcal{P}_d of all degree d polynomials. For any $(c, a) = (c_1, \dots, c_{d-2}, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, we set $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{d-2})$ and

$$P_{(c,a)}(z) := \frac{1}{d}z^d + \sum_{j=2}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-j} \sigma_{d-j}(c) \frac{z^j}{j} + a^d,$$

where $\sigma_j(c)$ is the symmetric degree j polynomial in c_1, \dots, c_{d-2} . The critical points of the polynomial $P_{(c,a)}$ are $0, c_1, \dots, c_{d-2}$ and are holomorphic functions of the parameter. This family has been introduced by Branner and Hubbard in [BH1] to prove the compactness of the connectedness locus of \mathcal{P}_d . It also has been used by Dujardin and Favre in [DF] and by Bassanelli and Berteloot to study the bifurcation currents in [BB3].

The parameter space \mathbb{C}^{d-1} can be naturally compactified as \mathbb{P}^{d-1} by the following natural injection:

$$(c, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \rightarrow [c : a : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^{d-1}.$$

Finally, we denote by T_i the bifurcation current of the marked critical point c_i . Let us set $\mathcal{C}_d = \{(c, a) : \mathcal{J}_{c,a} \text{ is connected}\}$. We summarize the interest of this parametrization in the following proposition (see [BH1], [DF, Section 6] and [BB3, Section 4]):

- Proposition 4.4.** (1) *The natural projection $\Pi : \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$ is a degree $d(d-1)$ analytic branched cover,*
 (2) *The loci $\mathcal{B}_i := \{(c, a) / (P_{(c,a)}^{\circ n}(c_i))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbb{C}\}$ accumulate at infinity of \mathbb{C}^{d-1} in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} on codimension 1 algebraic sets Γ_i of the hyperplan $\mathbb{P}_\infty = \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \setminus \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ which intersect two-by-two transversely. As a consequence, \mathcal{C}_d is compact in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} ,*

- (3) *The bifurcation measure $\mu_{\text{bif}} := T_{\text{bif}}^{d-1}$ is a finite positive measure and its support coincides with the Shilov boundary of \mathcal{C}_d .*

For any $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the algebraic hypersurfaces $\text{Per}_n(w)$ of \mathbb{C}^{d-1} extend as algebraic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{P}^{d-1} . Moreover, for $w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the hypersurface $\text{Per}_n(w)$ intersect the line at infinity \mathbb{P}_∞ along the set $\bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq d-2} \mathbb{P}_\infty \cap \mathcal{B}_j$, which has codimension 2 in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} .

We use the same notations as in section 4.1. Let $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, for $N_k = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$ and $\Theta_k = (\theta_1; \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$, we denote by $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ the set of parameters $(c, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ s.t. $P_{(c,a)}$ has k distinct neutral cycles of respective multipliers $e^{2i\pi\theta_j}$ and period n_j . The set $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ is a subvariety of $\bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq k} \text{Per}_{n_j}(e^{2i\pi\theta_j})$. We also set

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k) := \bigcup_{N_k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k} \text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$$

and $\text{Prerep}(k) := \{(c, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} / P_{(c,a)} \text{ has } k \text{ prerepelling critical points}\}$. In the present setting, Theorem 4.3 can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and let $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$. Then, in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} ,*

$$\text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_k(\Theta_k)} = \overline{\text{Prerep}(k)},$$

The proof is the same as in the case of the space Rat_d^{cm} . The only difference is in the proof of the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $k \geq 2$, $\Theta_k = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^k$ and $N_k = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$. If $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k) \neq \emptyset$, then any irreducible component of the algebraic set $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$ has codimension k in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} .*

Proof. Let Γ be a non-empty irreducible component of $\text{Per}_{N_k}^k(\Theta_k)$. Then, there exists irreducible components H_i of $\text{Per}_{n_i}(e^{2i\pi\theta_i})$, such that Γ is a Zariski open set of $H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, remark that $H_i \subset \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}_j$. By Proposition 4.4, this implies that $\mathbb{P}_\infty \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} H_i$ has codimension $k+1$. Since \mathbb{P}_∞ has codimension 1, we get $\text{codim } H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k = k$. \square

Remark. Dujardin and Favre proved that for a μ_{bif} -generic polynomial f , all critical orbits are dense in \mathcal{J}_f (see [DF, Corollary 11]). Therefore, the copies of $(\partial\mathcal{M})^{d-1}$ provided by Theorem 2 have zero measure for μ_{bif} , even though they form a homogeneous dense subset of $\text{supp}(\mu_{\text{bif}})$ of Hausdorff dimension $2(d-1)$.

References

- [B] François Berteloot. Bifurcation currents in holomorphic families of rational maps, 2012. preprint arXiv : math.DS/1207.0789v1, to appear in *CIME Lecture Notes Springer*.
- [BB1] Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot. Bifurcation currents in holomorphic dynamics on \mathbb{P}^k . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 608:201–235, 2007.
- [BB2] Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot. Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation currents and laminations in bifurcation loci. *Math. Ann.*, 345(1):1–23, 2009.
- [BB3] Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot. Distribution of polynomials with cycles of a given multiplier. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 201:23–43, 2011.
- [BE] Xavier Buff and Adam L. Epstein. Bifurcation measure and postcritically finite rational maps. In *Complex dynamics : families and friends / edited by Dierk Schleicher*, pages 491–512. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, Massachusetts, 2009.

- [BG] Xavier Buff and Thomas Gauthier. Perturbations of flexible lattès maps. to appear in *Bull. Soc. Math. France*.
- [BH1] Bodil Branner and John H. Hubbard. The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. The global topology of parameter space. *Acta Math.*, 160(3-4):143–206, 1988.
- [BH2] X. Buff and C. Henriksen. Julia sets in parameter spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 220(2):333–375, 2001.
- [BT] Eric Bedford and B. A. Taylor. The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampere equation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 82(1):102–104, 1976.
- [De1] Laura DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(1-2):57–66, 2001.
- [De2] Laura DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity. *Math. Ann.*, 326(1):43–73, 2003.
- [Du1] Romain Dujardin. Bifurcation currents and equidistribution on parameter space, 2011. preprint arXiv : math.DS/1111.3989v2, to appear in the proceedings of the conference *Frontiers in complex dynamics (Celebrating John Milnor’s 80th birthday)*.
- [Du2] Romain Dujardin. The supports of higher bifurcation currents, 2012. preprint arXiv: math.DS/1202.3249, to appear in *Annales de la Faculté de Toulouse*.
- [DF] Romain Dujardin and Charles Favre. Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(4):979–1032, 2008.
- [DH] Adrien Douady and John Hamal Hubbard. On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(2):287–343, 1985.
- [F] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal geometry*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1990. Mathematical foundations and applications.
- [FG] Charles Favre and Thomas Gauthier. Distribution of postcritically finite polynomials, 2013. preprint.
- [G] Thomas Gauthier. Strong bifurcation loci of full Hausdorff dimension. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4)*, 45(6):947–984, 2012.
- [I] H. Inou. Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps II: Discontinuity. *ArXiv preprint*, 2009.
- [IK] Hiroyuki Inou and Jan Kiwi. Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps, I: Compactness and bijectivity. *Adv. Math.*, 231(5):2666–2733, 2012.
- [L] M. Yu. Lyubich. Some typical properties of the dynamics of rational mappings. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 38(5(233)):197–198, 1983.
- [M1] Curtis T. McMullen. Families of rational maps and iterative root-finding algorithms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 125(3):467–493, 1987.
- [M2] Curtis T. McMullen. The Mandelbrot set is universal. In *The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations*, volume 274 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–17. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [MSS] R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan. On the dynamics of rational maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 16(2):193–217, 1983.
- [Sh] Mitsuhiro Shishikura. The Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(2):225–267, 1998.
- [Si] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*, volume 241 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2007.

LAMFA UMR-CNRS 7352, UNIVERSITÉ DE PICARDIE JULES VERNE, 33 RUE SAINT-LEU, 80039 AMIENS CEDEX 1, FRANCE.

Email address: thomas.gauthier@u-picardie.fr