



Cartan subgroups and generosity in $SL_2(Q_p)$

Benjamin Druart

► To cite this version:

| Benjamin Druart. Cartan subgroups and generosity in $SL_2(Q_p)$. 2013. hal-00806561v2

HAL Id: hal-00806561

<https://hal.science/hal-00806561v2>

Preprint submitted on 3 Oct 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Cartan Subgroups and Generosity in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Benjamin DRUART^{*†}

October 4, 2013

Abstract

We show that there exist a finite number of Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and we describe all of them. We show that the Cartan subgroup consisting of all diagonal matrices is generous and it is the only one up to conjugacy.

Keywords p-adic field ; Cartan subgroup ; generosity

MSC2010 20G25 ; 20E34 ; 11E57

A subset X of a group G is *left-generic* if G can be covered by finitely many left-translates of X . We define similarly right-genericity. If X is G -invariant, then left-genericity is equivalent to right-genericity. This important notion in model theory was particularly developed by B. Poizat for groups in stable theories [3]. For a group of finite Morley-rank and X a definable subset, genericity is the same as being of maximal dimension [3, lemme 2.5]. The term generous was introduced in [2] to show some conjugation theorem. A definable subset X of a group G is *generous* in G if the union of its G -conjugates, $X^G = \{x^g \mid (x, g) \in X \times G\}$, is generic in G .

In an arbitrary group G , we define a *Cartan subgroup* H as a maximal nilpotent subgroup such that every finite index normal subgroup $X \trianglelefteq H$ is of finite index in its normalizer $N_G(X)$. First we can remark that they are infinite because $N_G(1) = G$ and if H is finite then $\{1\}$ is of finite index in H . In connected reductive algebraic groups over an algebraically closed fields, the maximal torus is typically an example of a Cartan subgroup. Moreover it is the only one up to conjugation and it is generous. It has been remarked in [1] that, in the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, also the Cartan subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices is generous. But it has also been remarked that in the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, there exists another Cartan subgroup, namely $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$, which is not generous.

^{*}Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères Cedex, France. email : Benjamin.Druart@ujf-grenoble.fr

[†]The research leading to these results has recieved funding from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 278722.

We will discuss here some apparently new remarks of the same kind in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. First we describe all Cartan subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. After we show that the Cartan subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices is generous and it is the only one up to conjugacy.

I would like to thank E. Jaligot, my supervisor for his help, E. Baro to explain me the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and T. Altinel to help me to correct a mistake in a previous version of this paper.

Description of Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy

We note $v_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the p-adic-valuation, and $ac : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ the angular component defined by $ac(x) = res(p^{-v_p(x)}x)$ where $res : \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is the residue map.

With these notations, if $p \neq 2$, an element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is a square if and only if $v_p(x)$ is even and $ac(x)$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p . For $p = 2$, an element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ can be written $x = 2^n u$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, then x is a square if n is even and $u \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ [4].

Fact 1 ([4]). *If $p \neq 2$, the group $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, it has for representatives $\{1, u, p, up\}$, where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is such that $ac(u)$ is not a square in \mathbb{F}_p*

The group $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, it has for representatives $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 10\}$.

For any prime p , and any δ in $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, we put :

$$Q_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \right\}$$

$$Q_\delta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ and } a^2 - b^2\delta = 1 \right\}$$

Lemma 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in Q_1 \setminus \{I, -I\} \quad C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) &= Q_1 \\ \forall x \in Q_\delta \setminus \{I, -I\} \quad C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) &= Q_\delta \end{aligned}$$

The checking of these equalities is left to the reader.

Proposition 1. *The groups Q_1 and Q_δ are Cartan subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*

Proof. One checks easily that Q_1 is abelian and the normalizer of Q_1 is :

$$N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For X a subgroup of Q_1 , if $g \in N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X)$ and $x \in X$, then, using lemma 1

$$Q_1 = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x^g) = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x)^g = Q_1^g$$

It follows that $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle$ and if X of finite index k in Q_1 , then X is of index $2k$ in $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X)$. We can see that for t in Q_1 , $t^\omega = \omega^{-1}t\omega = t^{-1}$ and thus $[\omega, t] = t^2$.

If we note Γ_i the descending central series of $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$, we have $\Gamma_0 = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$, $\Gamma_1 = [N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1), N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)] = Q_1^2$ and $\Gamma_i = [N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1), \Gamma_{i-1}] = Q_1^{2^i}$. Observing that $Q_1 \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, we can conclude that the serie of Γ_i is infinite because Q_1 is infinite and $Q_1^{2^i}$ is of finite index in $Q_1^{2^{i-1}}$. Thus $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$ is not nilpotent. By the normalizer condition for nilpotent groups, if Q_1 is properly contained in a nilpotent group K , then $Q_1 < N_K(Q_1) \leq K$, here $N_K(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle$ which is not nilpotent, a contradiction. It finishes the proof that Q_1 is a Cartan subgroup.

For $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, we check similarly that the group Q_δ is abelian. Since for all subgroups X of Q_δ , $C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = Q_\delta$, it follows that $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_\delta) = Q_\delta$, and if X is of finite index in Q_δ then X is of finite index in its normalizer. By the normalizer condition for nilpotent groups, Q_δ is nilpotent maximal. \square

Proposition 2. 1. $Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$

2. For any $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_\delta^{\mu_i \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$$

We put :

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & u \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\} \text{ and } U^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$$

If $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ satisfies $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 0$, then either $\text{tr}(A) = 2$ or $\text{tr}(A) = -2$, and A is a conjugate of an element of U . In this case, A is said *unipotent*. It follows, from Proposition 2 :

Corollary 3. We have the following partition :

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus \{I, -I\} = (U \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \sqcup (Q_1 \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2} \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Q_\delta^{\mu_i} \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

Remark. If δ and δ' in $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ are in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, then, by Proposition 2, if $x' \in Q_{\delta'}^{\mu'}$ with $\mu' \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then there exists $x \in Q_\delta$, $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, such that $x' = x^{\mu'g}$, thus, by lemma 1, $Q_{\delta'} = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x') = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x)^{\mu'g} = Q_\delta^{\mu'g}$. Therefore the Corollary 3 makes sense.

Proof of Proposition 2. • If $A \in Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$, then there exists $P \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. We have $\text{tr}(A) = a + a^{-1}$, so $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = (a + a^{-1})^2 - 4 = (a - a^{-1})^2$ and $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$.

Conversely, let A be in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$ a square. The characteristic polynomial is $\chi_A(X) = X^2 - \text{tr}(A)X + 1$ and its discriminant is $\Delta = \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, so χ_A has two distinct roots in \mathbb{Q}_p and A is diagonalizable in $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. There is $P \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $D \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ diagonal such that $A = PDP^{-1}$. If

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

we put

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{\det(P)} & \beta \\ \frac{\gamma}{\det(P)} & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have $\tilde{P} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $A = \tilde{P}D\tilde{P}^{-1} \in Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$.

• If A is in $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \setminus \{I, -I\}$ with $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then $\text{tr}(A) = 2a$ and there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $a^2 - b^2\delta = 1$. So $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 4a^2 - 4 = 4(b^2\delta + 1) - 4 = (2b)^2\delta \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$

Conversely we proceed as in the real case and the root $i \in \mathbb{C}$. The discriminant of χ_A , $\Delta = \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\delta})$, and the characteristic polynomial χ_A has two roots in $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\delta})$: $\lambda_1 = \alpha + \beta\sqrt{\delta}$ and $\lambda_2 = \alpha - \beta\sqrt{\delta}$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$). For the two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 , A has eigen vectors :

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x + y\sqrt{\delta} \\ x' + y'\sqrt{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x - y\sqrt{\delta} \\ x' - y'\sqrt{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

In the basis $\{(x, x'), (y, y')\}$, the matrix A can be written :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. We can conclude that there exists $P \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that :

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

We proved that $Q_\delta^{GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$.

Let us now study the conjugation in $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For the demonstration, we note : $S = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\text{Ext}(S) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid f(M) = M^P \text{ for } M \in S, P \in G\}$, $\text{Int}(S) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid f(M) = M^P \text{ for } M \in S, P \in S\}$. Let $P, P' \in G$ and $M \in S$ then :

$$M^P = M^{P'} \Leftrightarrow P^{-1}MP = P'^{-1}MP' \Leftrightarrow P'P^{-1}M = MP'P^{-1} \Leftrightarrow PP' \in C_G(M)$$

So P and P' define the same automorphism if and only if $P'P^{-1} \in C_G(S) = Z(G) = \mathbb{Q}_p \cdot I_2$, then $\text{Ext}(S) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/Z(G) \cong PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and similarly $\text{Int}(S) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/Z(S) \cong$

$PSL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. It is known that $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/PSL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$. Finally $Int(S)$ is a normal subgroup of finite index in $Ext(S)$, and there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that :

$$Q_\delta^{GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = Q_\delta^{\mu_1 \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cup \dots \cup Q_\delta^{\mu_n \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

□

Theorem 4. *The subgroups Q_1, Q_δ (for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$) and the externally conjugate $Q_\delta^{\mu_i}$ (for $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) are the only Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*

Proof. It is clear that the image of a Cartan subgroup by an automorphism is also a Cartan subgroup. For the demonstration we note $S = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and B the following subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$:

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$$

With these notations, we can easily check for $g \in U \setminus \{I, -I\}$ that $C_S(g) = U$ and $N_S(U) = B$. Moreover it is known that every $q \in B$ can be written as $q = tu$ where $t \in Q_1$ and $u \in U$.

Consider K a Cartan subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We will show that K is a conjugate of Q_1 or of one of the Q_δ^μ (for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ and $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$). First we prove K cannot contain a unipotent element other than I or $-I$. Since a conjugate of a Cartan subgroup is still a Cartan subgroup, it suffices to show that $K \cap U = \{I, -I\}$.

In order to find a contradiction, let $u \in K$ be a element of U different from I or $-I$, u is in $K \cap B$. If $\alpha \in N_S(K \cap B)$, then we have that $u^\alpha \in K \cap B$, and since $tr(u^\alpha) = tr(u) = \pm 2$, u^α is still in U . Therefore $U = C_S(u) = C_S(u^\alpha) = C_S(u)^\alpha = U^\alpha$ and so α is in $N_S(U) = B$. It follows $N_S(K \cap B) \leq B$ and finally $N_K(K \cap B) = K \cap B$. By the normalizer condition $K \cap B$ cannot be proper in K , then $K \leq B$.

It is known (see for example [5, Lemma 0.1.10]) that if K is a nilpotent group and $H \trianglelefteq K$ a non trivial normal subgroup, then $H \cap Z(K)$ is not trivial. If we assume that $K \not\leq U^+$, since $K \leq B = N_S(U^+)$, $K \cap U^+$ is normal in K , and so $K \cap U^+$ contains a non trivial element x of the center $Z(K)$. For $q \in K \setminus U^+$, there are $t \in Q_1 \setminus \{I\}$ and $u \in U$ such that $q = tu$. We have $[x, q] = I$ so $[x, t] = I$, so $t = -I$ because $C_S(x) = U$. Therefore $K \leq U$. Since K is maximal nilpotent and U abelian, $K = U$. But U is not a Cartan subgroup, because it is of infinite index in its normalizer B . A contradiction.

Since K does not contain a unipotent element, K intersects a conjugate of Q_1 or of one of the Q_δ^μ (for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ and $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) by Corollary 3, we note Q this subgroup. Let us show that $K = Q$. Let be x in $K \cap Q$, and $\alpha \in N_K(K \cap Q)$, then $x^\alpha \in Q$, and, by lemma 1, $Q = C_S(x^\alpha) = C_S(x)^\alpha = Q^\alpha$. Thus $\alpha \in N_S(Q)$, and $N_K(K \cap Q) \leq N_S(Q)$.

1rst case Q is a conjugate of Q_1 , then $N_S(Q) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$ where $\omega' = \omega^g$ if $Q = Q_1^g$.

We have also $\omega'^2 \in Q$ and $t\omega' = t^{-1}$ for $t \in Q$. One can check that $N_S(Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$, if $\omega' \in K$ then $N_K(Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle \cap K) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle \cap K$, by

normalizer condition $K \leq Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$. If we note n the nilpotency classe of K , and $t \in K \cap Q$ then $[\omega', \omega', \dots, \omega', t] = t^{2^n} = 1$, so t is an n^{th} root of unity, so $K \cap Q$ and $K = (K \cap Q) \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$ are finite. A contradiction, so $\omega' \notin K$. Then $N_K(Q \cap K) \leq Q \cap K$, it follows by normalizer condition that $K \leq Q$, and by maximality of K , $K = Q$.

2nd case Q is a conjuguate of Q_δ (for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$), then $N_S(Q) = Q$. It follows similarly that $K = Q$.

□

Generosity of the Cartan subgroups

Our purpose is now to show the generosity of the Cartan subgroup Q_1 . It follows from the next more general proposition :

Proposition 5. 1. The set $W = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0\}$ is generic in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

2. The set $W' = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(\text{tr}(A)) \geq 0\}$ is not generic in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. 1. We consider the matrices :

$$A_1 = I, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $v_p(a) > 0$ and $v_p(b) > 0$.

We show that $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i W$. Suppose there exists

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

such that $M \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i W$.

Since $M \notin A_1 W \cup A_2 W$, we have $x + v = \varepsilon$ and $y - u = \delta$ with $v_p(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ and $v_p(\delta) \geq 0$. Since $M \notin A_3 W$, we have $ax + a^{-1}v = \eta$ with $v_p(\eta) \geq 0$. We deduce $a(\varepsilon - v) + a^{-1}v = \eta$ and $v = \frac{\eta - a\varepsilon}{a^{-1} - a}$. Similarly, it follows from $M \notin A_4 W$ that $u = \frac{\theta - b\delta}{b^{-1} - b}$ with some θ such that $v_p(\theta) \geq 0$.

Since $v_p(a) > 0$, we have $v_p(a + a^{-1}) < 0$. From $v_p(\eta - a\varepsilon) \geq \min\{v_p(\eta); v_p(a\varepsilon)\} \geq 0$, we deduce that $v_p(v) = v_p(\frac{\eta - a\varepsilon}{a^{-1} - a}) = v_p(\eta - a\varepsilon) - v_p(a + a^{-1}) > 0$. Similarly $v_p(u) > 0$. It follows that $v_p(x) = v_p(\varepsilon - v) \geq 0$ and $v_p(y) \geq 0$.

Therefore $v_p(\det(M)) = v_p(xv - uy) \geq \min\{v_p(xv), v_p(uy)\} > 0$ and thus $\det(M) \neq 1$, a contradiction .

2. We show that the family of matrices $(M_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}$ cannot be covered by finitely many $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -translates of W' , where :

$$M_x = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then $\text{tr}(A^{-1}M_x) = dx + ax^{-1}$. If $v_p(x) > \max\{|v_p(a)|, |v_p(d)|\}$ then $v_p(\text{tr}(A^{-1}M_x)) < 0$ and $M_x \notin AW'$.

Therefore for every finite family $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$, there exist $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $M_x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j W'$. \square

Remark. We remark that the sets W and W' form a partition of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. They are both definable in the field language because the valuation v_p is definable in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma 2. $W \subseteq Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ and for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ and $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \subseteq W'$

Proof. Let be $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0$.

For $p \neq 2$, since $v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0$, $v_p(\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4) = 2v_p(\text{tr}(A))$ and $ac(\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4) = ac(\text{tr}(A)^2)$, so $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$ is a square in \mathbb{Q}_p .

For $p = 2$, we can write $\text{tr}(A) = 2^n u$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 2^{2n}(u^2 - 4 \cdot 2^{-2n})$. Since $n \leq -1$, $u^2 - 4 \cdot 2^{-2n} \equiv u^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, so $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2$.

In all cases, by the proposition 2, $W \subseteq Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ and, by complementarity, $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \subseteq W'$. \square

We can now conclude with the following corollary, similar to [1, Remark 9.8] :

Corollary 6. 1. The Cartan subgroup Q_1 is generous in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

2. The Cartan subgroups Q_δ^μ (for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ and $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) are not generous in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

References

- [1] Elias Baro, Eric Jaligot, and Margarita Otero. Cartan subgroups of groups definable in o-minimal structures. arXiv:1109.4349v2 [math.GR], 2011.
- [2] Eric Jaligot. Generix never gives up. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 71(2):599–610, 2006.
- [3] Bruno Poizat. *Groupes stables*. Nur al-Mantiq wal-Ma'rifah [Light of Logic and Knowledge], 2. Bruno Poizat, Lyon, 1987. Une tentative de conciliation entre la géométrie algébrique et la logique mathématique. [An attempt at reconciling algebraic geometry and mathematical logic].
- [4] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cours d'arithmétique*. puf, 1970.
- [5] Frank Wagner. *Stable groups*, volume 240. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 1997.