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# AN ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATE OF THE VARIANCE OF THE SELF-INTERSECTIONS OF A PLANAR PERIODIC LORENTZ PROCESS 

FRANÇOISE PÈNE


#### Abstract

We consider a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic planar Lorentz process with strictly convex obstacles and finite horizon. This process describes the displacement of a particle moving in the plane with unit speed and with elastic reflection on the obstacles. We call number of self-intersections of this Lorentz process the number $V_{n}$ of couples of integers $(k, \ell)$ smaller than $n$ such that the particle hits a same obstacle both at the $k$ th and at the $\ell$ th collision times. The aim of this article is to prove that the variance of $V_{n}$ is equivalent to $n^{2}$ (such a result has recently been proved for simple planar random walks in [6]).


## 1. Introduction

We consider a finite number of convex open sets $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{I} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with boundary $C^{3}$-smooth and with non null curvature. We repeat these sets $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodically by defining $U_{i, \ell}=O_{i}+\ell$ for every $(i, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, I\} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. We suppose that the closures of the $U_{i, \ell}$ are pairwise disjoint. We assume that the horizon is finite, which means that every line meets the boundary of at least one obstacle (i.e. there is no infinite free flight). We consider a particle moving in the domain $Q:=\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{I} \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} U_{i, \ell}$ with unit speed and with respect to the Descartes reflection law at its reflection times (reflected angle=incident angle). We assume that the particle starts from $\left[0,1\left[{ }^{2} \cap Q\right.\right.$ with uniform distribution in position and in speed. The Lorentz process describes the evolution of the particle in $Q$. Because of the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodicity, it is strongly related to the Sinai billiard, the ergodic properties of which have been studied namely by Sinai in [9] (for its ergodicity), Bunimovich and Sinai [2, 3], Bunimovich, Chernov and Sinai [4, 5] (for central limit theorems), Young [11] (for exponential rate of decorrelation). The similarity of behaviour of the Lorentz process with a simple planar random walk has been investigated by many authors $([10,7], \ldots)$. The number of auto-intersections up to time $n$ of a random walk $\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)_{n}$ is $\tilde{V}_{n}:=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{S}_{k}=\tilde{S}_{\ell}}$. This quantity is linked with random walks in random sceneries [1, 6]. Recently, in [6], Deligiannidis and Utev proved that $\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{V}_{n}\right) \sim \tilde{c} n^{2}$ with an explicit $\tilde{c}$. This improved the estimation in $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ by Bolthausen [1]. For the Lorentz process, we define $\left(\mathcal{I}_{k}, S_{k}\right)$ in $\{1, \ldots, I\} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for the index of the obstacle hit at the $k$-th reflection time $\left(\left(I_{0}, S_{0}\right)\right.$ being the index of the obstacle at the reflection time just before time 0). Recall that $\left(k^{-1 / 2} S_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ admits an asymptotic positive variance matrix $\Sigma^{2}$. We call number of self-intersections of the Lorentz process up to the $n$-th reflection time the quantity $V_{n}:=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{S_{k}=S_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_{k}=\mathcal{I}_{\ell}}$. In [8], we proved that $\mathbb{E}\left[V_{n}\right] \sim c_{0} n \log n$ with $c_{0}:=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I}\left(\left|\partial O_{i}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I}\left|\partial O_{i}\right|\right)^{2} \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}}$, where $\left|\partial O_{i}\right|$ stands for the length of $\partial O_{i}$. In [8], $\operatorname{Var}\left(V_{n}\right)=O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ was enough for our study of the planar Lorentz

[^0]process in random scenery. Our proof of the following result uses decorrelation and precised local limit theorems established in [8]. It provides an alternative strategy to the one of [6].

Theorem 1. $\operatorname{Var}\left(V_{n}\right) \sim c n^{2}$ with

$$
c:=c_{0}^{2}\left(1+2 J-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right) \text { and } J:=\int_{[0,1]^{3}} \frac{(1-(u+v+w)) 1_{\{u+v+w \leq 1\}} d u d v d w}{u v+u w+v w}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Observe that the distribution of $\left(S_{k}-S_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{k}\right)_{k}$ under $\mathbb{P}$ and under $\bar{\nu}$ considered in [8] are the same (by $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodicity and by construction of $\bar{\nu}$ ). We write $E_{k, \ell}:=\left\{S_{k}=S_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_{k}=\mathcal{I}_{\ell}\right\}$. According to [8], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(E_{k, \ell}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(E_{0, \mid \ell-k} \mid\right)=c_{1}|\ell-k|^{-1}+O\left(|\ell-k|^{-2}\right), \text { with } \quad c_{1}:=\frac{\sum_{i}^{I}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{I}_{0}=i\right)\right)^{2}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}}=\frac{c_{0}}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that we have $V_{n}=n+2 \sum_{1 \leq k<\ell \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{S_{k}=S_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_{k}=\mathcal{I}_{\ell}}$ and so

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(V_{n}\right)=4 \sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<\ell_{1} \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k_{2}<\ell_{2} \leq n} D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}}=8 A_{1}+8 A_{2}+8 A_{3}+4 A_{4}
$$

with $D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}}:=\mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}} \cap E_{k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & :=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<\ell_{1} \leq k_{2}<\ell_{2} \leq n} D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}}, A_{2}:=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1} \leq k_{2}<\ell_{1} \leq \ell_{2} \leq n} D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}} \\
A_{3} & :=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leq n} D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}}, A_{4}:=\sum_{1 \leq k<\ell \leq n}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(E_{k, \ell}\right)-\left(\mathbb{P}\left(E_{k, \ell}\right)\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Control of $A_{1}$.

Due to [8], if $k_{1}<\ell_{1} \leq k_{2}<\ell_{2}$, then $\left|D_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}, k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right| \leq C_{1} \tau_{1}^{k_{2}-\ell_{1}} /\left(\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{2}-k_{2}\right)\right)$ for some $C_{1}>0$ and some $\tau_{1} \in(0,1)$. Hence $A_{1}=O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right)=o\left(n^{2}\right)$.

- Control of $A_{4}$.

Due to (1) or [10], $A_{4} \leq C_{2} \sum_{1 \leq k<\ell \leq n}(\ell-k)^{-1}=O(n \log n)=o\left(n^{2}\right)$.

- Control of $A_{2}$.

According to [8], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{1}<\ell_{2} \leq n} c_{1}^{2}\left[\left(\sum_{x} \frac{e^{-\frac{\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}-k_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1}-k_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right)}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{2}-k_{2}\right)}\right]+o\left(n^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{x}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}:|x| \leq\left\|S_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \min \left(k_{2}-k_{1}, \ell_{1}-k_{2}, \ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the usual scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& - \text { First } A_{2,0}:=\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{1}<\ell_{2} \leq n} \sum_{x} \frac{\left.e^{-\frac{\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}-k_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1}-k_{2}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right.}\right)}{2 \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)} \\
& \quad=\sum_{\left(k_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in E_{n}|x| \leq\left\|S_{1}\right\|_{\infty}} \sum_{\min \left(m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} \frac{e^{-\frac{\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_{0}}+\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}}\right)}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}} m_{0} m_{1} m_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $E_{n}:=\left\{\left(k_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}: k_{1}+m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2} \leq n\right\}$. Observe that, using a comparison series-integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left\|S_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq a \leq 3| | S_{1} \|_{\infty}}\left|\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}:|x| \leq a m} e^{-\frac{\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle}{2 m}}-2 \pi m \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}\right|=O(\sqrt{m}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\quad A_{2,0}=\sum_{\left(k_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in E_{n}} \frac{1+O\left(\min \left(m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)}{m_{0} m_{1}+m_{0} m_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}} \sim n^{2} J$.

- Second $\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{1}<\ell_{2} \leq n} \frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{2}-k_{2}\right)}=A_{2,1}+2 A_{2,2}$,
with $A_{2,1}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\max (1,2 k-n) \leq m \leq k} \frac{n-(2 k-m)+1}{k^{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{n}{k^{2}}=O(n \log n)=o\left(n^{2}\right)$,
and $A_{2,2}:=\sum_{1 \leq k<\ell \leq n \max (0, k+\ell-n) \leq m \leq k} \frac{n-(k+\ell-m)+1}{k \ell}$
$=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \cdots+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \cdots+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{k=n-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{m=k+\ell-n}^{k} \cdots$
$=o\left(n^{2}\right)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{2(n-\ell)-k}{2 \ell}+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{2(n-\ell)-k}{2 \ell}+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{k=n-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} \frac{(n-\ell)^{2}}{2 k \ell}$
$=o\left(n^{2}\right)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{4 n-5 \ell}{4}+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \frac{3(n-\ell)^{2}}{4 \ell}+\sum_{\ell=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \frac{(n-\ell)^{2}}{2 \ell} \log \left(\frac{\ell}{n-\ell}\right)$
$\sim n^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{4} \log 2+\frac{I}{2}\right)$,
with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & :=\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{(1-u)^{2}}{u} \log \left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right) d u \\
& =\left[\operatorname{Li} i_{2}(u)+\frac{1}{2}\left(u+\log u\left(u^{2}+\log u-4 u\right)+\log (1-u)\left(-u^{2}+4 u-3\right)\right)\right]_{1 / 2}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $L i_{2}(z):=\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^{k}}{k^{2}}$. So $I=L i_{2}(1)-L i_{2}(1 / 2)+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\log ^{2} 2}{2}-\frac{3}{2} \log 2=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{\log ^{2} 2}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\log ^{2} 2}{2}-\frac{3}{2} \log 2=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{2} \log 2$. Hence we have $A_{2,1}+2 A_{2,2} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} n^{2}$.

- Control of $A_{3}$.

Notice that $\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leq n} \mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}} \cap E_{k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right)$ and $\sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leq n} \mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{1}, \ell_{1}}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right)$ are in $n^{2} \log n$. But we will see that their difference is in $n^{2}$. According to [8] and to (1), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=c_{1} \sum_{1 \leq k_{1} \leq k_{2}<\ell_{2}<\ell_{1} \leq n}\left[\left(\sum_{x} \frac{e^{-\frac{\left\langle\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}-k_{1}}+\frac{1}{\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}}\right)}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Sigma^{2}}\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}\right)}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)}\right] \mathbb{P}\left(E_{k_{2}, \ell_{2}}\right)+o\left(n^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same notations as for (2). Using again (3) and (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{3} & =o\left(n^{2}\right)+c_{1}^{2} \sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{2} \leq \ell_{1} \leq n} \frac{1}{\ell_{2}-k_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)-\left(\ell_{2}-k_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)}\right] \\
& =o\left(n^{2}\right)+c_{1}^{2} \sum_{1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ell_{2} \leq \ell_{1} \leq n} \frac{1}{\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)\left[\left(\ell_{1}-k_{1}\right)-\left(\ell_{2}-k_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \sim c_{1}^{2} n^{2} \int_{[0,1]^{4}} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{t+u+v+w<1\}} d t d u d v d w}{(u+w)(u+v+w)}=c_{1}^{2} n^{2} \int_{0 \leq u \leq r \leq s \leq 1} \frac{(1-s) d u d r d s}{r s}=\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2} n^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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