



HAL
open science

Substructuring strategies for granular systems

Pierre Alart

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre Alart. Substructuring strategies for granular systems. ICCCM 2011, II International Conference on Computational Contact Mechanics, Jun 2011, Germany. hal-00797484

HAL Id: hal-00797484

<https://hal.science/hal-00797484>

Submitted on 6 Mar 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Substructuring strategies for granular systems

Pierre Alart

Laboratoire de Mécanique et Génie Civil, Montpellier

E-mail: pierre.alart@univ-montp2.fr

Keywords: Domain Decomposition, NonSmooth Contact Dynamics, Parallel computing

The Domain Decomposition methods in the context of multiprocessor computations, are well established from theoretical and practical standpoints when dealing with a linear system derived from a discretization of a continuous problem [1]. For nonlinear continuous problems the DDM seems to be efficient when it is used only to solve an intermediate linear problem embedded in an iterative process as a Newton type method [2]. Unfortunately the simulation of the granular systems with nonsmooth interactions between grains does not use such a nonlinear solver and the combination with a DDM has to be rethought.

Then the present work consists in investigating systematically the combination of a DDM with the NonSmooth Contact Dynamics (NSCD). NSCD or Contact Dynamics in short, has been developed by J. J. Moreau and M. Jean over the last two decades [3, 4]. It is suited for many applications but has proven to be particularly suitable when collections of rigid or deformable bodies are packed together in a dense assembly and subject to dynamic loading deformations. Numerical simulations have to be performed using a fully implicit resolution of the contact forces. This allows us to deal properly with nonlocal momentum transfers involved in multiple collisions, contrary to classical molecular dynamics schemes that consider the system evolution as a succession of binary collisions. The computational cost may be quite high, but the gain is substantial. Simulations of very large granular systems can range from 10 m of a ballast railway submitted to cyclic dynamic loading, to the behavior of the Nîmes arena and Arles aqueduct (France) subjected to seismic loading, which are examples of two challenges in computational mechanics.

In [5] a multilevel domain decomposition technique is used as a numerical strategy to simulate the behavior of nonsmooth discrete media, and to provide the macroscopic numerical behavior of the same system. However the study is restricted to quasi-static simulation of a large-scale tensegrity grid.

The main objective is now to investigate discrete multi-large-scale dynamic systems. A domain decomposition strategy may provide both an efficient solver and a numerical homogenized model directly derived from the simulation. This continuous homogenized model could be substituted for the discrete one in some parts of the domain as long as these parts continue to evolve in a smooth enough way that they can be determined.




Figure 1: Primal and dual substructuring

Because we deal with discrete systems two partitioning strategies are available. Starting from a "geometrical box" approach, the virtual interface cuts both the grains and the links as illustrated on the figure 2. We have then two options. The first one, called primal, consists in distributing the grains

among the substructures. The dual approach consists then in distributing the links (or contacts) among the substructures. The primal approach is close to the "box method" of M. Jean [6], for which the interface is constituted with contacts insuring so the exchange between the boxes. Such a strategy has been performed on the simulation of the tamping process applied to a large slice of railway track for optimizing intensive parametric studies.




Figure 2: Tamping process on a railway slice of seven sleepers

The dual strategy is less intuitive because it requires to split the grains of the interface. Two advantages are hoped. The formulation is now similar to a FETI type formulation of a non-overlapping DDM. Contrary to the primal approach the interface is now perfect, that means only with linear equations. But we have to glue the interface grains by adding a new equation which modifies strongly the nonlinear (nonsmooth) solver. A theoretical study shows the possible performance of a generic algorithm [7] and the advantages of an enriched version.

References

- [1] P. Le Tallec, Domain-decomposition methods in computational mechanics, *Computational Mechanics Advances* 1 (2) (1994) 121–220, North-Holland.
- [2] Y.-H. De Roeck, P. Le Tallec, M. Vidrascu, A domain decomposed solver for nonlinear elasticity, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 99 (1992) 187–207.
- [3] M. Jean, The non-smooth contact dynamics method, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 177 (1999) 235–257.
- [4] J. J. Moreau, Numerical aspects of sweeping process, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 177 (1999) 329–349.
- [5] S. Nineb, P. Alart, D. Dureisseix, Domain decomposition approach for nonsmooth discrete problems, example of a tensegrity structure, *Computers and Structures* 85 (9) (2007) 499–511.
- [6] P. Breitung, M. Jean, Modélisation parallèle des matériaux granulaires, *Actes du 4e Colloque National en Calcul des Structures* (1999) 387–392.
- [7] D. Iceta, D. Dureisseix, P. Alart, Mixed versus impulse-oriented domain decomposition method for granular dynamics, *European Journal of Computational Mechanics* 18 (5-6) (2009) 429–443.