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Abstract. The energy of the Ginzburg-Landau is given by

$$
E_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{G}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{G} J\left(1-|u|^{2}\right) d x
$$

We study the case where the potential $J$ has a zero of infinite order. A significant example is $J(t)=\exp \left(-1 / t^{k}\right) \quad$ for $t>0$ and $\quad J(t)=0$ for $t \leq 0$. We show that the energy cost of a degree-one vortex may be much less than the cost of $2 \pi \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for the classical Ginzburg-Landau functional. In fact, we shall show that this cost is

$$
2 \pi\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-I\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)
$$

where $I(R)$ is a positive function satisfying $I(R)=o(\log R)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.
AMS Subject Classification (2000) 35B40; 82D55

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a bounded and smooth, simply connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $g: \partial G \rightarrow S^{1}$ be a boundary condition of degree $\operatorname{deg}(g, \partial G)=d \geq 0$ (as we may assume without loss of generality). Consider a $C^{2}$ functional $J: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) J(0)=0$ and $J(t)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$,
$\left(H_{2}\right) J^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0,1]$,
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exists $\eta_{0}>0$ such that $J^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{0}\right)$.
For $\varepsilon>0$ consider the energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{G}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{G} J\left(1-|u|^{2}\right) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{g}^{1}(G, \mathbb{C}):=\left\{u \in H^{1}(G, \mathbb{C}) \text { s.t. } u=g \text { on } \partial G\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\min _{u \in H_{g}^{1}(G, C)} E_{\varepsilon}(u)$ is achieved by some smooth $u_{\varepsilon}$ which satisfies:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} j\left(1-\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon} \text { in } G,  \tag{1.3}\\
u_{\varepsilon} & =g \text { on } \partial G,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $j(t):=J^{\prime}(t)$. The case $J(u)=\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}$, corresponding to the GinzburgLandau (GL) energy, was studied by Bethuel, Brezis and Hélein [1, 2] (see also Struwe [6]), where it was shown that:
(i) For a subsequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we have, $u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow u_{*}=e^{i \phi} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{z-a_{j}}{\left|z-a_{j}\right|}$ in $C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{G} \backslash$ $\left.\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right\}\right)$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ are distinct points in $G$ and $\phi$ is a smooth harmonic function determined by the requirement $u_{*}=g$ on $\partial G$.
(ii) $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=2 \pi d|\log \varepsilon|+O(1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The method of $[1,2,6]$ can be adapted without difficulty to the case of $J$ satisfying $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ with a zero of finite order at $t=0$. This applies for example to $J(t)=|t|^{k}, \forall k \geq 2$. The main objective of the current paper is to treat the case of $J$ with zero of infinite order at $t=0$, having in mind the examples

$$
J_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}\exp \left(-1 / t^{k}\right) & \text { for } t>0  \tag{1.4}\\ 0 & \text { for } t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

for any $k>0$. It turns out that a convergence result, as in (i) above, holds for such $J$ 's as well. The main difference with respect to the usual GL-energy is in the energy asymptotics. For $J$ with a zero of infinite order the "energy cost" of a degree-one vortex may be much less than the cost of $2 \pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ for the GL-functional (see (ii) above). In fact, we shall see that this cost equals

$$
2 \pi\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-\bar{I}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)
$$

where $\bar{I}(R)$ is a positive function satisfying $\bar{I}(R)=o(\log R)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, if $j(0)=0$ and $I(R)=O(\log R)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ which is determined by the particular functional $J$. More precisely, the function $\bar{I}(R)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1 / R^{2}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}+O(1), \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty \quad(\text { see Lemma } 2.2) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So for example, for $J_{1}$ in (1.4) we find $\bar{I}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \log \log R+O(1)$ (see Proposition 4.1 in the Appendix), and the asymptotics for the energies in this case reads:

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=2 \pi d\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{2} \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)+O(1)
$$

Somewhat surprisingly, it turns out that we may have $\bar{I}(R)=O(1)$ also for $J$ with a zero of infinite order, as is the case for $k \in(0,1)$ in (1.4), see Proposition 4.1.

Our first main theorem describes the asymptotic behavior of the minimizers and their energies.

Theorem 1. For each $\varepsilon>0$, let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be a minimizer for the energy $E_{\varepsilon}$ over $H_{g}^{1}(G, \mathbb{C})$ with $G, g$ (of degree $d \geq 0$ ) as above and $J$ satisfying $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$. Then:
(i) For a subsequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow u_{*}=e^{i \phi} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(\frac{z-a_{j}}{\left|z-a_{j}\right|}\right) \quad \text { in } C^{1, \alpha}\left(\bar{G} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right\}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ are distinct points in $G$ and $\phi$ is a smooth harmonic function determined by the requirement $u_{*}=g$ on $\partial G$.
(ii) Setting, for $R>\frac{1}{\sqrt{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)}}$,

$$
I_{0}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1 / R^{2}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=2 \pi d\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-I_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+O(1) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show in Lemma 2.2 below that if $j^{-1}(0)=0$ then the function $I_{0}$ satisfies $I_{0}(R)=o(\log R)$ otherwise $I_{0}(R)=O(\log R)$. A significant example in the first case is given in (1.4), while in the second case we can take $J(t)=t \exp (t)$. This implies that the leading term in the energy is always of the order $|\log \varepsilon|$. It is easy to see that $I_{0}(R)$ is a positive, monotone increasing, concave function of $\log R$ (for large $R$ ). It is natural to ask whether every function with these properties can appear in the second order term of the energy expansion, for some potential $J$. The answer to this "inverse problem" turns out to positive, as shown by our second theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $h \in C^{2}[0, \infty)$ satisfy, for some $T>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(t)>0, h^{\prime \prime}(t)<0, \quad \text { for } t \geq T>0, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(t)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a functional J satisfying $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, such that the minimizers $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ over $H_{g}^{1}(G, \mathbb{C})$, for $E_{\varepsilon}$ defined by (1.1) and $g$ of degree $d$ as above, satisfy

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=2 \pi d\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-h\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+O(1)
$$

## 2 A study of an auxiliary optimization problem

Let us begin by explaining the main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 and by showing how it leads to a certain optimization problem which is the object of the current section. It is natural to estimate first the energy cost of a degree-one "vortex" in a disc, say the unit disc $B_{1}=B_{1}(0)$. In the case of the Ginzburg-Landau energy, it is easy to guess the energy cost, by taking $v_{\varepsilon}\left(r^{i \theta}\right)=f_{\varepsilon}(r) e^{i \theta}$ with $f_{\varepsilon}$ given by:

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(r)= \begin{cases}\frac{r}{\varepsilon} & \text { for } 0 \leq r<\varepsilon \\ 1 & \text { for } \varepsilon \leq r \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

A simple computation gives

$$
\int_{B_{1}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(1-\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}=2 \pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+O(1)
$$

which turns out to be the optimal estimate, up to an additive constant, although the proof of this fact is far from trivial (see [2]). When looking for the right upper
bound for the energy in the general case, we keep the ansatz $v_{\varepsilon}(r)=f_{\varepsilon}(r) e^{i \theta}$, and try to optimize over the function $f_{\varepsilon}$ (since we do not know a priori what form should it take, for our particular $J$ ). What we can assume a priori on that function is that it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}\right)=O(1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{B_{1}} J\left(1-\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)=O(1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for a minimizer both (2.1) and (2.2) should hold, thanks to the estimates (??) and (2.21) that we shall verify below. Assuming then that $f_{\varepsilon}$ is chosen in such a way that (2.1)-(2.2) are satisfied, we get for the energy of $v_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) & =2 \pi \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} J\left(1-f_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)\right) r d r \\
& =2 \pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-2 \pi \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1-f_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{r} d r+\int_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} r d r+O(1) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to get minimal energy (up to an $O(1)$-term), we shall look for $f_{\varepsilon}$ which maximizes the term $\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1-f_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{r} d r$ (representing the gain of energy w.r.t. the "usual" cost of $2 \pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ ) under the constraint $\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} J\left(1-f_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) r d r \leq C_{0}$. Here we did not take into account the contribution of the term $\int_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} r d r$, but as we shall see below, this term is bounded for the solution of our optimization problem.

Rescaling by a factor of $\varepsilon$, we are led naturally to define the following quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(R, c)=\sup \left\{\int_{1}^{R} \frac{1-f^{2}}{r} d r: \int_{1}^{R} J\left(1-f^{2}\right) r d r \leq c\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $R>1$ and $c>0$.
Lemma 2.1. For every $R>1$ and $c>0$, there exists a maximizer $f_{0}=f_{0}^{(R)}$ in (2.4) satisfying $0 \leq f_{0}(r) \leq 1, \forall r$, such that $f_{0}(r)$ is nondecreasing. Moreover, if $r_{0}=r_{0}(c)$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=J(1)\left(\frac{r_{0}^{2}-1}{2}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $\tilde{r}_{0}=\tilde{r}_{0}(c, R) \in\left[1, r_{0}\right]$ such that

$$
f_{0}(r) \begin{cases}=0 & \text { if } r \in[1, R] \text { and } r<\tilde{r}_{0}  \tag{2.6}\\ >0 & \text { if } r>\tilde{r}_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{1}^{R} J\left(1-f_{0}^{2}\right) r d r=c, \quad \text { for } R>r_{0}  \tag{2.7}\\
j\left(1-f_{0}^{2}(r)\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda r^{2}}, \quad r>\tilde{r}_{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $\lambda=\lambda(R, c)>0$. and
There exist two constants $0<a(c)<b(c)$ such that

$$
a(c) \leq \lambda \leq b(c), \quad R \geq r_{0}+1
$$

The proof of this Lemma is contained in [5], so we omit it.
Remark 2.1. The proof of the last Lemma actually shows that the bounds for $\lambda$ are uniform for c lying in a bounded interval.

Using [5], Lemma 2.3., we have for every $c>0$ there exists a constant $C=C(c)$ such that for every $0<c_{1}, c_{2} \leq c$ we have

$$
\left|I\left(R, c_{1}\right)-I\left(R, c_{2}\right)\right| \leq C, \forall R \geq 1
$$

It is then natural to set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(R):=I(R, 1) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any fixed $c_{0}>0$ we have then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(R, c)-I(R)| \leq C\left(c_{0}\right), \quad \forall c \leq c_{0}, \forall R \geq 1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove, by the method of proof of Lemma 2;3. an explicit estimate for $I(R)$. In the sequel we shall denote by $f_{0}$ be a maximizer for $I(R)=I(R, 1)$ as given by Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{R^{2}}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}+O(1), \quad \forall R>\frac{1}{\sqrt{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I(R)-j^{-1}(0)}{\log R}=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we have $j\left(1-f_{0}^{2}(r)\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda r^{2}}$ for $r>r_{0}(1)$ and by Lemma 2.2. we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda(R) \in[a, b], \quad \text { for } R \geq r_{0}(1)+1, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some two positive constants $a$ and $b$. Using hypothesis $\left(H_{3}\right)$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-f_{0}^{2}(r)=j^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda r^{2}}\right), \text { for } R \geq r \geq \mu_{0}:=\max \left(r_{0}(1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{a j\left(\eta_{0}\right)}}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
I(R)=\int_{\mu_{0}}^{R} j^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda r^{2}}\right) \frac{d r}{r}+O(1)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda R^{2}}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}+O(1) .
$$

In order to get (2.11) it suffices to notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\frac{1}{\lambda R^{2}}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}-\int_{\frac{1}{R^{2}}}^{j\left(\eta_{0}\right)} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right| & \leq\left|\int_{\frac{1}{R^{2}}}^{\frac{1}{\lambda R^{2}}} j^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right| \\
& \leq C|\log \lambda| \leq C \max (|\log b|,|\log a|)=O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we note that (2.12) follows easily from (2.11).
As announced in the introduction, the next lemma provides an estimate that we shall use in the proof of the upper-bound for the energy.

Lemma 2.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mu_{0}}^{R}\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} r d r \leq C, \quad \forall R>\mu_{0} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a as in (2.13) and $\mu_{0}$ as defined in (2.14).
Proof. Differentiating the equality (2.14) yields for $r \geq \mu_{0}$,

$$
-2 f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}=\left(j^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda r^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(-\frac{2}{\lambda r^{3}}\right),
$$

which implies

$$
f_{0}^{\prime}(r) \leq C\left(j^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{b r^{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{3}},
$$

with $b$ given by (2.13). Therefore, denoting by $C$ different positive constants, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mu_{0}}^{R}\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} r d r & \leq C \int_{\mu_{0}}^{R}\left[\left(j^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{b r^{2}}\right)\right]^{2} \frac{d r}{r^{5}}=C \int_{\frac{1}{b R^{2}}}^{\frac{1}{b \mu_{0}^{2}}}\left[\left(j^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]^{2} \alpha d \alpha \\
& =C \int_{\frac{1}{b R^{2}}}^{\frac{1}{b \mu_{0}^{2}}} \frac{\alpha d \alpha}{\left(j^{\prime}\left(j^{-1}(\alpha)\right)\right)^{2}}=C \int_{j^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{b R^{2}}\right)}^{j^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{b \mu_{0}^{2}}\right)} \frac{j(\beta)}{j^{\prime}(\beta)} d \beta . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

It is elementary to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{j(\beta)}{j^{\prime}(\beta)}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $J^{\prime \prime}(0)=j^{\prime}(0)>0$ then

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{j(\beta)}{j^{\prime}(\beta)}=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{J^{\prime}(\beta)}{J^{\prime \prime}(\beta)}=0
$$

since $J^{\prime}(0)=0$ by $\left(H_{1}\right)$, while if $J^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ then by L'hôpital rule

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{j(\beta)}{j^{\prime}(\beta)}=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{J^{\prime}(\beta)}{J^{\prime \prime}(\beta)}=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{J(\beta)}{J^{\prime}(\beta)}=0
$$

since by convexity $J(\beta)=\int_{0}^{\beta} J^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \beta J^{\prime}(\beta)$ for $\beta \leq \eta_{0}$. Therefore, (2.15) follows from (2.16) and (2.17).

We next study a similar functional to that of (2.4). It will serve in the proof of the lower-bound of the energy. For any $R>1$ and $c>0$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{I}(R, c)=\sup \left\{\int_{1}^{R}\left(\frac{1-f^{2}}{r}+4 \frac{\left(1-f^{2}\right)^{2}}{r}\right) d r: \int_{1}^{R} J\left(1-f^{2}\right) r d r=c\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the above arguments we also obtain the following result.
Lemma 2.4. For every $c_{0}, \alpha>0$ there exists a constant $C_{1}\left(c_{0}, \alpha\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|I(\alpha R, c)-I(R)| \leq C_{1}(c, \alpha)  \tag{2.19}\\
|\widetilde{I}(\alpha R, c)-I(R)| \leq C_{1}(c, \alpha)
\end{array} \quad \text { for } R>\max \left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \text { and } c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right]\right.
$$

### 2.1 Some basic estimates for $u_{\varepsilon}$

The next lemma provides $L^{\infty}$-estimates for $u_{\varepsilon}$ and its gradient.
Lemma 2.5. Any solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ of satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(G)} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(G)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first estimate follows easily form the observation that replacing $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ by $u_{\varepsilon}(x) /\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|$ on the set $\left\{x \in G:\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|>1\right\}$ strictly decreases the energy if the latter set has a positive measure. The second estimate in follows from a simple rescaling argument and standard elliptic estimates as in $[1,6]$.

In the case of a starshaped $G$ the following Pohozaev identity holds for $u_{\varepsilon}$ (actually it is valid for any solution of problem. The proof is identical to the one for the GL-energy in [2], so we omit it.

Lemma 2.6. If $G$ is starshaped then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{G} J\left(1-\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C_{0}, \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show later that the assumption of starshapeness of the domain can be dropped, by applying an argument of del Pino and Felmer [4].

## 3 Proof of the main results

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need a sharp upper bound and a adequate lower bound for the energy. Recall that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer for $E_{\varepsilon}$ over $H_{g}^{1}(G, \mathbb{C})$. We assume without loss of generality that $d \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \pi d\left(\log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)-I\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+O(1), \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ be $m$ points in $B_{\sigma}(0)$ satisfying

$$
\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right| \geq 4 \delta, \forall i \neq j \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x_{i}\right|<\frac{\sigma}{4}, \forall i
$$

with $\delta \leq \sigma / 32$. Set $\Omega=B_{\sigma}(0) \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} B_{\delta}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and let $u$ be a $C^{1}$-map from $\Omega$ into $\mathbb{C}$, which is continuous on $\partial \Omega$, satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq|u| \leq 1 \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(u, \partial B_{\sigma}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=d_{j}, \forall j
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \int_{\Omega} J\left(1-|u|^{2}\right) \leq K
$$

Then, denoting $d=\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \geq 2 \pi|d|\left(\log \frac{\sigma}{\delta}-I\left(\frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right)\right)-C \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=C\left(K, m, \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|d_{j}\right|\right)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses an argument of del Pino and Felmer [4] can now be used to show that (2.21) holds without the assumption on the starshapeness of $G$. Having the estimate (2.21) on our hands see [5], we can now follow the bad-discs construction of [2] and complete the convergence assertion of Theorem 1. Since the arguments are identical to those of [2], we omit the details.

## 4 Appendix

In this Appendix we compute the energy cost of a degree one vortex for the functionals $J_{k}, k>0$, that were defined in (1.4) or for the case where $J_{1, k}(t)=\exp \left(-\exp \left(\frac{1}{t^{k}}\right)\right)$ for $t>0$ and 0 where $t \leq 0$ with $k>0$. In view of Theorem 1 it suffices to compute for each $k>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0, k}(R):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1 / R^{2}}^{j_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right)} j_{k}^{-1}(t) \frac{d t}{t}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j_{k}=J_{k}^{\prime}$, a simple computation shows that $J_{k}^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{k}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1. As $R$ goes to the infinity, we have

1. In the case where $J_{k}$ is defined by (1.4), we have

$$
I_{0, k}(R)= \begin{cases}O(1), & 0<k<1  \tag{4.2}\\ \frac{1}{2} \log \log R+O(1), & k=1 \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{k} \frac{k}{k-1}}(\log (R))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}+O(1), & k>1\end{cases}
$$

2. For $J_{1, k}$, we have

$$
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{1}{2 k}\left(\ln \ln \left(R^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{k}} \ln \left(R^{2}\right)+O(1) .
$$

Proof. The change of variable $s=j_{k}^{-1}(t)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{j_{k}^{-1}\left(1 / R^{2}\right)}^{\eta_{k}} s \frac{j_{k}^{\prime}(s)}{j_{k}(s)} d s=\frac{1}{2} \int_{j_{k}^{-1}\left(1 / R^{2}\right)}^{\eta_{k}}\left(\frac{k}{s^{k}}-(k+1)\right) d s . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k<1$ then it follows immediately from (4.3) that $I_{0, k}(R)=O(1)$.
For $k>1$ we obtain from (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{k}{2(k-1)}\left(\left(j_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)\right)^{1-k}+O(1) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\alpha=\alpha(R)=j_{k}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)$. Since $j_{k}(\alpha)=\left(\frac{k}{\alpha^{k+1}}\right) \exp \left(-1 / \alpha^{k}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\left(\frac{k}{\alpha^{k+1}}\right) \exp \left(-1 / \alpha^{k}\right)
$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \log R=\log k-(k+1) \log \alpha-\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}, \quad \text { for } k>0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.5) we have $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \alpha^{k} \log R=1$, which we plug in (4.4) to obtain the case $k>1$ in (4.2).

Finally, if $k=1$ then by (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0,1}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{j_{1}^{-1}\left(1 / R^{2}\right)}^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{s}-2\right) d s=-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(j_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)\right)+O(1)=-\frac{1}{2} \log \alpha+O(1) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=j_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)$, as above. In our case (4.5) gives $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \alpha \log R=1$, which implies that $\log \alpha=\log \left(\frac{1}{2 \log R}\right)+o(1)$. Plugging it in (4.6) gives the result (4.2) for $k=1$.

For the proof of 2 . We have $j_{k}(t)=\frac{k}{t^{k+1}} \frac{\frac{1}{t^{k}}}{t_{1, k}}(t)$. Set $\alpha=j_{1, k}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)$, integrate by part (4.1) we obtain

$$
2 I_{0, k}(R)=\alpha \ln \left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{j_{k}^{-1}\left(\eta_{k}\right)}\left[\ln (k)-(k+1) \ln (t)+\frac{1}{t^{k}}-e^{\frac{1}{t^{k}}}\right] d t .
$$

Set

$$
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{-1}{k} \int_{\alpha}^{j^{-1}\left(\eta_{k}\right)} t^{k+1}\left(\frac{-k}{t^{k+1}} e^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) d t+O(1)
$$

Integrate by part two times we obtain,

$$
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{1}{k} \alpha^{k+1} e^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}}+\frac{k+1}{k^{2}} \alpha^{2 k+1} e^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}}+\frac{(k+1)(2 k+1)}{k} \int_{\alpha}^{j_{k}^{-1}\left(\eta_{k}\right)} t^{2 k} e^{\frac{1}{t^{k}}} d t+O(1)
$$

Hence, since $t \rightarrow t^{k+1} e^{\frac{1}{t^{k}}}$ is decreasing, we have

$$
I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{1}{k} \alpha^{k+1} e^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}}\left[1+O\left(\alpha^{k}\right)\right] .
$$

On the other hand we have,

$$
\ln (k)-(k+1) \ln (\alpha)-\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}-e^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}}=\ln \frac{1}{R^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{k}}}}{\ln (R)}=1
$$

Thus we find

$$
2 I_{0, k}(R)=\frac{1}{k}\left(\ln \ln \left(R^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{k}} \ln \left(R^{2}\right)+O(1)
$$
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