

Simulating the effects of spatial configurations of agricultural ditch drainage networks on surface runoff from agricultural catchments

Florent Levavasseur, Jean-Stéphane Bailly, Philippe Lagacherie, François

Colin, Michael Rabotin

To cite this version:

Florent Levavasseur, Jean-Stéphane Bailly, Philippe Lagacherie, François Colin, Michael Rabotin. Simulating the effects of spatial configurations of agricultural ditch drainage networks on surface runoff from agricultural catchments. Hydrological Processes, 2012, 26 (22), p. 3393 - p. 3404. $10.1002/hvp.8422$. hal-00794108

HAL Id: hal-00794108 <https://hal.science/hal-00794108v1>

Submitted on 25 Feb 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The study of runoff is a crucial issue because it is closely related to flooding, water quality and erosion. In cultivated catchments, agricultural ditch drainage networks are known to influence runoff. As anthropogenic elements, agricultural ditch drainage networks can therefore be altered to better manage surface runoff in cultivated catchments. However, the relationship between the spatial configuration, i.e., the density and the topology, of agricultural ditch drainage networks and surface runoff in cultivated catchments is not understood. We studied this relationship by using a random network simulator that was coupled to a distributed hydrological model. The simulations explored a large variety of spatial configurations corresponding to a thousand stochastic agricultural ditch drainage networks on a 6.4 km² Mediterranean cultivated catchment. Next, several distributed hydrological functions were used to compute water flow-paths and runoff for each simulation. The results showed that (i) denser networks increased the drained volume and the peak discharge and decreased hillslopes runoff, (ii) greater network density did not affect the surface runoff any further above a given network density, (iii) the correlation between network density and runoff was weaker for small subcatchments $(< 2 \text{ km}^2)$ where the variability in the drained area that resulted from changes in agricultural ditch drainage 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

networks increased the variability of runoff and (iv) the actual agricultural ditch drainage network appeared to be well optimized for managing runoff as compared with the simulated networks. Finally, our results highlighted the role of agricultural ditch drainage networks in intercepting and decreasing overland flow on hillslopes and increasing runoff in drainage networks. 26 27 28 29 30 31

Keywords 32

Agricultural ditch drainage network, cultivated catchments, stochastic simulations, 33

hydrological modeling, runoff. 34

1 Introduction 35

Hedges, ditches, terrace fronts, embankments, grass strips and roads are common linear 36

features of cultivated landscapes (Figure 1). 37

38

Figure 1

The functional importance of these features has been emphasized in various contexts and biophysical processes. In ecology, they provide valuable habitats, enhance connectivity within landscapes and can serve as habitats for rare species (Forman & Baudry, 1984; Herzon & Helenius, 2008; Watson & Ormerod, 2004; Pita et al., 2006). Linear features affect soil redistribution as well, especially terraces that attenuate slopes, facilitate agriculture and limit long-term soil loss (Bevan & Conolly, 2011; Paroissien et al., 2010). By favoring water infiltration, they play a role in groundwater hydrology (Dages et al., 2009). Linear features also alter overland flow paths (Duke et al., 2006; Gascuel-Odoux et al., 2011). They can force water to not follow the steepest slope, but they can also concentrate runoff along the steepest slope. 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

When focusing on the impacts of agricultural ditch drainage networks, four roles are commonly considered: the interception of overland flow on hillslopes, the drainage of groundwater and exfiltration to ditch networks by lowering the water table, infiltration from the ditch towards the groundwater and conveyance of water towards downstream areas (Adamiade, 2004; Carluer & Marsily, 2004; Dunn & Mackay, 1996). A role will be predominant or will not, depending on various factors, including climate, soil, direction to the steepest slope and the location of the network within a catchment. In Mediterranean catchments, where short but intense storms predominate, Hortonian runoff is much more important than subsurface flow (Moussa et al., 2002). Hence, considering surface runoff is crucial because it is closely related to flooding, water quality and erosion (Fiener et al., 2011). To control runoff in agricultural catchments, we can work with many anthropogenic elements, such as land use and the tillage practices (Colin et al., 2011 a; Souchère et al., 2005; Takken et al., 2001) or the use of grassed waterways (Fiener et al., 2003). Agricultural ditch drainage networks are also one of the few structural landscape elements that control runoff and that can 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63

relationship between agricultural ditch drainage networks and runoff is a crucial landscape 65

be changed without consuming or drastically modifying the agricultural area. Therefore, the

structure-function question that is worth investigating. In addition to this relationship, the 66

optimization of agricultural ditch drainage networks is of interest to agricultural landscape 67

managers, for example, in minimizing floods or the fate of pesticides (DGFAR, 2008). 68

Consequently, such an investigation of the relationship between agricultural ditch drainage 69

network spatial configurations and runoff will permit an assessment of the hydrological 70

benefits that we could expect through modifying these networks. 71

64

Because of the emphasized role of ditches in cultivated landscape hydrology, an increasing number of spatially distributed models have explicitly considered ditch networks and their 72 73

spatial configurations in landscapes, which have always been considered to be directed tree structures (Al-Khudhairy, 1999; Branger, 2007; Carluer & Marsily, 2004; Dunn et al., 1996; Moussa et al., 2002). Consequently, these models allow for the assessment of the hydrological impact of agricultural ditch drainage networks. These models can also be used to test certain scenarios concerning possible evolutions of these networks. For example, Krause et al. (2007) modeled the effect of removing part of an agricultural ditch drainage network on the hydrology of a lowland floodplain in northeast Germany and showed that groundwater recharge was altered. In the Mediterranean area, Moussa et al. (2002) found that the substitution of a ditch network by a hypothetical natural drainage network that followed the steepest slopes could affect runoff by decreasing peak discharge and increasing lag time. However, these latter studies only considered a few scenarios, such as the suppression of the agricultural parts of the drainage network. Because these studies only considered a limited variability of the ditch network, they could not determine, even for a given catchment, the relationship between the agricultural ditch drainage network spatial configuration, i.e., network density and topology, a landscape structural property, and surface runoff, a landscape functioning property. To explore the relationship between the structure and the function of a landscape, the usual way to proceed in environmental sciences is to couple stochastic landscape structure simulations to a landscape functioning model (Colin et al., 2011 b; Gumiere, 2009; Le Ber et al., 2009; Van Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2011; Viaud et al., 2005). To date, there has not been an equivalent study of the impacts of agricultural ditch drainage networks on runoff. In this study, the objective was to analyze the extent to which the spatial configuration and especially the density of an agricultural ditch drainage network could control the surface runoff of a given cultivated catchment. The investigated case study was the 6.4 km² Bourdic catchment, which is located in the Languedoc vineyards in southern France, where previous 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98

hydrological modeling studies could be used as source of data for the hydrological parameterization. We performed this investigation by coupling a ditch network simulator (Bailly et al., 2011) to the surface runoff functions of the MHYDAS model. We focused on the hydrological response both at the catchment and subcatchment outlets and over hillslopes to investigate the influence of the agricultural ditch drainage network spatial configuration, i.e., density and topology, on surface runoff at various spatial scales. 99 100 101 102 103 104

2 Material and Methods 105

2.1 Study area 106

The study area was the 6.4 km² Mediterranean Bourdic catchment (Figure 2). It is located 50 km west of Montpellier in southern France. The altitude varies between 45 m at the outlet to 128 m westwards. The southern part of the catchment is compounded by rather well delineated small subcatchments with gentle hillslopes whereas the northern part is relatively flat. The land cover consists of mainly vineyards, with a small amount of cereal fields and shrubs. The actual agricultural ditch drainage network is 72 km long and covers all of the catchment except for the limestone uplands (cuesta) located in the center of the catchment. In addition to the drainage networks that are mapped in hydrographic databases (BD TOPO ®, BD CARTHAGE ®), the ditches highly extend past the drainage network (72 km by 10.8 km). The actual ditch drainage network was surveyed during the summer 2010 at an average rate of 1.5 to 3 km² per day per person, depending on the difficulty of the terrain. Fiftycentimeter resolution aerial photographs from IGN (Insitut Géographique National) were used to locate the ditches. Agricultural ditch drainage networks are rarely represented in hydrographic databases. An exhaustive survey of the ditch sizes in a sub-catchment of 1 km² revealed that 75 % of the ditches had an upper width between 50 and 120 cm and a depth between 30 and 80 cm. 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122

The Bourdic catchment contains the Roujan subcatchment (approximately 1 km²), where numerous studies have been conducted for twenty years on soil and water resources. Because it is in the Mediterranean area, high-intensity and short-duration storms are frequent and Hortonian overland flow dominates subsurface flow (Moussa et al., 2002). This latter fact motivated the choice of this catchment for studying the impact of agricultural ditch drainage networks on runoff. 123 124 125 126 127 128

129

Figure 2

2.2 Summary of the methods 130

The general methodology of the paper relied on the coupling of a landscape simulator with a distributed hydrological model (Figure 3). 131 132

Figure 3 133

2.2.1 Stochastic simulations of ditch drainage networks 134

The ditch network simulator has previsously been described in detail in Bailly et al. (2011), and only the main principles are described below. It uses as its inputs the lattice of the field units' boundaries and a Digital Terrain Model (DTM). Each segment of this lattice determines a potential location for a ditch. The role of the algorithm is to select whether each potential location is a ditch or not according to a stochastic drainage process and DTM uncertainties. Start and end nodes of each segment of the lattice have an elevation value that is extracted from the DTM. This lattice is partially directed by providing a unique direction to the lattice segments that have start node and end nodes with significant differences in elevation, i.e., that exceed a parameter of elevation uncertainty. For these segments, the slope is calculated as the difference between the start node and the end node altitudes, divided by the length of the segment. If the difference in the nodes' altitudes does not exceed the elevation uncertainty, the direction of the segment is not fixed and may be different for different simulations. The 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146

segments of the lattice that correspond to the main downstream parts of the network are 147

considered invariant and are simulated as ditches for all of the ditch network simulations. 148

They define the invariant main downstream network. 149

The method of network generation consists of a stochastic drainage-like algorithm. It generates directed tree network structures corresponding to connected sub-graphs of the directed lattice of the agricultural field units' boundaries. The method is based on (i) directed random walks throughout the directed lattice of the field units that connect randomly selected segments to the invariant main downstream segments and (ii) a random branching/pruning process enabling the convergence to a targeted network length. The only parameters of this algorithm include the target network length, the tolerance parameter and the elevation uncertainty parameter. Once the simulation process leads to a simulated network with a network length that is equal to the target network length greater than or less than the tolerance parameter, the simulation process stops and the simulated network is saved. With this stochastic simulation process, each field unit boundary can be a ditch in a given simulation. Numerous networks can thus be simulated to represent a wide variability of spatial configurations, i.e., density and topology. 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162

In our case study, the invariant main downstream segments came from the French national databases on hydrography (BD TOPO ®, BD CARTHAGE ®), representing in total 10.8 km of segments (Figure 2). In fact, these segments mainly corresponded to actual channelized streams and not to ditches. However, for purposes of simplification, we called agricultural ditch drainage networks (or ditch networks) our simulated networks even if they contained these streams. A 5 m resolution photogrammetric $DTM¹$ $DTM¹$ $DTM¹$ was used for the network simulation process. The elevation uncertainty parameter was fixed to 1 m according to the accuracy of the DTM. In contrast to the study of Bailly et al. (2011), the total network length that 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170

¹ Source: Conseil Général de l'Hérault

governed the simulation process was not fixed. A thousand networks were simulated, and for each one, the targeted total network length was selected randomly and uniformly between a minimal value that corresponded to the total length of the invariant main downstream network, i.e., 10.8 km, and a maximal value that corresponded to the total cumulative length of the field units' boundaries, i.e. 220 km. 171 172 173 174 175

2.2.2 Hydrological modelling 176

The catchment hydrological behavior was simulated at the rainfall event scale using the physically based rainfall runoff MHYDAS model (Modélisation Hydrologique Distribuée des Agro-sytèmes—Distributed Hydrological Modeling of Agrosystems). This is a distributed model that considers the catchment as a series of interconnected geographical units by which infiltration/runoff partition and runoff routing are performed. Full details of the MHYDAS model description are available in Moussa et al. (2000) and Moussa et al. (2002). The MHYDAS model has mainly been used in the context of farming (Chahinian, 2004; Charlier, 2007; Gumiere et al., 2011; Hallema et al., submitted; Moussa et al., 2002; Tiemeyer et al., 2007) and is available within the modeling platform Openfluid ® (Fabre et al., 2010). 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185

2.2.2.1 Landscape representation 186

We used the landscape representation of the MHYDAS hydrological model. The 187

interconnected geographical units considered here were the field units (surface units) and the 188

ditch network (linear unit), which was located on the boundaries of field units. The Geo-189

MHYDAS algorithm, running under GRASS GIS, was used to build a deterministic oriented 190

tree topology between these irregularly shaped surface units and linear units, which allows the 191

routing of simulated water flows across the landscape (Lagacherie et al., 2010). The Geo-192

MHYDAS algorithm uses as its inputs a DTM and the GIS layers of the ditch network and the 193

field units. For each unit, the neighbor with the steepest slope is defined as its downstream 194

unit. 195

In our case study, we used Geo-MHYDAS with the same 5 m DTM as the one used for network simulation. Geo-MHYDAS was thus used to define water flow paths of the surface units for each simulated network and for the actual network. The topological relationship between the field units and between the field units and the agricultural ditch drainage network were then computed for each simulated network and resulted in different topological configurations. In this process, water flow paths over the catchment (hillslopes and channels) were only modified by the ditch network spatial configuration. 196 197 198 199 200 201 202

2.2.2.2 Modeled hydrological processes 203

Over each surface (areal) unit, MHYDAS simulated the infiltration-runoff partition as a Hortonian process, while saturated runoff was neglected. The determination of the infiltration rate was based on equations from Green and Ampt (1911) and Mein and Larson (1973) that were adapted by Morel-Seytoux (1982) and allowed the calculation of the rainfall excess under variable rain conditions. It depended on the saturated hydraulic conductivity, which was a function of soil surface features that could vary in space and time. It also depended on the mean initial water content at the soil surface. The other parameters were the hydraulic properties of the hydrological units, such as the water content at saturation and the residual water content. 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212

The rainfall excess function for each unit was converted to a surface runoff hydrograph by routing it to the proper outlet of the unit. The operation of converting excess rainfall into surface outflow was performed by a numerical convolution involving a unit hydrograph that was derived as a Hayami response function (Hayami, 1951; Moussa, 1996). This procedure was a function of the mean distances between the centers of gravity of adjacent hydrological units or between centers of gravity and the ditch network, the mean slope of the units and the 213 214 215 216 217 218

roughness. If runoff was routed downstream via other surface units, it could be reinfiltrated to these surface units. 219 220

Once in the network that was considered as a set of linear reaches, water was routed to the catchment outlet using a diffusive wave equation. Over each reach, the parameters of the diffusive wave model were calculated using the length of the reach, its slope, the coefficient of roughness and the cross-sectional shape. 221 222 223 224

Groundwater was considered a compartment that received water that was infiltrated from 225

surface units. Water could also be exchanged between the ditch network and groundwater 226

according to the differences in water levels that were computed inside these compartments. 227

2.2.2.3 Parameterization strategy and simulation plan 228

Because our focus was the hydrological impact of the spatial configuration of the agricultural ditch drainage network, we considered a scenario in which the other sources of hydrological variability were deliberately removed. For this scenario, the corresponding parameters for the surface units (saturated hydraulic conductivity, water content at saturation, residual water content and roughness) and for the linear units (coefficient of roughness and cross-sectional size) were made invariant in the catchment. However, the values given to these parameters were selected so as to be coherent with the values that were calibrated in the previously mentioned studies of the same study area. They were also selected to simulate a coherent flood at the catchment outlet in comparison to the available outlet discharge data. 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237

Rainfall was taken to be spatially homogeneous and was represented by a simple triangular rainfall of 50 mm in 4 hours to symbolize high and frequent rain events. Two other rainfall events, 30 mm in 2 hours and 60 mm in 4 hours, were used to test the sensitivity of the simulations to the rain. This set of three rain events represented events with a return period from one to two years in the area. 238 239 240 241 242

No interaction between surface and groundwater was introduced in the modeling because we focused on surface runoff and on the fast hydrological response of the catchment and interaction between the ditches and groundwater was a slower process. Moreover, during heavy rain events such as the one studied here, surface runoff was assumed to be the major component of runoff. 243 244 245 246 247

These simplified landscape and meteorological conditions allowed us to solely test the 248

hydrological impact of the variability in the partitioning between diffuse and channelized flow 249

paths and in the associated topographic parameters. Moreover, even if we did not aim to 250

provide a validated case study, we used the model with a range of parameters and for a type of 251

rain events in which the model has been calibrated and validated several times. 252

2.3 Evaluation of ditch network effects on hydrology 253

2.3.1 Classical indices 254

To compare simulated networks with the real network and to relate network runoff variability with network variability, we evaluated runoff indices (lag time, peak discharge and runoff volume) at three invariant locations within the catchment (points A-C, Figure 5). At the same three invariant locations, the total cumulative network length and the drained area were computed. 255 256 257 258 259

2.3.2 Need for new indices 260

To evaluate the variability in the runoff on the hillslopes (the overland flow), we defined an adequate geographical support at which the runoff could be computed. A 500 m \times 500 m square grid was applied over the study area. Each cell of this grid was sufficiently large to include a piece of the landscape (individual fields were not) and could be also held invariant across simulations (subcatchments could not). 261 262 263 264 265

Inside a cell of this 500 m \times 500 m grid, different hillslopes that were compounded of several field units were delineated during hydrological simulations. A hillslope was defined as a succession of field units whose overland flow exited the cell or entered the ditch network (Figure 4). The overland flow indicator of the cell was calculated as the mean of the maximum field unit (j) overland flow of all of the n hillslopes (i) inside the cell (Equations 1 and 2). For each cell, the cumulative network length was also computed. Figure 4 Equation 1 *Qmaxhillslope ⁱ=max*(*Qmax field ^j*) *field j*∈*hillslope i j*∈[1, *number of fields inside hillslope i* $i \in [1, number of$ *hillslopes inside the cell* k 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273

Equation 2 Overland . flow . indicator $\int_{cell k} = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ \times $\sum_{i=1 \text{ to } n}$ *Qmax*_{*hillslope i hillslope i*∈*cell k*} $k \in [1, number of cells inside the catchment]$ 274

3 Results 275

3.1 Agricultural ditch drainage network and water flow path variability 276

We simulated a thousand networks, which allowed us to explore the full range of potential cumulative network lengths (Figure 5). The invariant main downstream segments were conserved in both the actual network and simulated networks. Because of the simulation process, the mean length over the set of simulated networks was higher than the actual network, which was approximately half of the cumulative field boundary length. Some very different networks were obtained, including some that were very dense (Figure 5, IV) and others that were not very dense (Figure 5, II). 277 278 279 280 281 282 283

284

Figure 5

The modification of water flow paths by ditch networks implied modifications in 285

subcatchment delineation and consequently the drained area (see the Roujan subcatchment 286

defined at point B in Figure 5). To investigate this effect, we took as an example the evolution of the variability in the simulated drained area along the northern main invariant segment of the network (Figure 5, from point A to point C). At the start of the northern main invariant segment, the coefficient of variation of the simulated drained area was higher than 100 % (Figure 6). The coefficient of variation decreased rapidly with an increase in the mean simulated drained area. However, it was still high at point B (Roujan subcatchment) (20 %). The coefficient of variation rapidly decreased to 5 % for a mean simulated drained area of 1.7 km². This high variability of the drained area emphasized the role of the ditch drainage network in modifying water flow paths. After the confluence with the southern main invariant segment, the coefficient of variation was low (decreasing to 2 %). The decreasing variability of the drained area along the main invariant segment could be explained by (i) the simulated drained area that became increasingly constrained by the catchment morphology and the invariant main segments near the outlet and (ii) the whole catchment boundary that was fixed for all of the simulations. 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300

301

Figure 6

3.2 Discharge variability and scaling 302

We computed the hydrographs at three points along the northern main downstream segment of the network (Figure 7), including the starting point of the invariant main downstream network (Figure 5, point A), at 1000 m downstream (Figure 5, point B, corresponding to the Roujan subcatchment) and at the outlet, 5000 m downstream (Figure 5, point C, corresponding to the Bourdic catchment). The hydrographs exhibited a high variability in amplitude, but the shapes of the actual hydrographs were preserved across the simulated networks. For point A, the high variability that was observed was mainly related to the very high variability in the area that was drained at this point (Figure 6). In some cases, this point 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310

corresponded to a source, as no ditch was present upstream and it did not drain any field unit. On the contrary, an upstream ditch network that drained several field units, could be branched upstream of this point for other simulations. For the two other points, the Roujan subcatchment and the Bourdic catchment, the variability in hydrographs remained high despite the smaller variability in the drained area. We hypothesized this property to be related to other network characteristics, especially network density. 311 312 313 314 315 316

317

Figure 7

We calculated lag times, peak discharges and total volumes from the 1,001 hydrographs. We focused on the sensitivity of these runoff indices to the variation in network lengths at two specific locations (Figure 8): at the outlet of a small upstream watershed, the Roujan subcatchment (the second hydrograph on Figure 6, point B on Figure 5) and at the outlet of the whole Bourdic catchment (the third hydrograph on Figure 6, point C on Figure 5). At the Bourdic catchment outlet, the range in peak discharge was -50 to $+24\%$ compared with the value that was simulated for the actual network. The range in total volume was -55 to $+14$ %. Furthermore, the peak discharge and total volume quickly increased with the network length and then reached a plateau. This plateau corresponded to a situation in which all of the fields units were connected to a ditch. Indeed, the denser the network, the greater the connectivity between the field units and the ditch network, which limited the re-infiltration to the fields. The lag time exhibited a more complicated trend and less relative variability $(-15 \text{ to } +12 \text{ % in})$ comparison with the value simulated for the actual network). First, there was a quick increase and then a slow decrease as the network length increased. We hypothesized that for short networks, only the runoff of the closest area to the outlet contributed to the flood and that the runoff of the more distant areas was reinfiltrated before reaching the network. For dense networks, distant areas contributed to the flood and thus the lag time was higher because of longer average flow paths. Then, when all areas contributed runoff and the network grew 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335

again, flow paths were increasingly channelized in the networks, which decreased the lag time because the mean celerity was higher in the network than in the field (Moussa et al., 2002). Even if the catchment area was constant at the outlet, there was a variability in runoff for a given network length. This variability may have been caused by variability in the topographic parameters or the topology of the ditch networks. The variability was more significant for the Roujan subcatchment scale. The lag time, peak discharge and total volume ranged from -18 to +90 %, -96 to +19 % and -71 to +29 %, respectively, in comparison with the simulated value for the actual network. An obvious reason was the fact that the drained area varied for the Roujan subcatchment outlet, but not at the Bourdic catchment outlet (Figure 6). However, there was also a clear trend for the peak discharge and total volume at the Roujan outlet: these variables increased as the network length increased. A trend was more difficult to identify for the lag time, but we observed a decrease with increasing network length. 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348

349

Figure 8

Finally, we also investigated whether the relationship between the runoff metrics and the length of the ditch drainage network was dependent on the rain event. With two other triangular rain events, i.e., 30 mm in 2 hours and 60 mm in 4 hours, the type of relationship was not changed. An example of the peak discharge as a function of the ditch network length is shown in Figure 9. 350 351 352 353 354

355

Figure 9

3.3 Hillslope overland flow variability 356

Using the squared grid and the method described above (Figure 4), we observed how the overland flow indicator varied inside every cell grid on the catchment. Figure 10 shows an example of a cell that was located in the western part of the catchment, mainly in a zone with 357 358 359

slopes greater than 10 %. The overland flow indicator decreased exponentially as the cumulative ditch network length increased and trended toward an asymptote across the highest drainage densities. Approximately 25 % of the maximum drainage density (approximately 2150 m in this cell) corresponded to a third of the maximum overland flow $(6.9 \text{ 1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ against 21 1 s^{-1}). For a given network length within a cell, a small amount of variability remained, which corresponded to the efficiency of the network to intercept overland flow. For example, if 2000 m of ditches were grouped into a small part of a cell or were parallel to the highest slope, they intercepted less overland flow than if they were well distributed throughout the cell and were perpendicular to the highest slope. We also noticed the position of the actual network, in that simulated networks with similar lengths produced more overland flow in the cell than the actual network. As for the example cell in Figure 10, a trend line could be fitted for each cell. To represent the exponential decrease of the overland flow indicator as a function of network length, a model in the form of overland.flow=a+b×exp(-c×network.length) was fitted. We used a non-linear 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373

further reduce the overland flow. 379

380

374

375

376

377

378

Figure 10

least-squares algorithm for the estimation of the a, b and c parameters. The median coefficient

of determination (R²) between the fitted and actual values of the overland flow indicator was

equal to 0.96, which indicated a very good fit and an overland flow indicator that was closely

dependent on the drainage density. The exponential decrease revealed that few ditches were

efficient in greatly limiting overland flow and that more and more ditches were needed to

4 Discussion 381

4.1 Importance of the agricultural ditch drainage network on the 382

hydrology of a small, cultivated catchment 383

Agricultural ditch drainage networks are known to influence the runoff of small, cultivated catchments (Carluer & Marsily, 2004; Moussa et al., 2002). However, the nature of this statement is dependent on the studied area and its actual network and is generally made through a comparison with a hypothetical natural network that is extracted from a DTM. In this study, the importance of ditch networks was confirmed in a more general context, even though it was still dependent on the catchment morphology and field geometry. Among the set of simulated networks, the variability in the area drained was very high, which reinforced the evidence that linear features alter overland flow-paths (Duke et al., 2006; Gascuel-Odoux et al., 2011). Therefore, our study revealed how agricultural ditch drainage networks can modify delivery pathways, which is a major component of the hydrological connectivity of a catchment (Bracken & Croke, 2007). 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394

The runoff variability was very high, both on the scale of the whole Bourdic catchment and the Roujan subcatchment. The peak discharge and total volume clearly increased with network density, but they also depended on other induced changes (e.g. topology and slope of the networks), which should be better quantified in the future. These results agreed with the study of Moussa et al. (2002), which concluded that agricultural ditch drainage networks accelerated runoff. However, we showed that this statement clearly depended on the drainage density. Concerning runoff on the hillslopes, we showed that the ditches that were present on hillslopes intercepted the diffuse water flow paths and rapidly decreased the overland flow. However, above a certain network density, adding new ditches became less and less efficient for decreasing overland flow. 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404

Our study also confirmed the predominant role of ditches in intercepting overland flow on the hillslopes in Mediterranean areas (Martínez-Casasnovas et al., 2002) and enabled the better quantification of the relationship between the density of the ditch network and the overland flow. This interception of overland flow thus explained the statistical effects of ditches in reducing erosion that was observed in the study by Paroissien et al. (2010). Finally, these results showed the effects of removing or adding ditches to a drainage network when no other field borders were considered when removing ditches. Conversely, in Verstraeten et al. (2002), replacing the ditches with grassed waterways was considered and was shown to reduce sediment delivery. Such an investigation was not considered here, and no obstacle to the runoff were considered between two given field units after removing a ditch. 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415

4.2 Catchment extent effect 416

All of these findings were dependent on the extent of catchments. At any point of the catchment, a high variability in the network induced a high variability in runoff, but the variability in runoff was higher at the Roujan subcatchment scale than at the whole Bourdic catchment scale at any given network density. This fact was explained by the high variability in the area that was drained in the upstream part of the network, whereas the low variability in the area that was drained, the morphology of the catchment and the invariant main downstream network constrained the routing of the runoff and all served to limit the downstream variability (from approximately 2 km²). Concerning the hillslope overland flow, the effect of scale was not investigated in this study. 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425

However, the extent effect was considered to become significant with a decreasing cell size 426

- that would increase the overland flow indicator variability. A 500 m \times 500 m square grid 427
- seemed to be appropriate because, in contrast to individual field units, it was sufficiently large 428

to include a piece of the landscape (which individual field units were not) and was sufficiently fine to enable the spatialization of overland flow in a small cultivated catchment with sufficient detail. 429 430 431

4.3 Hydrological efficiency 432

The actual ditch network seemed to be quite efficient in comparison with the simulated networks for draining floods (Figure 8) and limiting overland flow on the hillslopes (Figure 10). For instance, when looking at the peak discharge and the total volume of all of the points of the main invariant segments of the networks, the drainage that was provided by the actual network was almost always greater than the fitted value for the simulations, and the lag time was shorter (Figure 11). The actual network drained more water and accomplished that more rapidly. For the overland flow on the hillslopes, the overland flow indicator was almost always lower than that of the simulated networks; therefore, for a given length, the actual network intercepted more overland flow than the simulated networks. However, an optimum network should be not only more efficient than a network of similar length, but also a compromise between the network length and the hydrological efficiency because the maintenance of the ditch network requires a tremendous amount of work from farmers. To define such an optimum network, we must take into account the local conditions that influence the overland flow, such as land cover and soil properties. Therefore, we could not accomplish this task here. Finally, we question whether the actual agricultural ditch drainage network is optimal in all agricultural catchments or if this was the case in the Bourdic catchment only. 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449

450

Figure 11

Current agricultural ditch drainage networks are the results of a sum of individual ditch digging efforts of hundreds of farmers over the last two thousand years (Berger et al., 2000), 451 452

and they continue to evolve. Therefore, we may wonder how this optimum can emerge from a sum of individual decisions. A survey of farmers would be useful to understand this finding and would perhaps highlight some guidelines for the creation of ditch drainage networks and cooperation between farmers, which could be further implemented in the simulation algorithm. 453 454 455 456 457

4.4 Limitations and uncertainties in results 458

This modeling study revealed the effects of modifications in the spatial configurations of ditch networks in an agricultural catchment, which can not be tested in the real world. However, even if the model was previously used and validated in this area, we used this model in virtual conditions and outside its tested bounds (concerning drainage density). Therefore, like in all studies testing scenarios, we must carefully interpret the results (Silberstein, 2006). 459 460 461 462 463 464

The results of this study should thus not be taken as universal, but the trends deserve consideration. In addition to these first limitations, the simplified hydrological case studied here does not allow for confidence in absolute values. Thus, this type of study should be extended to more complicated cases with various actual rain events and a realistically distributed land cover. Indeed, in our case study, each field unit generated the same amount of runoff, which would obviously be inaccurate in a real landscape. The consideration of patterns of runoff generation would probably modify the effect of the ditch networks. This effect would most likely be reinforced in areas with a high production of runoff and lessened in other areas. Ditch networks could thus modify the delimitation of active areas (Ambroise, 2004), for example, by avoiding the runoff that is produced in a low-permeability area to be reinfiltrated to a permeable area that is located downslope. 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475

Another limitation concerns the parameterization of the ditch. First, neither infiltration into the ditch network nor ex-filtration were considered in this study, whereas groundwater recharge by channel infiltration may be important in Mediterranean and semi-arid areas (Dages et al. 2009; Ponce et al., 1999). If such an interaction was modeled, the network hydrology would probably have been much more complex, with patterns of infiltration and ex-filtration along the network, and the relation between network density and runoff may have been modified. Accordingly, we decided to focus on surface runoff in this study. Moreover, the calibration of the exchange function between a ditch network and groundwater is very delicate, especially with a variable network. Therefore, the interactions between surface and ground water in the ditches should be considered in future works, especially when studying rain events with lower intensities. The second limitation concerning the parameterization of the ditches involved their cross-sectional size and roughness which were considered invariant in our study. These parameters greatly affect surface runoff (Nédélec et al., 2004) and are known to be highly spatially variable, both in natural streams (O'Hare et al., 2010) and in small agricultural ditches (Bouldin et al., 2004; Crabit et al., 2011). These limitations should therefore be addressed in future works, with the use of spatial models of these ditch parameters, as it exists for ditch cross-sectional sizes (Bailly et al., 2006). To summarize, the results concerning the hydrological response of the network should thus be carefully interpreted. The results on overland flow in the fields seemed more robust because 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494

they were not affected by the simplification of the parameterization of the ditches. 495

Finally, the high variability that was observed here was predominantly a result of the high 496

variability in the drainage density. However, some of this variability was improbable, 497

especially the variability that resulted from including the very high drainage densities. 498

However, their inclusion provided the advantage of defining what was possible. Compared 499

with the large survey that was realized in the Hérault French département (Levavasseur et al., 500

submitted), the drainage density observed in the Bourdic catchment was one of the highest densities that has been observed. Therefore, the most interesting part of this study concerned low drainage densities for which hydrological sensitivity appeared to be the highest. The coupling of a ditch network simulator with a hydrological model provided several interesting results and offered new prospects. Here, we used this method to investigate the impact of the spatial configuration of agricultural ditch drainage networks on the hydrology of a small catchment. We could imagine that this type of method could also be used to test certain scenarios of landscape arrangements or to optimize an existing network, as it has been done with land use patterns (Newbold, 2005; Seppelt & Voinov, 2002). For example, the minimization of the peak discharge at the outlet combined with the minimization of overland flow on hillslopes could be accomplished by branching or pruning the existing network. The optimization of the network could also concern reductions in erosion or pesticides impacts by coupling with appropriate models (Gumiere et al., 2011). 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513

5 Conclusions 514

A ditch network simulator coupled with a distributed hydrological model allowed for a study of how runoff is related to the densities of an agricultural ditch drainage network in cultivated landscapes. New hydrological and network parameters were defined to deal with the variations in hillslope and subcatchment delineations that were induced by variations in the ditch drainage networks. 515 516 517 518 519

The importance of spatial configurations of agricultural ditch drainage networks in the alteration of water flow paths and in the control of runoff was highlighted, both in channelized flow-paths and on hillslopes. From our case study, we observed that variability of the spatial configuration and especially an increase of the ditch network density strongly influenced the subcatchment delineation and area, increased the drained volume and the peak 520 521 522 523 524

discharge and decreased overland flow on hillslopes. However, these hydrological behaviors were only sensitive to network density for drainage networks with densities that did not exceed a certain threshold. This study also highlighted the efficiency of the actual network in comparison with the networks that were simulated by the ditch network simulator, which suggest an anthropogenic optimization process that should be further explored. 525 526 527 528 529

Acknowledgement 530

This work was partly supported by the French Languedoc-Roussillon région, via a Ph.D. 531

thesis grant. The authors also thank Adeline Bellet, an M.Sc. student, who helped with field surveys. 532 533

References 534

- Adamiade V. 2004. Influence d`un fossé sur les écoulements rapides au sein d`un versant. 535
- PhD Dissertation, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France. 536
- Al-Khudhairy DHA, Thompson JR, Gavin H, Hamm NAS. 1999. Hydrological modelling of 537
- a drained grazing marsh under agricultural land use and the simulation of restoration 538
- management scenarios. Hydrological Sciences Journal **44:** 943-971. 539
- Ambroise B. 2004. Variable 'active' versus 'contributing' areas or periods: a necessary 540
- distinction. Hydrological Processes **18**: 1149-1155. 541
- Bailly JS, Monestiez P, Lagacherie P. 2006. Modelling Spatial Variability Along Drainage 542
- Networks with Geostatistics. Mathematical Geology **38**: 515-539. 543
- Bailly JS, Levavasseur F, Lagacherie P. 2011. A spatial stochastic algorithm to reconstruct 544
- artificial drainage networks from incomplete network delineations. International Journal of 545
- Earth Observation And Geology **13**: 853-862. 546

- Berger JF. 2000. Les fossés bordiers historiques et l'histoire agraire rhodanienne. Etudes Rurales. 547 548
- Bevan A, Conolly J. 2011. Terraced fields and Mediterranean landscape structure: An 549
- analytical case study from Antikythera, Greece. Ecological Modelling **222**: 1303-1314. 550
- Bouldin JL, Farris JL, Moore MT, Cooper CM. 2004. Vegetative and structural characteristics 551
- of agricultural drainages in the Mississippi Delta landscapes. Environmental Pollution **132:** 552
- 403-411. 553
- Bracken LJ, Croke J. The concept of hydrological connectivity and its contribution to 554
- understanding runoff-dominated geomorphic systems. Hydrological Processes **21:** 1749-1763 555
- Branger F. 2007. Utilisation d'une plate-forme de modélisation environnementale pour 556
- représenter le rôle d'aménagements hydro-agricoles sur les flux d'eau et de pesticides. 557
- Application au bassin versant de la Fontaine du Theil (Ille et Vilaine). PhD Dissertation, 558
- Université de Grenoble, France. 559
- Carluer N, Marsily GD. 2004. Assessment and modelling of the influence of man-made 560
- networks on the hydrology of a small watershed: implications for fast flow components, water 561
- quality and landscape management. Journal of Hydrology **285**: 76-95. 562
- Chahinian N. 2004. Paramétrisation multi-critère et multi-échelle d un modèle hydrologique 563
- spatialisé de crue en milieu agricole. PhD Dissertation, Université Montpellier II, France. 564
- Charlier, JB. 2007. Fonctionnement et modélisation hydrologique d'un petit bassin versant 565
- cultivé en milieu volcanique tropical. PhD Dissertation, Université Montpellier II, France. 566
- Colin F, Moussa R, Louchart X. 2011 a. Impact of the spatial arrangement of land 567
- management practices on surface runoff for small catchments. Hydrological Processes, in 568
- press. 569

- Colin F, Guillaume S, Tisseyre B. 2011 b. Small catchment agricultural management using 570
- decision variables defined at catchment scale and fuzzy rule based system: A Mediterranean 571
- vineyard case study. Water Resources Management **25:** 2649–2668. 572
- Crabit A, Colin F, Moussa R. 2011. A soft hydrological monitoring approach for comparing 573
- runoff on a network of small poorly gauged catchments. Hydrological Processes **25**: 2785- 574
- 2800. 575
- Dagès C, Voltz M, Bsaibes A, Prévot L, Huttel O, Louchart X, Garnier F, Négro S. 2009. 576
- Estimating the role of a ditch network in groundwater recharge in a Mediterranean catchment 577
- using a water balance approach. Journal of Hydrology **375:** 498-512. 578
- DGFAR Direction générale de la forêt et des affaires rurales, Circulaire 2008 « mesures 579
- agroenvironnementales », Available at: http://agriculture.gouv.fr/circulaire-dgfar-sdea-c2008- 580
- 5026. 581
- Duke GD, Kienzle SW, Johnson DL, Byrne JM. 2006. Incorporating ancillary data to refine 582
- anthropogenically modified overland flow paths. Hydrological Processes **20:** 1827-1843. 583
- Dunn SM, Mackay R. 1996. Modelling the hydrological impacts of open ditch drainage. 584
- Journal of Hydrology **179:** 37-66. 585
- Fabre JC, Louchart X, Colin F, Dagès C, Moussa R, Rabotin M, Raclot D, Lagacherie P, 586
- Voltz M. 2010. OpenFluid: a software environment for modelling fluxes in landscapes. In 587
- LandMod 2010: International Conference on Integrative Landscape Modelling. Montpellier, France. 588 589
- Fiener P, Auerswald K. 2003. Effectiveness of grassed waterways in reducing runoff and 590
- sediment delivery from agricultural watersheds. Journal of Environmental Quality **32:** 927- 591
- 936. 592

- Fiener P, Auerswald K, Van Oost K. 2011. Spatio-temporal patterns in land use and 593
- management affecting surface runoff response of agricultural catchments--A review. Earth-594
- Science Reviews **106:** 92-104. 595
- Forman RTT, Baudry J. 1984. Hedgerows and hedgerow networks in landscape ecology. 596
- Environmental Management **8:** 495-510. 597
- Gascuel-Odoux C, Aurousseau P, Doray T, Squividant H, Macary F, Uny D, Grimaldi C. 598
- 2011. Incorporating landscape features to obtain an object-oriented landscape drainage 599
- network representing the connectivity of surface flow pathways over rural catchments. 600
- Hydrological Processes **25**: 3625-3636. 601
- Green W, Ampt G. 1911. Studies on soil physics part I: the flow of air and water through 602
- soils. Journal of Agricultural Science **4:** 1-24. 603
- Gumiere SJ. 2009. Contribution à la modélisation déterministe spatialisée de l'érosion 604
- hydrique des sols à l'échelle des petits bassins versants cultivés. PhD Dissertation , 605
- Montpellier SupAgro, France. 606
- Gumiere SJ, Raclot D, Cheviron B, Davy G, Louchart X, Fabre JC, Moussa R, Le Bissonnais 607
- Y. 2011. MHYDAS-Erosion: a distributed single-storm water erosion model for agricultural 608
- catchments. Hydrological Processes **25**: 1717-1728. 609
- Hallema D, Moussa R, Andrieux P, Voltz M. Parameterisation and multi-criteria calibration 610
- of a fully distributed storm flow model applied to a Mediterranean agricultural catchment. 611
- Submitted in Hydrological Processes. 612
- Hayami, S. 1951. On the propagation of flood waves. Disaster Prev. Res. Inst. Bull. **1**: 1-16. 613
- Herzon I, Helenius J. 2008. Agricultural drainage ditches, their biological importance and 614
- functioning. Biological Conservation **141**: 1171-1183. 615

- Krause S, Jacobs J, Bronstert A. 2007. Modelling the impacts of land-use and drainage 616
- density on the water balance of a lowland-floodplain landscape in northeast Germany. 617
- Ecological Modelling, **200**: 475-492. 618
- Lagacherie P, Rabotin M, Colin F, Moussa R, Voltz M. 2010. Geo-MHYDAS: A landscape 619
- discretization tool for distributed hydrological modeling of cultivated areas. Computers & 620
- Geosciences **36:** 1021-1032. 621
- Le Ber F, Lavigne C, Adamczyk K, Angevin F, Colbach N, Mari JF, Monod H. 2009. Neutral 622
- modelling of agricultural landscapes by tessellation methods Application for gene flow 623
- simulation. Ecological Modelling, **220:** 3536-3545. 624
- Levavasseur F, Lagacherie P, Bailly JS, Biarnès A, Colin F. High spatial variability and 625
- prediction of the density of agricultural ditches in a Mediterranean vineyards landscape. 626
- Submitted in Applied Geography. 627
- Martínez-Casasnovas JA, Ramos MC, Ribes-Dasi M. 2002. Soil erosion caused by extreme 628
- rainfall events: mapping and quantification in agricultural plots from very detailed digital 629
- elevation models. Geoderma **105**, 125-140. 630
- Mein RG, Larson CL. 1973. Modelling infiltration during a steady rain. Water Resources 631
- Research **9:** 384–394. 632
- Morel-Seytoux HJ. 1978. Derivation of equations for variable rainfall infiltration. Water 633
- Resources Research **14:** 561-568. 634
- Moussa, R. 1996. Analytical Hayami Solution for the Diffusive Wave Flood Routing Problem 635
- with Lateral Inflow. Hydrological Processes **10**: 1209-1227. 636
- Moussa R, Voltz M, Andrieux P, Lagacherie P. 2000. Hydrological modelling of a farmed 637
- mediterranean catchment. In Mediterranean Storms, Claps P, Siccardi F (eds). European 638
- Geophysical Society Plinius Conference'99 : 377–386. 639

- Moussa R, Voltz M, Andrieux P. 2002. Effects of the spatial organization of agricultural 640
- management on the hydrological behaviour of a farmed catchment during flood events. 641
- Hydrological Processes **16:** 393-412. 642
- Nédélec Y, Kao C, Chaumont C. 2004. Réduction des transferts de crues dans les bassins 643
- versants agricoles fortement drainés : état des connaissances et des recherches. Ingénieries 644
- EAT **37**: 3-21. 645
- Newbold SC. 2005. A combined hydrologic simulation and landscape design model to 646
- prioritize sites for wetlands restoration. Environmental Modeling & Assessment **10:** 251-263. 647
- O'Hare MT, McGahey C, Bissett N, Cailes C, Henville P, Scarlett P. Variability in roughness 648
- measurements for vegetated rivers near base flow, in England and Scotland. Journal of 649
- Hydrology **385**: 361-370. 650
- Paroissien JB, Lagacherie P, Le Bissonnais Y. 2010. A regional-scale study of multi-651
- decennial erosion of vineyard fields using vine-stock unearthing-burying measurements. 652
- CATENA, **82:** 159-168. 653
- Pita R, Mira A, Beja P. 2006. Conserving the Cabrera vole, Microtus cabrerae, in intensively 654
- used Mediterranean landscapes. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment **115:** 1-5. 655
- Ponce VM, Pandey RP, Kumar S. 1999. Groundwater recharge by channel infiltration in El 656
- Barbon basin, Baja California, Mexico. Journal of Hydrology **214:** 1-7. 657
- Seppelt R, Voinov A. 2002. Optimization methodology for land use patterns using spatially 658
- explicit landscape models. Ecological Modelling **151:** 125-142. 659
- Silberstein RP. Hydrological models are so good, do we still need data? Environmental 660
- Modelling & Software **21**: 1340-1352. 661
- Souchère V, Cerdan O, Dubreuil N, Le Bissonnais Y, King C. 2005. Modelling the impact of 662
- agri-environmental scenarios on runoff in a cultivated catchment (Normandy, France). 663
- CATENA **61**: 229-240. 664

- Takken I, Govers G, Steegen A, Nachtergaele J, Guérif J. 2001. The prediction of runoff flow 665
- directions on tilled fields. Journal of Hydrology **248:** 1-13. 666
- Tiemeyer B, Moussa R, Lennartz B, Voltz M. 2007. MHYDAS-DRAIN: A spatially 667
- distributed model for small, artificially drained lowland catchments. Ecological Modelling 668
- **209:** 2-20. 669
- Van Nieuwenhuyse BHJ, Michael A, Wyseure G, Govers G. 2011. Pattern-process 670
- relationships in surface hydrology: hydrological connectivity expressed in landscape metrics. 671
- Hydrological Processes, in press. 672
- Verstraeten G, Oost K, Rompaey A, Poesen J, Govers G. Evaluating an integrated approach 673
- to catchment management to reduce soil loss and sediment pollution through modelling. Soil 674
- Use and Management **18**: 386-394. 675
- Viaud V, Durand P, Merot P, Sauboua E, Saadi Z. 2005. Modeling the impact of the spatial 676
- structure of a hedge network on the hydrology of a small catchment in a temperate climate. 677
- Agricultural Water Management **74:** 135-163. 678
- Watson AM, Ormerod S. 2004. The distribution of three uncommon freshwater gastropods in 679
- the drainage ditches of British grazing marshes, Biological Conservation **118:** 455-466. 680

Figure 1: Linear features in cultivated landscapes. Ditches and roads channel water, whereas embankments act as barriers to water flow.

Figure 2: Study area. Drainage network of the Bourdic catchment is represented in a hillshade view, with an azimuth to the light equal to 315°. The inclusion of the agricultural ditch drainage network greatly expanded the drainage network that was mapped in hydrographic databases.

Figure 3: Conceptual diagram of the methodology: coupling of a stochastic vector drainage algorithm with distributed hydrological modelling to study the impact of the network structure of agricultural ditch drainage on surface runoff.

Figure 4: Space discretization used to compute an overland flow indicator value. Hillslopes were delineated in each cell of the grid applied on the catchment. Then, the overland flow indicator of a cell was calculated as the mean of the maximum field unit (j) overland flow of all the hillslopes (i) inside the cell (Equations 1 and 2).

Figure 5: Variability of the spatial configuration and length of the drainage networks. Both small and very dense networks were simulated. We also observed variability in the delineation of subcatchments.

Figure 6: Coefficient of variation (CV) for the drainage area along the northern main invariant segment of the network (Fig. 5). The CV was calculated based on 1001 realizations of the ditch network. The dotted lines indicate the main drainage area at points A, B and C.

Figure 7: Hydrographs along the invariant main downstream network. The variability among hydrographs was high, although the shape of the actual hydrograph was well simulated.

Figure 8: Relationship between runoff metrics and network lengths. The runoff metrics were correlated with the drainage network length at both the subcatchment and at the catchment scales. The variabilities in network metrics were higher at the subcatchment scale.

Figure 9: Relationship between peak discharge and network length for three different rain events.

Figure 10: Relationship between the overland flow indicator value and the network length for a given cell.

Figure 11: Efficiency of the actual network compared with simulated networks. The boxes represent the interquartile range. The whiskers extend to the most extreme data point which is no more than 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box. In comparison to the simulated networks, the lag time was lower and the total volume and peak discharge were higher for the actual network. The overland flow indicator was lower for the actual network.