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A Transfer-Matrix-Perturbation Approach to the Dynamics of Chains of Nonlinear Sliding Beams

1 Introduction

The transfer matrix method has been widely used to analyze the dynamics of linear periodic systems [1,2]. In this realm, analytical, design, and computational aspects have been investigated by the authors, respectively, in [3–5]. Although its applicability is restricted, due to numerical problems, to a limited number of periodic units, the method allows one to reduce the dimension of the problem to the number of degrees of freedom of the single element.

It is well known that the disturbance propagation through multicoiled linear periodic structures is governed by the frequency-dependent transfer-matrix eigenvalues. On the frequency axis, there exist intervals or bands where disturbances propagate harmonically without attenuation (pass bands), in which the eigenvalues are complex with unitary modulus, and bands where the disturbances decay (stop bands), in which the eigenvalues are real and different from 1. As soon as the coupling coordinates are more than 1, there exist further frequency bands characterized by disturbance harmonic propagation with attenuation (complex bands) where pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues, with modulus different from 1, exist. Moreover, the natural frequencies fall within the pass bands.

In contrast to the vast literature concerning linear periodic structures, few works have thus far appeared in the mechanical context on the dynamics of nonlinear periodic systems. Mono-coiled periodic systems of infinite extent with material nonlinearities have been addressed in [6]. Two different asymptotic approaches have been devised for studying standing (stop-band) and traveling (pass-band) waves; amplitude-dependent frequencies bounding nonlinear propagation and attenuation zones have been found. In [7], an array of elastic oscillators coupled through buckling sensitive elastica has been addressed both numerically and experimentally. The existence of transition from solitonlike motions to spatially and temporally disordered motions due to a sudden excitation has been shown relying on a modified Toda lattice model. An extension of the transfer-matrix approach to post-buckling problems of periodic systems has been proposed in [8].

In this work, the latter approach is further developed to analyze free and forced dynamics of chains of multicoiled nonlinear beams. In Sec. 2, the nonlinear continuous-beam model is derived. Afterward, in Sec. 3, the nonlinear element transfer function is derived through a perturbation method. By iterating the nonlinear element map, a consistent system transfer function is obtained in Sec. 4. Free and forced oscillations are studied in Sec. 5, and the relevant numerical results are eventually shown in Sec. 6.

2 Continuous Beam on Sliding Supports

A chain of \( N \) shear indeterminate beams with distributed mass \( m \), resting on movable supports, as schematically depicted in Fig. 1, is considered. The single beam, of length \( l \) and flexural stiffness \( EI \), is also axially indeterminate. The displacement field is defined by the longitudinal and transverse components \( u(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \), respectively, and by a rotation \( \varphi(x) \) of the beam cross section, \( x \) being the abscissa. The conditions of zero transverse shear and elongation, imply, respectively,

\[
\sin \varphi = \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{1 + u'^2}}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{(1 + u'^2)^2 + \psi'^2}}{1 + u'^2} - 1 = 0
\]  

from which the curvature \( \kappa \) follows:

\[
\kappa = \frac{\psi'}{\sqrt{1 + u'^2}}
\]

The equations of motion are derived from the stationarity of the constrained Hamiltonian

\[
\tilde{H} = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} m(u'^2 + \psi'^2) - \frac{1}{2} EI\kappa^2 - \lambda \epsilon \right] ds + g_L u_L + m_L \psi_L + g_R u_R + m_R \psi_R
\]

where \( u_L, \psi_L, u_R, \psi_R \), \( (H=L,R) \) are the end displacements and forces and the Lagrangian parameter \( \lambda \) has been introduced. By considering the series expansions, \( \kappa = u''[1 + (u'^2/2)], \epsilon = u'' + \frac{1}{2} u'^2 \), the stationarity condition leads to the set of partial differential equations

\[
u'' + \beta^2 u + \left[ u''[u''(u''')]' - (\lambda u)'' \right] = 0
\]
In view of the transfer-matrix formulation, it is convenient to parametrize the solution in terms of the left-hand variables $(\varphi, m, g, u, \varphi_L)$; therefore, Eqs. (6d) and (6f) are replaced by Eqs. (7a) and (7d).

### 3 Nonlinear Element Transfer Function

To solve the nonlinear problem (4), with the proper boundary conditions (6) and (7), use is made of the harmonic balance method. By retaining only the first harmonic in $v(s, \tau)$, Eqs. (4c) and (4b) ordinate provide with the time dependence of $u(s, \tau)$ and $\varphi(s, \tau)$, namely,

$$v(s, \tau) = \tilde{\varphi}(s) \cos \tau, \quad u(s, \tau) = \tilde{u}(s) \cos^2 \tau, \quad \varphi(s, \tau) = \tilde{\varphi}(s) \cos 2\tau$$

(8)

Consequently, $\omega$ in Eq. (5) is the frequency of the (prevailing) transversal motion. The space-dependent functions $\tilde{\varphi}(s), \tilde{u}(s)$, and $\tilde{\varphi}(s)$ are then expanded in series of an artificially introduced small bookkeeping parameter $\epsilon$, having the meaning of transversal motion amplitude, i.e., $\epsilon = (O(\|\tilde{\varphi}(s)\|))$. Aiming at considering only significant (non-vanishing) quantities, incomplete series are adopted, according to the following considerations. If Eq. (4) admit the solution $(\varphi, u, \lambda)$, they also admit the solution $(-\varphi, u, \lambda)$, which describes a state of the beam symmetrical with respect to its undeformed axis. Therefore, by changing the sign of the amplitude $\epsilon$, $v$ must change sign (i.e., it is an odd function of $s$), whereas $u$ and $\lambda$ must keep their sign (i.e., they are even functions of $s$). By also taking into account Eq. (6) and (7), the following unknowns series expansions and ordering of the boundary terms are introduced

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \epsilon \varphi_1 + \epsilon^2 \varphi_2 + \ldots, \quad \tilde{u} = \epsilon^2 u_2 + \ldots, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \epsilon^3 \varphi_3 + \ldots$$

$$\varphi_H = \epsilon \varphi_H, \quad u_H = \epsilon^2 u_H, \quad g_H = \epsilon^2 g_H \quad (H = L, R)$$

(9)

From Eq. (9) it turns out that the longitudinal displacement $u$ and the axial force $\lambda$ are second-order variables with respect to the transversal displacement $v$. They allow the kinematical inextensibility condition (4c) and the equilibrium in the longitudinal direction (4b) to be satisfied in the nonlinear range.

By substituting Eqs. (9) into Eq. (4), Eqs. (6a)–(6e), (6f), (7a), and (7d), and by omitting hat and tilde, the perturbation equations follow

$$\epsilon: v^m_1 - \beta^2 v_1 = 0$$

$$\epsilon^2: v^m_2 + \frac{1}{2} v_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda u_2 = 0$$

$$\epsilon^3: v^m_3 - \beta^2 v_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 v_1) + \frac{3}{4} [v_1 (v_1 v_1')] v_3 + \frac{1}{6} (v_1 v_1 v_1) = 0$$

$$v_1(0) = 0, \quad v_1(0) = 0\quad \epsilon$$

$$v_1(1) = 0, \quad v_1(0) = - \frac{m_L}{E I} \quad \epsilon$$

$$u_2(0) = u_L$$

$$\lambda_2(0) = - g_L$$

$$v_3(0) = 0, \quad v_3(1) = 0$$

(10)
By solving Eq. (10) according to the increasing powers of $\varepsilon$, the corresponding solutions are obtained in the form

$$ u_2(s) = u_2(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s u_1^2 ds = u_L + \varphi_L F_\varphi(s) + m_L F_m(s) $$

$$ + \varphi_L m_L F_{\text{mun}}(s) $$

$$ \varepsilon^2: \lambda_2(s) = \lambda_2(0) + 2 \beta^2 \int_0^s u_2 ds = -g_L + 2 \beta^2 [u_L + \varphi_L^2 G_\varphi(s) + m_L^2 G_m(s) + \varphi_L m_L G_{\text{mun}}(s)] $$

$$ \varepsilon^3: u_3(s) = \varphi_L^3 F_\varphi(s) + \varphi_L^2 m_L F_m(s) + \varphi_L m_L^2 F_{\text{mun}}(s) $$

$$ + g_L [\varphi_L H(s) + m_L H_m(s)] + u_L [\varphi_L K_\varphi(s) + m_L K_m(s)] $$

$$ \varepsilon^4: \lambda_4(s) = \lambda_4(0) + 2 \beta^2 \int_0^s u_4 ds = -g_L + 2 \beta^2 [u_L + \varphi_L^2 G_\varphi(s) + m_L^2 G_m(s)] $$

where $F_\varphi$, $F_m$, $F_{\text{mun}}$, $H_\varphi$, $H_m$, and $K_\varphi$ are combinations of circular, hyperbolic, and polynomial functions. As expected, $u_1(s)$ and $v_1(s)$ are linear and cubic, respectively, with respect to $\varphi_L$ and $m_L$. Moreover, $v_2(s)$ is bilinear in the products of $\varphi_L$ and $m_L$, with the second-order variables $u_L$, $g_L$.

Given the perturbative expansions, the remaining Eqs. (6d), (6f), (7b), and (7c) are rewritten as

$$ \varepsilon \varphi_k = \varepsilon v_1^2(1) + \varepsilon^2 v_1^3(1) $$

where $T_{\alpha k}$, $T_{\alpha m}$, $T_{\alpha u}$, and $K_{\alpha}$, with $\alpha = \varphi, m, u$, are combinations of circular, hyperbolic, and polynomial functions. As expected, $v_1(s)$ and $v_2(s)$ are linear and cubic, respectively, with respect to $\varphi_L$ and $m_L$. Moreover, $v_3(s)$ is bilinear in the products of $\varphi_L$ and $m_L$, with the second-order variables $u_L$, $g_L$.

$$ e^m \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] + e^s \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] \right] + e^s \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] \right] + e^s \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] \right] $$

They represent the nonlinear relationship between right and left-hand variables. Continuity and equilibrium at the generic node $k$ imply $d_{\alpha k} = d_{\alpha k+1} = d_{\alpha}(d = \varphi, u)$ and $f_{\alpha k} = f_{\alpha k+1} = f_{\alpha}(f = m, g)$, respectively, where the second index labels the element. By substituting in Eqs. (12) $v_1(s)$, $v_2(s)$, $u_3(s)$, and $\lambda_k(s)$, as given by Eq. (11), the element transfer function, linking the state variables at adjacent interfaces, is obtained

$$ e^m \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] + e^s \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] \right] + e^s \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [Q_k(s) + Q_m(s)] \right] \right] $$

where $T_{\alpha}$, $T_{\alpha k}$, and $T_{\alpha m}$, with $\alpha = \varphi, m, u, g$, are the components of the nonlinear part of the element transfer function.

### 4 Nonlinear System Transfer Function

In order to link the state variables at the end of the chain, the map ((13) and (14)) must be iterated consistently with the approximation order. Toward this goal, the discrete counterpart of the perturbation scheme adopted for the continuous problem is followed. By setting $v = (\varphi, m)$ and $u = (u, g)$, after reabsorbing $\varepsilon$, the Eqs. (13) and (14) are rewritten as

$$ v(k+1) = T_\varphi v(k) + T_m u(k) $$

$$ u(k+1) = T_u u(k) + T_v v(k) $$

By defining $T_{\alpha} = T_\varphi(\beta_0)$ and $T_{\alpha k} = T_m(\beta_0)$ and performing the following series expansions in analogy to Eq. (9):

$$ v = e^s v_1 + e^s v_2 \quad u = e^s u_2 \quad \beta = \beta_0 + e^s \beta_2 $$

$$ T_\alpha = T_{\alpha 0} + e^s \beta_2 T_{\alpha 0} $$

$$ T_{\alpha 0} = T_\varphi(\beta_0) $$

$$ T_{\alpha 0} = T_m(\beta_0) $$

$$ T_\varphi = T_\varphi(\beta_0) $$

$$ T_m = T_m(\beta_0) $$

$$ T_u = T_u(\beta_0) $$
the following perturbation equations are obtained:

\[ e: v_1(k + 1) - T_{v0} v_1(k) = 0 \]

\[ e^2: u_1(k + 1) - T_{u0} u_1(k) = T_a[v_1(k)] \]

\[ e^3: v_3(k + 1) - T_{v0} v_3(k) = \beta_3 T^*_v T_{v0} T^{k-1}_v v_1(k) + T_a[v_1(k), u_2(k)] \] (17)

The solutions of the difference equations (17) are

\[ v_1(k) = T^k_{v0} v_1(0) \] (18a)

\[ u_2(k) = T^k_{u0} u_2(0) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^j_{u0} T^k_{v0} T^{k-1-j}_v v_1(0) \] (18b)

By introducing the global transfer matrix \( S_v = T^N_{v0} \), the state at the end of the periodic beams is then obtained from Eq. (18) for \( k = N \)

\[ v_1(N) = S_v v_1(0) \] (19a)

Fig. 5 First and second mode of a four-bay beam: (a) first mode \((\beta = \pi) a = 0.04 \pi\), (b) first mode \((\beta = \pi) a = 0.08 \pi\), (c) second mode \((\beta = 3.393) a = 0.04 \pi\), and (d) second mode \((\beta = 3.393) a = 0.08 \pi\); thick lines, nonlinear; thin lines, linear

Fig. 6 Third and fourth mode of a 4-bay beam: (a) third mode \((\beta = 3.926) a = 0.04 \pi\), (b) third mode \((\beta = 3.926) a = 0.06 \pi\), (c) fourth mode \((\beta = 4.464) a = 0.02 \pi\), and (d) fourth mode \((\beta = 4.464) a = 0.035 \pi\); thick lines, nonlinear; thin lines, linear
An illustrative example consisting of a hinged-roll supported chain of beams loaded by a couple \( m_0 \) acting on the left-most support, is considered. The boundary conditions read

\[ u_2(N) = S_N u_2(0) + S_r [v_1(0), 0] \]  
\[ v_3(N) = S_N v_3(0) + \beta_2 S_r [v_1(0)] + S_r [v_1(0), 0] \]  

where

\[ S_{\alpha}(x, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} T^j \alpha_{j}^{T} Y_{x_{0}}^{N-1-j} \alpha = u, v \]

\[ S_y = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} T^j Y_{x_{0}}^{N-1-j} \]  

with \( Y=[y(0), \cdots, y(N-1)] \)

### 5 Frequency-Amplitude Relationship

Fig. 7 Frequency-response relationship for a four-bay beam with \( m_0 \)

- \( =0.0, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01 \): (a) first mode, (b) second mode, (c) third mode, and (d) fourth mode (\( \Omega, \omega \): nonlinear and linear frequency)

5.1 Free Oscillations. The frequency-amplitude relation for free vibrations \( (m_0=0) \) is sought. To define the amplitude of the modal shape, the normalization condition \( \phi(0)=\pi \) is chosen, with \( \pi \) being a scaling factor representing the midspan displacement to length ratio in the linear approximation. Consequently,

\[ \phi_i(0) = \pi \text{ and } \phi_i(0) = 0 \]  

are the normalization conditions for the perturbation equations (19a) and (19c). The order \( \epsilon \) equations (19a) read

\[ \epsilon^2: u_2(N) = 0, \quad m_i(N) = 0 \]  

\[ \epsilon^3: m_3(0) = 0, \quad m_3(N) = 0 \]  

From the second line of Equation (24), by accounting for the boundary condition (22a) and (22b)

\[ S_{\alpha\alpha}(\beta_0) = 0 \]  

provides with the roots \( \beta_0 \) corresponding to the natural frequencies of the small-amplitude oscillations. By iterating (18a), with \( v_1(0)=(\pi, 0) \), the linear modal shape is obtained.

The equations (19b) read

\[ \epsilon^2: \begin{bmatrix} u_2(N) \\ g_2(N) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{uu} & S_{u\beta} \\ S_{g\beta} & S_{gg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2(0) \\ g_2(0) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} S_{u} \\ S_{g} \end{bmatrix} \phi(0) \]  

By taking into account the boundary condition (22b), the second line of Eq. (26) yields the axial force at the left end of the beams
consequently \( \mathbf{u}_2(0)=0, g_2(0) \). By iterating Eq. (18b), starting from the known values of \( \mathbf{u}_2(0) \) and \( \mathbf{v}_1(0) \), \( \mathbf{u}_2(k) \) is evaluated at any node of the chain. Equations (19c) read

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \left( \mathbf{m}_2(N) \right) &= \left[ \mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{S}_m \right] \left( \mathbf{e}_2(0) \right) + \beta_2 \left[ \mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{S}_m \right] \left( \mathbf{e}_2(0) \right) \\
&= \left[ \mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{v}_1(0), U_2 \right] \\
&= \left[ \mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{v}_1(0), U_2 \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

From the second line of Eq. (28), by using the boundary conditions (22a) and (22c) and the normalization conditions (23), the natural frequency correction is derived as

\[
\beta_2 = -\frac{\mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{v}_1(0), U_2}{\mathbf{v}_1(0) S_{mm}}
\]

(29)

Finally, by iterating (18c) with \( \mathbf{v}_3(0)=(0,0) \), the nonlinear correction \( \mathbf{v}_3(k) \) to the linear mode is obtained.

5.2 Forced Resonant Oscillations. In forced resonant motions the frequency \( \beta=\beta_1 + \epsilon \beta_2 \) is prescribed, with \( \beta_2 \) representing a detuning parameter. At first, the boundary conditions (22a) are introduced in the second line of Eq. (24) to obtain the first-order approximation of the chain's left end rotation \( \varphi_0(0) \). From Eq. (18a), the first approximation of the chain's response is then obtained by using the boundary conditions (22b). \( g_2(0) \) is determined through the second line of Eq. (26). Once \( \mathbf{u}_2(0) \) and \( \mathbf{v}_1(0) \) are known, \( \mathbf{u}_2(k) \) at any node of the chain is obtained by iterating Eq. (18b). From the second line of Eq. (28), the boundary conditions (22a) and (22c) and choosing \( \varphi_0(0)=0 \) as normalization condition, the frequency-amplitude relation follows:

\[
\beta_2 = -\frac{\mathbf{S}_c \mathbf{v}_1(0), U_2}{\varphi_1(0) S_{mm}} = m_g S_{mm}
\]

(30)

6 Numerical Results

A chain of four simply supported beams, each of length \( l \), with the left end hinged, is considered. The frequency-amplitude relation in (16) is evaluated by using either Eq. (29) and (30), for the free and forced case, respectively. The perturbation parameter \( \epsilon \) entering equation in (16) is expressed either \( \epsilon=a \pi /\beta \), where \( a=\varphi_0(0) \) in the two cases, where \( a=\varphi_0(0) \) is the amplitude. The backbone curves of the free motion of the chain are first drawn in Fig. 2 and 3. These curves for the natural frequencies of the first four modes and for modes from the fifth to the eighth, respectively. The two sets of four curves have been shown separately as they belong to the first \((\pi /\beta<4.730)\) and second \((2\pi /\beta<7.853)\) pass band, respectively, of the corresponding linear model. As the amplitude of oscillations increases, all the natural frequencies trespass the lower frequency boundary of the corresponding linear pass band. The curves relevant to the third, fourth, seventh, and eighth modes are characterized by slopes higher than that of the neighboring ones, entailing crossings among several backbone curves. A softening type of behavior can be observed. This is due to the prevailing effect of the inertia nonlinearity with respect to the hardening effect of the elastic one [10]. Moreover, such a softening effect increases with the number of beams in the chain, as shown in Fig. 4 where the first (dimensional) natural frequency corrections versus the amplitude of the free oscillation are drawn for increasing number of beams entering the chain. Such a behavior is explained by the fact that each beam of the chain, in addition to the longitudinal displacements caused by the own transversal displacements, undergoes a longitudinal translation equal to the sum of the shortenings of all the preceding beams. Therefore, the longer the chain, the more important the longitudinal kinetic energy. The curve corresponding to the single beam coincides with the one obtained in [10], where the nonlinear dynamics of beams on movable supports have been studied. Figures 5 and 6 show the first four modal shapes; the comparison between the linear and nonlinear modes are depicted for increasing amplitude of oscillations. The nonlinear shortening effect destroys the symmetry of the linear modes; it can be best noted at the right end of the chain.

As far as the forced oscillations is concerned, Fig. 7 shows the frequency-response curves for the first four modes separately, for different intensities of the couple \( m_g \). As shown in Fig. 8, where the frequency-response curves are drawn next to each other, such intensity must be limited in order for the present unimodal analysis to be meaningful.

7 Conclusions

The free and forced harmonic response of a chain of nonlinear beams resting on sliding supports has been determined. The periodicity of the system has been exploited by using an asymptotic approximation of the nonlinear transfer function. In analogy to the linear case, the approach leads to an algebraic set of equations whose dimensions are equal to the number of coupling degrees of freedom. Starting from the single inextensible and shear inextensible beam transfer function, the global transfer function has been derived in order to obtain the frequency-amplitude relationship. Because of the movable supports, the system is not symmetric with respect to longitudinal displacement and forces that enter the problem as second-order variables. For the beam model considered, it has been found that the softening effect due to the longitudinal inertia increases with the number of elements of the chain. Future investigations are in order to extend the procedure to multimodal analysis to assess the influence of closely spaced modes.
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