

# Numerical method for assessing the potential of smart engine thermal management: application to a medium-upper segment passenger car

F. Caresana, M. Bilancia, C.M. Bartolini

# ▶ To cite this version:

F. Caresana, M. Bilancia, C.M. Bartolini. Numerical method for assessing the potential of smart engine thermal management: application to a medium-upper segment passenger car. Applied Thermal Engineering, 2011, 31 (16), pp.3559. 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.07.017 . hal-00789879

# HAL Id: hal-00789879 https://hal.science/hal-00789879

Submitted on 19 Feb 2013  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Accepted Manuscript

Title: Numerical method for assessing the potential of smart engine thermal management: application to a medium-upper segment passenger car

Authors: F. Caresana, M. Bilancia, C.M. Bartolini

PII: S1359-4311(11)00374-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.07.017

Reference: ATE 3644

To appear in: Applied Thermal Engineering

Received Date: 6 July 2010

Revised Date: 24 May 2011

Accepted Date: 10 July 2011

Please cite this article as: F. Caresana, M. Bilancia, C.M. Bartolini. Numerical method for assessing the potential of smart engine thermal management: application to a medium-upper segment passenger car, Applied Thermal Engineering (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.07.017

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



		ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT			
$\frac{1}{2}$	Numerical method for assessing the potential of smart engine thermal management: application to a medium-u segment passenger car F. Caresana <sup>a*</sup> , M. Bilancia <sup>b</sup> , C.M. Bartolini <sup>c</sup> <sup>a</sup> Università Politecnica delle Marche Dipartimento di Energetica, Via Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona (ITALY)				
3 4 5					
5 6 7					
8 9 10 11		<sup>b</sup> General Motors Powertrain Europe Srl Corso Castelfidardo, 36, 10128 Torino (ITALY)			
11 12 13 14		<sup>c</sup> Università degli Studi e-Campus Via Isimbardi 10, 22060 Novedrate (ITALY)			
15 16 17		*Corresponding author: Tel.(+39) 071 2204 765, Fax (+39) 071 2204 770, email: <u>f.caresana@univpm.it</u>			
18	ABSTRA	СТ			
19 20 21 22 23	Significant reductions in vehicle fuel consumption can be obtained through a greater control of the thermal status of the engine, especially under partial load conditions. Different systems have been proposed to implement this concept, referred to as improved engine thermal management. The amount of fuel saved depends on the components and layout of the engine cooling plant and on the performance of its control system. In this work, a method was developed to calculate the theoretical minimum fuel consumption of a passenger car and used as a reference in comparing different engine cooling system concepts. A high-medium class car was taken as an example and simulated on standard cycles. Models for power train and cooling system components were developed and linked to simulate the vehicle. A preliminary analysis of the engine was performed using AVL's Boost program. The fuel consumption of the complete vehicle, equipped with a conventional cooling plant, was determined on standard cycles and compared with that of a vehicle equipped with a 'perfect' cooling system, to calculate the theoretical reduction in fuel consumption.				
24 25 26 27 28 29					
30 31 22	Keywords Engine the	ermal management, cooling system, fuel consumption reduction, heat transfer coefficient, nucleate boiling			
32 33 34	NOMENCLATURE				
34	A	heat transfer area between engine block and air $(m^2)$			
36	$A_a$	heat transfer area between coolant and engine block $(m^2)$			
37	$A_{eb}$	heat transfer area between liner and coolant $(m^2)$			
38	A	radiator heat transfer area (external) $(m^2)$			
39	B	cylinder bore ( <i>m</i> )			
40	BMEP	brake mean effective pressure (Pa), (bar)			
41	$C_c$ coolant specific heat capacity $(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$				
42	$C_{eb}$ engine block specific heat capacity $(J k g^{-1} K^{-1})$				
43	$c_l$	liner specific heat capacity $(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$			
44	$C_{oil}$	oil specific heat capacity $(J kg^{-1} K^{-1})$			
45	FMEP	engine friction mean effective pressure $(Pa)$ , $(bar)$			
40	N <sub>eboil</sub>	liner height at which liner temperature equals coolant boiling temperature $(m)$			
4/	$n_l$	liner height (m)			
48	$l_t$	normalized thermostatic valve lift (-)			
49	$m_{c,e}$	mass of coolant in the engine $(kg)$			
50	$m_{c,r}$	mass of coolant in the radiator $(kg)$			
51	$m_{eb}$	engine block mass (kg)			
52	$\dot{m}_{f}$	fuel consumption $(g s^{-1})$			

53	$m_l$	liner mass (kg)
54	$m_{oil}$	oil mass (kg)
55	$\dot{m}_a$	air mass flow through the radiator $(kg s^{-1})$
56	$\dot{m}_{by}$	coolant mass flow through the by-pass duct $(kg s^{-1})$
57	$\dot{m}_c$	coolant mass flow through the engine $(kg s^{-1})$
58	m,	coolant mass flow through the radiator $(kg s^{-1})$
59 60	n <sub>e</sub> Pr	engine rotational speed ( <i>min<sup>-1</sup></i> ) Prandtl number (-)
61	$\dot{Q}_a$	engine block to air heat transfer rate (W)
62	$\dot{Q}_{eb}$	coolant to engine block heat transfer rate (W)
63	$\dot{Q}_{f}$	heat transfer rate due to friction (W)
64	$\dot{Q}_{_{gw}}$	gas to liner heat transfer rate (W)
65	$\dot{Q}_r$	coolant to air heat transfer rate in the radiator (W)
66	$\dot{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle wc}$	liner to coolant heat transfer rate (W)
67	Re	Reynolds number (-)
68	S	piston stroke (m)
69	$T_a$	cooling air temperature (K)
70	$T_{boil}$	coolant boiling temperature (K)
71	$T_{c, e_{in}}$	coolant temperature at engine inlet (K)
72	$T_{c, e_{out}}$	coolant temperature at engine outlet (K)
73	$T_{c, r_{out}}$	coolant temperature at radiator outlet (K)
74	$T_{e}$	mean actual engine temperature (K)
75	$T_{eb}$	engine block temperature (K)
76	$T_l$	liner temperature (K)
77	$T_{_W}$	mean liner temperature (K)
78	$T_{\infty}$	fully warmed up engine temperature (K)
79	V	engine displacement $(m^3)$
80	$V_{a}$	air velocity through the radiator $(m s^{-1})$
81	$\alpha_{a}$	engine block to air heat transfer coefficient $(W m^{-2} K^{-1})$
82	$lpha_{_{eb}}$	coolant to engine block heat transfer coefficient $(W m^{-2} K^{-1})$
83	$\alpha_r$	coolant to air heat transfer coefficient $(W m^{-2} K^{-1})$
84	$\alpha_{_{wc}}$	wall to coolant heat transfer coefficient $(W m^{-2} K^{-1})$
85	E	engine revs per cycle $(rad s^{-1})$
86	$\lambda_{c}$	coolant thermal conductivity $(W m^{-1} K^{-1})$
87	τ	engine to wheel transmission ratio (-)
88	$\mathcal{O}_{a}$	engine angular speed $(rad s^{-1})$
89	Γ	tyre circumference (m)
90	<b>1</b> t	
91	1. INTRO	DUCTION

Provide a control of the interview of the control of the

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT				
95 96	oversized, entailing unnecessary heat losses, mechanical losses, as well as parasitic losses from high rotational speed operation of the mechanical components.				
97 98 00	Adapting the cooling system to variable operating conditions can effectively reduce fuel consumption (thus curbing harmful emissions) as well as improve engine efficiency. Several advanced automotive cooling systems have been				
99 100	devised to achieve this goal. Most entail replacing the standard wax thermostat valve, mechanical water pump and radiator fan with a variable position smart valve, a variable-speed electrical pump and a variable-speed radiator fan				
101	Engine over- and under-cooling are easily managed by controlling the coolant mass flow through engine and radiator				
102	via smart valve position and/or pump speed. The enabling technology to exploit their potential is offered by computer				
103	control.				
104	Dynamic models for the thermal system, smart thermostat valve, coolant pump, and radiator fan have been described in [1] where the cooling system configuration has been tested by replacing the engine with an electronic immersion heater				
105	and by operating the actuators via a non-linear tracking controller. Enhancement of gasoline and diesel engine thermal				
107	management systems through a smart thermostat valve and a variable speed water pump has been discussed in [2],				
108	where a non-linear tracking controller has been proposed to ensure that engine temperature follows the desired				
109	trajectory. A non-linear controller has also been designed for transient temperature tracking in an experimental system				
110	fan an engine block and various sensors [3]: in this system a steam-based heat exchanger mimics the heat generated by				
112	the engine's combustion process. The power consumption associated with four different simulated solutions has been				
113	compared in a comprehensive assessment of different configurations for a heavy-duty diesel engine [4].				
114	Since pure electrical solutions involve greater electricity consumption, which raise serious problems with standard low-				
115	voltage power circuits [4, 5], hydraulic-driven actuators have also been proposed [e.g. 6].				
117	harmful emissions, the typically faster engine heating and higher engine temperature of automotive applications result				
118	in greater comfort and safety in winter (better cabin climate control and faster windshield defrosting) [7].				
119	The advantages of using a smart thermal management system have also been investigated by modelling the system by				
120	means of 1-D simulation packages. Of the several commercial software packages designed for modelling an automotive				
121	cooling system most use a 1-D lumped parameter approach. Tumus et al [8] employed this approach to develop a thermal management system modelling tool. In some studies the components have not been physically built or tested				
122	and mathematical models have been constructed to model theoretical systems (e.g. [9], [10] and [11]).				
124	Shortening the engine starting and warm-up time can be crucial to meeting new requirements and regulations, since				
125	these phases involve the greatest fuel consumption and pollutant emissions. These questions were addressed in [12],				
126	which modelled the basic engine cooling system and then simulated and compared various design options.				
127	The works cited above demonstrate experimentally or simulate the benefits of flexible control cooling systems only in particular configurations. We opted against addressing a particular arrangement; instead, we decided to focus on a				
120	question that published data leave unanswered: the maximum fuel economy that can be achieved by fitting a smart				
130	thermal management system in a car. The answer should be obtained from comparisons between conventional and				
131	smart cooling systems in standard tests and would depend on the class of the car, the test cycle chosen as the reference,				
132	and on 'how smart' the cooling system was. Result generalization would require analyzing data for different classes of				
133	cars and different cooling system concepts; nowever, the field is too new and the task too daunting to be accomplished by a single research group. Besides, obtaining such results experimentally would be quite difficult and expensive. Our				
135	contribution to answering the question is therefore through the development of a method based on numerical simulation,				
136	which we then tested in an upper-medium segment passenger car.				
137	The method is based on defining a 'perfect' cooling system, whose performance is then used as a benchmark to which				
138	different concepts can be compared. AVL's Boost program, a well-tested commercial tool, was used to simulate the				
139	engine s inermai and mechanical benaviour, then a code was developed in Matlab-Simulink incorporating the Boost results and the models for the cooling system, the drive train and the vehicle aerodynamics.				
141	The main concepts behind the paper are outlined in section 2. where a 'perfect' cooling system is defined. The				
142	theoretical aspects and the methods used to solve individual problems are then described in sections 3 and 4; in				

particular, section 3.1 deals with engine simulation, section 3.2 with the cooling system models, and section 3.3 with the vehicle characteristics and driving cycle simulator. Section 4 reports some examples of the results that can be obtained using the proposed method; finally, the conclusions are drawn in section 5.

#### 147 2. THE "PERFECT" COOLING SYSTEM

146

148 149 The fuel economy that can be achieved in a vehicle powered by an internal combustion engine using a smart thermal 150 management system depends on the cooling system's layout, component type and performance, and control technique.

151 Since different arrangements may involve quite different fuel consumption performances in relation to different

152 complexity and cost, it would be useful to know how distant a particular solution is from the optimum. This can be done

- by defining the performance of a perfect cooling system to which different concepts of smart cooling can then becompared.
- 155 Accordingly, the first aim of this paper was to define the characteristics of such perfect cooling system and use it as a
- 156 benchmark to evaluate the fuel economy potential of a smart thermal management.

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT 157 A perfect cooling system is one devoid of defects, something that cannot be obtained in practice; this system was 158 therefore used as a reference. 159 160 2.1 Definition of the perfect cooling system 161 162 A perfect cooling system is one that meets the following three principles (principle #3a may be an alternative to #3): 163 1) it is able to maintain the engine constantly at its set point temperature 164 2) it does not require additional energy for component functioning with respect to a conventional system 165 3) it is able to warm-up the engine instantly 166 3a) during the warm-up phase the system is adiabatic. 167 Principle #1 entails a perfect control system. 168 Principle #2 allows neglecting the performance details of the cooling system components at this stage of the work; in 169 fact, a reduction of the energy required for engine cooling can be assumed to be a consequence, for example, of the use 170 of more efficient pumps or pumping strategies, but since many concepts also involve substitution of mechanical with 171 electrical components, the gain is not guaranteed and is system-dependent. 172 Principles #3 and #3a make reference to the engine's warm-up which, together with efficiency and fuel combustion, is 173 very important for pollutant emissions. Shortening the warm-up time has been proved to reduce emissions. 174 Besides the mass and the thermal characteristics of the engine itself and the engine CPU strategy, the warm-up time 175 depends on the amount of cooling fluid contained in the plant, on its flow rate, and on the system's thermal insulation. 176 In fact, part of the heat generated during warm-up is transferred to the cooling fluid and part is dissipated to the ambient 177 through the engine walls and the cooling system components. Since principle #3 is impracticable, the more realistic principle #3a was tested as an alternative. The data obtained by 178 179 applying principle #3 quantify the system's performance without taking into consideration the effect of the warm-up 180 transient. Differences in performance related to principles #3 and #3a allow highlighting the influence of the warm-up 181 phase. 182 183 3. ENGINE AND COOLING SYSTEM THERMAL MODELLING 184 185 3.1. Engine model 186 To analyze how the cooling system design affects engine performance, a four-stroke, four-cylinder, naturally aspirated 187 188 gasoline engine was simulated using AVL's Boost program. The engine's main technical data are reported in table 1. 189 The Boost diagram and the simulated performances of the engine in Wide Open Throttle (WOT) condition are shown in 190 figure 1. 191 Complete engine behaviour simulations were run at different thermal levels, ranging from cold to fully warmed up. In 192 the Boost program the different thermal levels were simulated by taking different values for the liner mean temperature $T_w$ , which for the present engine ranges from 107°C (fully warmed up) to 25°C (cold). 193 For each thermal level the simulations consisted in running the code at different degrees of throttle valve opening, from 194 195 minimum to WOT, and for each throttle position at different rotational speeds, from minimum to maximum, by 250 rpm 196 increases. The Boost program's output data were then post-processed to obtain maps of engine fuel consumption, $\dot{m}_{f}$ , and of the 197 heat transfer rate between combustion gases and engine walls, $\dot{Q}_{gw}$ , as a function of brake mean effective pressure 198 199 (BMEP) and engine rotational speed. The latter information on the thermal behaviour of the engine would have been 200 particularly difficult to obtain via an experimental approach. 201 As examples of the results, figures 2 and 3 show the engine rotational speed–MEP charts and the iso- $\dot{m}_{f}$ and iso- $\dot{Q}_{gw}$ curves for the cold and the fully warmed up engine. Figure 2 also reports the engine working points for the 202 203 European City driving cycle (EU CITY) and the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) (see section 4 for vehicle 204 details). 205 At any given working point, with the engine cold, fuel consumption is significantly greater (figure 2) and the 206 combustion gases transfer to the walls a larger amount of heat per unit time (figure 3). 207 208 3.2. Cooling system model 209 210 This section deals with the thermo-fluid-dynamic models for internal and external engine cooling systems, which are

described in sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, respectively. The coolant is assumed to exchange heat only in the radiator and the engine; all the other components are assumed to be adiabatic in the model.

214 3.2.1 Internal cooling system

(2)

- 215 The internal cooling system consists of the coolant paths in the engine. Three main components were considered as
- 216 parts of the internal cooling system: the liner, the coolant and the engine block (see figure 4). Accordingly, three
- 217 enthalpy balances were formulated using a lumped parameter approach:
- 218 Enthalpy balance for the liner:

$$219 \qquad \dot{Q}_{gw} - \dot{Q}_{wc} = m_l \cdot c_l \cdot \frac{dT_w}{dt} \tag{1}$$

220 Enthalpy balance for the coolant:

221 
$$\dot{Q}_{wc} + \dot{m}_c \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,e_{in}} - \dot{m}_c \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,e_{out}} - \dot{Q}_{eb} = m_{c,e} \cdot c_c \cdot \frac{dT_{c,e_{out}}}{dt}$$

222 Enthalpy balance for the engine block, whose temperature is assumed to be the same as the oil's:

223 
$$\dot{Q}_{eb} + \dot{Q}_f - \dot{Q}_a = (m_{eb} \cdot c_{eb} + m_{oil} \cdot c_{oil}) \cdot \frac{dT_{eb}}{dt}$$
(3)

The heat transfer rates from liner walls to coolant,  $\dot{Q}_{wc}$ , from coolant to engine block,  $\dot{Q}_{eb}$ , and from coolant to

225 ambient air,  $\dot{Q}_a$ , were calculated using the following relationships:

$$226 \qquad \dot{Q}_{wc} = \alpha_{wc} \cdot A_l \cdot \left(T_w - \frac{T_{c\_out} + T_{c\_in}}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$227 \qquad \dot{Q}_{eb} = \alpha_{eb} \cdot A_{eb} \cdot \left(\frac{T_{c\_out} + T_{c\_in}}{2} - T_{eb}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$228 \qquad \dot{O}_{a} = \alpha_{a} \cdot A_{a} \cdot (T_{ab} - T_{a}) \tag{6}$$

The coolant to engine block heat transfer coefficient,  $\alpha_{eb}$ , was obtained using the Dittus-Boelter correlation (eq. A. 1 in the appendix) [12].

231 The engine block to air heat transfer coefficient was calculated using the correlation [12]:

232 
$$\alpha_a = 0.036 \cdot \operatorname{Pr}^{1/3} \cdot \operatorname{Re}^{0.8} \left( \frac{L_{eb}}{\lambda_a} \right)$$
 (7)

Prandtl's and Reynolds' numbers in equation (7) regard the air flowing around the engine block, calculated for ambient
 conditions; its velocity was calculated by reducing vehicle speed by a factor that accounted for engine compartment
 geometry.

236 Evaluation of the heat transfer coefficient between engine walls and coolant,  $\alpha_{wc}$ , is complicated by the fact that at

- high engine loads the engine wall temperature in some regions may be higher than the coolant boiling temperature. In
   such conditions nucleate boiling may occur, and use of correlations that take into account only liquids would result in
   significant errors.
- 240 The details of the procedure used to calculate  $\alpha_{wc}$  are reported below in section 3.2.1.1.
- 241  $Q_f$  in (3) represents the heat transfer rate due to mechanical friction, and can be obtained from the engine Friction

243 
$$\dot{Q}_f = FMEP \cdot V \cdot \frac{\omega_e}{\varepsilon}$$
 (8)

FMEP in (8) was evaluated by means of the ETH friction model relationship [7]:

245 
$$FMEP = \chi(T_e) \cdot k_1(T_{\infty}) \cdot (k_2 + k_3 \cdot S^2 \cdot \omega_e^2) \cdot \prod \cdot \sqrt{\frac{k_4}{B}}$$
(9)

246 In (9) the engine thermal condition is taken into account through term  $\chi(T_e) = \frac{k_1(T_e)}{k_1(T_{\infty})}$ , whose diagram is reported in

figure 5 as a function of the difference between maximum engine temperature,  $T_{\infty}$ , and actual engine temperature,  $T_e$ . The values for the coefficients used in (9) are:

249 
$$k_1(T_{\infty}) = 3 \cdot 10^5 [Pa]$$
;  $k_2 = 0.6$ ;  $k_3 = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4} [s^2/m^2]$ ;  $k_4 = 0.029 [m]$ ;  $\Pi = 1$   
250

251 3.2.1.1 Wall to coolant heat transfer coefficient

252 As noted above, evaluation of the heat transfer coefficient between engine walls and coolant,  $\alpha_{wc}$ , is complicated by 253 the fact that at high engine loads the engine wall temperature may exceed the coolant boiling temperature in some 254 regions, possibly resulting in nucleate boiling. Use of correlations that consider only liquids would thus involve 255 significant errors [13]. To take this into account, the effects of nucleate boiling were integrated in the model using 256 Kandlikar's correlation [14]. The correlation was used in the regions where the liner temperature exceeded the coolant 257 boiling temperature, whereas the classical Dittus-Boelter correlation was used elsewhere. In this case the temperature 258 distribution in the liner was assumed to be a  $3^{rd}$  order polynomial (eq. 10) of the normalized height of the liner, h, whose coefficients  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  were fitted to the experimental data (from [15]) of an engine running in fully warmed 259 260 up condition (figure 6):

264

262 
$$T_{l}(h) = T_{l}(0) + (T_{l}(h_{l}) - T_{l}(0))(\gamma_{l} \cdot h^{3} + \gamma_{2} \cdot h^{2} + \gamma_{3} \cdot h)$$
  
263 
$$0 \le h \le h_{l}$$

In equation (16)  $T_l(0)$  and  $T_l(h_l)$  are the liner temperatures at the bottom and top of the liner, respectively. A generic engine thermal condition can be described by adapting the pairs of  $T_l(0)$  and  $T_l(h_l)$  temperature values to the actual mean liner temperature  $T_w$ , as shown in figure 7, which reports the temperature distributions calculated with equation (10) for liner mean temperatures ranging from cold start to fully warmed up conditions.

270 Once the temperature distribution in the liner is known, it is possible to determine the height at which the coolant 271 boiling temperature is reached,  $h_{eboil}$  (shown graphically in figure 4). The zone of the liner above  $h_{eboil}$  is the one 272 affected by nucleate boiling, whereas only forced convection should occur below it.

The heat transfer coefficients for the two zones,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , were calculated using the Dittus-Boelter and the Kandlikar correlations, respectively (eqs. A. 1 and B. 1 in the appendix), and then surface-weighted to obtain the mean heat transfer coefficient for the whole liner  $\alpha_{wc}$ :

276  
277 
$$\alpha_{wc} = \alpha_1 \frac{h_l - h_{eboil}}{h_l} + \alpha_2 \frac{h_{eboil}}{h_l}$$
(11)  
278

- 279 3.2.2. External cooling system
- The external cooling system consists of the pump, radiator, thermostatic valve and external connection pipes. The coolant mass flow  $\dot{m}_c$  was obtained from the characteristic curves of the pump and the cooling circuit. The thermostatic valve behaves as a proportional temperature controller, with a non-linear static gain; the valve time constant is approximately 30 seconds [7]. As the coolant temperature at the engine outlet  $T_{c,e-out}$  increases, the
- thermostatic valve lets more coolant through the radiator, thus increasing  $\dot{m}_r$  and simultaneously reducing the coolant
- 286 mass flow  $\dot{m}_{by}$  in the by-pass circuit.
- 287 The normalized thermostatic valve lift  $l_t$  changes with  $T_{c,e_out}$ , as shown in figure 8.
- 288 The coolant mass flow through the radiator at a given temperature was assumed to be proportional to valve lift and was thus calculated as:

$$290 \qquad \dot{m}_r = l_t(T_{c,e_out}) \cdot \dot{m}_c \tag{12}$$

In a simplified circuit (figure 9) without a cabin heater, EGR cooler or oil cooler, the by-passed coolant mass flow
 becomes:

293 
$$\dot{m}_{by} = [1 - l_t (T_{c,e_{out}})] \cdot \dot{m}_c$$
 (13)

The enthalpy balance for the mass of coolant in the radiator is: 295

$$\frac{296}{297} \qquad \dot{m}_r \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,e\_out} - \dot{Q}_r - \dot{m}_r \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,r\_out} = m_{c,r} \cdot c_c \cdot \frac{dT_{c,r\_out}}{dt}$$

$$(14)$$

The coolant to air heat transfer rate was calculated by:

(10)

(15)

(16)

(17)

$$300 \qquad \dot{Q}_r = \alpha_r \cdot A_r \cdot \left(\frac{T_{c,e\_out} + T_{c,e\_in}}{2} - T_a\right)$$

301

302 For any given radiator arrangement, the heat transfer coefficient,  $\alpha_r$ , increases with air velocity, hence with vehicle

303 speed,  $V_a$ , which can be calculated from the engine angular speed,  $\omega_e$ , the tyre rolling circumference,  $\Gamma_t$ , and the 304 engine to wheel transmission ratio,  $\tau$ :

$$305 \qquad v_a = \Gamma_t \frac{\omega_e}{2\pi\tau}$$

306 Tables from [16] allow a good estimation of  $\alpha_r$  as a function of  $v_a$  for typical automotive applications.

#### 307 308 3.2.3 Pump inlet

309 The mass flow of coolant from the by-pass circuit and the mass flow of coolant from the radiator mix at the pump inlet. 310 Since all components except the engine and the radiator are assumed to be adiabatic, the coolant temperature in the 311 pump does not change, and the same temperature is found both at the pump outlet and at the engine inlet. 312 The latter can therefore be calculated by solving the enthalpy balance at the pump inlet:

314 
$$T_{c,e_{in}} = \frac{\dot{m}_{by} \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,e_{out}} + \dot{m}_r \cdot c_c \cdot T_{c,r_{out}}}{\dot{m} \cdot c}$$

315

326

332

333

313

#### 316 4. DETERMINATION OF VEHICLE FUEL CONSUMPTION 317

318 After simulating the engine and its cooling system using the approach described in the previous section, we simulated a 319 vehicle equipped with them running on standard cycles. Then, simulations of the same vehicle equipped with the perfect 320 cooling system were run to identify its benefits in terms of fuel consumption.

321 The block diagram of the simulator used is shown in figure 10. The specifications of a high-medium class passenger car 322 were entered: mass, 1500 kg; frontal area, 2.6 m<sup>2</sup>, drag resistance coefficient, 2.8, and a five-speed gearbox.

323 The engine torque and rotational speed required to run the test cycles were calculated and entered into the engine maps 324 to obtain for each instant: 325

- the heat transfer rate between combustion chamber and liner
- engine-specific fuel consumption

327 Since these depend on the engine thermal conditions, the external engine cooling system model was also solved by 328 using equations 1 to 17 to obtain the relevant temperatures of engine components, coolant and oil.

329 Knowledge of the fuel consumption values in the selected cycle and of the distance travelled allowed calculation of the 330 amount of fuel needed to travel 100 km. 331

#### 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

334 This section reports a selection of the results obtained using the proposed simulator.

335 First of all the vehicle's behaviour with the conventional cooling system is described by the aid of figure 11, which 336 shows vehicle velocity, liner temperature, coolant temperature at the engine inlet and outlet, oil temperature,

337 thermostatic valve position and engine fuel consumption for the NEDC.

338 Since the cycle starts with a cold engine, the first step of the simulation involves only ambient temperatures. As the 339 engine warms up the liner temperature rises and coolant temperatures at the engine outlet and inlet grow almost 340 simultaneously. Then, when the engine outlet temperature has reached about 60 °C, the thermostatic valve begins to 341 open, and some of the coolant flows through the radiator, where it is cooled. From this point on, the engine inlet

342 temperature is lower than that at the engine outlet. The position of the thermostatic valve changes according to the 343 behaviour of its wax temperature-sensitive part. The oil temperature, which in the model is assumed to be equal to that 344 of the engine block, behaves like the liner and the coolant temperature, its mean value rising with cycle time but much 345 more slowly, due to its greater mass and greater thermal inertia.

346 The effect of smart thermal management is then demonstrated by comparing the results from the conventional cooling 347 system to those obtained using the 'perfect' cooling system, defined in section 2. This is done in figure 12, where two 348 different perfect cases are compared to the standard case using the NEDC. Case 1 is a vehicle equipped with a cooling 349 system that can warm up the engine instantly and maintain a constant set point temperature (this system fulfils

350 principles #1, #2 and #3 of section 2. Case 2 applies the more realistic principle #3a instead of #3: the engine is

- 351 adiabatic during the warm-up phase, i.e. the heat fluxes to the ambient through the engine block and the coolant are
- 352 assumed to be zero; when the temperature set point is reached the cooling system converges with the one modelled in 353 case 1.

- As shown in figure 12, the liner temperature set point, which is assumed to be the maximum allowable mean liner
- temperature, is reached immediately in case 1, it is reached in about 300 seconds in case 2, and is not reached at all with the conventional system.
- 357 The delay in reaching the fully warmed up condition and/or the imperfect control of the engine thermal status involve
- 358 significantly greater friction losses, as shown in figure 13, where the *FMEP* (calculated by eq. 9) for Case 2 and for the 359 conventional cooling system are compared for the NEDC normalized cycle. Figure 14 shows the heat generated per unit 360 time that is associated with these friction losses.
- Figure 14 compares the conventional and the perfect cooling system (Case 2) in terms of heat flow rate transferred from
- the in cylinder gases to the walls during the NEDC cycle; with the conventional cooling system more heat than
- 363 necessary is transferred to the walls, increasing the thermal losses.
- Figure 14 also shows the heat transferred to the ambient per unit time by the engine with the conventional cooling system, something that in the perfect cooling system is prevented from happening.
- 366 The conventional cooling system involves significantly greater fuel consumption, as shown in table 2, where Case 1,
- Case 2, and the conventional cooling system are compared for the NEDC, the EU CITY, and the most common US and Japanese normalized cycles.
- Savings are greatest in the cycles simulating urban conditions, where engine loads are lowest. In such conditions an improved thermal management system offers the greatest advantages, whereas a conventional system is associated with mean engine temperatures that are well under fully warmed up values, excessively low oil temperature, and greater friction.

# 374 6. CONCLUSIONS375

373

- A method to evaluate the effects of an improved engine thermal management system for a passenger car is proposed.
   A 'perfect' cooling system was simulated and its effects on the engine thermal status defined with a view to establishing a reference to which different cooling and control system concepts could be compared.
- A conventional cooling system was then compared to the 'perfect' cooling system to estimate the fuel economy that can be achieved through the adoption of thermal management in a passenger car run on standard driving cycles.
- Two different cases were hypothesized for the 'perfect' cooling system: a system that warms up the engine instantly and maintains its set point temperature constant, and a more realistic case where the engine is assumed to be adiabatic while warming up. When the temperature set point is reached the two cases converge, because the cooling system is again
- assumed to be able to maintain its set point temperature constant.
- 385 The data required for the engine thermal modelling were obtained from a preliminary analysis using the AVL Boost
- 386 program. Models for all the components of the power train and cooling system were developed and linked to simulate 387 an upper-medium segment passenger car, which was then run on standard cycles. The use of a perfect system means 388 that the simulated fuel consumption reductions constitute theoretical maxima for this car.
- The results showed that delays in reaching the fully warmed-up condition and/or imperfect control of the engine thermal status both influence fuel consumption. Greater advantages were found in the cycles simulating urban conditions, where engine loads are lowest. These are the conditions in which an improved thermal management system offers the greatest gains, whereas in a conventional cooling system the mean engine temperatures are well under fully warmed up values, oil temperature is excessively low, and friction is greater.
- Possible directions for research continuation include the collection of experimental data to verify the results of the
   simulation as well as result generalization by extending the data to different classes of cars.

# 397 APPENDIX398

- A. Dittus-Boelter correlation
- 400 The Dittus-Boelter correlation [12] calculates the heat transfer coefficient in horizontal tubes,  $\alpha_c$ , as:

401 
$$\overline{\alpha_c} = 0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.4} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{D_e}\right)$$

(A. 1)

- 402 where:
- 403  $\lambda_c$  thermal conductivity of the coolant  $(W m^{-1} K^{-1})$

406 In the paper this correlation is used to calculate the coolant to wall heat transfer flux. The Reynolds number, *Re*, and 407 Prandtl's number, *Pr*, of the coolant are calculated based on cooling pump flow rate, cooling path section around the 408 liner, and coolant mean temperature.

- 409
- 410 B. Kandlikar correlation

411	This correlation has been proposed to evaluate the heat transfer coefficient in horizontal tubes, $\overline{\alpha_m}$ , where nucleate
412	boiling occurs [14]:
413	$\frac{\alpha_{tp}}{\overline{\alpha_c}} = C_1 \cdot Co^{C_2} \cdot (25 \cdot Fr)^{C_5} + C_3 \cdot Bo^{C_4} $ (B. 1)
414	where:
415	$Bo = \frac{q}{G \cdot i_{ig}}$ boiling number
416 417	$q$ coolant heat flux $(Wm^{-2})$ $G$ specific mass flow $(kg s^{-1} m^{-2})$
418	$i_{ig}$ latent heat of vaporization $(J kg^{-1})$
419	$Co = \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.8} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l}\right)^{0.5} $ convection number
420	x dryness fraction (-)
421	$\rho_g$ vapour density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )
422	$\rho_l$ liquid density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )
423	$Fr = \frac{G^2}{\rho_l^2 \cdot g \cdot L}$ Froude number (-)
424	g gravity acceleration $(m s^2)$
425 426 427	The value of <i>Co</i> divides the whole surface in two regions:
428	<i>Co</i> <0.65 convective boiling region (convective mechanism)
430 431	<i>Co</i> >0.65 nucleate boiling region (nucleate boiling mechanism)
432	The values of constants $C_1 - C_5$ are reported in table 3.
433	In any given condition the heat transfer coefficient, $\overline{\alpha_{p}}$ , is obtained using the two sets of constants for the two regions.
434 435 436 437 438	Since the transition from one region to the other occurs at the intersection of the respective correlations, the higher transfer coefficient value is the predicted value of the proposed correlation. The Kandlikar correlation is used in the paper to calculate the coolant to wall heat transfer flux in the region where the engine liner walls reach temperatures that exceed the coolant boiling temperature. The dryness fraction, <i>x</i> , is assumed to be proportional to the difference between mean liner temperature and coolant
439	boiling temperature.
440 441 442	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
443	The authors are grateful to Dr. Silvia Modena for the language review
444 445	REFERENCES
446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454	<ul> <li>[1] P. Setlur, J.R. Wagner, D.M. Dawson and E. Marotta, An Advanced Engine Thermal Management System: Nonlinear Control and Test, IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, Vol. 10, Issue 2, April 2005.</li> <li>[2] P. Setlur, J. Wagner, D. Dawson and J. Chen, Nonlinear Controller for Automotive Thermal Management Systems, Proceedings of the American Control Conference, June 4-6, 2003, Denver, Colorado.</li> <li>[3] M. H. Salah, T. H. Mitchell, J. R. Wagner and D. M. Dawson, Nonlinear-Control Strategy for Advanced Vehicle Thermal-Management Systems, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 57, No. 1, January 2008.</li> <li>[4] N. Staunton, V. Pickert and R. Maughan, Assessment of Advanced Thermal Management Systems for Micro- Hybrid Trucks and Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles, IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC), September 3-5, 2008, Harbin, China.</li> </ul>
455 456 457	[5] A. J. Torregrosa, A. Broatch, P. Olmeda and C. Romero, Assessment of the influence of different cooling system configurations on engine warm-up, emissions and fuel consumptions, International Journal of Automotive Technology, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2008.

- 458 [6] M. H. Salah, P. M. Frick, J. R. Wagner and D. M. Dawson, Hydraulic actuated automotive cooling systems –
- 459 Nonlinear control and test, Control Engineering Practice 17 (2009) 609–62.
- 460 [7] L. Guzzella and C. H. Onder, Introduction to Modeling and Control of Internal Combustion Engine Systems, ISBN
   461 3-540-22274-x, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2004.
- 462 [8] T. Tumus, B. Maniam, M. Mahajan, G. Anand and N. Jain, e-Thermal: A Vehicle-Level HVAC/PTC Simulation
- 463 tool, SAE 2004 World Congress & Exhibition, Detroit, USA, March 2004.
- [9] B. Luptowski, O. Arici, J. Johnson, and G. Parker, Development of the Enhanced Vehicle and Engine Cooling
- 465 System Simulation and Application to Active Cooling Control, SAE 2005 World Congress & Exhibition, Detroit, USA,466 April 2005.
- 467 [10] I.K. Yoo, K. Simpson, M. Bell and S. Majkowski, An Engine Coolant Temperature Model and Application for
- 468 Cooling System Diagnosis, SAE 2000 World Congress, Detroit, USA, March 2000.
- 469 [11] J. Eberth, J. Wagner, B. Afshar and R. Foster, Modeling and Validation of Automotive "Smart" Thermal
- 470 Management System Architectures, SAE 2004 World Congress & Exhibition, Detroit, USA, March 2004.
- 471 [12] F. Kreith, Principles of Heat Transfer, ISBN 88-207-0356-4, Donnelly Publishing Corporation, New York, 1973.
- 472 [13] J.P. Kroes, C. W. M. van der Geld and E. van Velthooven, Modeling of nucleate boiling in engine cylinder head
- 473 cooling ducts, proceedings of HEAT 2008, Fifth International Conference on Transport Phenomena In Multiphase
  474 Systems, Bialystok, Poland, June 30 July 3, 2008.
- 475 [14] S. G. Kandlikar, A general correlation for saturated two-phase flow boiling heat transfer inside horizontal and
- 476 vertical tubes, Journal of Heat Transfer Vol. 112/219, 1990.
- 477 [15] J. B. Heywood, Internal combustion engine fundamentals, ISBN 0-07-028637-x, McGraw-Hill Book Company,
- 478 New York, 1988.
- 479 [16] G. Ferrari, Motori a Combustione interna, ISBN 88-426-7006-5, Edizioni Il Capitello, Torino, 2001.

CEP HAN

# TED MANUSCRIPT

1		

Table1

4 cylinders inline
2000 cc
86 mm
86 mm

2

1

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

	Conventional	Perfect cooling s	system	Perfect cooling s	ystem
	cooling system	Case 1	-	Case 2	-
Cycle	Fuel	Fuel	Fuel	Fuel	Fuel
-	consumption	consumption	consumption	consumption	consumption
	(litres/100 km)	(litres/100 km)	reduction (%)	(litres/100 km)	reduction (%)
EUCITY	12.0	9.6	29	10.0	10
(European Urban driving cycle)	12.0	8.6	28	10.6	12
EUDC	7 4	6.6	11	6 9	0
(Extra-Urban Driving Cycle)	7.4	0.0	11	0.8	°
NEDC	8.8	73	17	7.6	14
(New European Driving Cycle)	0.0	1.5	17	7.0	14
USA FTP 75	7.6	6.8	11	71	7
(USA Federal Test Procedure 75)	7.0	0.8	11	7.1	7
USA FTP Highway			_		
(USA Federal Test Procedure	6.3	5.8	8	5.9	6
Highway)					
Japan 10 Mode	11.9	9.0	24	11.2	6
(Japanese urban driving cycle)	11.9	2.0	21	11.2	0
Japan 10-15 Mode					
(Japanese urban and extra-urban	9.3	7.7	17	8.2	12
driving cycle)					

2

## έd Μά

Constant	Convective	Nucleate	
Constant	region	boiling region	
$C_1$	1.136	0.6683	
$C_2$	-0.9	-0.2	
$C_3$	667.2	1058	
$C_4$	0.7	0.7	
$C_5$	0.3	0.3	

2

1





engine rotational speed (min-1)



engine rotational speed (min<sup>-1</sup>)

Figure4

Click here to download high resolution image ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT











Figure9



Figure10











#### FIGURES

- Figure 1 AVL-Boost engine model, and WOT simulated engine Torque and Power
- Figure 2 Map for engine fuel consumption  $\dot{m}_f$
- Figure 3 Map for heat transfer rate between combustion gases and walls  $\dot{Q}_{_{gw}}$
- Figure 4 Internal cooling system
- Figure 5  $\chi(T_e)$  vs. difference between maximum engine temperature  $T_{\infty}$  and actual engine temperature  $T_e$
- Figure 6 Experimental data for liner temperature (dots) and fitting curve
- Figure 7 Liner temperature distributions for different mean liner temperatures  $T_w$
- Figure 8 Thermostatic valve lift characteristics;  $l_t = 0$ : thermostatic valve closed;  $l_t = 1$ : thermostatic valve fully open
- Figure 9 Layout of the engine cooling system
- Figure 10 Block diagram of the simulation code
- Figure 11 Simulation results for the conventional cooling system
- Figure 12 Simulation results for the perfect cooling systems
- Figure 13 FMEP comparison during the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC)
- Figure 14 Heat transfer rate comparison during the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC)

#### TABLES

- Table 1 Engine characteristics
- Table 2 Comparison of fuel consumption data
- Table 3 Kandlikar's coefficients;  $C_5 = 0$  for horizontal tubes with Fr > 0.04